

GOOD BASIC INVARIANTS FOR ELLIPTIC WEYL GROUPS AND FROBENIUS STRUCTURES

IKUO SATAKE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we define a set of good basic invariants for the elliptic Weyl group for the elliptic root system. For an elliptic root system of codimension 1, we show that a set of good basic invariants gives a set of flat invariants obtained by Saito and Taylor coefficients of the good basic invariants give the structure constants of the multiplication of the Frobenius structure obtained by the author.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Aim and results of the paper. Let W be an elliptic Weyl group defined as a reflection group for an elliptic root system. Let Y, H are domains where H is isomorphic to the upper half plane and $\pi : Y \rightarrow H$ is an affine bundle whose fiber is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^{l+1} . The group W acts on each fiber of π .

Let $\tilde{c} \in W$ be a hyperbolic Coxeter transformation defined in [10]. It is known that \tilde{c} is not semi-simple and has no fixed points on Y .

We take the Jordan decomposition:

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot \tilde{c}^{unip},$$

where \tilde{c}^{ss} is a semi-simple part and \tilde{c}^{unip} is a unipotent part. We show in Section 7 that we could take a suitable section $S \subset Y$ (which gives isomorphism $S \simeq H$ by the composite morphism $S \subset Y \rightarrow H$) such that

- (i) every point in S is fixed by the action of \tilde{c}^{ss} ,
- (ii) no points of S are contained in the reflection hyperplanes of W .

Then for the W -invariants on Y , we define Taylor expansions along S and by using these Taylor expansions, we define a set of good basic invariants which is analogous to the cases for the Coxeter groups (see [14]).

In this paper, we define the notion of an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) (cf. Definition 3.1) which has the same role as $\tilde{c}^{ss}(=g)$ and $S(=L^\perp)$. Then we define a set of good basic invariants.

¹2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 32G20; Secondary 32N15.

Date: May 8, 2024.

For the elliptic root systems of codimension 1, we show that a set of good basic invariants gives a set of flat invariants obtained by Saito [11] and the Taylor coefficients of the good basic invariants give the structure constants of the multiplication of the Frobenius structure obtained by the author [12].

In the study of the Frobenius structure for the elliptic Weyl groups, a characterization (Theorem 9.1(iii)) of the unit field is important. We find another characterization (Proposition 8.12) of the unit field by the space $S(= L^\perp)$. This enables us to find the notion of the good basic invariants for elliptic Weyl groups and the ones for finite complex reflection groups.

Here is a brief account of the contents of the paper. In Section 2 we remind notions of elliptic root systems, elliptic Weyl groups and their invariant theory. In Section 3 we define an admissible triplet for the elliptic root system. In Section 4 we define good basic invariants. In Section 5 we give properties of Taylor coefficients of good basic invariants. In Section 6 we construct an admissible triplet. In Section 7 we show the uniqueness of good basic invariants under suitable assumptions. In Section 8 We treat the elliptic root system of type “codimension one”. We give a description of the bilinear form in terms of the good basic invariants (Theorem 8.4). In Section 9 we show that the good invariants give a nice description of the Frobenius structure which is defined by Saito and Satake.

1.2. Acknowledgements. This work is supported in part by Grant-in Aid for Challenging Research (Exploratory) 17K18781, Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(C) 18K03281 and Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research(C) 22K03295

2. W -INVARIANTS FOR ELLIPTIC ROOT SYSTEMS

We recall the elliptic root systems (cf. Saito [11]). We use notations in [13] in order to fit our notations to the ones of Kac [7].

2.1. Elliptic root systems. In this subsection, we define an elliptic root system (cf. [11]).

Let l be a positive integer. Let F be a real vector space of rank $l + 2$ with a positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form $I : F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whose radical $\text{rad } I := \{x \in F \mid I(x, y) = 0, \forall y \in F\}$ is a vector space of rank 2. We put $O(F, \text{rad } I) := \{g \in GL(F) \mid I(gx, gy) = I(x, y) \forall x, y \in F, g|_{\text{rad } I} = \text{id.}\}$. For a non-isotropic vector $\alpha \in F$ (i.e. $I(\alpha, \alpha) \neq 0$), we put $\alpha^\vee := 2\alpha/I(\alpha, \alpha) \in F$. The reflection $w_\alpha \in O(F, \text{rad } I)$ with respect to α is defined by $w_\alpha(u) := u - I(u, \alpha^\vee)\alpha \quad (\forall u \in F)$. We define $(I_R : I) \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ such that $(I_R : I)I$ defines an even lattice structure on a \mathbb{Z} -span of R .

Definition 2.1. A set R of non-isotropic elements of F is an elliptic root system belonging to (F, I) if it satisfies the axioms (i)–(iv).

- (i) The additive group generated by R in F , denoted by $Q(R)$, is a full sub-lattice of F .
- (ii) $I(\alpha, \beta^\vee) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $\alpha, \beta \in R$.
- (iii) $w_\alpha(R) = R$ for $\forall \alpha \in R$.
- (iv) If $R = R_1 \cup R_2$, with $R_1 \perp R_2$, then either R_1 or R_2 is void.

We have $Q(R) \cap \text{rad } I \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$. We call a 1-dimensional vector space $G \subset \text{rad } I$ satisfying $G \cap Q(R) \simeq \mathbb{Z}$, a marking. We fix $a, \delta \in F$ s.t. $G \cap Q(R) = \mathbb{Z}a$ and $Q(R) \cap \text{rad } I = \mathbb{Z}a \oplus \mathbb{Z}\delta$.

The isomorphism classes of the elliptic root systems with markings are classified in [10].

2.2. Hyperbolic extension. We introduce a hyperbolic extension (\tilde{F}, \tilde{I}) of (F, I) , i.e. \tilde{F} is a $(l+3)$ -dimensional \mathbb{R} -vector space of which contains F as a subspace and \tilde{I} is a symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form on \tilde{F} which satisfies $\tilde{I}|_F = I$ and $\text{rad } \tilde{I} = \mathbb{R}a$. It is unique up to isomorphism. We put $O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I) := \{g \in GL(\tilde{F}) \mid \tilde{I}(gx, gy) = \tilde{I}(x, y) \forall x, y \in \tilde{F}, g(F) \subset F, g|_F \in O(F, \text{rad } I)\}$. The natural homomorphism $O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I) \rightarrow O(F, \text{rad } I)$ is surjective and its kernel $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to the additive group \mathbb{R} :

$$0 \rightarrow K_{\mathbb{R}} \rightarrow O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I) \rightarrow O(F, \text{rad } I) \rightarrow 1. \quad (2.1)$$

We fix some notations. We take $\Lambda_0 \in \tilde{F}$ which satisfies $\tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, \delta) = 1$ and $\tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) = 0$. Then we have a decomposition $\tilde{F} = F \oplus \mathbb{R}\Lambda_0$.

2.3. Elliptic Weyl group. We define an elliptic Weyl group. For $\alpha \in R$, we define a reflection $\tilde{w}_\alpha \in O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ by $\tilde{w}_\alpha(u) = u - \tilde{I}(u, \alpha^\vee)\alpha$ for $u \in \tilde{F}$. We define an elliptic Weyl group W as a group generated by \tilde{w}_α ($\alpha \in R$). A subgroup $K_{\mathbb{Z}} := W \cap K_{\mathbb{R}}$ is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} .

2.4. Domains and Euler field. We define two domains:

$$Y := \{x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{F}, \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle a, x \rangle = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}, \text{Re}\langle \delta, x \rangle > 0\}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$H := \{x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\text{rad } I, \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle a, x \rangle = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}, \text{Re}\langle \delta, x \rangle > 0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

We have a natural morphism

$$\pi : Y \rightarrow H. \quad (2.4)$$

We remark that H is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \text{Im } z > 0\}$ by the function $\delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}) = \delta/a : H \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$. We define the left action of $g \in W$ on Y by $\langle g \cdot x, \gamma \rangle = \langle x, g^{-1} \cdot \gamma \rangle$ for

$x \in Y$ and $\gamma \in \widetilde{F}$. We remark that the domain Y and the action of W on Y are naturally identified with the ones of Kac [7, p.225].

Let $F(Y)$ is the space of holomorphic functions on Y and $\Omega(Y)$ is the space of holomorphic 1-forms on Y . We denote by $m_0 \leq \cdots \leq m_l$ be exponents of the elliptic root system with marking (see [11, p22]) and we also denote by m_{max} the maximum of the exponents.

We put $n = l + 1$. Let d_n be the smallest common denominator for the rational numbers m_i/m_{max} ($i = 0, \dots, l$). We define the normalized exponents by

$$d_\alpha := m_{\alpha-1} \frac{d_n}{m_{max}} \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n-1). \quad (2.5)$$

We remark that the equation (2.5) holds for the case $\alpha = n$ because $m_l = m_{max}$. We also remark that d_n is $l_{max} + 1$ in [11, p23].

There exists a unique vector field E

$$E : \Omega(Y) \rightarrow F(Y) \quad (2.6)$$

such that $E(f) = 0$ for any $f \in F$ and

$$E(\Lambda_0) = \frac{(I_R : I)d_n}{m_{max}}. \quad (2.7)$$

We call E the Euler field.

2.5. The algebra of the invariants for the elliptic Weyl group. In this subsection, we introduce the algebra of the invariants for the elliptic Weyl group.

We put $F(H) := \{f : H \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \text{holomorphic}\}$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$F_m(Y) := \{f \in F(Y) \mid Ef = mf\}. \quad (2.8)$$

The morphism $\pi : Y \rightarrow H$ induces $\pi^* : F(H) \rightarrow F_0(Y)$, thus $F_0(Y)$ -module $F_m(Y)$ is an $F(H)$ -module.

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, we put

$$S_m^W := \{f \in F_m(Y) \mid f(g \cdot z) = f(z) \ \forall g \in W\}, \quad (2.9)$$

$$S^W := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} S_m^W. \quad (2.10)$$

S^W is a graded $F(H)$ -algebra.

Theorem 2.2 ([1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 16]). *The $F(H)$ -algebra S^W is generated by a set of algebraically independent homogeneous generators x^1, \dots, x^n ($n = l + 1$) with degrees $0 < d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ which we call a set of basic invariants.*

We put

$$d := (d_1, \dots, d_n). \quad (2.11)$$

Then the degree m part S_m^W could be also written as

$$S_m^W = \left\{ \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} A_b x^b \in S^W \mid A_b \in F(H), d \cdot b = m \right\}, \quad (2.12)$$

where we denote

$$x^b = (x^1)^{b_1} \dots (x^n)^{b_n}, \quad d \cdot b = d_1 b_1 + \dots + d_n b_n. \quad (2.13)$$

2.6. Decomposition of the space Y . Let \tilde{X} be a space of complementary subspaces of $\text{rad } I$ in a vector space \tilde{F} :

$$\tilde{X} := \{V \subset \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F} = V \oplus \text{rad } I\}. \quad (2.14)$$

The space \tilde{X} is an affine space over $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{F}/\text{rad } I, \text{rad } I)$.

For the space Y defined in (2.2), we define a mapping:

$$f_1 : Y \rightarrow \tilde{X} \quad (2.15)$$

by $f_1(y) = \ker y$ where we see $y \in Y$ as a morphism $y : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We see that f_1 is $O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ -equivariant. By $\pi : Y \rightarrow H$ defined in (2.4), we have a mapping:

$$(\pi, f_1) : Y \rightarrow H \times \tilde{X} \quad (2.16)$$

which is an isomorphism as a real manifold.

The mapping f_1 is not a holomorphic mapping, but for any $V \in \tilde{X}$, the subset $f_1^{-1}(V)$ has a description

$$f_1^{-1}(V) = \{x \in Y \mid \langle v, x \rangle = 0 \ \forall v \in V\} \quad (2.17)$$

which gives the structure of a complex submanifold of Y . We remark that $f_1^{-1}(V)$ is isomorphic to H . Then the mapping f_1 gives a decomposition of Y into complex submanifolds

$$Y = \bigsqcup_{V \in \tilde{X}} f_1^{-1}(V). \quad (2.18)$$

3. GRADED ALGEBRA

For an elliptic root system, we define a notion of an admissible triplet.

3.1. Admissible triplet.

Definition 3.1. For $g \in O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$, $\zeta \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $L \subset \tilde{F}$, we call a triplet (g, ζ, L) *admissible* if it satisfies the following conditions.

(i) g is semi-simple and

$$(g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}) \subset F. \quad (3.1)$$

(ii) ζ is a primitive d_n -th root of unity and

$$g \cdot x^\alpha = \zeta^{d_\alpha} x^\alpha \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n) \quad (3.2)$$

for a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n with degrees d_1, \dots, d_n .

(iii) L is a splitting subspace of $\text{rad } I$, which is g -stable and has no roots:

$$\tilde{F} = L \oplus \text{rad } I, \quad (3.3)$$

$$g(L) = L, \quad (3.4)$$

$$L \cap R = \emptyset. \quad (3.5)$$

We remark that $\dim L = n (= l + 1)$.

From now on we fix an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) .

By Definition 3.1(i), the action of g on L is also semi-simple. We take a \mathbb{C} -basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$g \cdot z^\alpha = c_\alpha z^\alpha \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n), \quad (3.6)$$

where c_1, \dots, c_n are eigenvalues of g on L . We consider z^1, \dots, z^n as functions on Y and we define $z^0 \in F(Y)$ by

$$z^0(x) := \frac{\langle \delta, x \rangle}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \quad (x \in Y). \quad (3.7)$$

Then the set z^0, z^1, \dots, z^n gives a coordinate system of Y .

Definition 3.2. We put

$$L^\perp := \{x \in Y \mid \langle l, x \rangle = 0 \ \forall l \in L\}. \quad (3.8)$$

We remark that $L \in \tilde{X}$ and $L^\perp = f_1^{-1}(L)$ (see (2.17)). The morphism $L^\perp \rightarrow H$ induced by $\pi : Y \rightarrow H$ is an isomorphism. Then we identify the restriction $f|_{L^\perp}$ of a function $f \in F(Y)$ to L^\perp with the function on H .

Proposition 3.3. *For any $q \in L^\perp$ and g of the admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) , we have*

$$g \cdot q = q. \quad (3.9)$$

Proof. g acts on L , then $g \cdot q \in L^\perp$. $\langle g \cdot q, a \rangle = \langle q, a \rangle$, $\langle g \cdot q, \delta \rangle = \langle q, \delta \rangle$, then $g \cdot q = q$. \square

We put

$$H_\alpha := \{x \in Y \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle = 0\} \quad (3.10)$$

for $\alpha \in R$.

Proposition 3.4. (i) *The space L^\perp is regular, i.e.*

$$L^\perp \cap \left(\bigcup_{\alpha \in R} H_\alpha \right) = \emptyset. \quad (3.11)$$

(ii) *On the space L^\perp , the Jacobian matrix*

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \bigg|_{L^\perp} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \quad (3.12)$$

is invertible.

(iii) *The eigenvalues of g on $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ are ζ^{d_α} ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$).*

Proof. (i) If $x \in L^\perp \cap (\cup_{\alpha \in R} H_\alpha)$, $\langle x, l \rangle = 0$ for any $l \in L$ and $\langle x, \alpha \rangle = 0$ for some $\alpha \in R$. Since $\alpha \in \tilde{F}$, $\alpha = l + Aa + B\delta$ for some $l \in L$ and $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $0 = A\langle a, x \rangle + B\langle \delta, x \rangle$. Since $\langle a, x \rangle, \langle \delta, x \rangle \in \mathbb{C}$ are linearly independent over \mathbb{R} by $x \in L^\perp$, $A = B = 0$. Then $\alpha \in L$. It contradicts the assumption of admissibility (3.5).

(ii) Put $x^0 := z^0$. Since the set of zeros of determinant of

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right)_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}$$

coincides with $\cup_{\alpha \in R} H_\alpha$ (cf. [11, (4.5) Theorem]), it is not 0 on any point of L^\perp . By

$$\frac{\partial x^0}{\partial z^0} = 1, \quad \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial z^\alpha} = 0 \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n), \quad (3.13)$$

this determinant equals the determinant of

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}.$$

Then we have the result.

(iii) By the result of (ii), (3.2) and Proposition 3.3, we obtain (iii) (where we used a discussion which is the same as the proof of Theorem 4.2(v) of [15]). \square

From now on we may and shall assume that

$$g \cdot z^\alpha = \zeta^{d_\alpha} z^\alpha \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n). \quad (3.14)$$

A \mathbb{C} -basis of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ satisfying (3.14) is called a “ g -homogeneous basis”.

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$x^\alpha|_{L^\perp} = 0 \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n, \quad d_\alpha < d_n), \quad (3.15)$$

$$\left. \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right|_{L^\perp} = 0 \quad (d_\alpha \neq d_\beta). \quad (3.16)$$

For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, we have

$$\left. \frac{\partial x^a}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} = 0 \quad (a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \quad d \cdot b \not\equiv d \cdot a \pmod{d_n}), \quad (3.17)$$

where we denote

$$\frac{\partial^b}{\partial z^b} = \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^1} \right)^{b_1} \cdots \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} \right)^{b_n} \quad \text{for } b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n. \quad (3.18)$$

Proof. These are direct consequences of (3.2) and (3.14). \square

4. GRADED ALGEBRA ISOMORPHISM ψ

We fix an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) for an elliptic root system.

4.1. The morphism $\varphi[g, \zeta, L]$.

Definition 4.1. For the admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) , a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n and a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, we define an $F(H)$ -module homomorphism:

$$\varphi[g, \zeta, L] : S^W \rightarrow F(Y), \quad x^a \mapsto \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \frac{1}{b!} \left. \frac{\partial^b ([x - (x|_{L^\perp})]^a)}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} z^b, \quad (4.1)$$

for an $F(H)$ -free basis $\{x^a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\}$ of $F(H)$ -module S^W , where we used notations

$$\begin{aligned} [x - (x|_{L^\perp})]^a &:= [x^1 - (x^1|_{L^\perp})]^{a_1} \cdots [x^n - (x^n|_{L^\perp})]^{a_n} \text{ for } (a = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n), \\ b! &:= b_1! \cdots b_n! \text{ for } (b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

We remark that $\varphi[g, \zeta, L](f)$ for $f \in S^W$ is not necessarily invariant by the W -action.

Proposition 4.2. (i) $\varphi[g, \zeta, L]$ depends neither on the choices of a set of basic invariants x^α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) nor on the choice of a set of g -homogeneous basis z^α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. $\varphi[g, \zeta, L]$ gives an $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism.
(ii) Let x^α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) and z^α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$) be the same as in Definition 4.1. For any multi index $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, the coefficients of z^b of the RHS of

$$\varphi[g, \zeta, L](x^a) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \frac{1}{b!} \left. \frac{\partial^b ([x - (x|_{L^\perp})]^a)}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} z^b$$

is 0 if $d \cdot b \notin \{d \cdot a + d_n j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}$.

Proof. (i) For a set of basic invariants x^α ($1 \leq \alpha \leq n$), we define a $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_1[L]$ by

$$\varphi_1[L] : S^W \rightarrow S^W, \quad x^a \mapsto [x - (x|_{L^\perp})]^a \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n). \quad (4.3)$$

By the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.2 (i) in [14], we see that this morphism does not depend on the choice of a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n but depends only on the choice of L .

We define an $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism φ_2 by

$$\varphi_2 : S^W \rightarrow F(Y), \quad f \mapsto \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} \frac{1}{b!} \frac{\partial^b f}{\partial z^b} \Big|_{L^\perp} [z - (z|_{L^\perp})]^b. \quad (4.4)$$

This is a Taylor expansion along L^\perp and it coincides with the natural inclusion $S^W \subset F(Y)$.

We define an $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi_3[L]$ by

$$\varphi_3[L] : F(Y) \rightarrow F(Y), \quad z^a \mapsto [z + (z|_{L^\perp})]^a \quad (a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n). \quad (4.5)$$

This morphism does not depend on the choice of a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ because a basis is unique up to linear transformations.

Then we have

$$\varphi[g, \zeta, L] = \varphi_3[L] \circ \varphi_2 \circ \varphi_1[L]. \quad (4.6)$$

Since $\varphi_1[L]$, φ_2 and $\varphi_3[L]$ are $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphisms, their composite morphism $\varphi = \varphi[g, \zeta, L]$ is also an $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism.

(ii) We remark that $\frac{\partial^b (x^\alpha|_{L^\perp})}{\partial z^b} = 0$ if $b \neq 0$ by $x^\alpha|_{L^\perp} \in F(H)$. Then by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.2(ii) in [14], we have (ii). \square

4.2. The morphism $\psi[g, \zeta, L]$. In this subsection, we construct a graded $F(H)$ -algebra isomorphism $\psi[g, \zeta, L]$ by the same argument as in §3.2 in [14].

We define decreasing filtrations on S^W and $F(Y)$ by

$$F^m(S^W) := \bigoplus_{j \geq m} S_j^W, \\ F^m(F(Y)) := \left\{ \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_b z^b \in F(Y) \mid c_b \in F(H) (b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n), c_b = 0 \text{ if } d \cdot b \leq m - 1 \right\}$$

respectively for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Then S^W and $F(Y)$ are filtered $F(H)$ -algebras and $\varphi[g, \zeta, L]$ is a filtered $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism by Proposition 4.2(ii).

Definition 4.3. (i) Let $\text{gr}_F \varphi[g, \zeta, L]$ be the graded $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism induced by a filtered $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism $\varphi[g, \zeta, L]$:

$$\text{gr}_F \varphi[g, \zeta, L] : \text{gr}_F(S^W) \rightarrow \text{gr}_F(F(Y)), \quad (4.7)$$

where

$$\text{gr}_F(S^W) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} F^m(S^W)/F^{m+1}(S^W), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\text{gr}_F(F(Y)) := \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} F^m(F(Y))/F^{m+1}(F(Y)). \quad (4.9)$$

(ii) For the graded $F(H)$ -algebra S^W , we have the natural graded $F(H)$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\psi_1 : S^W \rightarrow \text{gr}_F(S^W) \quad (4.10)$$

which maps an element of S_j^W to its canonical image in $F^j(S^W)/F^{j+1}(S^W)$. Let z^1, \dots, z^n be a g -homogeneous basis of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. We define

$$F(H)[L] := \left\{ \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_b z^b \in F(Y) \mid c_b \in F(H), d \cdot b \text{ is bounded} \right\}. \quad (4.11)$$

We have a decomposition

$$F(H)[L] = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} V(g, \zeta, L)(j), \quad (4.12)$$

where

$$V(g, \zeta, L)(j) := \left\{ \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n} c_b z^b \in F(Y) \mid c_b \in F(H), d \cdot b = j \right\}. \quad (4.13)$$

for $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. These definitions do not depend on the choice of z^1, \dots, z^n . The decomposition (4.12) gives a graded $F(H)$ -algebra structure on $F(H)[L]$ which is isomorphic to the polynomial algebra

$$F(H)[z^1, \dots, z^n] \quad (4.14)$$

with $\deg z^\alpha = d_\alpha$. Since the composite mapping

$$V(g, \zeta, L)(j) \rightarrow F^j(F(Y)) \rightarrow F^j(F(Y))/F^{j+1}(F(Y)) \quad (4.15)$$

is an isomorphism, we have a graded $F(H)$ -algebra isomorphism

$$\psi_2 : F(H)(L) \rightarrow \text{gr}_F(F(Y)). \quad (4.16)$$

(iii) Let $\psi[g, \zeta, L]$ be the graded $F(H)$ -algebra homomorphism defined by

$$\psi[g, \zeta, q] := \psi_2^{-1} \circ \text{gr}_F \varphi[g, \zeta, L] \circ \psi_1 : S^W \rightarrow F(H)(L). \quad (4.17)$$

We have an explicit description of $\psi[g, \zeta, L]$:

$$\psi[g, \zeta, L] : S^W \rightarrow F(H)[L], \quad x^a \mapsto \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, d \cdot b = d \cdot a} \frac{1}{b!} \frac{\partial^b ([x - (x|_{L^\perp})]^a)}{\partial z^b} \Big|_{L^\perp} z^b \quad (4.18)$$

for an $F(H)$ -free basis $\{x^a \mid a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n\}$ of $F(H)$ -module S^W , where we used notations in Definition 4.1.

By Proposition 3.4(ii), we have the following proposition by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 in [14].

Proposition 4.4. *With respect to the gradings (2.10) on S^W and (4.12) on $F(H)[L]$, $\psi[g, \zeta, L]$ is a graded $F(H)$ -algebra isomorphism*

$$\psi[g, \zeta, L] : S^W \xrightarrow{\sim} F(H)[L]. \quad (4.19)$$

4.3. Good basic invariants.

Definition 4.5. A set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is good with respect to the admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) if x^1, \dots, x^n form a \mathbb{C} -basis of the vector space $\psi[g, \zeta, L]^{-1}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C})$ w.r.t. the natural inclusion $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} \subset F(H)[L]$. We call x^1, \dots, x^n “good basic invariants”.

5. TAYLOR COEFFICIENTS OF THE GOOD BASIC INVARIANTS

Let (g, ζ, L) be an admissible triplet for an elliptic root system.

Definition 5.1. Let $z^0 = \frac{\delta}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}}$ defined in (3.7) and z^1, \dots, z^n be a g -homogeneous basis of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Then a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is compatible with a basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ if the Jacobian matrix is a unit matrix, i.e.

$$\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \Big|_{L^\perp} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = (\delta_\beta^\alpha)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n},$$

where δ_β^α is the Kronecker’s delta.

Proposition 5.2. *For a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, we have the following results.*

(i) *If we put*

$$x^\alpha := \psi[g, \zeta, L]^{-1}(z^\alpha) \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n), \quad (5.1)$$

then x^1, \dots, x^n form a set of basic invariants which are good and compatible with a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

- (ii) Conversely if x^1, \dots, x^n are good and compatible with a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, then $\psi[g, \zeta, L](x^\alpha) = z^\alpha$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$.
- (iii) For any set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n ,

$$\left. \frac{\partial^b([x - x|_{L^\perp}]^a)}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} = 0 \text{ if } d \cdot b \notin \{d \cdot a + d_n j \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\} \quad (5.2)$$

for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$.

- (iv) A set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is good if and only if

$$\left. \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right|_{L^\perp} \quad (1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n)$$

are constants and

$$\left. \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right|_{L^\perp} = 0 \quad (d_\alpha = d \cdot a, \quad |a| \geq 2, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq n). \quad (5.3)$$

- (v) If a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is good and compatible with a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, then for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $d \cdot a = d \cdot b$, we have

$$\frac{1}{b!} \left. \frac{\partial^b([x - x|_{L^\perp}]^a)}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} = \delta_{a,b}. \quad (5.4)$$

- (vi) If a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is good, then for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ and $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ satisfying $d \cdot a = d_\alpha$, we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^0} \frac{1}{a!} \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right) \Big|_{L^\perp} = 0. \quad (5.5)$$

Proof. As for (i), (ii), they are direct consequences of Definition 4.5 and Definition 5.1.

As for (iii), it is proved in Proposition 4.2 (ii). As for (iv), we have

$$\psi[g, \zeta, L](x^\alpha) = \sum_{b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \, d \cdot b = d_\alpha} \frac{1}{b!} \left. \frac{\partial^b x^\alpha}{\partial z^b} \right|_{L^\perp} z^b$$

by (4.18). By the goodness assumption, this must be an element of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Then the coefficient of z^b is constant if $|b| = 1$ and 0 if $|b| \geq 2$.

As for (v), we have $\psi[g, \zeta, L](x^\alpha) = z^\alpha$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$ by (ii). Then for any $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$,

$$\psi[g, \zeta, L](x^a) = \prod_{\gamma=1}^n \psi[g, \zeta, L](x^\gamma)^{a_\gamma} = \prod_{\gamma=1}^n (z^\gamma)^{a_\gamma} = z^a, \quad (5.6)$$

and comparing it with (4.18), we have the result. As for (vi), we have

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^0} \frac{1}{a!} \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right) \Big|_{L^\perp} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^0} \left(\frac{1}{a!} \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \Big|_{L^\perp} \right)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{a!} \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \Big|_{L^\perp}$$

is constant because x^α is good. Then we have the result. \square

6. CONSTRUCTION OF AN ADMISSIBLE TRIPLET

6.1. Construction of an admissible triplet. In this subsection, we construct an admissible triplet for an elliptic root system.

The following theorem is due to Saito [10].

Theorem 6.1. (*Saito [10]*) *There exists $\tilde{c} \in W \subset O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ called a hyperbolic Coxeter transformation ([10, (11.2)]) which satisfies the following properties.*

- (i) (*Lemma A*) *The restriction c of \tilde{c} to F (called a Coxeter transformation [10, (9.7)]) is semi-simple of order d_n . The set of eigenvalues of c is given by:*

$$1, \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}d_\alpha/d_n) \quad (\alpha = 1, \dots, n). \quad (6.1)$$

- (ii) (*Lemma B*) *Let c be a Coxeter transformation. Then*

$$R \cap \text{Im}(c - \text{id.}) = \emptyset. \quad (6.2)$$

- (iii) (*Lemma C*) *For a hyperbolic Coxeter transformation \tilde{c} , we have*

$$(\tilde{c} - 1)\xi + I_R(\xi, \delta) \frac{1}{m_{\max}} a \in \text{Im}(c - \text{id.}) \quad (\forall \xi \in \tilde{F}) \quad (6.3)$$

and \tilde{c}^{d_n} is a generator of $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$, where $I_R = (I_R : I)I$, $(I_R : I)$ is defined in Section 2.1 and m_{\max} is defined in Section 2.4.

Let

$$\tilde{c} = \tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot \tilde{c}^{unip} \quad (6.4)$$

be the Jordan decomposition to semi-simple element and unipotent element.

The following proposition shows that the semi-simple element \tilde{c}^{ss} satisfies (3.1) and (3.2) which are part of conditions of the admissible triplet.

Proposition 6.2. (i) \tilde{c}^{ss} is an element of $O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ and

$$\tilde{c}^{ss}|_F = c. \quad (6.5)$$

- (ii)

$$(\tilde{c}^{ss} - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}) \subset F.$$

- (iii) *There exists uniquely a primitive d_n -th root of unity ζ such that the action of \tilde{c}^{ss} on $f \in S_m^W$ is given by*

$$\tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot f = \zeta^m f. \quad (6.6)$$

Proof. We first show that the \mathbb{R} -bilinear form I on $(c - id.)(F)$ is positive definite. Since $c - id. : F \rightarrow F$ is semi-simple, we have a decomposition:

$$F = (c - id.)(F) \oplus \ker(c - id.).$$

By the inclusion $\text{rad } I \subset \ker(c - id.)$, we have $(c - id.)(F) \cap \text{rad } I = \emptyset$. Since I on F is semi-positive, I on $(c - id.)(F)$ is positive definite.

Put

$$(c - id.)(F)^\perp := \{x \in \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{I}(x, y) = 0 \ \forall y \in (c - id.)(F)\}.$$

Then we have

$$\tilde{F} = (c - id.)(F) \oplus (c - id.)(F)^\perp. \quad (6.7)$$

We see that $(c - id.)(F)$ is \tilde{c} -stable and \tilde{c} on $(c - id.)(F)$ is semi-simple because $\tilde{c} = c$ on F and c is semi-simple.

The space $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$ is \tilde{c} -stable because $(c - id.)(F)$ is \tilde{c} -stable and $\tilde{c} \in O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$.

We show that the action of \tilde{c} on $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$ is unipotent.

$$\forall x \in (c - id.)(F)^\perp,$$

$$(\tilde{c} - id.)(x) + I_R(x, \delta) \frac{1}{m_{\max}} a \quad (6.8)$$

is an element of $(c - id.)(F)$ by Theorem 6.1 (iii). On the other hand, $(\tilde{c} - id.)(x)$ in (6.8) is an element of $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$ because $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$ is \tilde{c} -stable. Also $I_R(x, \delta) \frac{1}{m_{\max}} a$ in (6.8) is an element of $\text{rad } I$ which is a subset of $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$. Then by a decomposition (6.7), we have

$$(\tilde{c} - id.)(x) + I_R(x, \delta) \frac{1}{m_{\max}} a = 0. \quad (6.9)$$

Then \tilde{c} is identity both on $(c - id.)(F)^\perp / \mathbb{R}a$ and $\mathbb{R}a$. Thus \tilde{c} is unipotent on $(c - id.)(F)^\perp$.

Then we have

$$\tilde{c}^{ss} = \begin{cases} \tilde{c} & \text{on } (c - id.)(F) \\ id. & \text{on } (c - id.)(F)^\perp, \end{cases} \quad \tilde{c}^{unip} = \begin{cases} id. & \text{on } (c - id.)(F) \\ \tilde{c} & \text{on } (c - id.)(F)^\perp. \end{cases} \quad (6.10)$$

From this description of \tilde{c}^{ss} , we have (i), (ii).

As for (iii), we see that \tilde{c}^{unip} is an element of $K_{\mathbb{R}}$ (see (2.1)) and $(\tilde{c}^{unip})^{d_n}$ is a generator of $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ because $(\tilde{c}^{unip})^{d_n}$ is a unipotent part of \tilde{c}^{d_n} and it is a generator of $K_{\mathbb{Z}}$ by Theorem 6.1 (iii).

Then there exists uniquely a primitive d_n -th root of unity ζ such that the action of \tilde{c}^{unip} on $f \in S_m^W$ is given by

$$\tilde{c}^{unip} \cdot f = \zeta^{-m} f. \quad (6.11)$$

Since $\tilde{c}^{ss} = (\tilde{c}^{unip})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{c}$ and $\tilde{c} \in W$, the action of \tilde{c}^{ss} on $f \in S_m^W$ is

$$\tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot f = [(\tilde{c}^{unip})^{-1} \cdot \tilde{c}] \cdot f = (\tilde{c}^{unip})^{-1} \cdot f = \zeta^m f.$$

□

We shall construct a splitting subspace L which is a part of admissible triplet. We remind the definition of the space \tilde{X} defined in (2.14):

$$\tilde{X} = \{V \subset \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{F} = V \oplus \text{rad } I\}.$$

Definition 6.3. We put a set of regular subspaces of \tilde{F} and a set of fixed subspaces of \tilde{F} w.r.t. the action of \tilde{c}^{ss} :

$$\tilde{X}^{reg} := \{V \in \tilde{X} \mid V \cap R = \emptyset\}, \quad (6.12)$$

$$\tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} := \{V \in \tilde{X} \mid \tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot V = V\}. \quad (6.13)$$

Proposition 6.4. *We have*

$$\tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg} \neq \emptyset. \quad (6.14)$$

A proof of Proposition 6.4 will be given in the next subsection.

Proposition 6.5. *Let ζ be a primitive d_n -th root of unity defined in Proposition 6.2 (iii). For any $L \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$, $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, L)$ is an admissible triplet.*

Proof. By Proposition 6.2, \tilde{c}^{ss} and ζ satisfies the conditions in Definition 3.1. For $L \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$, it satisfies the condition of Definition 3.1(iii). □

6.2. Proof of Proposition 6.4. In this subsection, we give a proof of Proposition 6.4.

We first prepare a space of complementary subspaces of $\text{rad } I$ in a vector space F :

$$X := \{U \subset F \mid F = U \oplus \text{rad } I\}. \quad (6.15)$$

We give a relation of X with \tilde{X} defined in (2.14). For $V \in \tilde{X}$, $V \not\subset F$, the dimension of $V \cap F$ is $n - 1 (= l)$. Then we have

$$F = (V \cap F) \oplus \text{rad } I.$$

Then we have a natural morphism:

$$p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X, \quad V \mapsto V \cap F. \quad (6.16)$$

We could easily check that $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\tilde{F}/F, \text{rad } I)$ -principal bundle. In particular, p is surjective. The group $O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ acts on the space X and p is equivariant w.r.t. this group action.

Definition 6.6. We put a set of regular subspaces of F and a set of fixed subspaces of F w.r.t. the action of \tilde{c}^{ss} :

$$X^{reg} := \{U \in X \mid U \cap R = \emptyset\}, \quad (6.17)$$

$$X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} := \{U \in X \mid \tilde{c}^{ss} \cdot U = U\}. \quad (6.18)$$

Proposition 6.7.

$$(i) \ p^{-1}(X^{reg}) = \tilde{X}^{reg}. \quad (6.19)$$

$$(ii) \ p^{-1}(X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}}) = \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}}. \quad (6.20)$$

Proof. (i) is a consequence of Lemma 6.8. (ii) is a consequence of Proposition 6.2(ii) and Lemma 6.9(iii). \square

Lemma 6.8. *For $V \in \tilde{X}$, $V \cap R = \emptyset$ if and only if $p(V) \cap R = \emptyset$.*

Proof. If $V \cap R = \emptyset$, $(V \cap R) \cap F$ is also an empty set, which is $p(V) \cap R$.

If $V \cap R \neq \emptyset$, there exists $x \in V \cap R$. Then $x \in R \subset F$, $(V \cap R) \cap F$ is also non-empty. \square

Lemma 6.9. *Let $g \in O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ which is semi-simple with $(g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}) \subset F$.*

(i) *We have*

$$(g - \text{id.})(F) = (g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}).$$

(ii) *For $V \in \tilde{X}$, the followings are equivalent.*

$$(a) \ g(V) = V.$$

$$(b) \ g(p(V)) = p(V).$$

$$(c) \ (g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}) \subset V.$$

(iii) *We put a set of fixed points:*

$$X^g := \{U \in X \mid g \cdot U = U\}.$$

Then we have

$$p^{-1}(X^g) = \{V \in \tilde{X} \mid g(V) = V\}.$$

Proof. (i) For \subset is trivial. For \supset , we have $(g - \text{id.})^2(\tilde{F}) \subset (g - \text{id.})(F)$ by the assumption. Since $g - \text{id.} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ is semi-simple, $(g - \text{id.})^2(\tilde{F}) = (g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F})$. Then we have a result.

(ii) (a) \implies (b). Since $g(F) \subset F$, $g(F \cap V) \subset F \cap V$.

(b) \implies (c). Since $p(V)$ is g -stable, a natural projection $\varphi : F \rightarrow F/p(V) \simeq \text{rad } I$ is g -equivalent. For any $x \in (g - \text{id.})(F)$, $\exists y \in F$ s.t. $x = (g - \text{id.})(y)$. Then we have

$$\varphi(x) = \varphi(g(x) - x) = g \cdot \varphi(x) - \varphi(x) = 0,$$

because the action of g on $\text{rad } I$ is trivial. Then $(g - \text{id.})(F) \subset p(V)$.

By $(g - \text{id.})(\tilde{F}) = (g - \text{id.})(F)$ and $p(V) \subset V$, we have (c).

(c) \implies (a). Since $g - \text{id.} : \tilde{F} \rightarrow \tilde{F}$ is semi-simple, a vector space V which satisfy

$$(g - \text{id.})(F) \subset V \subset \tilde{F}$$

is g -stable.

(iii) is a direct consequence of (ii). \square

For the space and a natural morphism:

$$\mathbb{E} := \{x \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(F, \mathbb{C}) \mid \langle a, x \rangle = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}, \text{Re}\langle \delta, x \rangle > 0\}, \quad (6.21)$$

$$\pi' : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow H, \quad (6.22)$$

we have a similar construction as in §2.6, i.e. we define a mapping:

$$f_2 : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow X \quad (6.23)$$

by $f_2(x) = \ker x$ where we see $x \in \mathbb{E}$ as a morphism $x : F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. We see that f_2 is $O(F, \text{rad } I)$ -equivariant. We have a mapping:

$$(\pi', f_2) : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow H \times X \quad (6.24)$$

which is an isomorphism as a real manifold.

The following proposition which is obtained by Theorem 6.1 (ii) Lemma B is due to Saito [11]

Proposition 6.10. ([11, p.44 (7.2) Lemma]) *For a Coxeter transformation in Theorem 6.1(i), we put*

$$\mathbb{E}^c := \{x \in \mathbb{E} \mid c \cdot x = x\}, \quad (6.25)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau := (\pi')^{-1}(\tau) \quad (6.26)$$

for the space \mathbb{E} defined in (6.21), $\pi' : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow H$ defined in (6.22) and $\tau \in H$. Then we have

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau \cap \mathbb{E}^c \not\subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} \overline{H}_\alpha \quad (6.27)$$

for

$$\overline{H}_\alpha := \{x \in \mathbb{E} \mid \langle \alpha, x \rangle = 0\} \quad (\alpha \in R). \quad (6.28)$$

Proposition 6.11. *We have*

$$X^{\tilde{c}ss} \cap X^{reg} \neq \emptyset. \quad (6.29)$$

Proof. For $\tau \in H$, the isomorphism (6.24) induces an isomorphism:

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau \simeq X. \quad (6.30)$$

We could easily check that this isomorphism induces the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau \cap \mathbb{E}^c \simeq X^{\tilde{c}ss}, \quad (6.31)$$

$$\mathbb{E}_\tau \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} \overline{H}_\alpha \simeq X^{reg} \quad (6.32)$$

by Proposition 6.2(i). Then by Proposition 6.1(iii) and Proposition 6.10, we have (6.29). \square

Proof of Proposition 6.4. By Proposition 6.11, we have $X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap X^{reg} \neq \emptyset$. Since $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is surjective, we have $p^{-1}(X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap X^{reg}) \neq \emptyset$. By Proposition 6.7, we see that

$$\tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg} = p^{-1}(X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}}) \cap p^{-1}(X^{reg}) = p^{-1}(X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap X^{reg}) \neq \emptyset.$$

\square

Remark 6.12. The subspace L which we construct in Proposition 6.5 satisfies $(c-id.)(F) \subset L$ by Lemma 6.9(i), (ii). Then the assertion $R \cap L = \emptyset$ is an enhancement of Theorem 6.1 (ii) Lemma B.

7. AMBIGUITY OF THE CHOICE OF A SPLITTING SUBSPACE L

In this section, we show that the \mathbb{C} -span of good basic invariants do not depend on the choice of a splitting subspace $L \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$ of an admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, L)$ of “zero type” which we define in Definition 7.1 if the codimension of an elliptic root system is 1.

For an elliptic root system, its codimension is defined in [11, p23] as a cardinality of $\{i | d_i = d_n\}$.

If the codimension of an elliptic root system is 1, then we have a uniqueness assertion (Proposition 7.3) that the \mathbb{C} -span of good basic invariants for the admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, L)$ does not depend on the choice of $L \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$ under the assumption that L is of “zero type” which we define in Definition 7.1.

If the codimension of an elliptic root system is greater than 1, then we have no uniqueness theorem. In Appendix A, we give an example of $L_1, L_2 \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$ which are of zero type and give different \mathbb{C} -spans of good basic invariants for the case of $A_1^{(1,1)}$ type.

7.1. Signature of $V \in \tilde{X}$. For $V \in \tilde{X}$, \tilde{I} on $V \cap F$ is positive definite. Then a signature of \tilde{I} on V may be $(n-1, 1, 0)$ or $(n, 0, 0)$ or $(n-1, 0, 1)$, where we denote by (l_+, l_0, l_-) the numbers of positive, zero and negative eigenvalues of the Gram matrix of $\tilde{I}|_V$.

Definition 7.1. An element $V \in \tilde{X}$ is called of “zero type” if a signature of \tilde{I} on V is $(n-1, 1, 0)$,

We give an explicit description of a splitting subspace of zero type. $V \in \tilde{X}$. We remind that $a, \delta \in \text{rad } I$ is a basis of $\text{rad } I$. For $U \in X$, an \mathbb{R} -vector space

$$\{x \in \tilde{F} \mid \tilde{I}(x, y) = 0 \ \forall y \in U\}$$

has an \mathbb{R} -basis a, δ, λ such that

$$\tilde{I}(\delta, \lambda) = 1, \quad \tilde{I}(\lambda, \lambda) = 0.$$

For $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, put

$$V_{c_1, c_2} := U \oplus \mathbb{R}(\lambda + c_1\delta + c_2a). \quad (7.1)$$

For $p : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$, we have

$$p^{-1}(U) = \{V_{c_1, c_2} \mid c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then we see that V_{c_1, c_2} is of zero type if and only if $c_1 = 0$ because \tilde{I} on U is positive definite.

7.2. Uniqueness of the good basic invariants.

Proposition 7.2. *Let x^1, \dots, x^n be a set of good basic invariants for the admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, V_{0,0})$, where $V_{0,0}$ is constructed in (7.1) for $U \in X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap X^{reg}$. Then for $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\tilde{x}^1 = (e^{c_1\delta + c_2a})^{d_1} x^1, \dots, \tilde{x}^n = (e^{c_1\delta + c_2a})^{d_n} x^n$$

are a set of good basic invariants for the admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, V_{c_1, c_2})$.

Proof. Let $z^0 = \frac{\delta}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}}$ defined in (3.7). We take a g -homogeneous basis $z^1, \dots, z^{n-1} \in U \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. We take $z^n \in V_{0,0}$ such that z^1, \dots, z^n is a g -homogeneous basis of $V \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $Ez^n = 1$, where E is a Euler field defined in (2.6). Put

$$\tilde{z}^0 := z^0, \quad \tilde{z}^1 := z^1, \dots, \tilde{z}^{n-1} := z^{n-1}, \quad \tilde{z}^n := z^n + c_1\delta + c_2a.$$

Then a set of $\tilde{z}^1, \dots, \tilde{z}^n$ is a g -homogeneous basis of $V_{c_1, c_2} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.

If $f \in F(Y)$ satisfies $Ef = mf$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, then f has a decomposition:

$$f = \exp(mz^n) \bar{f}(z^0, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1})$$

for some function $\bar{f}(z^0, z^1, \dots, z^{n-1})$. Then

$$f = \exp(m(\tilde{z}^n - c_1\delta - c_2a)) \bar{f}(\tilde{z}^0, \tilde{z}^1, \dots, \tilde{z}^{n-1}).$$

Thus

$$f|_{V_{0,0}^\perp} = \bar{f}(z^0, 0, \dots, 0)$$

and

$$f|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = \exp(m(-c_1\delta - c_2a)) \bar{f}(\tilde{z}^0, 0, \dots, 0).$$

Then we have

$$f|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = \exp(m(-c_1\delta - c_2a)) f|_{V_{0,0}^\perp}, \quad (7.2)$$

where we compare these functions under the identification $V_{0,0}^\perp \simeq H \simeq V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp$.

We have

$$\left. \frac{\partial^a \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^a} \right|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = (e^{c_1 \delta + c_2 a})^{d_\alpha} \left. \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^a} \right|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = (e^{c_1 \delta + c_2 a})^{d_\alpha} \left. \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = \left. \frac{\partial^a x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right|_{V_{0,0}^\perp} = 0,$$

where we used

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tilde{z}^i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial z^i}$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$, (7.2) and Proposition 5.2(iv). By the same argument, we have

$$\left. \frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^\beta} \right|_{V_{c_1, c_2}^\perp} = \left. \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right|_{V_{0,0}^\perp}$$

and they are constants. Then by Proposition 5.2(iv), we have the result. \square

Proposition 7.3. *If the codimension of an elliptic root system is 1, then the \mathbb{C} -span of good basic invariants for the admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, \zeta, L)$ does not depend on the choice of $L \in \tilde{X}^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap \tilde{X}^{reg}$ under the assumption that L is of zero type.*

Proof. If the codimension of an elliptic root system is 1, $X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}}$ is one point by the results of [11, p44] and (6.31). Then $X^{\tilde{c}^{ss}} \cap X^{reg}$ is also one point by Proposition 6.29. Thus we have the result by Proposition 7.2. \square

8. GOOD BASIC INVARIANTS FOR CODIMENSION 1 CASES

In this section, we consider the cases of the elliptic root systems of codimension 1 (i.e. $d_{n-1} < d_n$). We fix an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) with L of zero type.

8.1. Admissible triplet for codimension 1 cases. We put

$$d_0 = 0. \tag{8.1}$$

By the codimension 1 assumption that $d_{n-1} < d_n$, we have a duality:

$$d_\alpha + d_{n-\alpha} = d_n \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq n). \tag{8.2}$$

For the admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) with L of zero type, we have

$$F = \text{rad } I \oplus (L \cap F). \tag{8.3}$$

By (8.3), we see that \tilde{I} on $L \cap F$ is nondegenerate. Then the orthogonal complement

$$(L \cap F)^\perp := \{x \in L \mid \tilde{I}(x, y) = 0 \ \forall y \in L \cap F\} \tag{8.4}$$

of $L \cap F$ gives a direct decomposition of L :

$$L = (L \cap F) \oplus (L \cap F)^\perp. \tag{8.5}$$

We have the following proposition.

Proposition 8.1. *By the decomposition*

$$\tilde{F} = \text{rad } I \oplus (L \cap F) \oplus (L \cap F)^\perp$$

obtained by (3.3) and (8.5), we take a basis z^0, z^1, \dots, z^n of $(L \oplus \mathbb{R}\delta) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ such that $z^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$, $z^n \in (L \cap F)^\perp$, $z^1, \dots, z^{n-1} \in L \cap F$ with

$$\tilde{I}(z^\alpha, z^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, n} \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n), \quad (8.6)$$

$$g \cdot z^\alpha = \zeta^{d_\alpha} z^\alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq n). \quad (8.7)$$

Proof. Since $g \in O(\tilde{F}, F, \text{rad } I)$ and g acts on L , g acts on $L \cap F$ and on its orthogonal complement $(L \cap F)^\perp$ defined in (8.4). We study the eigenvalues of g on these spaces.

First we show that the eigenvalue of g on the 1-dimensional space $(L \cap F)^\perp$ is $\zeta^{d_n} = 1$. Since $\dim(L \cap F)^\perp = 1$, we take $0 \neq \xi \in (L \cap F)^\perp$. Then $g\xi = c_0\xi$ for some $c_0 \in \mathbb{C}$. Since $\xi \in \tilde{F} \setminus F$, $\tilde{I}(\delta, \xi) \neq 0$. By $\tilde{I}(g \cdot \delta, g \cdot \xi) = \tilde{I}(\delta, \xi)$, we have $c_0 = 1 = \zeta^{d_n}$.

Then by the condition of admissibility (Definition 3.1(iii)), the eigenvalues of g on $L \cap F$ are $\zeta^{d_1}, \dots, \zeta^{d_{n-1}}$.

By the duality (8.2), we could take $z^1, \dots, z^{n-1} \in L \cap F$ such that $g \cdot z^\alpha = \zeta^{d_\alpha} z^\alpha$ and $\tilde{I}(z^\alpha, z^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta, n}$ for $1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n-1$.

Take $z^0 := \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$. Take $z^n \in (L \cap F)^\perp$ such that $\tilde{I}(z^0, z^n) = 1$. Since the signature of L is $(n-1, 1, 0)$, we have $\tilde{I}(z^n, z^n) = 0$.

Then we see that z^0, \dots, z^n satisfy the conditions (8.6) and (8.7). \square

8.2. Bilinear form and Euler field. Let z^0, \dots, z^n be the same as in Proposition 8.1. Then the set z^0, \dots, z^n forms a coordinate system of Y . Then the symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form \tilde{I} on \tilde{F} defines a \mathbb{C} -bilinear form on $\tilde{F} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and this gives

$$\tilde{I}: \Omega(Y) \otimes_{F(Y)} \Omega(Y) \rightarrow F(Y) \quad (8.8)$$

by $\tilde{I}(dz^\alpha, dz^\beta) = \tilde{I}(z^\alpha, z^\beta)$.

We have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} = \tilde{I}(dz^0), \quad (8.9)$$

where $\tilde{I}: \Omega(Y) \rightarrow \text{Der}(Y)$ is an isomorphism induced by (8.8) and $\text{Der}(Y)$ is the module of derivations of $F(Y)$.

The Euler field E defined in (2.6) is interpreted as

$$E = \frac{(I_R : I)d_n}{m_{\max}} \tilde{I}(d\delta), \quad (8.10)$$

where we see δ as a function on Y . Then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z^n} = \tilde{I}(dz_0) = \frac{1}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{m_{\max}}{(I_R : I)d_n} E \quad (8.11)$$

by $z_0 = \frac{\delta}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}}$.

8.3. Bilinear form and Euler field on S^W . Let x^1, \dots, x^n be a set of basic invariants with degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_{n-1} \leq d_n$. We put

$$x^0 := \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1}). \quad (8.12)$$

The Euler field E satisfies

$$Ex^\alpha = d_\alpha x^\alpha \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq n),$$

where $d_0 = 0$ (see (8.1)). Then the Euler field E descends to

$$E = \sum_{\alpha=0}^n d_\alpha x^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W, \quad (8.13)$$

where Ω_{S^W} is the module of Kähler differentials of S^W over \mathbb{C} . We define the normalized Euler field E_{norm} by

$$E_{\text{norm}} := \frac{1}{d_n} E : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W. \quad (8.14)$$

Let z^0, \dots, z^n be the same as in Proposition 8.1, which form a coordinate system of Y .

By the W -invariance of \tilde{I} , we have the S^W -bilinear form

$$\tilde{I}_W : \Omega_{S^W} \otimes_{S^W} \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W \quad (8.15)$$

defined by

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2=0}^n \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{\gamma_1}} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{\gamma_2}} \tilde{I}(z^{\gamma_1}, z^{\gamma_2}) \in S^W \quad (8.16)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$.

By (8.11) and $x^0 = z^0$, $\tilde{I}_W(dx^0) : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W, \omega \mapsto \tilde{I}_W(dx^0, \omega)$ gives

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^0) = \frac{1}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{m_{\max}}{(I_R : I)d_n} E. \quad (8.17)$$

8.4. Property of a set of basic invariants for codimension 1.

Proposition 8.2. *For a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n ,*

$$x^1|_{L^\perp} = \dots = x^{n-1}|_{L^\perp} = 0, \quad (8.18)$$

$$x^n(q) \neq 0 \quad (\forall q \in L^\perp). \quad (8.19)$$

Proof. As for (8.18), it is shown by (3.15). We show (8.19). Let $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12). For any α, β ($0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$), we put

$$a^{\alpha, \beta} := \tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta).$$

Then by $\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2=0}^n \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{\gamma_1}} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{\gamma_2}} \tilde{I}(z^{\gamma_1}, z^{\gamma_2})$, we have

$$\det(a^{\alpha, \beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = \det\left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{\gamma_1}}\right)_{0 \leq \alpha, \gamma_1 \leq n} \det\left(\frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{\gamma_2}}\right)_{0 \leq \beta, \gamma_2 \leq n} \det(\tilde{I}(z^{\gamma_1}, z^{\gamma_2}))_{0 \leq \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \leq n}.$$

By Proposition 3.4(ii), $\det(a^{\alpha, \beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}$ is not 0 for any $q \in L^\perp$.

On the other hand, $\det(a^{\alpha, \beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} \in S_{d_n(n+1)}^W$. Thus we could expand

$$\det(a^{\alpha, \beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = \sum_{j=0}^{n+1} A_j(x^n)^j \quad (8.20)$$

for $A_j \in F(H)[x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}] \cap S_{d_n(n+1-j)}^W$. Evaluating the RHS of (8.20) at $q \in L^\perp$, we have

$$A_{n+1}(q)(x^n(q))^{n+1}$$

which is not zero. Then we have $x^n(q) \neq 0$. \square

The following proposition is a key observation in the study of good basic invariants.

Proposition 8.3. *For $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12) and a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (i) $\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^n}|_{L^\perp}$ is nonzero constant.
- (ii) $x^n|_{L^\perp}$ is nonzero constant.
- (iii) $\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n)|_{L^\perp} = 0$.
- (iv) $(\partial/\partial x^n)^2 \tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) = 0$.

Proof. By the equation (8.11), we have

$$\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^n} = \frac{1}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{m_{max}}{(I_R : I)d_n} E x^n = \frac{1}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{m_{max}}{(I_R : I)} x^n. \quad (8.21)$$

Then (i) is equivalent to (ii).

By $\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) = \sum_{\gamma_1, \gamma_2=0}^n \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^{\gamma_1}} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^{\gamma_2}} \tilde{I}(z^{\gamma_1}, z^{\gamma_2})$, $\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^{\gamma_1}}|_{L^\perp} = 0$ if $\gamma_1 \neq 0, n$ and $\tilde{I}(z^{\gamma_1}, z^{\gamma_2}) = \delta_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2, n}$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n)|_{L^\perp} = 2 \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^0} \Big|_{L^\perp} \frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^n} \Big|_{L^\perp}.$$

By (8.21) and $\frac{\partial x^n}{\partial z^0} \Big|_{L^\perp} = \frac{\partial(x^n|_{L^\perp})}{\partial z^0}$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n)|_{L^\perp} = 2 \frac{\partial(x^n|_{L^\perp})}{\partial z^0} \left(\frac{1}{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}} \frac{m_{max}}{(I_R : I)} x^n \Big|_{L^\perp} \right).$$

By (8.19), the condition (ii) is equivalent to the condition (iii).

Since $\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) \in S_{2d_n}^W$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) = A(x^n)^2 + B(x^n) + C$$

with $A \in S_0^W = F(H)$, $B \in F(H)[x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}] \cap S_{d_n}^W$, $C \in F(H)[x^1, \dots, x^{n-1}] \cap S_{2d_n}^W$. By $x^\alpha|_{L^\perp} = 0$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n-1$, we have

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n)|_{L^\perp} = A(x^n|_{L^\perp})^2.$$

By (8.19), (iii) is equivalent to $A = 0$ and it is equivalent to (iv). \square

8.5. Good basic invariants and the bilinear form.

Theorem 8.4. *For an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) with L of zero type for the elliptic root system of codimension 1, let z^0, \dots, z^n be a basis of $(L \oplus \mathbb{R}\delta) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ defined in Proposition 8.1. Put $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12). Let x^1, \dots, x^n be a set of good basic invariants compatible with a g -homogeneous basis z^1, \dots, z^n of $L \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$.*

(i)

$$x^n|_{L^\perp} = \frac{(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})(I_R : I)}{m_{\max}}. \quad (8.22)$$

(ii) Any $\tilde{I}_W(dx^0, dx^\beta)$ ($\beta = 0, \dots, n$) is written as follows:

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^0, dx^\beta) = \frac{m_\beta}{(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})(I_R : I)} x^\beta. \quad (8.23)$$

(iii) Any $\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta)$ ($\alpha, \beta = 1, \dots, n$) is written by Taylor coefficients

$$\left. \frac{\partial^\alpha x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right|_{L^\perp}, \quad \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^0} \frac{\partial^\alpha x^\alpha}{\partial z^a} \right) \Big|_{L^\perp} \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n, a \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, d \cdot a = d_\alpha + d_n) \quad (8.24)$$

as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) \\ &= \delta_{\alpha+\beta, n} \left(\frac{1}{x^n|_{L^\perp}} \right) x^n + \sum_{\substack{b=(b_1, \dots, b_n), \\ b_n=0, \\ d \cdot b = d_\alpha + d_\beta}} \frac{1}{b!} \left[\frac{\partial^b}{\partial z^b} \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{\beta*}} + \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{\alpha*}} \right) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp} x^b, \end{aligned} \quad (8.25)$$

where $\alpha* = n - \alpha$ ($0 \leq \alpha \leq n$).

Proof. (i) By the restriction of (8.21) to L^\perp , we have (8.22) by $\partial x^n / \partial z^n|_{L^\perp} = 1$.

(ii) As for (8.23), it is obtained by (8.17) and (2.5).

(iii) We prove (8.25). By (i) and Proposition 8.3, $(\partial/\partial x^n)^2 \tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) = 0$. Then for any α, β ($1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$), $\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) \in S_{d_\alpha + d_\beta}^W$ is represented as

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = \sum_{\substack{a=(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \\ a_n=0, \\ d \cdot a = d_\alpha + d_\beta - d_n}} A_a^{\alpha, \beta} x^a x^n + \sum_{\substack{b=(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n, \\ b_n=0, \\ d \cdot b = d_\alpha + d_\beta}} B_b^{\alpha, \beta} x^b \quad (8.26)$$

for $A_a^{\alpha, \beta}, B_b^{\alpha, \beta} \in F(H)$.

By taking higher order derivatives of the both sides of (8.26) with respect to z^1, \dots, z^n and evaluating them at L^\perp , we determine $A_a^{\alpha,\beta}, B_b^{\alpha,\beta}$ in the following lemmas. Proofs of these lemmas are almost the same as Lemma 6.10–Lemma 6.16 in [14]. Thus we omit them.

Lemma 8.5. *For the cases $d_\alpha + d_\beta \leq d_n$,*

$$A_a^{\alpha,\beta} = 0 \text{ if } d_\alpha + d_\beta < d_n \text{ or } a \neq 0. \quad (8.27)$$

Lemma 8.6. *We have*

$$(\text{RHS of (8.26)})|_{L^\perp} = \begin{cases} A_0^{\alpha,\beta} x^n|_{L^\perp} & \text{if } d_\alpha + d_\beta = d_n, \\ 0 & \text{if } d_\alpha + d_\beta \neq d_n. \end{cases} \quad (8.28)$$

Lemma 8.7. *We have*

$$(\text{LHS of (8.26)})|_{L^\perp} = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,n}. \quad (8.29)$$

Lemma 8.8. *For the cases $d_\alpha + d_\beta > d_n$, take any multi-index $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $c_n = 0$, $d \cdot c = d_\alpha + d_\beta - d_n$, we have*

$$\left[\frac{1}{c!} \frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} (\text{RHS of (8.26)}) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp} = A_c^{\alpha,\beta} x^n|_{L^\perp}. \quad (8.30)$$

Lemma 8.9. *For the cases $d_\alpha + d_\beta > d_n$, take any multi-index $c = (c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ such that $c_n = 0$, $d \cdot c = d_\alpha + d_\beta - d_n$, we have*

$$\left[\frac{1}{c!} \frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} (\text{LHS of (8.26)}) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp} = 0. \quad (8.31)$$

By these lemmas, for any α, β, c ($1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$, $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$), we obtain

$$A_c^{\alpha,\beta} = \begin{cases} \delta_{\alpha+\beta,n} \left(\frac{1}{x^n|_{L^\perp}} \right) & \text{if } d_\alpha + d_\beta = d_n, c = 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (8.32)$$

Lemma 8.10. *For any multi-index $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $c_n = 0$, $d \cdot c = d_\alpha + d_\beta$, we have*

$$\left[\frac{1}{c!} \frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} (\text{RHS of (8.26)}) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp} = B_c^{\alpha,\beta}. \quad (8.33)$$

Lemma 8.11. *For any multi-index $c \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ with $c_n = 0$, $d \cdot c = d_\alpha + d_\beta$, we have*

$$\left[\frac{1}{c!} \frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} (\text{LHS of (8.26)}) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp} = \frac{1}{c!} \left[\left(\frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} \frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{n-\beta}} \right) \Big|_{L^\perp} + \left(\frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{n-\alpha}} \right) \Big|_{L^\perp} \right]. \quad (8.34)$$

By (8.33) and (8.34), we have

$$B_c^{\alpha,\beta} = \frac{1}{c!} \left[\frac{\partial^c}{\partial z^c} \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^{n-\beta}} + \frac{\partial x^\beta}{\partial z^{n-\alpha}} \right) \right] \Big|_{L^\perp}. \quad (8.35)$$

□

Remark 8.12. We could easily check that (8.25) is correct for $\alpha = 0$ or $\beta = 0$ cases and they coincide with (8.23).

9. FROBENIUS MANIFOLD

In this section, we discuss the relation between the Frobenius structure and the good basic invariants for the elliptic root systems of codimension 1.

9.1. Frobenius structure. We assume that the codimension (see Section 7) of an elliptic root system is 1. This means that the degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_n$ of a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n satisfy

$$d_{n-1} < d_n. \quad (9.1)$$

For the module of \mathbb{C} -derivations $Der(S^W)$ of S^W , the grading

$$Der(S^W) = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} Der(S^W)_m, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha} \in Der(S^W)_{-d_\alpha} \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq n) \quad (9.2)$$

is induced by the grading of $S^W = \bigoplus_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} S_m^W$ and we see that the lowest degree part is an $F(H)$ -free module of rank 1, i.e. we have

$$Der(S^W)_{-d_n} = F(H) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n}. \quad (9.3)$$

Under the condition (9.1), the Frobenius structure on S^W is constructed by Saito [11] and Satake [12] (see also [5]).

Theorem 9.1. (Saito [11], Satake [12]) *We assume the condition (9.1).*

- (i) *There exist an S^W -nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form (called the metric) $J : Der(S^W) \otimes_{S^W} Der(S^W) \rightarrow S^W$, an S^W -symmetric bilinear form (called the multiplication) $\circ : Der(S^W) \otimes_{S^W} Der(S^W) \rightarrow Der(S^W)$ on $Der(S^W)$ and a field $e : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W$, satisfying the following conditions:*
 - (a) *the metric is invariant under the multiplication, i.e. $J(X \circ Y, Z) = J(X, Y \circ Z)$ for any vector fields $X, Y, Z : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W$,*
 - (b) *(potentiality) the $(3, 1)$ -tensor $\nabla \circ$ is symmetric (where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of the metric), i.e. $\nabla_X(Y \circ Z) - Y \circ \nabla_X(Z) - \nabla_Y(X \circ Z) + X \circ \nabla_Y(Z) - [X, Y] \circ Z = 0$, for any vector fields $X, Y, Z : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow S^W$,*
 - (c) *the metric J is flat,*
 - (d) *e is a unit field for \circ and it is flat, i.e. $\nabla e = 0$,*
 - (e) *the Euler field E_{norm} satisfies $Lie_{E_{\text{norm}}}(\circ) = 1 \cdot \circ$, and $Lie_{E_{\text{norm}}}(J) = 1 \cdot J$,*
 - (f) *the intersection form coincides with the bilinear form $\tilde{I}_W : J(E_{\text{norm}}, J^*(\omega) \circ J^*(\omega')) = \tilde{I}_W(\omega, \omega')$ for 1-forms $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega_{S^W}$, where $J^* : \Omega_{S^W} \rightarrow Der(S^W)$ is the isomorphism induced by the dual metric J^* of J .*

(ii) Put

$$V := \{\delta \in \text{Der}(S^W)_{-d_n} \mid \text{Lie}_e(\text{Lie}_e(\tilde{I}_W)) = 0\}. \quad (9.4)$$

Then V is 1-dimensional vector space over \mathbb{C} .

(iii) Let (J, \circ, e) be a Frobenius structure satisfying the conditions in (i). Then $e \in V \setminus \{0\}$. Conversely for any element $\tilde{e} \in V \setminus \{0\}$, there exists uniquely a Frobenius structure $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\circ}, \tilde{e})$ satisfying the conditions in (i). The Frobenius structure $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\circ}, \tilde{e})$ is written as $(\tilde{J}, \tilde{\circ}, \tilde{e}) = (c^{-1}J, c^{-1}\circ, ce)$ for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Proof. As for (ii), see Saito [11] and Satake [12, Proposition 4.2].

We show (iii). For the dual metric J^* , we have $\text{Lie}_e(\tilde{I}_W) = J^*$ (see [14, Proposition 7.2]) and $\text{Lie}_e(J^*) = 0$ by $\text{Lie}_e(J) = 0$ (see [5, p146]). Then we have $e \in V$. The remaining parts are shown in [12, Proposition 5.2]. \square

The metric J could be constructed from $c\tilde{I}_W$ and e as follows.

Proposition 9.2. For 1-forms $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega_{SW}$, we have

$$J^*(\omega, \omega') = (\text{Lie}_e(\tilde{I}_W))(\omega, \omega'). \quad (9.5)$$

A proof of this proposition is the same as [14, Proposition 7.2], so we omit it.

9.2. Frobenius structure via flat basic invariants. We shall interpret the Frobenius structure by a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n .

Proposition 9.3. For $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12) and a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n , the following conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $\partial/\partial x^n \in V$.
- (ii) $(\partial/\partial x^n)^2 \tilde{I}_W(dx^n, dx^n) = 0$.

Proof. We first remark that if α or β is not n , then

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) \in \bigoplus_{m=0}^{2d_n-1} S_m^W.$$

Thus $(\partial/\partial x^n)^2 \tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = 0$. Then (i) is equivalent to $(\partial/\partial x^n)^2 \tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = 0$ for all $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$ and they are equivalent to (ii). \square

Let ∇ be a connection introduced in Theorem 9.1. By Theorem 9.1(iii), the metric J of the Frobenius structure satisfying conditions in Theorem 9.1(i) is unique up to a constant factor. Then ∇ and the notion of *flatness* do not depend on the choice of the Frobenius structures in Theorem 9.1.

Definition 9.4. A set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is called flat w.r.t. the Frobenius structure if

$$\nabla dx^\alpha = 0 \quad (1 \leq \alpha \leq n). \quad (9.6)$$

Then x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n with $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12) form a flat coordinate system for the Frobenius structure (Saito [11], see also Satake [12]).

We give a description of the multiplication and the metric w.r.t. the set of flat basic invariants.

Proposition 9.5. *We assume that a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n with degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_{n-1} < d_n$ satisfies the conditions of Proposition 9.3. Put $x^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$ defined in (8.12). Then a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is flat with respect to the Frobenius structure in Theorem 9.1 if and only if*

$$\eta^{\alpha,\beta} := e\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n) \quad (9.7)$$

are all elements of \mathbb{C} . If a set of basic invariants x^1, \dots, x^n is flat, then the metric J is described by

$$(\eta_{\alpha,\beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} := (J(\partial_\alpha, \partial_\beta))_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = (\eta^{\alpha,\beta})_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}^{-1} \quad (9.8)$$

and the structure constants $C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ of the multiplication defined by

$$\partial_\alpha \circ \partial_\beta = \sum_{\gamma=0}^n C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma \partial_\gamma \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n) \quad (9.9)$$

are described by

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = \sum_{\alpha', \beta', \gamma'=0}^n \eta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \eta_{\beta,\beta'} \partial^{\gamma'} \left(\frac{d_n}{d_{\alpha'} + d_{\beta'}} \tilde{I}_W(dx^{\alpha'}, dx^{\beta'}) \right) \quad (9.10)$$

for $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n$, $\alpha \neq n$, where we denote

$$\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}, \quad \partial^\alpha = \sum_{\alpha'=0}^n \eta^{\alpha,\alpha'} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\alpha'}} \quad (0 \leq \alpha \leq n). \quad (9.11)$$

Proof. By Proposition 9.2, the dual metric of the metric of the Frobenius structure is constructed from the unit e and \tilde{I}_W by (9.7).

For the construction of the multiplication from \tilde{I}_W , we remind the notion of the Frobenius potential (see Satake [13]). The Frobenius potential F is defined by the relation

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial^\gamma F \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n) \quad (9.12)$$

with the structure constants $C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ of the product and it is related with \tilde{I}_W as

$$\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = \frac{d_\alpha + d_\beta}{d_n} \partial^\alpha \partial^\beta F \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n). \quad (9.13)$$

We remark that if $\alpha \neq n$ and $\eta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \neq 0$, then $\alpha' \neq 0$ and $d_{\alpha'} \neq 0$. Thus for any α, β, γ ($\alpha \neq n$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma &= \partial_\alpha \partial_\beta \partial^\gamma F \\ &= \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=0}^n \eta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \eta_{\beta,\beta'} \partial^\gamma \partial^{\alpha'} \partial^{\beta'} F \\ &= \sum_{\alpha',\beta'=0}^n \eta_{\alpha,\alpha'} \eta_{\beta,\beta'} \partial^\gamma \left(\frac{d_n}{d_{\alpha'} + d_{\beta'}} \tilde{I}_W(dx^{\alpha'}, dx^{\beta'}) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (9.14)$$

Then we have the results. \square

9.3. Good basic invariants and Frobenius structure.

Corollary 9.6. *For an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) with L of zero type for the elliptic root system of codimension 1, we have the following results.*

(i) *Let x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n be the same as in Theorem 8.4. Then*

$$e = (x^n|_{L^\perp}) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} = \frac{(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})(I_R : I)}{m_{\max}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^n} \quad (9.15)$$

is an element of V . Let J be a metric and \circ be a multiplication of a unique Frobenius structure with the unit e (9.15) in Theorem 9.1(iii). Then the metric J and the structure constants of the multiplication $C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ ($0 \leq \alpha, \beta, \gamma \leq n$) are

$$J\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\alpha}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\beta}\right) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,n} \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n), \quad (9.16)$$

$$C_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\gamma*}} \left(\frac{d_n}{d_{\alpha*} + d_{\beta*}} \tilde{I}_W(dx^{\alpha*}, dx^{\beta*}) \right) & (\alpha \neq n) \\ \delta_{\beta,\gamma} & (\alpha = n) \end{cases}, \quad (9.17)$$

which are all written by Taylor coefficients (8.24) by (8.25).

- (ii) *If a set of basic invariants is good w.r.t. an admissible triplet (g, ζ, L) with L of zero type, then it is flat w.r.t. the Frobenius structure of Theorem 9.1.*
- (iii) *The space $\text{Specan}(F(H)[L]) = \text{Specan}F(H)[z^0, \dots, z^n]$ has a metric induced by the dual metric \tilde{I} (8.8). The space $\text{Specan}S^W = \text{Specan}F(H)[x^1, \dots, x^n]$ has a metric J . Then $\psi[g, \zeta, L] : S^W \simeq F(H)[L]$ gives the isometry w.r.t. these metric structures.*

Proof. We prove (i). For x^0, x^1, \dots, x^n in (i), they satisfy the conditions in Proposition 9.3 by Proposition 8.3 and Theorem 8.4(i). By Theorem 9.1(iii), we have a unique Frobenius structure with the unit (9.15).

By Theorem 8.4, we have

$$e\tilde{I}_W(dx^\alpha, dx^\beta) = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,n} \quad (0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n). \quad (9.18)$$

By Proposition 9.5, a set of x^1, \dots, x^n is flat and we have (9.16) and (9.17). By (8.25) in Theorem 8.4, (9.17) are all written by Taylor coefficients (8.24). (ii) is a direct consequence of (i). (iii) is a direct consequence of (8.6), (9.16) and $\psi[g, \zeta, L](x^\alpha) = z^\alpha$ for $1 \leq \alpha \leq n$. \square

APPENDIX A. NON-UNIQUENESS OF GOOD BASIC INVARIANTS FOR THE CASE THAT CODIMENSION > 1

In this appendix, we show that the \mathbb{C} -span of the good basic invariants depends on the choice of admissible triplets of zero type for the case of an elliptic root system of type $A_1^{(1,1)}$.

Let F be an \mathbb{R} -vector space defined by $F := \mathbb{R}\alpha_1 \oplus \mathbb{R}\delta \oplus \mathbb{R}a$. Let $R := \{\pm\alpha_1 + m\delta + na \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $I : F \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a positive semi-definite symmetric bilinear form with $I(\alpha_1, \alpha_1) = 2$ and $\text{rad } I = \mathbb{R}\delta \oplus \mathbb{R}a$. Then R is an elliptic root system of type $A_1^{(1,1)}$ belonging to (F, I) . We put $\tilde{F} := F \oplus \mathbb{R}\Lambda_0$ and let $\tilde{I} : \tilde{F} \times \tilde{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a symmetric \mathbb{R} -bilinear form such that $\tilde{I}|_F = I$, $\tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, \alpha_1) = \tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, a) = \tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, \Lambda_0) = 0$ and $\tilde{I}(\Lambda_0, \delta) = 1$. (\tilde{F}, \tilde{I}) gives a hyperbolic extension of (F, I) .

Then an elliptic Weyl group W , a Coxeter transformation and the domains Y, H are defined. The semi-simple part of the Coxeter transformation \tilde{c}^{ss} is identity.

Put

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &:= \mathbb{R}(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}a) \oplus \mathbb{R}\Lambda_0, \\ L_2 &:= \mathbb{R}(\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta) \oplus \mathbb{R}(\Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{8}\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Then we could easily check that $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, 1, L_1)$ and $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, 1, L_2)$ are admissible triplets of zero type.

Proposition A.1. *Let x^1, x^2 (resp. \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2) be a set of good basic invariants for the admissible triplet $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, 1, L_1)$ (resp. $(\tilde{c}^{ss}, 1, L_2)$). Then the \mathbb{C} -span of x^1, x^2 and the \mathbb{C} -span of \tilde{x}_1, \tilde{x}_2 do not coincide.*

Proof. We put $x^0 = \tilde{x}^0 = z^0 = \tilde{z}^0 = \delta/(-2\pi\sqrt{-1})$. Let z^1, z^2 (resp. \tilde{z}^1, \tilde{z}^2) be a basis of L_1 (resp. L_2).

We assume that the \mathbb{C} -span of basic invariants x^1, x^2 and the \mathbb{C} -span of basic invariants \tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2 coincide. Then \mathbb{C} -span of basic invariants x^0, x^1, x^2 and the \mathbb{C} -span of basic invariants $\tilde{x}^0, \tilde{x}^1, \tilde{x}^2$ coincide. This implies

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right)_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2} = c \det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^\beta} \right)_{0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 2}$$

for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^\times$ because $\tilde{z}^0, \tilde{z}^1, \tilde{z}^2$ could be obtained by affine transformation of z^0, z^1, z^2 .

By the discussion in the proof of Proposition 3.4 (ii), we have

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = c \det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n}.$$

We prepare the Weyl denominator. We put $\Lambda_1 := \Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{2}\alpha_1$ and $\rho := \Lambda_0 + \Lambda_1$. We also put

$$\Delta^+ := \{\alpha_1 + n\delta \ (n \geq 0), \ k\delta, \ -\alpha_1 + k\delta \ (k \geq 1)\}.$$

Then the Weyl denominator of an affine Lie algebra of type $A_1^{(1)}$ is defined by

$$\Theta_A := e^\rho \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta^+} (1 - e^{-\alpha}). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

By [8, p245], the Jacobian determinant equals the Weyl denominator Θ_A up to a multiplication of the unit of $F(H)$. Then we have

$$\det \left(\frac{\partial x^\alpha}{\partial z^\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = f(\tau) \Theta_A, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

$$c \det \left(\frac{\partial \tilde{x}^\alpha}{\partial \tilde{z}^\beta} \right)_{1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n} = f(\tau) \Theta_A \quad (\text{A.3})$$

for some $f(\tau) \in F(H)^\times$.

Since the restriction of the LHS of (A.2) (resp. (A.3)) to L_1^\perp (resp. L_2^\perp) is a constant function, the \mathbb{C} -span of $\Theta_A|_{L_1^\perp}$ coincides with the one of $\Theta_A|_{L_2^\perp}$, i.e.

$$\mathbb{C}\Theta_A|_{L_1^\perp} = \mathbb{C}\Theta_A|_{L_2^\perp}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

On the other hand, we have an explicit description of $\Theta_A|_{L_1^\perp}$ (resp. $\Theta_A|_{L_2^\perp}$) by eliminating Λ_0, α_1, a from (A.1) by the relations $\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}a = \Lambda_0 = 0$ (resp. $\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{2}\delta = \Lambda_0 + \frac{1}{4}\alpha_1 - \frac{1}{8}\delta = 0$) and $a = -2\pi\sqrt{-1}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_A|_{L_1^\perp} &= \exp\left(\frac{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{4}\right) \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 + q^n) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^n) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + q^n), \\ \Theta_A|_{L_2^\perp} &= q^{-\frac{1}{4}} \prod_{n \geq 0} (1 - q^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 - q^n) \prod_{k \geq 1} (1 + q^{n-\frac{1}{2}}), \end{aligned}$$

with notation $q = e^{-\delta}$. These contradict to (A.4). Thus we have the result. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] I. N. Bernšteĭn, O. V. Švarcman: *Chevalley's theorem for complex crystallographic Coxeter groups*, Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **12** (1978) no. 4, 79–80.
- [2] I. N. Bernšteĭn, O. V. Švarcman: *Chevalley's theorem for complex crystallographic Coxeter groups and affine root systems*, Seminar on Supermanifolds 2, edited by Leites, 1986 No.22, Matem. Inst., Stockholoms Univ.

- [3] I. N. Bernšteĭn, O. V. Švarcman: *Complex cristallographic Coxeter groups and affine root system*, Jour. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **13** (2), (2006), 163–182.
- [4] I. N. Bernšteĭn, O. V. Švarcman: *Chevalley’s theorem for the complex cristallographic groups*, Jour. Nonlin. Math. Phys. **13** (3), (2006), 323–351.
- [5] C. Hertling: *Frobenius manifolds and moduli spaces for singularities*, Cambridge Tracts in Math. **151**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2002.
- [6] K. Iohara, Y. Saito: *Invariants of the Weyl group of type $A_{2l}^{(2)}$* , Pure Appl. Math. Q. **16** (2020), no.3, 337–369.
- [7] V. G. Kac: *Infinite dimensional Lie algebra*, Cambridge University Press, third edition, 1995.
- [8] V. G. Kac, D. H. Peterson: *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, theta functions and modular forms*, Adv. in Math. **53** (1984) no. 2, 125–264.
- [9] E. Looijenga: *Root systems and elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976/77) no.1, 17–32.
- [10] K. Saito: *Extended affine root system I*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **21** (1985) 75–179.
- [11] K. Saito: *Extended affine root system II*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ. **26** (1990) 15–78.
- [12] I. Satake: *Frobenius manifolds for elliptic root systems*, Osaka J. Math. **47** (2010) 301–330.
- [13] I. Satake: *Frobenius structures and characters of affine Lie algebras*, Osaka J. Math. **56** (2019) 183–212.
- [14] I. Satake: *Good basic invariants and Frobenius structure*, arXiv:2004.01871 [math.AG].
- [15] T. A. Springer: *Regular elements of finite reflection groups*, Inventiones math. **25** (1974) 159–198.
- [16] K. Wirthmuller: *Root systems and Jacobi forms*, Compositio Math. **82** (1992) no. 3, 293–354.

FACULTY OF EDUCATION, BUNKYO UNIVERSITY, 3337 MINAMIOGISHIMA KOSHIGAYA, SAITAMA,
343-8511, JAPAN

Email address: satakeikuo@gmail.com