# Semileptonic $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ decay with $\pi\pi$ invariant mass spectrum

Shang-Yuu Tsai<sup>1,\*</sup> and Yu-Kuo Hsiao<sup>1,†</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Physics and Information Engineering, Shanxi Normal University, Linfen 041004, China

(Dated: November 16, 2021)

# Abstract

BELLE has recently reported the measurement of the branching fraction of the semileptonic  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  decay, where  $\ell$  represents an electron or a muon. With the new information on the  $\pi\pi$  invariant mass spectrum, we extract  $|V_{ub}| = (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3}$  in agreement with those from the other exclusive *B* decays. In particular, we determine the non-resonant  $B \to \pi\pi$  transition form factors, and predict the non-resonant branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$ , which is accessible to the BELLEII and LHCb experiments.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> yukuohsiao@gmail.com

#### I. INTRODUCTION

For the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix element  $|V_{ub}|$ , there have been the long-standing inconsistent determinations from the inclusive and exclusive *b*-hadron decays [1, 2], which might indicate the existence of new physics [3–11]. For a careful examination, the exclusive  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  decay can provide another path to determining  $|V_{ub}|$ , where  $\ell$  represents an electron or a muon. Nonetheless, although  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  has been observed many times [13–16], it is essentially  $B^- \to \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  along with  $\rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ , instead of a genuine four-body decay.

Recently, BELLE has newly reported the measurement of the branching fractions of  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  with the full  $\pi \pi$  invariant mass  $(M_{\pi\pi})$  spectrum [17]. In addition to the resonant processes of  $B^- \to R \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, R \to \pi^+ \pi^-$  with  $R = \rho^0$  and  $f_2 \equiv f_2(1270)$ , the non-resonant contribution is also found. Explicitly, we present the branching fractions as [1, 17, 18]

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm T}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (22.7^{+1.9}_{-1.6} \pm 3.4) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(B^- \to \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (15.8 \pm 1.1) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{f_2}(B^- \to f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (1.8 \pm 0.9^{+0.2}_{-0.1}) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm N}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (5.1 \pm 4.3) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  
(1)

where  $\mathcal{B}_{T,N}$  denote the total and non-resonant branching fractions, respectively, while  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho} \simeq \mathcal{B}(B^- \to \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_{\ell}) \times \mathcal{B}(\rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-)$  is from PDG [1]. By excluding  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho,f_2}$  from  $\mathcal{B}_T$ , we estimate  $\mathcal{B}_N$  in Eq. (1).

As depicted in Fig. 1,  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  proceeds through the resonant and non-resonant  $B \to \pi \pi$  transitions, respectively, with the lepton-pair produced from the emitted W-boson. One has been enabled to parameterize the resonant  $B \to \rho(f_2), \rho(f_2) \to \pi \pi$  transition [19]. Despite the theoretical attempts [5, 12, 20–29], the non-resonant  $B \to \pi \pi$  transition is still poorly understood. With the full  $\pi \pi$  invariant mass spectrum provided for the first time, the information on the non-resonant  $B \to \pi \pi$  transition form factors  $(F_{\pi\pi})$  becomes available. Hence, we propose to newly extract  $|V_{ub}|$  and  $F_{\pi\pi}$ , by which we will be able to study  $\mathcal{B}_{N}$ . We will also study the angular distribution and its asymmetry to be compared to the future measurements.



FIG. 1.  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  with (a) non-resonant and (b) resonant contributions.

## **II. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK**

The semileptonic  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  decay is observed with the full  $M_{\pi\pi}$  spectrum, which indicates the existence of the non-resonant contribution [17]. Moreover, the simulation is performed to seek the resonances that contribute to  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ . It turns out that only a dominant peak and a small bump are observed, which correspond to  $B^- \to \rho^0 \ell \bar{\nu}, f_2 \ell \bar{\nu},$ respectively, with  $\rho^0, f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ . Therefore, the total amplitude of  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  can be written as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{N}}(B^{-} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}\ell\bar{\nu}_{\ell}) + \mathcal{M}_{\rho}(B^{-} \to \rho^{0}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell}, \rho^{0} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}) + \mathcal{M}_{f_{2}}(B^{-} \to f_{2}\ell^{-}\bar{\nu}_{\ell}, f_{2} \to \pi^{+}\pi^{-}), \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{R})} = \frac{G_{F}V_{ub}}{\sqrt{2}} \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{R})} \bar{u}_{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})v_{\nu}, \qquad (2)$$

with  $R = (\rho, f_2)$ . The matrix elements of the (non-)resonant B meson to  $\pi\pi$  transitions can be parameterized as [12, 30]

$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{a})\pi^{-}(p_{b})|\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-}\rangle_{N}$$

$$= h\epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}p_{B}^{\nu}p^{\alpha}(p_{b}-p_{a})^{\beta} + irq_{\mu} + iw_{+}p_{\mu} + iw_{-}(p_{b}-p_{a}),$$

$$\langle \pi^{+}(p_{a})\pi^{-}(p_{b})|\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-}\rangle_{\rho(f_{2})}$$

$$= \langle \pi^{+}\pi^{-}|\rho(f_{2})\rangle \frac{i}{(t-m_{\rho(f_{2})}^{2})+im_{\rho(f_{2})}\Gamma_{\rho(f_{2})}} \langle \rho(f_{2})|\bar{u}\gamma_{\mu}(1-\gamma_{5})b|B^{-}\rangle,$$

$$(3)$$

with  $p = p_b + p_a$ ,  $q = p_B - p = p_\ell + p_\nu$ ,  $(s, t) \equiv (q^2, p^2)$ , and the form factors  $F_{\pi\pi} = (h, r, w_{\pm})$ . The matrix elements of  $B \to \rho(f_2)$  transition are written as [33–35]

$$\langle \rho(f_2) | \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} b | B \rangle = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} \epsilon^{(\prime)\nu} p_B^{\alpha} p_{\rho(f_2)}^{\beta} \frac{2V_1^{(\prime)}}{m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}} ,$$

$$\langle \rho(f_2) | \bar{u} \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_5 b | B \rangle = i \Big[ \epsilon_{\mu}^{(\prime)} - \frac{\epsilon^{(\prime)} \cdot p_B}{s} q_{\mu} \Big] (m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}) A_1^{(\prime)} + i \frac{\epsilon^{(\prime)} \cdot p_B}{s} q_{\mu} (2m_{\rho(f_2)}) A_0^{(\prime)}$$

$$- i \Big[ (p_B + p_{\rho(f_2)})_{\mu} - \frac{m_B^2 - m_{\rho(f_2)}^2}{s} q_{\mu} \Big] (\epsilon^{(\prime)} \cdot p_B) \frac{A_2^{(\prime)}}{m_B + m_{\rho(f_2)}} ,$$

$$(4)$$

with  $\epsilon'^{\mu} \equiv \epsilon^{\mu\nu} p_{B\nu}/m_B$  and the form factors  $F_{\rho(f_2)} = (V_1^{(\prime)}, A_{0,1,2}^{(\prime)})$ , where  $\epsilon^{\nu}$  and  $\epsilon^{\mu\nu}$  are the polarization vector and tensor, respectively. To describe the  $\rho^0, f_2 \to \pi^+\pi^-$  decays,  $\langle \pi\pi | \rho, f_2 \rangle$  in Eq. (2) are given by [9, 19, 36]

$$\langle \pi \pi | \rho \rangle = g_1 \epsilon \cdot (p_b - p_a) ,$$
  
$$\langle \pi \pi | f_2 \rangle = g_2 \epsilon^{\mu\nu} p_{a\mu} p_{b\nu} , \qquad (5)$$

where  $g_{1,2}$  are strong coupling constants. To sum over the vector and tensor spins for  $\rho$  and  $f_2$ , respectively, as the intermediate states in the resonant  $B \to \pi\pi$  transitions, we use the following identities [33–35],

$$\Sigma \epsilon_{\mu} \epsilon_{\mu'}^{*} = M_{\mu\mu'},$$
  

$$\Sigma \epsilon_{\mu\nu} \epsilon_{\mu'\nu'}^{*} = \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\mu'} M_{\nu\nu'} + \frac{1}{2} M_{\mu\nu'} M_{\nu\mu'} - \frac{1}{3} M_{\mu\nu} M_{\mu'\nu'},$$
(6)

with  $M_{\mu\mu'} = -g_{\mu\mu'} + p_{\mu}p_{\mu'}/p^2$ . The form factors in Eqs. (3,4) are momentum-dependent, modelled in the single-pole or double-pole forms [33–35]:

$$F_{\rho}(s) = \frac{F_{\rho}(0)}{1 - s/m_V^2},$$
  

$$F_{f_2}(s) = \frac{F_{f_2}(0)}{(1 - s/m_B^2)^2},$$
  

$$F_{\pi\pi}(t) = \frac{F_{\pi\pi}(0)}{1 - a(t/m_B^2) + b(t/m_B^2)^2},$$
(7)

where  $F_{\rho,f_2}(s)$  have been studied in QCD models, whereas  $(a, b, F_{\pi\pi}(0))$  need to be extracted in the global fit.

For the four-body decay channel  $B^-(p_B) \to \pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b)\ell^-(p_\ell)\bar{\nu}_\ell(p_\nu)$ , one has to integrate over the kinematic variables  $(s, t, \theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$  in the phase space. See Fig. 2,  $\theta_{M(L)}$  is the angle between  $\pi^+$  and  $\pi^-(\ell^-$  and  $\bar{\nu}_\ell)$  moving directions in the  $\pi^+\pi^-(\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell)$  rest frame. In addition, the angle  $\phi$  is between the  $\pi^+\pi^-$  and  $\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$  planes, defined by  $\vec{p}_{a,b}$  and  $\vec{p}_{\ell,\bar{\nu}_\ell}$ ,



FIG. 2. The angular variables  $(\theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$  in the four-body  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$  decay.

respectively, in the B-meson rest frame. Then, the partial decay width reads [37, 38]

$$d\Gamma = \frac{|\mathcal{M}|^2}{4(4\pi)^6 m_B^3} X \alpha_M \alpha_L \, ds \, dt \, d\cos\theta_M \, d\cos\theta_L \, d\phi \,, \tag{8}$$

where X,  $\alpha_M$  and  $\alpha_L$  are defined by

$$X = \left[\frac{1}{4}(m_B^2 - s - t)^2 - st\right]^{1/2},$$
  

$$\alpha_M = \frac{1}{t}\lambda^{1/2}(t, m_\pi^2, m_\pi^2),$$
  

$$\alpha_L = \frac{1}{s}\lambda^{1/2}(s, m_\ell^2, m_{\bar{\nu}}^2),$$
(9)

with  $\lambda(a, b, c) = a^2 + b^2 + c^2 - 2ab - 2bc - 2ca$ . The allowed ranges for (s, t) and the angular variables  $(\theta_M, \theta_L, \phi)$  are given by

$$(m_{\ell} + m_{\bar{\nu}_{\ell}})^{2} \leq s \leq (m_{B} - \sqrt{t})^{2},$$

$$4m_{\pi}^{2} \leq t \leq (m_{B} - m_{\ell} - m_{\bar{\nu}_{\ell}})^{2},$$

$$0 \leq \theta_{M,L} \leq \pi,$$

$$0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi,$$
(10)

with  $m_{\ell} + m_{\bar{\nu}_{\ell}} \simeq 0$ . From Eq. (8), we define the angular distribution asymmetry as

$$A_{\theta_M} \equiv \frac{\int_0^{+1} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M - \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M}{\int_0^{+1} \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M + \int_{-1}^0 \frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta_M} d\cos\theta_M} , \qquad (11)$$

where  $d\Gamma/d\cos\theta_M$  is the angular distribution.

TABLE I. The *B* to  $(\rho, f_2)$  transition form factors with  $M_V = 7.0$  GeV in Eq. (7) [32, 34]. Here, we present  $\sqrt{2}F_{\rho^0} = F_{\rho}$  for the *B* to  $\rho^0$  transition.

	$V_{1}^{(\prime)}$	$A_1^{(\prime)}$	$A_{2}^{(\prime)}$
$\sqrt{2}F_{\rho^0}(0)$	$0.35\substack{+0.06\\-0.05}$	$0.27\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.04}$	$0.26\substack{+0.05 \\ -0.03}$
$F_{f_2}(0)$	$(0.18\pm0.02)$	$(0.13\pm0.02)$	$(0.12 \pm 0.02)$

## III. NUMERICAL ANALYSIS

In the numerical analysis, we perform the minimum  $\chi^2$ -fit, in order to extract  $|V_{ub}|$ ,  $F_{\pi\pi}$ and  $\delta_{1,2}$  as the free parameters, where  $\delta_{1(2)}$  is the relative phase for  $\mathcal{A}_{\rho(f_2)}$ . The equation of the  $\chi^2$ -fit is given by

$$\chi^{2} = \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{\rho\,th} - \mathcal{B}_{\rho\,ex}}{\sigma_{\rho\,ex}}\right)^{2} + \left(\frac{\mathcal{B}_{f_{2}\,th} - \mathcal{B}_{f_{2}\,ex}}{\sigma_{f_{2}\,ex}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{i} \left(\frac{\frac{d\mathcal{B}_{th}^{i}}{dM_{\pi\pi}} - \frac{d\mathcal{B}_{ex}^{i}}{dM_{\pi\pi}}}{\sigma_{ex}^{i}}\right)^{2} + \sum_{j} \left(\frac{F_{\rho(f_{2})}^{j} - F_{th\,\rho(f_{2})}^{j}}{\delta F_{th\,\rho(f_{2})}^{j}}\right)^{2},$$
(12)

where  $d\mathcal{B}/dM_{\pi\pi}$  denotes the partial branching ratio, and  $\sigma_{ex}$  ( $\delta F_{th}$ ) the uncertainty from the observation (form factor).  $\mathcal{B}_{\rho(f_2)th}$  and  $d\mathcal{B}_{th}/dM_{\pi\pi}$  are the theoretical inputs from the amplitudes in Eq. (2), and the experimental inputs are given in Eq. (1) and Fig. 3. We take  $F_{\rho}$  and  $F_{f_2}$  in Table I as the initial values in Eq. (12), together with  $|g_1| = 5.98$  and  $|g_2| = 18.56 \text{ GeV}^{-1}$  [36, 39].

Subsequently, we extract that

$$|V_{ub}| = (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3},$$
  

$$a = (0.96 \pm 0.93) \times m_B^2, \ b = (1.84 \pm 0.87) \times m_B^4,$$
  

$$h(0) = 1.90 \pm 0.43, \ w_+(0) = 6.16 \pm 3.41, \ w_-(0) = 3.67 \pm 1.79,$$
  

$$(\delta_1, \delta_2) = (-111.6 \pm 29.3, 0.0 \pm 1.4)^{\circ}$$
  

$$\chi^2/n.d.f = 1.1,$$
  
(13)

with n.d.f = 7 the number of degrees of freedom. The form factors  $V_1^{(\prime)}$  and  $A_{1,2}^{(\prime)}$  are fitted to slightly deviate from their initial inputs in Table I, given by

$$(V_1(0), A_1(0), A_2(0)) = (0.35 \pm 0.06, 0.29 \pm 0.04, 0.28 \pm 0.04),$$
  
$$(V_1'(0), A_1'(0), A_2'(0)) = (0.18 \pm 0.02, 0.11 \pm 0.02, 0.14 \pm 0.02).$$
 (14)

Nonetheless, r and  $A_0^{(l)}$  in Eqs. (3, 4) are not involved in the global fit, since they have been vanishing with  $q_{\mu}\bar{u}_{\ell}\gamma^{\mu}(1-\gamma_5)v_{\nu}=0$  in the amplitudes, where the lepton pair is nearly massless.

Using the fit results in Eqs. (13,14), we obtain

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm T}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (19.6 \pm 7.9^{+7.5+0.7}_{-5.4-0.1}) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rho}(B^- \to \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (15.8 \pm 6.4^{+7.1}_{-5.7}) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{f_2}(B^- \to f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (2.6 \pm 1.1^{+1.2}_{-0.9}) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
  

$$\mathcal{B}_{\rm N}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5} ,$$
(15)

where the first errors are from  $|V_{ub}|$ , the second ones from the form factors, and the third error for  $\mathcal{B}_T$  from the relative phase  $\delta_1$ . Moreover, we draw the partial branching fractions as the functions of  $M_{\pi\pi}$  and  $\cos \theta_M$  in Fig. 3 and Fig. 4, respectively. We also calculate the angular distribution asymmetries, given by

$$A_{\theta_M,T}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (1.3 \pm 8.9^{+0.8}_{-2.5})\%,$$

$$A_{\theta_M,\rho}(B^- \to \rho^0 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (0.20 \pm 0.04)\%,$$

$$A_{\theta_M,f_2}(B^- \to f_2 \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell, f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-) = (0.31 \pm 0.08)\%,$$

$$A_{\theta_M,N}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell) = (-43.0 \pm 22.3)\%,$$
(16)

where the first errors come from the uncertainties of the form factors, and the second error for  $A_{\theta_M,T}$  is from the relative phase  $\delta_1$ .

### IV. DISCUSSIONS AND CONCLUSIONS

We study  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell \bar{\nu}$ , in order to explain the  $\pi \pi$  invariant mass spectrum observed by BELLE [17]. In Fig. 3, the curves for  $B^- \to (\rho^0, f_2)\ell\bar{\nu}, (\rho^0, f_2) \to \pi^+\pi^-$  are shown to barely fit the first three data points in the spectrum. Nonetheless, the non-resonant  $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell\bar{\nu}$  raises the contribution as the dot-dashed curve describes. As a result, the solid curve that takes into account the resonant and non-resonant contributions is able to explain the data, with  $\chi^2/d.o.f = 1.1$  that presents a reasonable fit. The relative phase  $\delta_1 = -111.6^\circ$  causes a destructive interference between the non-resonant  $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell\bar{\nu}$  and  $B^- \to \rho^0\ell\bar{\nu}, \rho^0 \to \pi^+\pi^-$ . As a demonstration, we turn off  $\delta_1$  and obtain  $\mathcal{B}_T = 22.2 \times 10^{-5}$ . By contrast,  $\delta_2$  is fitted to be zero, in accordance with the fact that the non-resonant



FIG. 3. The  $\pi\pi$  invariant mass spectrum, where the solid curve that takes into account the all contributions explains the data points from BELLE [17]. On the other hand, the dashed (dotted) and dot-dashed curves depict the contributions from  $B^- \to \rho(f_2)\ell\bar{\nu}, \rho(f_2) \to \pi^+\pi^-$ , and nonresonant  $B^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\ell^-\bar{\nu}_\ell$ , respectively.



FIG. 4. Angular distributions of  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_\ell$ , where the solid, dashed, dotted and dot-dashed curves represent the same contributions as those in Fig. 3.

contribution is tiny in the range of  $M_{\pi\pi} > 1$  GeV, barely having the interference with  $B^- \to f_2 \ell \bar{\nu}, f_2 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ .

It turns out that  $\mathcal{B}_{\rm N} = (3.5 \pm 1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4}) \times 10^{-5}$  is given for the first time. Also importantly, we determine  $|V_{ub}| = (3.31 \pm 0.61) \times 10^{-3}$  from the first genuine four-body semileptonic  $B \rightarrow$ 

 $\pi \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$  decay, instead of  $B^- \to \rho^0 \ell \bar{\nu}, \rho^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^-$ . For the angular distribution asymmetries, we obtain  $A_{\theta_M,\rho(f_2)} = 0$ , showing the symmetric distributions as the curves in Fig. 4. By contrast,  $|A_{\theta_M,N}|$  is as large as 40%. This is due to the main contributions from the form factors  $w_+(p_b + p_a)_{\mu}$  and  $w_-(p_b - p_a)$ . With  $p_b + p_a = (2E_b, \vec{0})$  and  $p_b - p_a = (0, 2\vec{p}_b)$  in the  $\pi^+(p_a)\pi^-(p_b)$  rest frame (see Fig. 2), the projection of  $w_{\mp}(p_b \mp p_a)$  onto the four-momentum of the lepton pair system causes a  $\cos \theta_M$ -(in)dependent term, such that their interference leads to the large angular distribution asymmetry.

In summary, we have studied the semileptonic  $B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell \bar{\nu}$  decay. With the full  $\pi \pi$ invariant mass spectrum observed by BELLE, we have determined  $|V_{ub}| = (3.31\pm0.61)\times10^{-3}$ agreeing with the other exclusive determinations. Besides, we have extracted the nonresonant  $B \to \pi \pi$  transition form factors, by which we have predicted the non-resonant branching fraction  $\mathcal{B}_{N}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_{\ell}) = (3.5\pm1.4^{+4.3}_{-2.4})\times10^{-5}$ . We have also predicted the non-resonant angular distribution asymmetry  $A_{\theta_M,N}(B^- \to \pi^+ \pi^- \ell^- \bar{\nu}_{\ell}) = (-43.0\pm22.3)\%$ to be checked by the future measurements.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by National Science Foundation of China (No. 11675030).

- [1] P.A. Zyla et al. [Particle Data Group], PTEP 2020, 083C01 (2020).
- [2] Please see PDG for a review.
- [3] A. Crivellin, Phys. Rev. D 81, 031301 (2010).
- [4] A.J. Buras, K. Gemmler and G. Isidori, Nucl. Phys. B 843, 107 (2011).
- [5] X.W. Kang, B. Kubis, C. Hanhart and U.G. Meiβner, Phys. Rev. D 89, 053015 (2014).
- [6] A. Crivellin and S. Pokorski, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 011802 (2015).
- [7] T. Feldmann, B. Müller and D. van Dyk, Phys. Rev. D 92, 034013 (2015).
- [8] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B **755**, 418 (2016).
- [9] C.S. Kim, G.L. Castro and S.L. Tostado, Phys. Rev. D **95**, 073003 (2017).
- [10] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Eur. Phys. J. C 77, 714 (2017).
- [11] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B 782, 728 (2018).

- [12] C.L.Y. Lee, M. Lu and M.B. Wise, Phys. Rev. D 46, 5040 (1992).
- [13] B. H. Behrens et al. [CLEO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 61, 052001 (2000).
- [14] T. Hokuue *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **648**, 139 (2007).
- [15] A. Sibidanov et al. [Belle Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 88, 032005 (2013).
- [16] P. del Amo Sanchez et al. [BaBar Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D 83, 032007 (2011).
- [17] C. Beleño et al. [Belle Collaboration], arXiv:2005.07766 [hep-ex].
- [18] C. Beleño, "Measurement of  $B \to \pi \pi \ell \bar{\nu}$  with Full Hadronic Reconstruction at Belle," thesis, Gottingen University (2018).
- [19] H.Y. Cheng and C.K. Chua, Phys. Rev. D 102, 053006 (2020).
- [20] S. Fajfer, R.J. Oakes and T.N. Pham, Phys. Rev. D 60, 054029 (1999).
- [21] C.K. Chua, W.S. Hou, S.Y. Shiau and S.Y. Tsai, Phys. Rev. D 67, 034012 (2003).
- [22] C.K. Chua, W.S. Hou, S.Y. Shiau and S.Y. Tsai, Eur. Phys. J. C 33, S253 (2004).
- [23] C. Hambrock and A. Khodjamirian, Nucl. Phys. B **905**, 373 (2016).
- [24] P. Boer, T. Feldmann and D.van Dyk, JHEP **1702**, 133 (2017).
- [25] S. Cheng, A. Khodjamirian and J. Virto, JHEP **1705**, 157 (2017).
- [26] Y.K. Hsiao and C.Q. Geng, Phys. Lett. B 770, 348 (2017).
- [27] Y.K. Hsiao, S.Y. Tsai and E. Rodrigues, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 565 (2020).
- [28] T. Feldmann, D.Van Dyk and K.K. Vos, JHEP **1810**, 030 (2018).
- [29] S. Cheng, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 053005 (2019).
- [30] A. Pais and S.B. Treiman, Phys. Rev. **168**, 1858 (1968).
- [31] M. Wirbel, B. Stech and M. Bauer, Z. Phys. C 29, 637 (1985).
- [32] L. Del Debbio et al. [UKQCD], Phys. Lett. B 416, 392 (1998).
- [33] W. Wang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 014008 (2011).
- [34] H.Y. Cheng and K.C. Yang, Phys. Rev. D 83, 034001 (2011).
- [35] Y.B. Zuo, C.X. Yue, B. Yu, Y.H. Kou, Y. Chen and W. Ling, Eur. Phys. J. C 81, 30 (2021).
- [36] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D 47, 1043 (1993).
- [37] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Lett. B **704**, 495 (2011).
- [38] C.Q. Geng and Y.K. Hsiao, Phys. Rev. D 85, 094019 (2012).
- [39] Y.K. Hsiao, Y. Yu and B.C. Ke, Eur. Phys. J. C 80, 895 (2020).