Fortuitous partners of antiferromagnetic and Mott states in spin-orbit-coupled Sr_2IrO_4 : A study of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}M_xO_4$ (M=Fe or Co)

Bing Hu^{1,2}, Hengdi Zhao¹, Yu Zhang¹, Pedro Schlottmann³, Feng Ye⁴ and Gang Cao^{1*}

¹Department of Physics, University of Colorado at Boulder, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

²School of Mathematics and Physics, North China Electric Power University, Beijing 102206,

China

³Department of Physics, Florida State University, Tallahassee, Florida 32306, USA

⁴ Neutron Scattering Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN 37831, USA

Sr₂IrO₄ is an archetypal spin-orbit-coupled Mott insulator with an antiferromagnetic state below 240 K. Here we report results of our study on single crystals of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ (0<x<0.32) and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ (0<x<0.22). Fe doping retains the antiferromagnetic state but simultaneously precipitates an emergent metallic state whereas Co doping causes a rapid collapse of both the antiferromagnetic and Mott states, giving rise to a confined metallic state featuring a pronounced linearity of the basal-plane resistivity up to 700 K. The results indicate tetravalent $Fe^{4+}(3d^4)$ ions in the intermediate spin state with S=1 and $Co^{4+}(3d^5)$ ions in the high spin state with S=5/2 substituting for Ir⁴⁺(5d⁵) ions in Sr₂IrO₄, respectively. The effective magnetic moment closely tracks the Néel temperature as doping increases, suggesting that the spin state of the dopant predominately determines the magnetic properties in doped Sr₂IrO₄. Furthermore, all relevant properties including charge-carrier density (e.g., 10²⁸/m³), Sommerfeld coefficient (e.g., 19 mJ/mole K²) and Wilson ratio (e.g., 2.6), consistently demonstrates a metallic state that is both robust and highly correlated in the two systems, arising from the percolation of bound states and the weakening of structural distortions. This study strongly suggests that the antiferromagnetic and Mott states merely coexist in a fortuitous manner in Sr₂IrO₄.

^{*}gang.cao@colorado.edu

I. Introduction

The spin-orbit-coupled Mott state in Sr₂IrO₄ is an intriguing consequence of a delicate interplay of on-site Coulomb repulsion, U, strong spin-orbit interactions (SOI), and crystalline field effects [1-8]. The iridate is among the most extensively studied quantum materials in recent years in part because of its apparent structural and magnetic similarities to those of the cuprate La₂CuO₄ and the widely anticipated novel superconductivity in electron-doped Sr₂IrO₄ [9-14], which, however, has remained elusive thus far. This conspicuous absence of the anticipated superconductivity brings to light a novel and yet underappreciated nature of the spin-orbit-coupled Mott state, making Sr₂IrO₄ even more interesting and extraordinary for further investigations.

 Sr_2IrO_4 adopts a canted antiferromagnetic (AFM) state [15] with a Néel temperature T_N = 240 K [16-19] and an energy gap $\Delta \leq 0.62$ eV [20-22]. Empirical trends indicate that the iridate lacks a conventional correlation between the magnetic and insulating states, that is, the AFM order does not always track changes of the insulating state in Sr_2IrO_4 [5]. Recent studies show that the insulating state in Sr_2IrO_4 unusually persists at megabar pressures up to 185 GPa [23], and yet the AFM state already diminishes at 17 GPa [24], which inspires expectations of pressured-induced quantum paramagnetism [25] and an exotic insulating state [23]. In contrast, the ground state of the iridate is far more susceptible to impurity doping. Oftentimes, a slight substitution at either the Sr^{2+} site (e.g., La^{3+} , Ca^{2+} and Ba^{2+}) [26-28] or the Ir^{4+} site (e.g., Mn^{3+} , Ru^{4+} , Rh^{3+} and Tb^{4+}) [29-39] causes disproportionately large changes in either the insulating state or the magnetic state or both. However, each dopant mentioned above generates unique, quite often exotic behavior in its own right, implying a rich phase diagram of the spin-orbit-coupled Mott state that is yet to be fully explored and understood.

In this paper, we report results of our study on single crystals of Fe and Co doped Sr₂IrO₄ or $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ (0<x<0.32) and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ (0<x<0.22). The key observations are that Fe doping preserves the AFM state but simultaneously precipitates an emergent metallic state; in contrast, Co doping causes a rapid collapse of both the AFM and Mott states, giving rise to a highly anisotropic or confined metallic state that features a pronounced linearity of the basal-plane resistivity extending up to 700 K with no sign of saturation. The detailed experimental results including structural, transport, thermal and magnetic properties indicate that it is tetravalent $Fe^{4+}(3d^4)$ and $Co^{4+}(3d^5)$ ions that substitute tetravalent $Ir^{4+}(5d^5)$ ions in Sr_2IrO_4 , respectively. The crystalline field of the octahedra splits the 3d states into a t_{2g} ground-triplet and an excited e_g doublet. Hence, the crystalline field splitting quenches the angular momentum and competes with the Hund exchange that tends to maximize the spin in the 3d-ion. Three spin states are possible for both, Fe⁴⁺ and Co⁴⁺, namely a high-spin state (HS), an intermediate spin state (IS), and a lowspin state (LS). For Fe⁴⁺ these states have spin S=2, S=1 and S=0, respectively, while for Co⁴⁺ the spins are S=5/2, 3/2 and ½. Since Hund's rules are local interactions, the underlying SOI environment in the iridates plays only a secondary role for the impurities. The effective moment of the compounds grows with increasing doping, for both Fe⁴⁺ and Co⁴⁺. The Néel temperature in the Fe-compound is not affected up to x=0.2, but T_N drops rapidly with increasing Co substitution. All the results indicate that the spin state of the dopant predominately determines the magnetic properties in doped Sr₂IrO₄.

Furthermore, an array of significantly enhanced characteristic parameters, such as charge-carrier density (10^{27} , 10^{28} /m³), Sommerfeld coefficient (30, 19 mJ/mole K²) and Wilson ratio (2.7, 2.6), consistently demonstrates a metallic state that is both robust and highly correlated in Sr₂Ir_{1-x}**Fe**_xO₄ and Sr₂Ir_{1-x}**Co**_xO₄. In these materials, the Fe⁴⁺($3d^4$) ions offer additional holes leading to

hydrogen-like acceptor states of large Bohr radius, which rapidly percolate into an impurity band with increasing x. On the other hand, the neutral substitution of $Co^{4+}(3d^5)$ ions adds neither holes nor electrons but breaks the translational invariance of the lattice leading to a small bound-state of the size of a unit cell [40]. Both impurities locally reduce the SOI effect of the undoped iridate [1] and significantly relax the structural distortions inherent in Sr_2IrO_4 . This study underscores that the AFM and insulating states merely coexist in a fortuitous manner in Sr_2IrO_4 , which sharply contrasts situations in other correlated materials such as La_2CuO_4 , whose hallmark is a strong correlation between the AFM and Mott states. Note that synthesis and characterization of Fe and Co doped Sr_2IrO_4 in polycrystalline form were reported in Ref. [41]. However, our study has little overlap with Ref. [41] in terms of content and conclusion.

II. Experimental

Single crystals of Fe or Co doped Sr₂IrO₄ were grown using a flux method. The starting materials were SrCO₃, SrCl₂, IrO₂ and Fe₂O₃ or Co₃O₄. The mixtures were fired in Pt crucibles at 1440 C for 15 hours and then slowly cooled to room temperature at a rate of 3 C/hour. Measurements of crystal structures were performed using a Bruker Quest ECO single-crystal diffractometer equipped with a PHOTON 50 CMOS detector. It is also equipped with an Oxford Cryosystem that creates sample temperature environments ranging from 80 K to 400 K during x-ray diffraction measurements. Chemical analyses of the samples were performed using a combination of a Hitachi MT3030 Plus Scanning Electron Microscope and an Oxford Energy Dispersive X-Ray Spectroscopy (EDX). Magnetic properties were measured using a Quantum Design (QD) MPMS-7 SQUID Magnetometer. The measurements of the electrical resistivity, Hall effect were carried out using a QD Dynacool PPMS System equipped with a 14-Tesla magnet and

a dilution refrigerator. High temperature resistivity up to 700 K was measured using a home-made setup. The heat capacity was measured down to 0.05 K using a dilution refrigerator for the PPMS.

III. Results and Discussion

(a) Structural

The dopant Fe or Co substitutes Ir with a doping level up to 32% and 22%, respectively. The Fe or Co doping retains the native crystal structure but significantly alters the lattice parameters of Sr₂Ir_{1-x}M_xO₄ (M=Fe or Co), as shown in **Figs. 1**. A remarkable trend is that the unit cell volume V shrinks with increasing x; specifically, V is smaller by 0.46% and 0.48% at x=0.31 of Fe doping and x=0.17 of Co doping, respectively, compared to V for x=0 (Fig.1f). The reduction of V, along with a shortening of the basal-plane bond length Ir-O2 (Figs.1b and 1g), indicates that the ionic radius of the dopant, Fe or Co, must be smaller than that of the Ir^{4+} (5d⁵) ion. Of all possible oxidation states of the Fe and Co ions, it is most likely that the Fe⁴⁺ $(3d^4)$ or Co⁴⁺ $(3d^5)$ ion substitutes the Ir⁴⁺ ion in Sr₂IrO₄ because the ionic radius, r, of both Fe⁴⁺ and Co⁴⁺ ions is smaller than that of the Ir⁴⁺ ion, i.e., $r(Ir^{4+}) = 0.625 \text{ Å} > r(Fe^{4+}) = 0.585 \text{ Å} > r(Co^{4+}) = 0.530 \text{ Å}.$ That $r(\text{Co}^{4+})$ is smaller than $r(\text{Fe}^{4+})$ explains the more rapid reduction in V with Co doping, consistent with the empirical Vegard's law (Fig.1f). This argument rules out possibilities of lower oxidation states of Fe and Co because due to the screened Coulomb potential of the nucleus the ionic radius is a strong function of the oxidation state, decreasing as d electrons are removed, that is, the lower the oxidation state an ion has, the larger the ionic radius of the ion becomes. For example, $r(\text{Fe}^{3+}(3d^5)) = 0.645 \text{ Å} > r(\text{Fe}^{4+}(3d^4))$, and $r(\text{Co}^{3+}(3d^6)) = 0.610 \text{ Å} > r(\text{Co}^{4+}(3d^5))$. Note that $r(\text{Co}^{3+})$ is slightly smaller than $r(\text{Ir}^{4+})$ (=0.625 Å), but the rapid and significant volume reduction due to Co doping, e.g., $\Delta V/V=0.48\%$ at x=0.17 (compared to 0.46% at x=0.31 of Fe

doping), makes the Co³⁺ ion an unlikely replacement for Ir⁴⁺ in Sr₂IrO₄. This point is also corroborated by the sizable shortening of the basal-plane bond length Ir-O2 (**Fig.1g**).

In short, the above structural analysis leads to the assignment of oxidation state of Fe⁴⁺ ($3d^4$) and Co⁴⁺ ($3d^5$). This means that an Fe⁴⁺ ion with four 3d-electrons provides hole doping whereas a Co⁴⁺ ion with five 3d-electrons offers no additional holes or electrons in Sr₂IrO₄.

Moreover, the chemical doping also lessens structural distortions inherent in Sr_2IrO_4 as the Ir-O-Ir bond angle (**Fig.1b**) relaxes with increasing x (**Fig.1h**), indicating that the Fe or Co dopant significantly reduces the rotation of octahedral IrO_6 , which plays an important role in determining the physical properties [5,6,15,42,43].

(b) Magnetic properties

We now examine the magnetic properties of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ illustrated in Fig.2.

 $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$. One striking observation is that Fe doping up to 20% essentially retains the native Néel temperature T_N . Both the a-axis and c-axis magnetization, $M_a(T)$ and $M_c(T)$, exhibit little shift in T_N for $x \le 0.18$, as shown in **Figs.2a** and **2b**. Our neutron diffraction measurements also confirm the long-range AFM order at T_N in Fe doped Sr_2IrO_4 ; the data will be published elsewhere. The Fe dopant sharply contrasts other dopants on the Ir site, such as Rh, Mn, Tb [29-32, 35-39] and Co, that readily suppress the AFM order; but it hints certain similarity to Ru doping discussed below [33, 34]. The temperature dependence of $M_a(T)$ and $M_c(T)$ changes significantly below T_N . A rapid rise in $M_a(T)$ and $M_c(T)$ below 35 K, T^* , whose onset is defined by the valley in M, is likely a result of the polarization of the acceptor states; it gets stronger in magnitude and shifts to higher temperatures with increasing x (**Figs.2a** and **2b**). The upturn of M(T) and the slow increase of μ_{eff} with x (**Fig.2c**) are an indication that Fe^{4+} is in the intermediate spin state, S=1. The

low T magnetic anomaly is not a phase transition because no corresponding anomaly around T* is seen in the heat capacity discussed below. In addition, the magnetic anisotropy between $M_a(T)$ and $M_c(T)$ becomes increasingly weaker as x increases (**Figs.2a** and **2b**), which appears consistent with the shortening of the c axis (**Fig.1d**), thus an enhanced inter-plane magnetic interaction.

Furthermore, the isothermal magnetization M(H) increases considerably with x but becomes less "saturated", compared to that in x=0 (see Inset in **Fig.2b**), suggesting a reduced magnetic canting, which produces the weak ferromagnetic behavior that features x=0. Since the magnetic moment is strongly coupled to the lattice [5, 6, 15, 42, 43], a relaxed Ir-O-Ir bond angle (**Fig.1h**) inevitably weakens the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya interaction that drives magnetic canting, thus weak ferromagnetism. A change in the magnetic configuration is possible, and is seen in Mn, Rh, or Ru doped Sr₂IrO₄ [30, 32, 34].

More data analysis using a Curie-Weiss law retrieves the Curie-Weiss temperature, θ_{CW} , and effective moment, μ_{eff} , which deserves a close examination. θ_{CW} slowly decreases with x for x < 0.20. For example, θ_{CW} is merely reduced to 213 K for x = 0.14 from 250 K for x = 0, as shown in a $\Delta \chi^{-1}$ plot in **Fig.2a** (Note that χ is the magnetic susceptibility; $\Delta \chi = \chi - \chi_0$, where χ_0 is the temperature-independent contribution to χ). θ_{CW} essentially tracks T_N (**Fig.2c**) as a function of x, dropping precipitously only when x > 0.20. Clearly, the superexchange interaction between magnetic moments supporting the AFM order is surprisingly resilient to Fe doping up to 20%.

This intriguing behavior calls for an understanding. As established above, the Fe⁴⁺ ion carries four 3d-electrons with a less than half filled 3d-shell. Accordingly, the first Hund's rule dictates the total spin to be S = 1 (the d_{xy} is doubly occupied, while the d_{xz} and d_{yz} orbitals have one electron) and the orbital angular momentum is quenched by the crystalline field. Since for x=0 $\mu_{eff}=0.46$ $\mu_{B}/f.u.$ and the Fe-ions contribute with S=1, the effective moment μ_{eff} only rises

slightly to 0.67 $\mu_B/f.u.$ for x=0.14, as shown in **Fig.2c**. Such a small increase in μ_{eff} for x \leq 0.14 implies that the Fe ions are in the S = 1 state, thus contributing a very small amount of magnetic moment to the total value of μ_{eff} . As the Fe doping level further increases, the acceptor bound states start to overlap forming a band which allows the Fe spins to interact with each other giving rise to ferromagnetic correlations. This explains a rapid rise in μ_{eff} for x > 0.20, where μ_{eff} increases to 1.90 for x=0.22 $\mu_B/f.u$, and then to 2.73 $\mu_B/f.u$ for x=0.31(**Fig.2c**).

It is thus almost certain that the unexpected resilience of the AFM order to the Fe doping for x < 0.20 is primarily because the Fe⁴⁺ ions have only a S=1 spin, thus carrying essentially small magnetic moments that are too weak to effectively affect the superexchange interaction of the iridate and thus the AFM order. With further increasing Fe doping (x > 0.20), the SOI weakens in the host and the Fe magnetic moments eventually become strong enough to suppress T_N when x > 0.2. That T_N and μ_{eff} closely track each other in an opposite fashion with increasing x reinforces this argument, as evidenced in **Fig. 2c**. A strikingly similar trend is also reported in our early study of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$ in which the doping of $Ru^{4+}(4d^4)$ ions offer four 4d-electrons and a S=1 spin state [33, Fig.5].

 $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$. The Co⁴⁺ ion carries five 3d-electrons, thus, assuming the high spin state (one electron per orbital) Hund's rules yield S = 5/2 with the orbital angular momentum being completely quenched. It is therefore not surprising that, unlike Fe doping, Co doping readily suppresses the native AFM order, as shown in **Fig.2d-2e**. The Curie-Weiss temperature θ_{CW} decreases drastically from 250 K for x=0 to 32 K for x=0.11 (see $\Delta \chi^{-1}$ vs T in **Fig.2d**). A magnetic peak briefly occurs in a narrow temperature range of 8 K - 10 K for $0.08 \le x < 0.14$, vanishing at x > 0.14; it could be either the fading native AFM order or an emergent new magnetic state originating from the interaction between the Co spins. The magnetic state has a vanishing magnetic

anisotropy (Inset in **Fig.2e**), implying a weakening SOI effect. Importantly, the effective moment μ_{eff} rises at a fast rate and is accompanied by an equally fast vanishing T_N (**Fig. 2f**). The closely locked opposite trends of μ_{eff} and T_N as a function of x in $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ further strengthens the key notion that it is the value of S of the substituting ion for the Ir ion that predominately determines the magnetic properties in doped Sr_2IrO_4 .

(c) Electrical Resistivity

Despite the vastly different magnetic properties between $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$, the transport properties of these compounds exhibit a great deal of similarity, highlighting a near irrelevance of the magnetic state to the electronic state in Sr_2IrO_4 .

As shown in **Figs. 3a-3b**, either Fe or Co doping almost instantly delocalizes electrons, drastically reducing the resistivity, ρ , by up to *eight* orders of magnitude for the a-axis resistivity ρ_a and *six* orders of magnitude for the c-axis resistivity ρ_c . For $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ the conducting behavior arises from the impurity band generated from the overlap of the extended acceptor bound states, while for $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ the impurity band is due to the percolation of the bound states, a consequence of the scattering off the isoelectronic Co^{4+} impurities. The size of the bound states is of the order of one unit-cell, but the percolation limit depends on the neighboring bonds (first, second, third) that have to be considered [40]. It can be calculated straightforwardly for a Bethe lattice of coordination z, $x_{cr} = [z(z-1)]^{-1}$, i.e. for motion in the ab plane z = 4 and $x_{cr} \sim 8\%$ and for the 3D crystal z = 6 and $x_{cr} \sim 3\%$. The percolation threshold for Fe is much less than for Co.

It is usual to call "metallic" behavior for positive $d\rho/dT$ and "insulating" behavior for negative slope. In $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}\mathbf{Co}_xO_4$, the metallic state exists in a wide temperature range, as shown in **Fig.3d**. The metallic behavior emerges in ρ_a for x as small as 0.08 in both $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}\mathbf{Fe}_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}\mathbf{Co}_xO_4$, where ρ_a drops from $10^4 \Omega$ cm for x=0 to $10^{-4} \Omega$ cm (see **Figs.3c-3d**). Note that

some resistivity data are collected up to 700 K. In fact, the insulating behavior already vanishes above 100 K at mere x=0.02 of Fe doping, in which $d\rho_a/dT \sim 0$ (**Fig.3c**). However, the c-axis resistivity ρ_c for both Fe and Co doping still exhibits a negative slope of $d\rho_c/dT$ despite the drastically reduced magnitude of ρ_c (**Fig.3b**). The contrasting behavior between ρ_a and ρ_c suggests a highly anisotropic metallic state in the materials discussed below.

For $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$, a pronounced upturn in ρ_a and ρ_c below 35 K is closely associated with the magnetic anomaly T* (Fig.3e) resulting from the spin polarization of the electrons localized in the acceptor band. With increasing Fe doping the interaction between Fe spins becomes larger and so does T* (Figs.2a-2b). For N Fe impurities the donor band accommodates up to 2N electrons. If the band is spin-polarized the up-spin subband is filled and the down-spin subband empty, i.e. the system is insulating. The recovered insulating behavior for x = 0.31 (Fig.3b) is attributed to (weak) localization due to scattering off randomly distributed Fe ions (recall that the isostructural Sr_2FeO_4 is an AFM insulator with a Néel temperature at 60 K [44]). It is interesting to note that the magnetic and transport data of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ indicate that the metallic state exists only in a region where the long-range AFM order persists and gives way to a more insulating state when the AFM order vanishes (e.g., x=0.31), hinting unconventional correlation between magnetic and electronic states.

In $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}\mathbf{Co}_xO_4$, the metallic state exists in a wide temperature range, as shown in **Fig.3d**. Most remarkably, ρ_a exhibits a pronounced linearity with temperature up to 700 K, with no sign of saturation. Note that for the iridates, the Debye temperature, θ_D , ranges from 390 to 440 K. The linear-temperature dependence of resistivity at high temperatures is a hallmark of many interesting "bad" metals, such as superconducting cuprates [45] and, more recently, twisted bilayer graphene [46]. High-temperature resistivity of conventional metals, which is driven by strong electron-

phonon scattering, saturates at sufficiently high temperatures higher than θ_D when the mean free path of a quasiparticle becomes shorter than the de Broglie wavelength, according to the Boltzmann transport theory. The absence of resistivity saturation suggests a breakdown of conventional theoretical models, and the prevailing view is that this phenomenon is associated with collective fluctuations, possibly spin fluctuations, but the physics of it has remained one of time-honored intellectual challenges [47].

The metallic state is confined to the basal plane. The interplane or c-axis resistivity ρ_c remains having a negative slope of $d\rho_c/dT$ (**Fig.3b**) for both systems. The anisotropy between ρ_c and ρ_a is large; for example, the ratio $\rho_c/\rho_a \approx 100$ for x=0.18 of Co doping (see **Fig.3f**). Similar behavior was observed in the cuprate superconductors, anisotropic organic conductors and, more recently, in compressed Sr₃Ir₂O₇ [48]. In essence, the anisotropic metallic behavior is recognized as a result of confined coherence [49, 50]. For the perovskite systems such as the cuprates and the iridates, the single electron coherence is confined to the basal planes so that an inference effect or coherent electron hopping between the basal planes becomes unlikely, thus resulting in *incoherent* non-metallic transport along the c axis. A confined metallic state can occur only in strongly anisotropic and correlated non-Fermi liquids [50].

(d) Specific heat

To evaluate the electronic correlations, we now examine the specific heat, C(T), culled in 0.05 K < T <50 K for both $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$. As shown in **Fig.4a**, C(T) for both x=0.11 of Co doping and x=0.14 of Fe doping is not only different but also considerably larger than that for x=0, corroborating the changed ground state. For x=0.11 of Co doping, a weak but visible hump near 10 K in C(T) is attributed to the magnetic order seen in the magnetization (**Figs.2d-2e**). On the other hand, C(T) for x=0.14 of Fe doping exhibits no anomaly at T^* near 30

K, but rapidly decreases below 2.5 K, becoming vanishingly small at 0.05 K. The Sommerfeld coefficient, γ , estimated from a plot of C(T)/T vs T² is approximately 30 mJ/mole K² for x=0.14 of Fe doping and 19 mJ/mole K² for x=0.11 of Co doping, compared to 4 mJ/mole K² for x=0 (**Fig.4b**; note that γ is extrapolated from the data above the magnetic anomaly marked by the blue and red dashed lines). The large values of γ for the doped iridates reflect the significant electronic contribution of the emergent metallic state to C(T). Based on the values of γ and the lattice parameters, the effective mass, m_{eff} , is estimated to be 10.2 m_e and 5.9 m_e for the Fe and Co doped samples, respectively (m_e is electron rest mass). Furthermore, the Wilson ratio, $R_w \sim \chi_o/\gamma$, is 2.7 and 2.6, where $\chi_o = 1.2 \times 10^{-3}$ emu/mole and 7.0 × 10^{-4} emu/mole for the Fe and Co doped samples, respectively. The significantly enhanced values of both m_{eff} and R_w strongly indicate that electrons are not only highly correlated in $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$, but also non-locally correlated, i.e. the impurities interact with each other.

(e) Hall effect

The charge-carrier density, n, obtained from Hall effect measurements also indicates a consistent, drastic increase for the Fe and Co doped samples. As shown in **Fig.4c**, the highest absolute values of n at high temperatures increases from 10^{26} /m³ for x=0 to 10^{27} /m³ and 10^{28} /m³ for x=0.09 of Fe doping and x=0.11 of Co doping, respectively, and these values of n are comparable to those of metals, a consequence of the impurity bands. Note that n for Co doped Sr₂IrO₄ is negative, indicating that charge carriers are primarily electrons. Furthermore, the temperature dependence of n for the three compounds is both different and telling. For x=0, n increasing with temperature, suggests thermal activation, typical of an insulator or semiconductor. The value of n for x=0.09 of Fe doping is one order of magnitude higher than that for x=0 but its temperature dependence also suggests a significant role of thermal activation; thus, the transport

properties are likely governed by both the added holes to the acceptor band and their thermal activation. On the other hand, for x=0.11 of Co doping, the absolute value of n rises initially and then approaches a near saturation above 50 K. This clearly indicates that the transport properties are driven by itinerant electrons in the percolated impurity band, rather than thermally activated.

IV. Conclusions

The observations presented in this study provide insights into the iridates. In Fe doped Sr₂IrO₄, a metallic state emerges from the acceptor band as the native AFM order persists -- there is no sign of a correlation between the native AFM and insulating states (**Fig.5a**). This behavior sharply contrasts that in Co doped Sr₂IrO₄ in which the transport and magnetic properties closely track with each other in a fashion commonly seen in other correlated systems (**Fig.5b**). For the Co doped Sr₂IrO₄ the properties arise from the impurity without acceptors or donors. The contrasting behavior suggests that the origin of the AFM-Mott state has no close association with the electronic conduction state. The absence of a correlation between the AFM and Mott in doped Sr₂IrO₄ sharply contrasts that of other correlated materials whose signature is often a strong AFM and Mott correlation.

This study concludes that the distinctly different response of the magnetic state to $Fe^{4+}(3d^4)$ and $Co^{4+}(3d^5)$ doping is a consequence of the different spin state of the substituting ion for the Ir ion. The impurity bands arising from the percolation of the bound states yield a different metallic behavior in the two systems due to the difference between acceptor states and charge neutral substitutions. The different electronic configurations of Ir^{4+} , Fe^{4+} and Co^{4+} are shown in **Figs.5c-5e**.

This insight also provides a universal explanation for changes of T_N due to d- or f-electron doping for Ir 5d-electons in Sr_2IrO_4 . The emergent metallic state in Fe or Co doped Sr_2IrO_4 is

assisted by the significantly reduced octahedral IrO₆ rotation, which facilitates electron hoping in the basal plane. It is peculiar that the basal plane network of IrO₆, which facilitates coherent electron hopping, is resilient to the high level of doping. These observations may provide a new pathway to discoveries of new states in the iridates.

Acknowledgement: This work is supported by NSF via grant DMR 1903888. GC is thankful for useful discussions with Peter Riseborough and Itamar Kimchi.

References

- B. J. Kim, H. Jin, S. J. Moon, J. Y. Kim, B. G. Park, C. S. Leem, J. Yu, T. W. Noh, C. Kim,
 S. J. Oh, J. H. Park, V. Durairaj, G. Cao, and E. Rotenberg, Novel J(eff)=1/2 Mott state induced by relativistic spin-orbit coupling in Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 101, 076402 (2008).
- 2. B. J. Kim, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, S. Sakai, T. Morita, H. Takagi, and T. Arima, Phase-Sensitive Observation of a Spin-Orbital Mott State in Sr₂IrO₄, Science **323**, 1329 (2009).
- 3. W. Witczak-Krempa, G. Chen, Y. B. Kim, and L. Balents, Correlated Quantum Phenomena in the Strong Spin-Orbit Regime, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. **5**, 57 (2014).
- J. G. Rau, E. K. H. Lee, and H. Y. Kee, Spin-Orbit Physics Giving Rise to Novel Phases in Correlated Systems: Iridates and Related Materials, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 7, 195 (2016).
- 5. G. Cao and P. Schlottmann, The challenge of spin-orbit-tuned ground states in iridates: A Key Issues Review, Rep. Prog. Phys. **81**, 042502 (2018).
- 6. G. Jackeli and G. Khaliullin, Mott Insulators in the Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling Limit: From Heisenberg to a Quantum Compass and Kitaev Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 017205 (2009)
- 7. R. Schaffer, E. K. H. Lee, B. J. Yang, and Y. B. Kim, Recent progress on correlated electron systems with strong spin-orbit coupling, Rep. Prog. Phys. **79**, 094504 (2016).

- 8. C. Martins, M. Aichhorn, and S. Biermann, Coulomb correlations in 4d and 5d oxides from first principles-or how spin-orbit materials choose their effective orbital degeneracies, J. Phys. Condens. Matter **29**, 263001 (2017).
- 9. F. Wang and T. Senthil, Twisted Hubbard Model for Sr2IrO4: Magnetism and Possible High Temperature Superconductivity, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 136402 (2011).
- H. Watanabe, T. Shirakawa and S. Yunoki, Monte Carlo Study of an Unconventional Superconducting Phase in Iridium Oxide J_{eff}=1/2 Mott Insulators Induced by Carrier Doping, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 027002 (2013)
- Z. Y. Meng, Y. B. Kim and H. Y. Kee, Odd-Parity Triplet Superconducting Phase in Multiorbital Materials with a Strong Spin-Orbit Coupling: Application to Doped Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 177003 (2014)
- 12. Y. Yang, W. S. Wang, J. G. Liu, H. Chen, J. H. Dai and Q. H. Wang, Superconductivity in doped Sr₂IrO₄: A functional renormalization group study, Phys. Rev. B **89**, 094518 (2014)
- 13. Y. K. Kim, N. H. Sung, J. D. Denlinger, and B. J. Kim, Observation of a d-wave gap in electron-doped Sr₂IrO₄, Nat. Phys. **12**, 37 (2016).
- Austin W. Lindquist and Hae-Young Kee, Odd-Parity Superconductivity Driven by Octahedra Rotations in Iridium Oxides, Phys. Rev. B 100, 054512 (2019)
- 15. F. Ye, S. X. Chi, B. C. Chakoumakos, J. A. Fernandez-Baca, T. F. Qi, and G. Cao, Magnetic and crystal structures of Sr₂IrO₄: A neutron diffraction study, Phys. Rev. B **87**, 140406 (2013).
- G. Cao, J. Bolivar, S. McCall, J. E. Crow, and R. P. Guertin, Weak ferromagnetism, metal-to-nonmetal transition, and negative differential resistivity in single-crystal Sr2IrO4, Phys. Rev. B 57, R11039 (1998).

- 17. Q. Huang, J. L. Soubeyroux, O. Chmaissem, I. Natalisora, A. Santoro, R. J. Cava, J. J. Krajewski, and W. F. Peck, Neutron Powder Diffraction Study of the Crystal-Structures of Sr2RuO4 and Sr2IrO4 at Room-Temperature and at 10-K, J. Solid State Chem. 112, 355 (1994).
- R. J. Cava, B. Batlogg, K. Kiyono, H. Takagi, J. J. Krajewski, W. F. Peck, L. W. Rupp, and
 C. H. Chen, Localized-to-Itinerant Electron Transition in Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO₄, Phys. Rev. B 49, 11890 (1994).
- M. K. Crawford, M. A. Subramanian, R. L. Harlow, J. A. Fernandezbaca, Z. R. Wang, and D.
 C. Johnston, Structural and Magnetic Studies of Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. B 49, 9198 (1994).
- 20. Q. Wang, Y. Cao, J. A. Waugh, S. R. Park, T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, G. Cao, and D. S. Dessau, Dimensionality-controlled Mott transition and correlation effects in single-layer and bilayer perovskite iridates, Phys. Rev. B 87, 245109 (2013).
- 21. S. Fujiyama, H. Ohsumi, T. Komesu, J. Matsuno, B. J. Kim, M. Takata, T. Arima, and H. Takagi, Two-Dimensional Heisenberg Behavior of J(eff)=1/2 Isospins in the Paramagnetic State of the Spin-Orbital Mott Insulator Sr2IrO4, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 247212 (2012).
- 22. J. X. Dai, E. Calleja, G. Cao, and K. McElroy, Local density of states study of a spin-orbit-coupling induced Mott insulator Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 041102 (2014).
- 23. Chunhua Chen, Yonghui Zhou, Xuliang Chen, Tao Han, Chao An, Ying Zhou, Yifang Yuan, Bowen Zhang, Shuyang Wang, Ranran Zhang, Lili Zhang, Changjing Zhang, Zhaorong Yang, Lance E. DeLong and Gang Cao, Persistent Insulating State at Megabar Pressures in Strongly Spin-Orbit-Coupled Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. B 101, 144102 (2020)

- 24. D. Haskel, G. Fabbris, M. Zhernenkov, P. P. Kong, C. Q. Jin, G. Cao, and M. van Veenendaal, Pressure tuning of the spin-orbit coupled ground state in Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 027204 (2012)
- 25. D. Haskel, G. Fabbris, J. H. Kim, L. S. I. Veiga, J. R. L. Mardegan, C. A. Escanhoela, Jr., S. Chikara, V. Struzhkin, T. Senthil, B. J. Kim, G. Cao, and J.W. Kim, Possible Quantum Paramagnetism in Compressed Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. Lett. 124, 067201 (2020)
- M. Ge, T. F. Qi, O.B. Korneta, L.E. De Long, P. Schlottmann and G. Cao, Lattice-Driven Magnetoresistivity and Metal-Insulator Transition in Single-Layered Iridates, Phys Rev B 84, 100402(R) (2011)
- 27. H. D. Zhao, J. Terzic, H. Zheng, Y. F. Ni, Y. Zhang, Feng Ye and P. Schlottmann and G. Cao, Decoupling of magnetism and electric transport in single-crystal (Sr_{1-x}A_x)₂IrO₄ (A = Ca or Ba), J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 30, 245801 (2018)
- 28. Xiang Chen, Tom Hogan, D. Walkup, Wenwen Zhou, M. Pokharel, Mengliang Yao, Wei Tian, Thomas Z. Ward, Y. Zhao, D. Parshall, C. Opeil, J. W. Lynn, Vidya Madhavan, and Stephen D. Wilson, Influence of electron doping on the ground state of (Sr1-xLax)2IrO4, Phys. Rev. B 92, 075125 (2015)
- 29. T. F. Qi, O. B. Korneta, L. Li, K. Butrouna, V. S. Cao, Xiangang Wan, R. Kaul and G. Cao, Spin-orbit tuned metal-insulator transitions in single-crystal Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Rh_xO₄ (0≤x≤1), *Phys. Rev. B* **86**, 125105 (2012)
- 30. S. Calder, G.-X. Cao, M. D. Lumsden, J. W. Kim, Z. Gai, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, and A. D. Christianson, Magnetic structural change of Sr₂IrO₄ upon Mn doping, Phys. Rev. B **86**, 220403(R) (2012)
- 31. J. C. Wang, S. Aswartham, F. Ye, J. Terzic, H. Zheng, Daniel Haskel, Shalinee Chikara, Yong

- Choi, P. Schlottmann, S. J. Yuan and G. Cao, Decoupling of the Antiferromagnetic and Insulating States in Tb doped Sr₂IrO₄", Phys. Rev. B **92**, 214411 (2015)
- 32. Feng Ye, Xiaoping Wang, Christina Hoffmann, Songxue Chi, Masaaki Matsuda, Bryan C. Chakoumakos, Jaime A. Fernandez-Baca, Jinchen Wang and G. Cao, Crystal and Magnetic Structures in Square Lattice Iridate Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Rh_xO₄, *Phys. Rev.* B, **92** 201112(R) (2015)
- 33. S. J. Yuan, S. Aswartham, J. Terzic, H. Zheng, H. D. Zhao, P. Schlottmann and G. Cao, From J_{eff} =1/2 insulator to p-wave superconductor in single-crystal $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO_4$ (0 \leq x \leq 1), Phys. Rev. B **92**, 245103 (2015)
- 34. S. Calder, J. W. Kim, G.-X. Cao, C. Cantoni, A. F. May, H. B. Cao, A. A. Aczel, M. Matsuda, Y. Choi, D. Haskel, B. C. Sales, D. Mandrus, M. D. Lumsden, and A. D. Christianson, Evolution of competing magnetic order in the *J*_{eff} = 1/2 insulating state of Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Ru_xO₄, Phys. Rev. B 92, 165128 (2015)
- 35. J.P. Clancy, A. Lupascu, H. Gretarsson, Z. Islam, Y. F. Hu, D. Casa, C.S. Nelson, S.C. LaMarra, G. Cao, and Young-June Kim, Dilute Magnetism and Spin-Orbital Percolation Effects in Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Rh_xO₄, *Phys. Rev. B* **89**, 054409 (2014)
- 36. Shalinee Chikara Daniel Haske, Jae-Hoon Sim, Heung-Sik Kim, Cheng-Chien Chen, G. Fabbris, L. S. I.Veiga, N. M. Souza-Neto, J. Terzic, K. Butrouna, G. Cao, Myung Joon Han, and Michel van Veenendaa, Sr₂Ir_{1-x}Rh_xO₄ (x < 0.5): an inhomogeneous J_{eff}=1/2 Hubbard system, *Phys. Rev. B* 92, 081114(R) (2015)
- 37. L. Zhao, D. H. Torchinsky, H. Chu, V. Ivanov, R. Lifshitz, R. Flint, T. Qi, G. Cao and D. Hsieh, Evidence of an odd-parity hidden order in a strongly spin-orbit coupled correlated iridates, *Nature Physics*, 2015 doi:10.1038/nphys3517
- 38. Yue Cao, Qiang Wang, Justin A. Waugh, Theodore Reber, Haoxiang Li, Xiaoqing Zhou,

- Stephen Parham, Nicholas Plumb, Eli Rotenberg, Aaron Bostwick, Jonathan Denlinger, Tongfei Qi, K. Orenta, Michael Hermele, G. Cao and Daniel S. Dessau, Hallmarks of the Mott-Metal Crossover in the Hole Doped J=1/2 Mott insulator Sr₂IrO₄, *Nature Comm.*, 2016 doi:10.1038/ncomms11367
- 39. C. H. Sohn, Deok-Yong Cho, C. T. Kuo, L. J. Sandilands, T. F. Qi, G. Cao & T. W. Noh, "X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy Study of the Effect of Rh doping in Sr₂IrO₄, *Scientific Reports* 6, 23856 (2016)
- 40. P. Schlottmann and C. S. Hellberg, Metal-insulator transition in dirty Kondo insulators, J. Appl. Phys. 79, 6414 (1996)
- 41. A. J. Gatimu, R. Berthelot, S. Muir, A. W. Sleight, and M. Subramanian, Synthesis and characterization of Sr₂Ir_{1-x}M_xO₄ (M=Ti, Fe, Co) solid solutions, J. Solid State Chem. **190**, 257 (2012)
- 42. D. H. Torchinsky, H. Chu, L. Zhao, N. B. Perkins, Y. Sizyuk, T. Qi, G. Cao, and D. Hsieh, Structural Distortion-Induced Magnetoelastic Locking in Sr₂IrO₄ Revealed through Nonlinear Optical Harmonic Generation, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 096404 (2015)
- 43. Feng Ye, Christina Hoffmann, Wei Tian, Hao Zheng, Hengdi Zhao, and G. Cao, Pseudospin-lattice coupling and electric control of the square-lattice iridate Sr₂IrO₄, Phys. Rev. B **102**, 115120 (2020)
- 44. Sandra E. Dann, Mark T. Weller, David B. Currie, Michael F. Thomas and Ahmed D. Al-Rawwas, Structure and magnetic properties of Sr₂FeO₄ and Sr₃Fe₂O₇ studied by powder neutron diffraction and Mössbauer spectroscopy, J. Mater. Chem. **3**, 1231-1237 (1993)
- 45. V. J. Emery and S. A. Kivelson, Phys. Superconductivity in Bad Metals, Rev. Lett. **74**, 3253, (1995)

- 46. Polshyn, H., Yankowitz, M., Chen, S. et al. Large linear-in-temperature resistivity in twisted bilayer graphene. Nat. Phys. **15**, 1011–1016 (2019)
- 47. Jan Zaanen, Why the temperature is high Nature 430, 513 (2004)
- 48. Yang Ding, Cheng-Chien Chen, Heung-Sik Kim, Myung Joon Han, Zhenxing Feng, Mary Upton, Jungho Kim, Diego Casa, Ayman Said, Yufeng Peng, G. Cao, Thomas Gog, Ho-kwang Mao, and Michel van Veenendaal, Pressure-Induced Confined Metal from the Mott Insulator Sr₃Ir₂O₇, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 216402 (2016)
- 49. J. M. Wheatley, T. C. Hsu, and P. W. Anderson, Interlayer pair hopping: Superconductivity from the resonating-valence-bond state, Phys. Rev. B 37, 5897(R) (1988)
- 50. David G. Clarke and S.P. Strong, Confined coherence in strongly correlated anisotropic metals, Advances in Physics, 46:6, 545-650 (1997)

Captions

Fig.1. Structural properties of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ at 100 K: (a) The unit cell of Sr_2IrO_4 ; (b) the basal plane of Sr_2IrO_4 . The x-dependence of (c) the a axis, (d) the c axis, (e) the ratio of c/a, (f) the unit cell volume V, (g) the bond length Ir-O2 and (h) the bond angle Ir-O-Ir. Fig.2. Magnetic properties of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$: Temperature dependence of (a) the a-axis magnetization M_a and (b) the c-axis magnetization M_c for $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$; (c) the Néel temperature T_N (left scale) and the effective moment μ_{eff} (right scale) as a function of Fe doping x. Temperature dependence of (d) the a-axis magnetization M_a and (e) the c-axis magnetization M_c for $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$; (f) the Néel temperature T_N (left scale) and the effective moment μ_{eff} (right scale) as a function of Co doping x. Note that the dashed line in (b) is a guide for the eye, indicating the shifting T^* .

Fig.3. Transport properties of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$: Temperature dependence of the electrical resistivity ρ for x = 0 (black), and representative doping x = 0.11 of Co (red) and 0.14 of Fe (blue) for (a) the a-axis resistivity ρ_a and (b) the c-axis resistivity ρ_c . Note that the reduction of ρ_a and ρ_c is as much as eight and six orders of magnitude, respectively. Temperature dependence of the a-axis resistivity ρ_a for (c) $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and (d) $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$. Note ρ_a is as low as 10^{-4} Ω cm for x = 0.07 (Fe) and 0.08 Ω cm (Co). (e) Temperature dependence of the a-axis resistivity ρ_a (left scale) and magnetization M_a (right scale) for x = 0.14 of Fe doping and (f) the a-axis resistivity ρ_a and the c-axis resistivity ρ_c for x = 0.18 of Co doping.

Fig.4. Specific heat and charge-carrier density of $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$: (a) Temperature dependence of specific heat C and (b) C/T vs T^2 for x = 0 (black), 0.11 of Co doping (red) and 0.14 of Fe doping (blue). (c) Temperature dependence of the charge-carrier density n for x = 0 (black), 0.09 of Fe doping (blue) and 0.11 of Co doping (red).

Fig.5. Phase diagrams for **(a)** $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Fe_xO_4$ and **(b)** $Sr_2Ir_{1-x}Co_xO_4$ generated based on the results, where M = confined metallic state, I = insulating state and PM = paramagnetism. **(c)** Schematics of the crystalline field and spin orbit interaction splitting for the $Ir^{4+}(5d^5)$ ions. Schematics of **(d)** the high spin state (HS) S=5/2 of $Co^{4+}(3d^5)$ impurities and (e) the intermediate spin state (IS) S=1 of $Fe^{4+}(3d^4)$ acceptor impurities.




Figure 1




Figure 2




Figure 3




Figure 4

