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This is a friendly introduction to our recent general procedure for constructing noncommutative deformations of an embedded submanifold $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ determined by a set of smooth equations $f^{a}(x)=0$. We use the framework of Drinfel'd twist deformation of differential geometry pioneered in [Aschieri et al., Class. Quantum Gravity 23 (2006), 1883]; the commutative pointwise product is replaced by a (generally noncommutative) $\star$-product induced by a Drinfel'd twist.
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## 1. Introduction

Nowadays noncommutative Geometry (NCG) [9, 23-26, 31] is a broad research field aiming, among other things, at formulating candidate frameworks for the quantization of gravity (see e.g. [3, 11]) or the unification of fundamental interactions (see e.g. [5, 8, 10]). It is natural to ask whether and to what extent the notion of a submanifold, which is ubiquitous in mathematics and physics (think e.g. of: equipotential hypersurfaces; wavefronts for wave equations; submanifolds where to impose initial or boundary conditions for fields defined on the encompassing manifold; ADS/CFT correspondence and the holographic principle; lightcones, event horizons and other null hypersurfaces in general relativity, etc.) can be generalized from classical differential geometry to NCG. So far these questions have been answered by making sense of many special examples of noncommutative (NC) submanifolds ${ }^{1}$, but have not received sufficient general treatment, except in few articles (see e.g. [18, 19, 21, 27, 30]). This proceeding summarizes the contributions to the topic of Ref. [18, 19], which address the above questions systematically within the framework of deformation quantization [6], in the particular approach based on Drinfel'd twisting [12] of Hopf algebras, for embedded submanifolds $M$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ consisting of points of $x$ fulfilling a set of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{a}(x)=0, \quad a=1,2, \ldots, k<n . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $f \equiv\left(f^{1}, \ldots, f^{k}\right): \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}$ are smooth functions such that the Jacobian matrix $J=\partial f / \partial x$ is of rank $k$ on all $\mathbb{R}^{n}$; or, more generally, where $f$ is well-defined and $J$ is of rank $k$ on an open subset $\mathcal{D}_{f} \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, and $M$ consists of the points of $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ fulfilling (1). In fact, in [18, 19] one

[^1]obtains NC deformations of the geometry on a whole $k$-parameter family of embedded submanifolds $M_{c}:=f^{-1}(c) \subset \mathcal{D}_{f}$ [with $c \equiv\left(c^{1}, \ldots, c^{k}\right) \in f\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}\right), M_{0}=M$ ] of dimension $n-k$; each $M_{c}$ is the level set of $f$ consisting of points $x$ such that $f_{c}^{a}(x):=f^{a}(x)-c^{a}=0$ for all $a=1, \ldots, k$. Embedded submanifolds $N \subset M$ can be obtained by adding more equations to (1).

In deformation quantization [6] the commutative algebra $\mathcal{A}=C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of smooth functions on a smooth manifold $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is replaced by a star product algebra $\mathcal{A}_{\star}=\left(C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)[[v]], \star\right)$, modelled on the formal power series $C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)[[v]]$ in a deformation parameter $v . \star$ deforms the pointwise product $m(f \otimes g)=f g$ of functions $f, g \in \mathcal{A}, f \star g=f g+O(v)$, while staying associative and unital. In the case of Drinfel'd twist deformation quantization [3, 12] any normalized 2-cocycle

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=1 \otimes 1+\mathcal{O}(v) \in(U \Xi \otimes U \Xi)[[v]] \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a $t$ wist) on the enveloping algebra $U \Xi$ of the Lie algebra $\Xi$ of vector fields (identified with first order differential operators) on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ induces a twist star product $\star:=m \circ \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\triangleright \otimes \triangleright)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $\triangleright$ is the extension of the Lie derivative. This process is functorial [7], i.e. $\mathcal{F}$ deforms $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{C}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ modules into $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$-modules, and $\mathcal{A}$-linear operations into $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$-linear operations. In particular, the $\mathcal{A}$-bimodules of vector fields $\Xi$ and differential forms $\Omega$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ are deformed into $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$-bimodules. $\star$-Lie derivatives are twisted derivations and one obtains a twisted Cartan calculus [3]. The guiding idea of the notion of NC submanifolds in this setting is best explained by the commutativity of the diagram


In words, we induce a quantization of a submanifold $M$ via a quantization of the manifold $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, given the commutativity of (3). As said, in $[18,19]$ we are interested in the situation when $M$ is a submanifold given in terms of generators $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$ and relations (1). We show that, in case the deformation $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ is obtained by a twist $\mathcal{F}$ based on the Lie algebra $\Xi_{t}$ of vector fields tangent to all the $M_{c}$, the twist star product on $M$ makes the diagram (3) commute. If $\mathcal{F}$ is even based on vector fields in $\Xi_{t}$ that are Killing ${ }^{2}$ for a given (pseudo)Riemannian metric on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the twist deformation extends to the level of (pseudo)Riemannian geometry so that quantization and submanifold projection commute. Furthermore, in the case of quadrics $M$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we give explicit descriptions of both star product algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\star}, \mathcal{B}_{\star}$, as well as of the corresponding twisted vector fields and differential forms, via twisted generators and relations. Examples of codimension 2 twisted submanifold will appear in [20]. Note that the presented procedure is a global approach, i.e. we consider the algebra of global functions or bimodules of global sections of a bundle and deform them as such. One way to take locality into account is given by the sheaf-theoretic approach to NC calculi on subalgebras proposed in [4].

The proceeding is organized as follows. In Chapter 2 we recall the notions of Hopf $*$-algebras and their representations (Section 2.1), of their twist deformations (Section 2.2), of twisted Cartan calculus (Section 2.3) and Riemannian geometry (Section 2.4). The first part of Chapter 3 concerns

[^2]the twist deformation of submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, as discussed above; in Section 3.2 we present an explicit treatment of twisted quadrics of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, focusing on the family of hyperboloids and cone, especially the circular ones in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ endowed with Minkowski metric.

## 2. Twisted Riemannian geometry

### 2.1 Hopf $*$-algebras and their representations

In the following $\mathbb{K}$ denotes the field or real numbers or the field of complex numbers. Fix a Hopf *-algebra $(H, \Delta, \epsilon, S, *)$ with coproduct $\Delta: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$, counit $\epsilon: H \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, antipode $S: H \rightarrow H$ and $*$-involution $*: H \rightarrow H$. The latter is an antilinear, involutive, anti-algebra map satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
(* \otimes *) \circ \Delta=\Delta \circ *, \quad \epsilon \circ *=-\circ \epsilon, \quad S \circ * \circ S \circ *=\mathrm{id}_{H} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{-}: H \rightarrow H$ denotes the complex conjugation. The main class of examples we are interested in is that of the universal enveloping algebra $U \mathfrak{g}$ of a $*$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Here $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot, \cdot])$ is a Lie algebra together with an antilinear, involutive map $*: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $[x, y]^{*}=\left[y^{*}, x^{*}\right]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$. After extension as an anti-algebra homomorphism $*$ constitutes a $*$-involution on $U \mathfrak{g}$, compatible with the usual coproduct, counit and antipode on $U \mathfrak{g}$, which are determined on primitive elements $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x)=x \otimes 1+1 \otimes x, \quad \epsilon(x)=0, \quad S(x)=-x . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The representation theory of a Hopf $*$-algebra concerns $H$-*-modules, namely left $H$-modules $(\mathcal{M}, \triangleright)$ together with a $*$-involution on $\mathcal{M}$, denoted by the same symbol for simplicity, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(h \triangleright s)^{*}=S(h)^{*} \triangleright s^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}$. Morphisms of left $H$-*-modules are left $H$-module morphisms that intertwine the $*$-involutions. A left $H$-module $*$-algebra is a $*$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ endowed with a left $H$-*-module structure $\triangleright: H \otimes \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $h \in H$

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \triangleright(a b)=\left(h_{(1)} \triangleright a\right)\left(h_{(2)} \triangleright b\right), \quad h \triangleright 1_{\mathcal{A}}=\epsilon(h) 1_{\mathcal{A}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we utilize Sweedler's summation $\Delta(h)=: h_{(1)} \otimes h_{(2)}$. For a left $H$-module $*$-algebra $(\mathcal{A}, *, \triangleright)$ we call an $\mathcal{A}$-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$ an $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-*-bimodule if $\mathcal{M}$ is a left $H$-*-module such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \triangleright(a \cdot s \cdot b)=\left(h_{(1)} \triangleright a\right) \cdot\left(h_{(2)} \triangleright s\right) \cdot\left(h_{(3)} \triangleright b\right), \quad(a \cdot s \cdot b)^{*}=b^{*} \cdot s^{*} \cdot a^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H, a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}$. By a slight abuse of notation we denoted the left $H$-module action and $*$-involution on $\mathcal{M}$ the same way as for $\mathcal{A}$, while we used $\cdot$ for the left and right module action of $\mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{M}$. The notions of left $H$-*-module, left $H$-module $*$-algebra and $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-*-bimodule extend to $\mathbb{N}_{0}$-graded vector spaces by demanding the corresponding actions and *-involutions to be graded maps.

If $H$ is cocommutative, i.e. $\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}=\Delta$, the category of left $H$-*-modules admits a symmetric monoidal structure, where we endow the tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ of two left $H$-*-modules $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ with the left $H$-action and $*$-involution

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \triangleright(s \otimes t):=\left(h_{(1)} \triangleright s\right) \otimes\left(h_{(2)} \triangleright t\right), \quad(s \otimes t)^{*}:=s^{*} \otimes t^{*} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined for all $h \in H, s \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \in \mathcal{N}$. The isomorphism $\tau: \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}$ defined by $\tau(s \otimes t)=t \otimes s$, is the corresponding symmetric braiding.

In case $\mathcal{A}$ is a commutative left $H$-module $*$-algebra for $H$ cocommutative we structure the category of symmetric $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-*-bimodules as a symmetric monoidal category using the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{A}}$. Let $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ be such symmetric $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-*-bimodules. Here, symmetric means that $a \cdot s=s \cdot a$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}$. The left $H$-module action and $*$-involution on $\mathcal{M} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{N}$ are induced from (9) and again the braiding is given by the tensor flip.

For any $\mathbb{K}$-vector space $V$ the formal power series $V[[v]]$ in a formal parameter $v$ are a $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$ module and we can extend any $\mathbb{K}$-linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ to a $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-linear map $V[[v]] \rightarrow W[[v]]$, denoted by the same symbol $f$. As a consequence any Hopf $*$-algebra $H$ over $\mathbb{K}$ can be extended to a Hopf $*$-algebra $H[[v]]$ over $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$, where we have to employ the completed tensor product in the $v$-adic topology.

### 2.2 Drinfel'd twists and twisted representations

Fix a Hopf $*$-algebra $H$. A (Drinfel'd) twist on $H$ is an element $\mathcal{F}=1 \otimes 1+O(v) \in(H \otimes H)[[v]]$ satisfying 2-cocycle and normalization condition

$$
\begin{gather*}
(\mathcal{F} \otimes 1)(\Delta \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F})=(1 \otimes \mathcal{F})(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta)(\mathcal{F}) \\
(\epsilon \otimes \mathrm{id})(\mathcal{F})=1=(\mathrm{id} \otimes \epsilon)(\mathcal{F}) \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

We frequently use leg notation $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2}$ and similarly $\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2}$ for the inverse $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\mathcal{F}$. If several copies of $\mathcal{F}$ or its inverse appear we write $\overline{\mathcal{F}}=\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1}, \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2}$, for the second copy, et cetera, to distinguish the different summations. For any twist we define $\beta:=\mathcal{F}_{1} S\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}\right) \in H[[v]]$ and $\beta^{-1}:=S\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1}\right) \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \in H[[v]]$. One can show that $\beta^{-1}$ is in fact the inverse of $\beta$. A twist $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be

- real if $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2}^{*}=S\left(\mathcal{F}_{2}\right) \otimes S\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)$ [3] and
- unitary if $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{2}^{*}=\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2}$ [17].

Assume that the Hopf $*$-algebra $H$ is cocommutative. Consider a commutative left $H$-module *-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and a symmetric $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-*-bimodule $\mathcal{M}$. In the following we deform the given data using a real or unitary twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $H$. First we construct the twisted Hopf algebra $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ as the algebra $H[[v]]$ with extended counit, but coproduct and antipode given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h):=\mathcal{F} \Delta(h) \overline{\mathcal{F}} \quad \text { and } \quad S_{\mathcal{F}}(h):=\beta S(h) \beta^{-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $h \in H$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is real the Hopf algebra $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ becomes a Hopf $*$-algebra with respect to the *-involution $h^{* \mathcal{F}}=\beta h^{*} \beta^{-1}$ for all $h \in H^{\mathcal{F}}$. For a unitary twist $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ is a Hopf $*$-algebra with respect to the undeformed $*$-involution.

The twist deformation $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is the $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-module $\mathcal{A}[[v]]$ endowed with the same unit and deformed product $a \star b:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright a\right)\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright b\right)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\star}$. It is a left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module algebra, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
h \triangleright(a \star b)=\left(h_{\widehat{(1)}} \triangleright a\right) \star\left(h_{\widehat{(2)}} \triangleright b\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we denoted the twisted product by $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(h)=: h_{\widehat{(1)}} \otimes h_{\overline{(2)}}$. In addition, $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ is twisted commutative, i.e. $b \star a=\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright a\right) \star\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright b\right)$, where $\overline{\mathcal{R}}=\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \otimes \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2}$ is the inverse of the braiding $\mathcal{R}:=\mathcal{R}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}:=\mathcal{F}_{2} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{1} \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2^{\prime}} \in H^{\mathcal{F}} \otimes H^{\mathcal{F}}$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is $\mathbb{N}_{0}$-graded and graded-commutative, i.e. $a b=(-1)^{|a| \cdot|b|} b a$, where $|a|,|b|$ denote the degrees of $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ is twisted gradedcommutative, i.e. $b \star a=(-1)^{|a| \cdot|b|}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright a\right) \star\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright b\right)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_{\star}$. The braiding $\mathcal{R}$ satisfies $\mathcal{R}_{2} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{1}=\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and the hexagon equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Delta_{\mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathrm{id}\right)(\mathcal{R})=\mathcal{R}_{1} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{1^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2} \mathcal{R}_{2^{\prime}} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\mathrm{id} \otimes \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\right)(\mathcal{R})=\mathcal{R}_{1} \mathcal{R}_{1^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2^{\prime}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For real twists $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{* \mathcal{F}} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}^{* \mathcal{F}}=\overline{\mathcal{R}}$, while for unitary twists $\mathcal{R}_{1}^{*} \otimes \mathcal{R}_{2}^{*}=\overline{\mathcal{R}}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is real then $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ is a left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module $*$-algebra with respect to the undeformed $*$-involution (while we have to twist the *-involution of $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ ). On the other hand, if $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary (i.e. the $*$-involution of $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ is undeformed) $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$ becomes a left $H^{\mathscr{F}}$-module $*$-algebra via $a^{* \star}:=S(\beta) \triangleright a^{*}$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\star}$.

Similarly, the twist deformation $\mathcal{M}_{\star}$ of $\mathcal{M}$ is described as the $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-module $\mathcal{M}[[v]]$ together with the $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$-module actions

$$
\begin{equation*}
a \star s:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright a\right) \cdot\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright s\right) \quad \text { and } \quad s \star a:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright s\right)\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright a\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\star}$ and $s \in \mathcal{M}[[v]]$. Together with the $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-linearly extended left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-action $\mathcal{M}_{\star}$ is an $H_{\star}$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}_{\star}$-bimodule. Furthermore, it is twisted symmetric, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
s \star a=\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright a\right) \star\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright s\right) \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\star}$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_{\star}$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is real then $\mathcal{M}_{\star}$ is an $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}_{\star}-*$-bimodule with respect to the undeformed $*$-involution, while if $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary $\mathcal{M}_{\star}$ becomes an $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}_{\star}-*$-bimodule via $s^{* \star}:=S(\beta) \triangleright s^{*}$ for all $s \in \mathcal{M}_{\star}$.

For two left $H$-modules $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}$ the twisted tensor product $\mathcal{M}_{\star} \otimes_{\star} \mathcal{N}_{\star}$ is given by $(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N})[[v]]$, where $s \otimes_{\star} t:=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright s\right) \otimes\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright t\right)$ for all $s \in \mathcal{M}$ and $t \in \mathcal{N}$. It follows that $\mathcal{M}_{\star} \otimes_{\star} \mathcal{N}_{\star}$ is a left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module and one can show that the left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module isomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{M}_{\star} \otimes_{\star} \mathcal{N}_{\star} \ni\left(s \otimes_{\star} t\right) \mapsto\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright t\right) \otimes_{\star}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright s\right) \in \mathcal{N}_{\star} \otimes_{\star} \mathcal{M}_{\star} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

determines a symmetric braiding on the monoidal category of twisted left $H$-modules. If $\mathcal{F}$ is real (respectively unitary) we structure $\mathcal{M}_{\star} \otimes_{\star} \mathcal{N}_{\star}$ as a left $H^{\mathcal{F}}-*$-module via

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s \otimes_{\star} t\right)^{*}=\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright s^{*}\right) \otimes_{\star}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright t^{*}\right), \text { respectively }\left(s \otimes_{\star} t\right)^{* \star}=\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright s^{* \star}\right) \otimes_{\star}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright t^{* \star}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar results hold for symmetric $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{A}$-bimodules $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{N}$, using $\mathcal{M}_{\star} \otimes_{\mathcal{A}_{\star}} \mathcal{N}_{\star}$.
One can complete $[3,22]$ the $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module algebra $(H[[v]], \star)$ itself into a triangular Hopf algebra $H_{\star}=\left(H[[v]], \star, \Delta_{\star}, \epsilon, S_{\star}, \mathcal{R}_{\star}\right)$ isomorphic to $H^{\mathcal{F}}=\left(H[[v]], \cdot, \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}, \epsilon, S_{\mathcal{F}}, \mathcal{R}\right)$ (cf. also [14, 15, 17]).

Examples of unitary twists on $U \mathfrak{g}$ for $\mathrm{a} *$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ are

- abelian twists $\mathcal{F}=\exp (\mathrm{i} v P)$, where $P=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i}\left(e_{i} \otimes f_{i}-f_{i} \otimes e_{i}\right)$ [29] is a finite sum of pairwise commuting (anti-)Hermitian elements $e_{i}, f_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and
- Jordanian twists $\mathcal{F}=\exp \left(\frac{1}{2} H \otimes \log (1+\mathrm{i} v E)\right)$ [28], where $H, E \in \mathfrak{g}$ are anti-Hermitian elements such that $[H, E]=2 E$.

The abelian twist is real, in addition.

### 2.3 Twisted Cartan calculus

Let us substantiate the previous twist deformation procedure via the concrete example of the tensor algebra of a smooth manifold $M$. For the rest of the article we operate in this framework. The algebra $\mathcal{X}:=C^{\infty}(M)$ of smooth $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions on $M$ is a commutative $*$-algebra with respect to the pointwise product and the $*$-involution $f^{*}(p):=\overline{f(p)}$, where $f \in \mathcal{X}$ and $p \in M$, given by complex conjugation. Vector fields $\Xi:=\Gamma^{\infty}(T M)$ on $M$ form a Lie $*$-algebra with respect to the $*$-involution $\mathcal{L}_{X^{*}} f:=-\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} f^{*}\right)^{*}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{X}$, where $\mathcal{L}_{X}$ denotes the Lie derivative of $X \in \Xi$. This amplifies to the Hopf $*$-algebra $H:=U \Xi$, the latter acting on $\mathcal{X}$ via the Lie derivative, structuring $X$ as a commutative left $H$-module $*$-algebra. More in general, the tensor algebra $\mathcal{T}:=\bigoplus_{p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{T}^{p, r}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}^{p, r}:=\underbrace{\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega}_{p \text {-times }} \otimes_{X} \underbrace{\Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Xi}_{r \text {-times }} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\Omega:=\Gamma^{\infty}\left(T^{*} M\right)$, is a symmetric $H$-equivariant $\mathcal{X}$-*-bimodule. The $\Xi$-action on $\mathcal{T}^{p, r}$ is obtained by the Lie derivative

$$
\begin{align*}
& X \triangleright\left(\omega_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \omega_{p} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} Y_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \ldots \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} Y_{r}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathcal{L}_{X_{(1)}} \omega_{1} \otimes_{X} \ldots \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}_{X_{(p)}} \omega_{p} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \mathcal{L}_{X_{(p+1)}} Y_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \ldots \otimes_{X} \mathcal{L}_{X_{(p+r)}} Y_{r} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{p} \in \Omega, X, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r} \in \Xi$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega_{i}=\left(\mathrm{i}_{X} \circ \mathrm{~d}+\mathrm{d} \circ \mathrm{i}_{X}\right) \omega_{i}, \mathcal{L}_{X} Y_{i}=\left[X, Y_{i}\right]$. We extend (19) as an $U \Xi$-action by $\mathcal{L}_{X Y}=\mathcal{L}_{X} \mathcal{L}_{Y}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{1_{\mathbb{K}}}=$ id for all $X, Y \in \Xi$.

A unitary or real twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $H$ induces a twisted commutative left $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-module $*$-algebra $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$ and a twisted symmetric $H^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $\mathcal{X}_{\star-* \text {-bimodule }} \mathcal{T}_{\star}$ according to the previous section. In more detail, $\mathcal{T}_{\star}=\bigoplus_{p, r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \mathcal{T}_{\star}^{p, r}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\star}^{p, r}:=\underbrace{\Omega_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \ldots \otimes_{\star} \Omega_{\star}}_{p \text {-times }} \otimes X_{\star} \underbrace{\Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \ldots \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star}}_{r \text {-times }} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the $H^{\mathscr{F}}$-action is given by (19), where we replace $\Delta$ by $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$. Above, $\Omega_{\star}$ denotes the $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$ bimodule of twisted 1-forms, i.e. $\Omega_{\star}=\Omega[[v]]$ as $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-modules and we endow the former with the $X_{\star}$-actions $f \star \omega=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright f\right) \cdot\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright \omega\right)$ and $\omega \star f=\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright \omega\right) \cdot\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright f\right)$ for all $f \in X_{\star}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\star}$. Similarly $\Xi$ is structured as an $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-bimodule and all the bimodules are twisted symmetric. We understand the tensor product $\otimes_{\mathcal{X}_{\star}}$ with respect to this $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-bimodule structure, i.e. $\left(T_{1} \star f\right) \otimes_{X_{\star}} T_{2}=T_{1} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_{\star}}\left(f \star T_{2}\right)$ for all $f \in \mathcal{X}_{\star}$ and $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{\star}$. The dual pairing $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: \Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ deforms into an $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-bilinear operation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle_{\star}:=\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle \circ \overline{\mathcal{F}} \triangleright: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Omega_{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{\star} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose $\star$-dual frames $\left\{e_{i}\right\} \subset \Xi_{\star}$ and $\left\{\theta^{i}\right\} \subset \Omega_{\star}$, i.e. $\left\langle e_{i}, \theta^{j}\right\rangle_{\star}=\delta_{i}^{j}$ c.f. [3]. Employing the twisted Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{\xi}^{\mathcal{F}} T:=\mathcal{L}_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright \xi}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright T\right)$ for all $\xi \in H^{\mathscr{F}}$ and $T \in \mathcal{T}_{\star}$ we obtain a deformed action of $H^{\mathcal{F}}$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\star}$. In particular, $\Xi_{\star}$ becomes a twisted Lie algebra via

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, Y]_{\star}:=\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} Y=\left[\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright Y\right]=X \star Y-\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y\right) \star\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $[Y, X]_{\star}=-\left[\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright X, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright Y\right]_{\star}$ and $\left[X,[Y, Z]_{\star}\right]_{\star}=\left[[X, Y]_{\star}, Z\right]_{\star}+\left[\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y,\left[\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X, Z\right]_{\star}\right]_{\star}$ for all $X, Y, Z \in \Xi_{\star}$. The entirety of those structures is referred to as the twisted Cartan calculus, see $[3,30]$ for more information.

### 2.4 Twisted Riemannian geometry

The process of twist deformation turns out to be functorial, i.e. module morphisms extend to morphisms of the twisted modules. As an instance of this fact let us consider twisted covariant derivatives [2] on $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$. Those are left $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-linear maps $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}}[[v]] \mathcal{T}_{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\star}$ which are compatible with the $\otimes_{X_{\star}}$ tensor product structure in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(T_{1} \otimes_{X_{\star}} T_{2}\right)=\left[\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{F}}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_{2^{\prime \prime}}} \triangleright T_{1}\right)\right] \otimes_{\mathcal{X}_{\star}}\left[\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1^{\prime}} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1^{\prime \prime}}\right) \triangleright T_{2}\right]+\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright T_{1}\right) \otimes_{X_{\star}} \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}} T_{2} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X \in \Xi_{\star}$ and $T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{\star}$. We further require that $\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} f=\mathcal{L}_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} f$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}}\langle Y, \omega\rangle_{\star}=\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2^{\prime}} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}_{2^{\prime \prime}}} \triangleright Y\right),\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1}, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1^{\prime \prime}}\right) \triangleright \omega\right\rangle_{\star}+\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y, \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}} \omega\right\rangle_{\star} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y \in \Xi_{\star}, \omega \in \Omega_{\star}$ and $f \in X_{\star}$, meaning that $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ should respect the underlying twisted Cartan calculus. We define torsion and curvature of a twisted covariant derivative as the left $X_{\star}$-linear maps $\mathrm{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star} \rightarrow \Xi_{\star}$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star} \rightarrow \Xi_{\star}$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y):=\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} Y-\nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X\right)-[X, Y]_{\star}, \\
\mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z):=\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} \nabla_{Y}^{\mathcal{F}} Z-\nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y}^{\mathcal{F}} \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]_{\star}}^{\mathcal{F}} Z \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Xi_{\star}$. One proves that $\mathrm{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y)=-\mathrm{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X\right)$ and $\mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z)=$ $-\mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X, Z\right)$. In other words, torsion and curvature are completely determined by elements $\mathrm{T}^{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{\star}^{2} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star}$ and $\mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{F}} \in \Omega_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Omega_{\star}^{2} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y)=\left\langle X \otimes_{X_{\star}} Y, \mathrm{~T}^{\mathcal{F}}\right\rangle_{\star}, \mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z)=\left\langle X \otimes_{X_{\star}} Y \otimes_{X_{\star}} Z, \mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{F}}\right\rangle_{\star} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Xi_{\star}$. A metric is a left $X_{\star}$-linear non-degenerate map $\mathbf{g}_{\star}: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{\star} \rightarrow X_{\star}$ such that $\mathbf{g}_{\star}(Y, X)=\mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright X, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright Y\right)$ for all $X, Y \in \Xi_{\star}$. Each metric $\mathbf{g}_{\star}$ induces a braided-symmetric tensor $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{g}^{a} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \mathbf{g}_{a}=\mathbf{g}^{A} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \mathbf{g}_{A} \in \Omega_{\star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Omega_{\star}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{\star}(X, Y)=\left\langle X \star\left\langle Y, \mathbf{g}^{A}\right\rangle_{\star}, \mathbf{g}_{A}\right\rangle_{\star} . \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

A twisted covariant derivative $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is said to be Levi-Civita with respect to a metric $\mathbf{g}_{\star}$ if $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}} \mathbf{g}=0$ and $\mathrm{T}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}=0$. As in the classical setting we define the Ricci tensor as the contraction $\operatorname{Ric}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y):=$ $\left\langle\theta^{i}, \mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(e_{i}, X, Y\right)\right\rangle_{\star}^{\prime}$, where $\langle\omega, X\rangle_{\star}^{\prime}:=\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright X, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright \omega\right\rangle_{\star}$ for all $X, Y \in \Xi_{\star}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\star}$. Note that $\operatorname{Ric}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}$ is independent of the choice of dual $\star$-frames $\left\{e_{i}\right\},\left\{\theta^{i}\right\}$.

We recall from [19] how to twist deform a classical covariant derivative $\nabla: \Xi \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ into a twisted covariant derivative. First consider the following Lie subalgebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{e}:=\left\{Z \in \Xi \mid \mathcal{L}_{Z} \nabla_{X} Y=\nabla_{[Z, X]} Y+\nabla_{X}[Z, Y] \text { for all } X, Y \in \Xi\right\} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\Xi$, called the equivariance Lie algebra of $\nabla$. It follows that $\xi \triangleright \nabla_{X} Y=\nabla_{\xi_{(1)} \triangleright X}\left(\xi_{(2)} \triangleright Y\right)$ for all $\xi \in U \mathfrak{e}$ and $X, Y \in \Xi$. Consider a twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{e}$. Then, according to [19] Proposition 2, the twist deformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} Y:=\nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright X}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright Y\right), X, Y \in \Xi_{\star} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

extends to a twisted covariant derivative $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}: \Xi_{\star} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[v]]} \mathcal{T}_{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\star}$ on $X_{\star}$. Moreover, $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is $U \mathfrak{e}^{\mathcal{F}}-$ equivariant, i.e. $\xi \triangleright \nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} T=\nabla_{\xi_{(1)}}^{\mathcal{F}} \triangleright^{\prime}\left(\xi_{\widehat{(2)}} \triangleright T\right)$ and the compatibility conditions (23) and (24) simplify to the expressions

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(T_{1} \otimes X_{\star} T_{2}\right) & =\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} T_{1} \otimes X_{\star} T_{2}+\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright T_{1}\right) \otimes_{X_{\star}} \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}} T_{2},  \tag{30}\\
\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}}\langle Y, \omega\rangle_{\star} & =\left\langle\nabla_{X}^{\mathcal{F}} Y, \omega\right\rangle_{\star}+\left\langle\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y, \nabla_{\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X}^{\mathcal{F}} \omega\right\rangle_{\star}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\xi \in U \mathfrak{e}, X, Y \in \Xi_{\star}, T, T_{1}, T_{2} \in \mathcal{T}_{\star}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{\star}$. For a classical (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $(M, \mathbf{g})$ with Levi-Civita covariant derivative $\nabla: \Xi \otimes_{\mathbb{K}} \Xi \rightarrow \Xi$ a further specification is obtained via the Lie algebra of Killing vector fields $\mathfrak{k} \subseteq \mathfrak{e} \subseteq \Xi$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{k}:=\left\{Z \in \Xi \mid \mathcal{L}_{Z} \mathbf{g}(X, Y)=\mathbf{g}([Z, X], Y)+\mathbf{g}(X,[Z, Y]) \text { for all } X, Y \in \Xi\right\} . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Proposition 3 of [19] it is proven that for a twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{k}$ the $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-bilinear metric (27) reduces to $\mathbf{g}_{\star}(X, Y)=\mathbf{g}\left(\overline{\mathcal{F}}_{1} \triangleright X, \overline{\mathcal{F}}_{2} \triangleright Y\right)$, and the twist deformation (29) of the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ of $(M, \mathbf{g})$ is the unique twisted Levi-Civita covariant derivative for the $\mathbf{g}_{\star}$. The curvature $\mathrm{R}^{\mathcal{F}}$ of $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is undeformed. Summarizing, in order to provide twist deformations of (Levi-Civita) covariant derivatives we have to determine Drinfel'd twists based on the Lie algebra of (Killing) equivariant vector fields.

## 3. Twist deformation of smooth submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$

In this section we examine twisted differential geometry on a codimension $k$ submanifold $M$ of the type (1). Actually, the same constructions with the same twist hold for each submanifold $M_{c}:=f_{c}^{-1}(\{0\})$ in the $k$-parameter family introduced there. We write $\mathcal{X}:=C^{\infty}\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}^{M_{c}}:=\mathcal{X} / C^{c}=\left\{[g]:=g+C^{c} \mid g \in \mathcal{X}\right\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{C}^{c} \subseteq \mathcal{X}$ denotes the ideal of smooth functions vanishing on $M_{c}$. It is proven in [19] Theorem 1 that $C^{c}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} \mathcal{X} \cdot f_{c}^{a}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} f_{c}^{a} \cdot \mathcal{X}$, i.e. $C^{c}$ is spanned by the components of $f_{c}$. A similar result (Theorem 1 in [18]) holds in the setting of algebraic submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, i.e. if the $f^{a}(x)$ are polynomial functions and we define $\mathcal{X}$ as the algebra of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Lie algebra of vector fields on $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ is denoted by $\Xi:=\left\{X^{i} \partial_{i} \mid X^{i} \in \mathcal{X}\right\}$, where we abbreviate $\partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$. There are two Lie subalgebras and $\mathcal{X}$-sub-bimodules $\Xi_{C C^{c}} \subseteq \Xi_{C^{c}} \subseteq \Xi$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{C^{c}}:=\left\{X \in \Xi \mid X\left(C^{c}\right) \subseteq C^{c}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Xi_{C C^{c}}:=\left\{X \in \Xi \mid X(X) \subseteq C^{c}\right\} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively. Furthermore $\Xi_{C C^{c}}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} f_{c}^{a} \cdot \Xi$ is a Lie ideal in $\Xi_{C^{c}}$ and thus the quotient Lie algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi^{M_{c}}:=\Xi_{C^{c}} / \Xi_{C C^{c}}:=\left\{[X]:=X+\Xi_{C C^{c}} \mid X \in \Xi_{C^{c}}\right\} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an $X^{M_{c}}$-bimodule, identified with the vector fields on $M_{c}$. In case $c=0$ we suppress the index and simply write $\mathcal{X}^{M}, \Xi^{M}$, et cetera. We further define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{t}:=\left\{X \in \Xi \mid X\left(f^{a}\right)=0 \text { for all } a=1, \ldots, k\right\} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Lie subalgebra and $\mathcal{X}$-sub-bimodule of vector fields that are tangent not only to $M$, but to all submanifolds $M_{c}$ (in fact $X\left(f_{c}^{a}\right)=0$ for all $X \in \Xi_{t}$ and $c \in f\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}\right)$ ). By Proposition 6 in [19], each element $[X] \in \Xi^{M_{c}}$ contains a representative $X_{t} \in \Xi_{t}$, the tangential projection of $X$. The requirement that the algebras in both vertical columns of (3) are isomorphic as $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-modules - i.e. the basic requirement of deformation quantization applied to both the algebra of functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and that on $M$ - and the commutativity of the diagram (3) can be satisfied if the Drinfel'd twist $\mathcal{F}$ is based on $U \Xi_{t}$, i.e if $\Xi_{t}$ replaces $\Xi$ in (2); as a bonus, the same holds for all other $M_{c}$. In fact, then $\alpha \star f^{a}=\alpha f^{a}=f^{a} \star \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$ and $a=1, \ldots, k$, implying that also $C_{\star}, C[[v]]$ are isomorphic as $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-modules $^{3}$. (On the contrary, using a twist based on $U \Xi^{M}$ would only lead to $\alpha \star f^{a}-\alpha f^{a} \in C, f^{a} \star \alpha-f^{a} \alpha \in C$, which is not sufficient to obtain the same results.) Adopting a twist $\mathcal{F}$ based on $U \Xi_{t}$, we obtain deformations of all previously defined spaces. Namely, $\Xi_{C C^{c} \star} \subseteq \Xi_{C^{c} \star} \subseteq \Xi_{\star}$ and $\Xi_{t, \star}$ are $\star$-Lie algebras and $U \Xi_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $X_{\star}$-bimodules, while $\Xi_{\star}^{M_{c}}$ is a $\star$-Lie algebra and an $U \Xi_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $X_{\star}^{M_{c}}$-bimodule. There is an isomorphism $\Xi_{\star}^{M_{c}} \cong \Xi_{C^{c} \star} / \Xi_{C C^{c} \star}$ of $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-modules, i.e. deforming commutes with taking the submanifold quotient (c.f. [19] Proposition 9). As described in the previous section, we obtain the $U \Xi_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $X_{\star}$-bimodule $\Omega_{\star}$ and the $U \Xi_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $X_{\star}^{M_{c}}$-bimodule $\Omega_{M_{c} \star}$, $\star$-dual to $\Xi_{\star}$ and $\Xi_{M_{c} \star}$, respectively. Moreover, the $\star$-orthogonal module corresponding to tangent vector fields is the $U \Xi_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $\mathcal{X}_{\star}$-sub-bimodule $\Omega_{\perp \star} \subseteq \Omega_{\star}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\perp \star}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{\star} \mid\left\langle\Xi_{t \star}, \omega\right\rangle_{\star}=0\right\} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is characterized by $\Omega_{\perp \star}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} \mathcal{X}_{\star} \star \mathrm{d} f^{a}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} \mathrm{~d} f^{a} \star \mathcal{X}_{\star}$.
Given a (pseudo-)Riemannian metric $\mathbf{g}=\mathbf{g}^{\alpha} \otimes \mathbf{g}_{\alpha} \in \Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega$ on $\mathcal{D}_{f}$ (by definition $\mathbf{g}$ is non-degenerate and flip-symmetric) we can further consider the $\mathbf{g}$-orthogonal spaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\perp}:=\left\{X \in \Xi \mid \mathbf{g}\left(X, \Xi_{t}\right)=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{t}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega \mid \mathbf{g}^{-1}\left(\omega, \Omega_{\perp}\right)=0\right\} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{g}^{-1}=\mathbf{g}^{-1 \alpha} \otimes \mathbf{g}_{\alpha}^{-1} \in \Xi \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Xi$ is the inverse metric and $\Omega_{\perp}$ denotes the classical limit of (36). There is a maximal open subset $\mathcal{D}_{f}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{f}$ such that $\mathbf{g}_{\perp}^{-1}:=\mathbf{g}^{-1}: \Omega_{\perp} \otimes_{X} \Omega_{\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is non-degenerate. Note that $\mathcal{D}_{f}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{f}$ if $\mathbf{g}$ is Riemannian. If in the following $\mathcal{D}_{f}^{\prime} \neq \mathcal{D}_{f}$ we restrict all involved submanifolds to $M_{c} \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{f}^{\prime}$, so we can assume $\mathcal{D}_{f}^{\prime}=\mathcal{D}_{f}$. For a twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \Xi_{t}$ the deformations of (37) read

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\perp \star}:=\left\{X \in \Xi_{\star} \mid \mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(X, \Xi_{t \star}\right)=0\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{t, \star}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{\star} \mid \mathbf{g}_{\star}^{-1}\left(\omega, \Omega_{\perp \star}\right)=0\right\} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to [19] Proposition 10 we obtain a convenient direct sum decomposition in case $\mathcal{F}$ is a twist based on Killing vector fields $U \mathfrak{k}$ : as $\mathfrak{X}_{\star}$-bimodules

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Xi_{\star} \cong \Xi_{t \star} \oplus \Xi_{\perp \star} \quad \text { and } \quad \Omega_{\star} \cong \Omega_{t \star} \oplus \Omega_{\perp \star} \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $\left\langle\Xi_{\perp \star}, \Omega_{t \star}\right\rangle_{\star}=\{0\}, \Xi_{t \star}, \Omega_{\perp \star}, \Xi_{\perp \star}, \Omega_{t \star}$ coincide with $\Xi_{t}[[v]], \Omega_{\perp}[[v]], \Xi_{\perp}[[v]], \Omega_{t}[[v]]$ as $\mathbb{K}[[v]]$-modules. Similarly for $\star$-tensor (and $\star$-wedge) powers. The projections $\mathrm{pr}_{t \star}: \Xi_{\star} \rightarrow \Xi_{t \star}$,

[^3]$\operatorname{pr}_{\perp \star}: \Xi_{\star} \rightarrow \Xi_{\perp \star}, \operatorname{pr}_{t \star}: \Omega_{\star} \rightarrow \Omega_{t \star}, \operatorname{pr}_{\perp \star}: \Omega_{\star} \rightarrow \Omega_{\perp \star}$, are $U \mathfrak{k}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant maps that $\mathbb{K}[[v]]-$ linearly extend their classical limits $\mathrm{pr}_{t}, \mathrm{pr}_{\perp}$; they are uniquely extended to $\star$-tensor (and $\star$-wedge) powers. Furthermore, $\Omega_{t \star}=\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{\star} \mid\left\langle\Xi_{\perp \star}, \omega\right\rangle_{\star}=0\right\}$, and the restrictions $\mathbf{g}_{t \star}, \mathbf{g}_{\perp \star}, \mathbf{g}_{t \star}^{-1}, \mathbf{g}_{\perp \star}^{-1}$ of the metric and its inverse to tangent and normal vector fields, respectively 1 -form, are nondegenerate. As a consequence, the first fundamental form
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{g}_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}:=\left(\mathrm{pr}_{t \star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \mathrm{pr}_{t \star}\right)(\mathbf{g})=\left(\mathrm{pr}_{t} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \mathrm{pr}_{t}\right)(\mathbf{g})=\mathbf{g}_{t} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

is undeformed.
We continue to describe the dual picture, namely twisted differential 1-forms on the submanifolds $M_{c}$. There we think of tangent vector fields as vector fields on $M_{c}$, so with regard to the direct sum decomposition (39) the following is natural. Setting $\Omega_{C^{c} \star}:=\left\{\omega \in \Omega_{\star} \mid\left\langle\Xi_{\perp \star}, \omega\right\rangle \subseteq C^{c}[[v]]\right\}$ and $\Omega_{C C^{c} \star}:=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} \Omega_{\star} \star f_{c}^{a}=\bigoplus_{a=1}^{k} f_{c}^{a} \star \Omega_{\star}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{M_{c} \star}=\Omega_{C^{c} \star} / \Omega_{C C^{c} \star}=\left\{[\omega]=\omega+\Omega_{C C^{c} \star} \mid \omega \in \Omega_{C^{c} \star}\right\} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

It turns out, c.f. [19] Proposition 11, that for every $X \in \Xi_{C^{c} \star}$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{C^{c} \star}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{pr}_{t \star}(X) \in[X] \in \Xi_{M_{c} \star} \text { and } \operatorname{pr}_{t \star}(\omega) \in[\omega] \in \Omega_{M_{c} \star} \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, for every $[X] \in \Xi_{M_{c} \star}$ and $[\omega] \in \Omega_{M_{c} \star}$ we can find representatives $\operatorname{pr}_{t \star}(X)$ and $\operatorname{pr}_{t \star}(\omega)$ in $\Xi_{t \star}$ and $\Omega_{t \star}$, respectively.

Consider the Levi-Civita connection $\nabla$ on $\left(\mathcal{D}_{f}, \mathbf{g}\right)$. In the following we describe the twisted Riemannian geometry on the $k$-parameter family $M_{c}$ of codimension $k$ smooth submanifolds. We already mentioned that for a twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{k}$ the twist deformation $\nabla^{\mathcal{F}}$ is the twisted Levi-Civita connection with respect to $\mathbf{g}_{\star}$. This induces a twisted second fundamental form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}:=\left.\operatorname{pr}_{\perp \star} \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{\Xi_{t \star} \otimes_{\star} \Xi_{t \star}}: \Xi_{t \star} \otimes_{X_{\star}} \Xi_{t \star} \rightarrow \Xi_{\perp \star} \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

and twisted Levi-Civita connection

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}:=\left.\operatorname{pr}_{t \star} \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{F}}\right|_{\Xi_{t \star} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[v]]} \Xi_{t \star}}: \Xi_{t \star} \otimes_{\mathbb{K}[[v]]} \Xi_{t \star} \rightarrow \Xi_{t \star} \tag{44}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $M_{c}$. It is proven in [19] Proposition 12 that the tensors corresponding to the second fundamental form, curvature, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar of $\nabla_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}$ remain undeformed, i.e.

$$
\begin{align*}
\Pi^{\mathcal{F}} & =\Pi \in\left(\Omega_{t} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega_{t} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Xi_{\perp}\right)[[v]], & & \mathrm{R}_{t}^{\mathcal{F}}=\mathrm{R}_{t} \in\left(\Omega_{t} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega_{t}^{2} \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Xi_{t}\right)[[v]], \\
\operatorname{Ric}_{t}^{\mathcal{F}} & =\operatorname{Ric}_{t} \in\left(\Omega \otimes_{\mathcal{X}} \Omega\right)[[v]], & & \mathfrak{R}^{\mathcal{F}}=\mathfrak{R} \in \mathcal{X} . \tag{45}
\end{align*}
$$

However, the corresponding linear maps combine via the twisted Gauss equation

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(\mathrm{R}_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z), W\right)= & \mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(\mathrm{R}_{t \star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z), W\right)+\mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(\Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(X, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Z\right), \Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright Y, W\right)\right) \\
& -\mathbf{g}_{\star}\left(\Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1 \widehat{(1)}} \triangleright Y, \overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1(\widehat{(2)}} \triangleright Z\right), \Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X, W\right)\right) \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z, W \in \Xi_{t \star}$, c.f. [19] Proposition 13.

### 3.1 Twisted differential calculus on algebraic submanifolds by generators and relations

In this section we describe the twisted differential calculus on algebraic submanifolds $M_{c}$ in terms of generators and relations. We choose the convenient description via the differential calculus algebra, which allows us to describe functions, differential forms, vector fields and their interaction simultaneously. The construction is divided into two parts, where we first describe the calculus algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and afterwards quotient by an ideal to achieve the description of the submanifolds. Denote the Cartesian coordinate functions of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $x^{i}$ and further abbreviate $\xi^{i}=\mathrm{d} x^{i}, \partial_{i}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$. The unit function is denoted by $x^{0}=\mathbf{1}$ and $\eta^{i} \in\left\{x^{i}, \xi^{i}, \partial_{i}\right\}$ can denote the $i$-th coordinate function, 1 -form or coordinate vector field. Those are the generators of our constructions and consequently we focus on the subalgebra $\mathcal{X}:=\operatorname{Pol}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \subseteq C^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ of polynomial functions and vector fields $\Xi:=\left\{h^{i} \partial_{i} \mid h^{i} \in \operatorname{Pol}^{\bullet}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)\right\}$ with polynomial coefficients in this section. Here and in the following Latin indices $i, j, k, \ldots$ run over $1, \ldots, n$, while Greek indices $\mu, v, \rho, \ldots$ run over $0,1, \ldots, n$. The differential calculus algebra of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is the associative unital $*$-algebra $Q^{\bullet}$ generated by the Hermitian elements $\left\{x^{0}, x^{i}, \xi^{i}, \mathrm{i} \partial_{i}\right\}$ modulo the relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{0} \eta^{i}-\eta^{i}=\eta^{i} x^{0}-\eta^{i}=0 \\
& x^{i} x^{j}-x^{j} x^{i}=0 \\
& \xi^{i} x^{j}-x^{j} \xi^{i}=0 \\
& \partial_{i} \partial_{j}-\partial_{j} \partial_{i}=0  \tag{47}\\
& \partial_{i} \xi^{j}-\xi^{j} \partial_{i}=0 \\
& \xi^{i} \xi^{j}+\xi^{j} \xi^{i}=0 \\
& \partial_{i} x^{j}-x^{j} \partial_{i}-\delta_{i}^{j} x^{0}=0 .
\end{align*}
$$

For any Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(n)$ (the affine Lie algebra on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ ) we obtain a left $U \mathfrak{g}$-module algebra action on $Q^{\bullet}$, determined by primitive elements $g \in \mathfrak{g}$ on generators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g \triangleright x^{0}=\epsilon(g) x^{0}, g \triangleright x^{i}=x^{\mu} \tau^{\mu i}(g), g \triangleright \xi^{i}=\xi^{j} \tau^{j i}(g), g \triangleright \partial_{i}=\tau^{i j}(S(g)) \partial_{j} \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action is well-defined since $\operatorname{aff}(n)$ preserves the ideal (47). A basis of $Q^{\bullet}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{B}:=\left\{\beta^{\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{r}}:=\left(\xi^{1}\right)^{p_{1}} \ldots\left(\xi^{n}\right)^{p_{n}}\left(x^{1}\right)^{q_{1}} \ldots\left(x^{n}\right)^{q_{n}} \partial_{1}^{r_{1}} \ldots \partial_{n}^{r_{n}} \mid \vec{p} \in\{1,0\}^{n}, \vec{q}, \vec{r} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{n}\right\} \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the total degrees $p:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}, q:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i}$ and $r:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i}$ we can define gradings $\emptyset, \sharp$ on $Q^{\bullet}$ compatible with the $*$-algebra structure of the latter by setting $দ\left(\beta^{\vec{p}}, \vec{q}, \vec{r}\right):=p, \sharp\left(\beta^{\vec{p}}, \vec{q}, \vec{r}\right):=$ $q-r$ on the elements of $\mathcal{B}$. There are three fundamental subalgebras $\mathcal{X}=\bigoplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{X}^{q}, \Lambda^{\bullet}=$ $\bigoplus_{p=0}^{n} \Lambda^{p}, \mathcal{D}=\bigoplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{D}^{r}$ of $Q^{\bullet}$, where $\mathcal{X}^{q}, \Lambda^{p}, \mathcal{D}^{r}$ denote the homogeneous polynomials in, respectively, $x^{i}, \xi^{i}$ and $\partial_{i}$ and we set $\mathcal{X}^{0}=\Lambda^{0}=\mathcal{D}^{0}=\mathbb{C} \cdot x^{0}$. Then $\mathcal{X}=\biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \tilde{X}^{q}$ and $\mathcal{D}=\biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{r}$ are filtered with respect to the inhomogeneous polynomials $\tilde{X}^{q}:=\bigoplus_{h=0}^{q} \mathcal{X}^{h}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{r}:=\bigoplus_{h=0}^{r} \mathcal{D}^{h}$, respectively. We further define the left $U \mathfrak{g}$-*-modules $Q^{p q r}:=\Lambda^{p} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{q} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^{r}$ with basis $\mathcal{B}^{p q r}:=\left\{\beta^{\vec{p}, \vec{q}, \vec{r}} \mid p=\sum_{i=1}^{n} p_{i}, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_{i} \leq q, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n} r_{i} \leq r\right\}$. Then $Q^{\bullet}$ is $p$-graded and filtered by $q$ and $r$ with decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty}+\biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty} Q^{p q r} . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a real or unitary twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{g}$ it turns out that the left $U \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}}$-module $*$-algebra $Q_{\star}^{\bullet}$ is again described in terms of generators and relations, namely

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{0} \star x^{i}-x^{i}=x^{i} \star x^{0}-x^{i}=0 \\
& x^{0} \star \xi^{i}-\xi^{i}=\xi^{i} \star x^{0}-\xi^{i}=0 \\
& x^{0} \star \partial_{i}^{\prime}-\partial_{i}^{\prime}=\partial_{i}^{\prime} \star x^{0}-\partial_{i}^{\prime}=0 \\
& x^{i} \star x^{j}-x^{\nu} \star x^{\mu} R_{\mu \nu}^{i j}=0 \\
& \xi^{i} \star x^{j}-x^{v} \star \xi^{h} R_{h \nu}^{i j}=0  \tag{51}\\
& \partial_{i}^{\prime} \star \partial_{j}^{\prime}-R_{\mu \nu}^{h k} \partial_{k}^{\prime} \star \partial_{h}^{\prime}=0 \\
& \partial_{i}^{\prime} \star \xi^{j}-\xi^{j} \star \partial_{i}^{\prime}=0 \\
& \xi^{i} \star \xi^{j}+\xi^{k} \star \xi^{h} R_{h k}{ }^{i j}=0 \\
& \partial_{i}^{\prime} \star x^{j}-R_{\mu i}^{j k} x^{\mu} \star \partial_{k}^{\prime}-\delta_{i}^{j} x^{0}=0,
\end{align*}
$$

where $\partial_{i}^{\prime}:=S(\beta) \triangleright \partial_{i}=\tau^{i j}(\beta) \partial_{j}$ is the $\star$-dual frame to $\xi^{i}=\mathrm{d} x^{i}$, transforming via $g \triangleright \partial_{i}^{\prime}=$ $\tau^{i j}\left(S_{\mathcal{F}}(g)\right) \partial_{j}^{\prime}$. We further denoted $R_{\mu \nu}{ }^{i j}:=\left(\tau^{\mu i} \otimes \tau^{\nu j}\right)(\mathcal{R})$. Eq. (51) are the analogue of the relations defining the quantum group equivariant 'quantum spaces' introduced in [13] and the associated differential calculi algebras (see e.g. formulae (1.10-15) in [16]). As in the untwisted case, $Q_{\star}^{\bullet}$ is $p$-graded and filtered by $q$ and $r$ with decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{\star}^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty} Q_{\star}^{p q r}, \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{\star}^{p q r}:=\Lambda_{\star}^{p} \tilde{X}_{\star}^{q} \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_{\star}^{r}$ consists of (in)homogeneous $\star$-polynomials with basis $\mathcal{B}_{\star}^{p, q, r}$. The *-involution on $Q_{\star}^{\bullet}$ is undeformed if $\mathcal{F}$ is real and in case $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary it is defined on generators by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{0}\right)^{* \star}:=x^{0}, \quad\left(x^{i}\right)^{* \star}:=x^{\mu} \tau^{\mu i}(S(\beta)), \quad\left(\xi^{i}\right)^{* \star}:=\xi^{j} \tau^{j i}(S(\beta)), \quad\left(\partial_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{* \star}:=-\tau^{i j}\left(\beta^{-1}\right) \partial_{j}^{\prime} \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follow from the general formula $s^{* \star}=S(\beta) \triangleright s^{*}$. Now we induce a twist quantization of the submanifolds $M_{c}$ corresponding to the common zero sets $f_{c}^{a}(x)=f^{a}(x)-c^{a}=0$ for all $a=1, \ldots, k$. Choose a basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{B}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ and the corresponding structure constants $C_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} \in \mathcal{X}$. Instead of $\left\{\partial_{1}, \ldots, \partial_{n}\right\}$ we can consider $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{B}, e_{B+1}, \ldots, e_{B+k}\right\}$ with $e_{B+a}:=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial f^{a}}{\partial x^{i}} \partial_{i}$ as a complete set of vector fields $\Xi$ with relations

$$
\begin{align*}
& e_{B+a} x^{h}-x^{h} e_{B+a}-\frac{\partial f^{a}}{\partial x^{h}}=0, \quad a=1, \ldots, k \\
& e_{\alpha} x^{h}-x^{h} e_{\alpha}-x^{\mu} \tau^{\mu h}\left(e_{\alpha}\right)=0, \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, B \\
& t_{\ell}^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}=0, \quad \quad \quad=1, \ldots, B+k-n  \tag{54}\\
& e_{\alpha} e_{\beta}-e_{\beta} e_{\alpha}-C_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} e_{\gamma}=0, \\
& e_{\alpha} \xi^{i}-\xi^{i} e_{\alpha}=0
\end{align*}
$$

for some $t_{\ell}^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{X}$. Consider the free algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\bullet}$ generated by $x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}, \xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{n}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{B}$. Similarly to the previous discussion one shows that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \bullet=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}^{\prime} p q r$ is a $p$-graded, $q, r$-filtered algebra. We denote the ideal in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \bullet$ generated by the usual relations on $x^{i}, \xi^{i}$, the
relations (54) for $\alpha \leq B$ and $f_{c}^{a}(x)=0=\mathrm{d} f^{a}$ by $\mathcal{I}_{M_{c}}$. The corresponding differential calculus algebra is $Q_{M_{c}}^{\bullet}:=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \bullet / I_{M_{c}}$. It is graded and filtered according to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M_{c}}^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty} \biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty}+Q_{M_{c}}^{p q r} \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{M_{c}}^{p q r}:=\mathcal{A}^{\prime p q r} / \mathcal{I}_{M_{c}}^{p q r}$ and $\mathcal{I}_{M_{c}}^{p q r}:=\mathcal{I}_{M_{c}} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime p q r}$. One shows that $Q_{M_{c}}^{\bullet}$ is a left $U \mathfrak{g}$-module *-algebra. For a real or unitary twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{g}$ the twisted differential calculus algebra $Q_{M_{c} \star}^{\bullet}$ on $M_{c}$ can be defined as the result of either path of the commuting diagram

i.e. twist deformation and the quotient procedure commute. It is $p$-graded and $q, r$-filtered via the left $U \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}^{-*}}$-submodules $Q_{M_{c} \star}^{p q r}$, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{M_{c^{\star}}}^{\bullet}=\bigoplus_{p=0}^{n-1} \biguplus_{q=0}^{\infty}+\biguplus_{r=0}^{\infty} Q_{M_{c} \star}^{p q r} \tag{57}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generators and relations determining $Q_{M_{C} \star}^{\bullet}$ are precisely the twist deformations of the generators and relations of $Q_{M_{c}}^{\bullet}$.

### 3.2 Twisted quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$

The determining function of quadric surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is $f(x)=\frac{1}{2} a_{i j} x^{i} x^{j}+a_{0 i} x^{i}+\frac{1}{2} a_{00}$ with $a_{\mu \nu}=a_{\nu \mu}$ for $\mu, \nu=0,1,2,3$. Defining $f_{i}:=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{i}}=a_{i j} x^{j}+a_{0 i}$ and $L_{i j}:=f_{i} \partial_{j}-f_{j} \partial_{i}$ gives a complete set $S_{L}:=\left\{L_{i j}\right\}_{i, j=1, \ldots, n}$ of tangent vector fields. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[L_{i j}, L_{h k}\right]=a_{j h} L_{i k}-a_{i h} L_{j k}-a_{j k} L_{i h}+a_{i k} L_{j h} \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$S_{L}$ is a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, which is acting on $\mathcal{X}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{i j} \triangleright x^{h}=\left(a_{i k} x^{k}+a_{0 i}\right) \delta_{j}^{h}-\left(a_{j k} x^{k}+a_{0 j}\right) \delta_{i}^{h} \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

i.e. $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \operatorname{aff}(n)$ is a Lie subalgebra of the affine Lie algebra. Following the procedure of Section 3.1, starting from the differential calculus algebra $Q^{\bullet}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with relations (51) we first obtain the differential calculus algebra $Q_{M}^{\bullet}$ on the quadric surface $M$ with relations (56). By an Euclidean coordinate transformation we can make $a_{i j}=a_{i} \delta_{i j}, a_{0 i}=0$ if $a_{i} \neq 0$ (quadrics in canonical form).

Given a twist $\mathcal{F}$ on $U \mathfrak{g}$ we then get a quantization $Q_{M \star}^{\bullet}$ of the quadric surface. The latter is deformed as a $*$-algebra if $\mathcal{F}$ is unitary or real. In [18] this is exemplified via Abelian and Jordanian twist deformations of all quadric surfaces of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, except the ellipsoid. The results are summarized in Figure 1.

|  | $a_{1}$ | $a_{2}$ | $a_{3}$ | $a_{03}$ | $a_{00}$ | $r$ | quadric | $\mathfrak{g} \simeq$ | Abelian | Jordanian |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (a) | + | 0 | 0 | - |  | 3 | parabolic cylinder | $\mathfrak{h}(1)$ | Yes | No |
| (b) | + | + | 0 | - |  | 4 | elliptic paraboloid | $\mathfrak{s o}(2) \ltimes \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | Yes | No |
| $(\mathrm{c})$ | + | + | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | elliptic cylinder | $\mathfrak{s o}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ <br> $\mathfrak{s o}(2) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ | Yes <br> Yes | No <br> No |
| $(\mathrm{d})$ | + | - | 0 | - |  | 4 | hyperbolic paraboloid | $\mathfrak{s o ( 1 , 1 ) \ltimes \mathbb { R } ^ { 2 }}$ | Yes | Yes |
| $(\mathrm{e})$ | + | - | 0 | 0 | - | 3 | hyperbolic cylinder | $\mathfrak{s o}(1,1) \times \mathbb{R}^{2}$ <br> $\mathfrak{s o}(1,1) \times \mathbb{R}$ | Yes <br> Yes | Yes <br> No |
| (f) | + | + | - | 0 | - | 4 | 1-sheet hyperboloid | $\mathfrak{s o ( 2 , 1 )}$ | No | Yes |
| (g) | + | + | - | 0 | + | 4 | 2-sheet hyperboloid | $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ | No | Yes |
| (h) | + | + | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | elliptic cone ${ }^{\dagger}$ | $\mathfrak{s o ( 2 , 1 ) \times \mathbb { R }}$ | Yes ${ }^{\dagger}$ | Yes |
| (i) | + | + | + | 0 | - | 4 | ellipsoid | $\mathfrak{s o ( 3 )}$ | No | No |

Figure 1: Overview of the quadrics in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ : signs of the coefficients of the equations in canonical form (if not specified, all $a_{00} \in \mathbb{R}$ are possible), rank, associated symmetry Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, type of twist deformation; $\mathfrak{h}$ (1) stands for the Heisenberg algebra. For fixed $a_{i}$ each class gives a family of submanifolds $M_{c}$ parametrized by $c$, except classes (f), (g), (h), which altogether give a single family; so there are 7 families of submanifolds. We can always make $a_{1}=1$ by a rescaling of $f$. The $\dagger$ reminds that the cone (e) is not a single closed manifold, due to the singularity in the apex.

## Twisted differential geometry on the hyperboloids and cone

Let us recall the family of hyperboloids in Minkowski $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ in detail. For positive numbers $a, b>0$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ we consider the solutions $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+a\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}-b\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right)-c=0 \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

and denote their collection by $M_{c} . M_{c>0}$ is a family of 1-sheet hyperboloids and $M_{c<0}$ a family of 2sheet hyperboloids. Together they from a foliation $\left\{M_{c}\right\}_{c \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{3} \backslash M_{0}$, where $M_{0}$ constitutes the cone ${ }^{4}$. The submanifolds $M_{c}$ have an $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ symmetry with base vectors $L_{12}=x^{1} \partial_{2}-a x^{2} \partial_{1}$, $L_{13}=x^{2} \partial_{3}+b x^{3} \partial_{1}$ and $L_{23}=a x^{2} \partial_{3}+b x^{3} \partial_{2}$. In fact, $H:=\frac{2}{\sqrt{b}} L_{13}$ and $E^{ \pm}:=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} L_{12} \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{a b}} L_{23}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[H, E^{ \pm}\right]= \pm 2 E^{ \pm}, \quad\left[E^{+}, E^{-}\right]=-H \tag{61}
\end{equation*}
$$

For computational reasons it is convenient to work in the coordinate system given by the eigenvectors $y^{ \pm}:=x^{1} \pm \sqrt{b} x^{3}$ and $y^{0}:=x^{2}$ of $H$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda^{ \pm}= \pm 2$ and $\lambda^{0}=0$. The associated coordinate 1 -forms and vector fields are $\eta^{ \pm}=\mathrm{d} y^{ \pm}, \eta^{0}=\mathrm{d} y^{0}$ and $\partial_{ \pm}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{ \pm}}, \partial_{0}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{0}}$. In this coordinate system we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{c}(y) & =\frac{1}{2} y^{+} y^{-}+\frac{a}{2}\left(y^{0}\right)^{2}-c \\
H=2 y^{+} \partial_{+}-2 y^{-} \partial_{-}, \quad E^{ \pm} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} y^{ \pm} \partial_{0}-2 \sqrt{a} y^{0} \partial_{\mp} . \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{4}$ By removing the origin we consider $M_{0}$ as a smooth submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ consisting of two disconnected components.

For later use we also define $\partial^{ \pm}=2 a \partial_{\mp}$ and $\partial^{0}=\partial_{0}$. With this choice of basis the $U \mathfrak{g}$-action on $u^{i} \in\left\{y^{i}, \partial^{i}, \eta^{i}\right\}, i=+,-, 0$, is determined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \triangleright u^{i}=\lambda u^{i}, \quad E^{ \pm} \triangleright u^{i}=\delta_{0}^{i} \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} u^{ \pm}-\delta_{\mp}^{i} \sqrt{a} u^{0} . \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider the unitary twist $\mathcal{F}=\exp \left(H / 2 \otimes \log \left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right)\right) \in U \mathfrak{g}^{\otimes 2}[[v]]$ and its deformed Hopf algebra $U \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{F}}$. The latter coincides with the $\mathbb{C}[[v]]$-linear extension of the algebra $U \mathfrak{g}$, with $\mathbb{C}[[v]]$-linear extended counit but twisted coproduct $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$ and antipode $S_{\mathcal{F}}$ determined by

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}(H)=\Delta(H)-\mathrm{i} v H \otimes \frac{E^{+}}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}, \quad \Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E^{+}\right)=\Delta\left(E^{+}\right)+\mathrm{i} v E^{+} \otimes E^{+}, \\
\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E^{-}\right)=\Delta\left(E^{-}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{2} H \otimes\left(H+\frac{\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}\right) \frac{1}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}-\mathrm{i} v E^{-} \otimes \frac{E^{+}}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}-\frac{v^{2}}{4} H^{2} \otimes \frac{E^{+}}{\left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right)^{2}}, \\
S_{\mathcal{F}}(H)=S(H)\left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right), \quad S_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E^{+}\right)=\frac{S\left(E^{+}\right)}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}, \\
S_{\mathcal{F}}\left(E^{-}\right)=S\left(E^{-}\right)\left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{2} H\left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right)\left(H+\frac{\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}{1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}}\right)+\frac{v^{2}}{4} H\left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right) H E^{+} .
\end{array}
$$

The corresponding twist deformation $Q_{\star}^{\bullet}$ of the differential calculus algebra of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is the free algebra $\star$-generated by $u^{i} \in\left\{y^{i}, \partial^{i}, \xi^{i}\right\}, i=+,-, 0$, with $\star$-product of $u^{i} \in\left\{y^{i}, \partial^{i}, \xi^{i}\right\}$ and $w^{j} \in\left\{y^{j}, \partial^{j}, \xi^{j}\right\}, i, j=+,-, 0$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{i} \star w^{j}=u^{i} w^{j}+\mathrm{i} v\left(\delta_{-}^{i}-\delta_{+}^{i}\right) u^{i}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \delta_{0}^{j} w^{+}-2 \sqrt{a} \delta_{-}^{j} w^{0}\right)+\delta_{+}^{i} \delta_{-}^{j} 2 v^{2} u^{+} w^{+} \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

One can formulate the differential calculus algebra only in terms of these generators and the relations

$$
\begin{array}{r}
u^{+} \star u^{0}=u^{0} \star u^{+}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} u^{+} \star u^{+}, \quad u^{+} \star u^{-}=u^{-} \star u^{+}+2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a} u^{0} \star u^{+}+2 v^{2} u^{+} \star u^{+}, \\
u^{0} \star u^{-}=u^{-} \star u^{0}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} u^{-} \star u^{+}, \quad u^{+} \star \eta^{+}=\eta^{+} \star u^{+}, \quad u^{+} \star \eta^{0}=\eta^{0} \star u^{+}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} \eta^{+} \star u^{+}, \\
u^{+} \star \eta^{-}=\eta^{-} \star u^{+}+2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a} \eta^{0} \star u^{+}+2 v^{2} \eta^{+} \star u^{+}, \quad u^{0} \star \eta^{+}=\eta^{+} \star u^{0}+\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} \eta^{+} \star u^{+}, \\
u^{0} \star \eta^{0}=\eta^{0} \star u^{0}, \quad u^{0} \star \eta^{-}=\eta^{-} \star u^{0}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} \eta^{-} \star u^{+}, \quad u^{-} \star \eta^{+}=\eta^{+} \star u^{-}-2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a} \eta^{+} \star u^{0}, \\
u^{-} \star \eta^{0}=\eta^{0} \star u^{-}+\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} \eta^{+} \star u^{-}+2 v^{2} \eta^{+} \star u^{0}, \\
u^{-} \star \eta^{-}=\eta^{-} \star u^{-}+2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a}\left(\eta^{-} \star u^{0}-\eta^{0} \star u^{-}\right)+2 v^{2} \eta^{-} \star u^{+}
\end{array}
$$

for $u^{i}=y^{i}, \partial^{i}, i=+,-, 0$. The twisted Leibniz rule for the derivatives read

$$
\begin{gathered}
\partial^{+} \star y^{+}=y^{+} \star \partial^{+}, \quad \partial^{0} \star y^{+}=y^{+} \star \partial^{0}+\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} y^{+} \star \partial^{+}, \quad \partial^{-} \star y^{+}=2 a+y^{+} \star \partial^{-}-\mathrm{i} 2 v \sqrt{a} y^{+} \star \partial^{0}, \\
\partial^{+} \star y^{0}=y^{0} \star \partial^{+}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} y^{+} \star \partial^{+}, \quad \partial^{-} \star y^{0}=y^{0} \star \partial^{-}+\mathrm{i} 2 v \sqrt{a}+\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} y^{+} \star \partial^{-}+2 v^{2} y^{+} \star \partial^{0}, \\
\partial^{0} \star y^{0}=1+y^{0} \star \partial^{0}, \\
\partial^{0} \star y^{-}=y^{-} \star \partial^{0}-\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} y^{-} \star \partial^{+}, \quad \partial^{-} \star y^{-}=y^{-} \star \partial^{-}+\mathrm{i} 2 v+y^{-} \star \partial^{+}+\mathrm{i} 2 v \sqrt{a}\left(y^{-} \star y^{0} \star \partial^{+}+y^{0} \star v^{2} y^{+} \star \partial^{+},\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

while the twisted wedge products fulfill

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\eta^{+} \star \eta^{+}=0, & \eta^{0} \star \eta^{0}=0, \\
\eta^{+} \star \eta^{0}+\eta^{0} \star \eta^{+}=0, & \eta^{+} \star \eta^{-}+\eta^{-} \star \eta^{+}=2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a} \eta^{0} \star \eta^{-}, \\
a & \eta^{+} \star \eta^{0},
\end{array} \eta^{0} \star \eta^{-}+\eta^{-} \star \eta^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{i} v}{\sqrt{a}} \eta^{-} \star \eta^{+} .
$$

In terms of star products

$$
H=2\left(\partial_{+} \star y^{+}-1-y^{-} \star \partial_{-}\right), \quad E^{ \pm}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \partial_{0} \star y^{ \pm}-2 \sqrt{a} y^{0} \star \partial_{\mp}
$$

The relations characterizing the $U \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{F}}$-equivariant $*$-algebra $Q_{M_{c} \star}^{\bullet}$ become

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=f_{c}(y) \equiv \frac{1}{2} y^{-} \star y^{+}+\frac{a}{2} y^{0} \star y^{0}-c \\
& 0=\mathrm{d} f_{c}=\frac{1}{2}\left(y^{-} \star \eta^{+}+\eta^{-} \star y^{+}\right)+a y^{0} \star \eta^{0}, \\
& 0=y^{-} \star E^{+}-y^{+} \star E^{-}-\sqrt{a} y^{0} \star H+\mathrm{i} v y^{+} \star H-2 \mathrm{i} v(1+\mathrm{i} v) y^{+} \star E^{+} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The $*$-structures on $U \mathfrak{g}^{\mathcal{F}}, Q_{\star}^{\bullet}, Q_{M_{c} \star}^{\bullet}$ remain undeformed except $\left(u^{-}\right)^{* \star}=\left(u^{-}\right)^{*}-2 \mathrm{i} v \sqrt{a}\left(u^{0}\right)^{*}$.

## Twisted Riemannian geometry on the circular hyperboloids

Let us consider the Minkowski metric $\mathbf{g}:=\mathrm{d} x^{1} \otimes \mathrm{~d} x^{1}+\mathrm{d} x^{2} \otimes \mathrm{~d} x^{2}-\mathrm{d} x^{3} \otimes \mathrm{~d} x^{3}$ on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with corresponding constants $\mathbf{g}\left(\partial_{i}, \partial_{j}\right)=\eta_{i j}$. This metric is invariant under $\mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ and so it is equivariant under the induced $U \mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$-action. In this last section we specify the previous discussion on hyperboloids to the family $M_{c}=f_{c}^{-1}(\{0\})$ of circular hyperboloids and cone, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{c}(x)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}\right)-c \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently (62) with $a=1$ in the transformed coordinates. The Lie $*$-algebra symmetry $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{s o}(2,1)$ of $M_{c}$ is spanned by $L_{12}, L_{13}, L_{23}$, or equivalently $H, E^{+}, E^{-}$. Depending on the sign of $c$, the first fundamental form $\mathbf{g}_{t}=\mathbf{g} \circ\left(\mathrm{pr}_{t} \otimes \mathrm{pr}_{t}\right)$ structures $M_{c}$ as a Riemannian (for $c<0$ ) or a Lorentzian (for $c>0$ ) manifold. On the cone $M_{0}$ there is a degeneracy of $\mathbf{g}$. Furthermore, for $c \neq 0$ the second fundamental form is $\Pi(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2 c} \mathbf{g}(X, Y) V_{\perp}$ for $X, Y \in \Xi_{t}$,
where $V_{\perp}=\left(\partial_{j} f_{c}\right) \eta^{j i} \partial_{i}=x^{i} \partial_{i}$. Choosing a basis $v_{1}, v_{2}$ of $\Xi_{t}$ and setting $\mathbf{g}_{\alpha \beta}=\mathbf{g}\left(v_{\alpha}, v_{\beta}\right)$ the Gauss theorem determines the curvature, Ricci tensor and Ricci scalar on $M_{c}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{R}_{t}{ }_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{\delta}=\frac{\mathbf{g}_{\alpha \gamma} \delta_{\beta}^{\delta}-\mathbf{g}_{\beta \gamma} \delta_{\alpha}^{\delta}}{2 c}, \quad \operatorname{Ric}_{t \beta \gamma}=\mathrm{R}_{t}{ }_{\alpha \beta \gamma}^{\alpha}=-\frac{\mathbf{g}_{\beta \gamma}}{2 c}, \quad \Re_{t}=\operatorname{Ric}_{t \beta \beta}=-\frac{1}{c} \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $M_{c<0}$ is a de Sitter space $d S_{2}$ and $M_{c>0}$ consists of two copies of anti-de Sitter spaces $A d S_{2}$. In the limit $c \rightarrow 0$ the expressions (66) diverge. Now $\left\{H, E^{ \pm}\right\}$is a complete set of vector fields on $M_{c}$ with linear dependence relation $y^{-} E^{+}-y^{+} E^{-}-y^{0} H=0$, where we employed again the coordinate system $y^{ \pm}:=x^{1} \pm \sqrt{b} x^{3}$ and $y^{0}:=x^{2}$ of eigenvectors of $H$. As before we consider the twisted differential calculus algebra $Q_{M_{C} \star}^{\bullet}$ for the unitary Jordanian twist $\mathcal{F}=\exp \left(H / 2 \otimes \log \left(1+\mathrm{i} v E^{+}\right)\right)$. Following Section 2.4 the tensors (66) remain undeformed under the twist, while

$$
\Pi_{\star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y)=-\frac{1}{2 c} \mathbf{g}_{t \star}(X, Y) V_{\perp}=-\frac{1}{2 c} \mathbf{g}_{t \star}(X, Y) \star V_{\perp}
$$

holds using the $U \mathfrak{k}$-invariance of $V_{\perp}$. Similarly

$$
\mathrm{R}_{t \star}^{\mathcal{F}}(X, Y, Z)=\frac{\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{1} \triangleright Y\right) \star \mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(\overline{\mathcal{R}}_{2} \triangleright X, Z\right)-X \star \mathbf{g}_{t \star}(Y, Z)}{2 c}, \quad \operatorname{Ric}_{t \star}^{\mathcal{F}}(Y, Z)=-\frac{\mathbf{g}_{t \star}(Y, Z)}{2 c}
$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \Xi_{t \star}$ and we obtain explicit expressions of $\mathbf{g}_{t \star}$ on the generating vector fields $H, E^{ \pm}$:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{g}_{t \star}(H, H)=-8 y^{+} y^{-}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(H, E^{ \pm}\right)=-2 y^{ \pm} y^{0}, \\
\mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(E^{+}, E^{+}\right)=\left(y^{+}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(E^{+}, E^{-}\right)=2 c+\left(y^{0}\right)^{2}-2 \mathrm{i} v y^{+} y^{0}-2 v^{2}\left(y^{+}\right)^{2}, \\
\mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(E^{+}, H\right)=-2 y^{+} y^{0}+2 \mathrm{i} v\left(y^{+}\right)^{2}, \quad \\
\mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(E^{-}, E^{+}\right)=2 c+\left(y^{0}\right)^{2}, \\
\left., E^{-}\right)=\left(y^{-}\right)^{2}, \quad \mathbf{g}_{t \star}\left(E^{-}, H\right)=-2 y^{0} y^{-}-2 \mathrm{i} v\left[2 c+\left(y^{0}\right)^{2}\right]+2 \mathrm{i} v y^{0} y^{-} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Furthermore, the twisted Levi-Civita connection is determined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\nabla_{E^{+}}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{+}=-2 y^{+} \partial_{-}, \quad \nabla_{E^{+}}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{-}=-2 y^{+} \partial_{+}-2 y^{0} \partial_{0}+4 \mathrm{i} v \partial_{-}+4 v^{2} y^{+} \partial_{-}, \\
\nabla_{E^{+}}^{\mathcal{F}} H=4 y^{0} \partial_{-}-4 \mathrm{i} v y^{+} \partial_{-}, \quad \nabla_{E^{-}}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{+}=-2 y^{-} \partial_{-}-2 y^{0} \partial_{0}, \\
\nabla_{E^{-}}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{-}=-2 y^{-} \partial_{+}+4 \mathrm{i} v y^{0} \partial_{+}, \quad \nabla_{E^{-}}^{\mathcal{F}} H=-4 y^{0} \partial_{+}+4 \mathrm{i} v\left(y^{0} \partial_{0}+y^{-} \partial_{-}\right), \\
\nabla_{H}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{+}=2 y^{+} \partial_{0}, \quad \nabla_{H}^{\mathcal{F}} E^{-}=-2 y^{-} \partial_{0}, \quad \nabla_{H}^{\mathcal{F}} H=4 y^{+} \partial_{+}+4 y^{-} \partial_{-}
\end{gathered}
$$

on the generating vector fields $H, E^{ \pm}$.

## References

[1] Aschieri, P.: Cartan structure equations and Levi-Civita connection in braided geometry. arXiv:2006.02761
[2] Aschieri, P., Castellani, L.:Noncommutative Gravity Solutions. J. Geom. Phys. 60, 375 (2009)
[3] Aschieri, P., Dimitrijevic, M., Meyer, F., Wess, J.: Noncommutative geometry and gravity. Classical and Quantum Gravity 23, 1883 (2006)
[4] Aschieri, P., Fioresi, R., Latini, E:, Weber, T.: Differential Calculi on Quantum Principal Bundles over Projective Bases. arXiv:2110.03481
[5] P. Aschieri, H. Steinacker, J. Madore, P. Manousselis, G. Zoupanos Fuzzy extra dimensions: Dimensional reduction, dynamical generation and renormalizability, SFIN A1 (2007) 25-42; and references therein.
[6] Bayen, F., Flato, M., Fronsdal, C., Lichnerowicz, A., Sternheimer, D.: Deformation theory and quantization, part I. Ann. Physics 111, 61 (1978); Deformation theory and quantization, part II. Ann. of Phys. 111, 111 (1978); For a review see: Sternheimer, D.: Deformation quantization: twenty years after. in Particles, fields, and gravitation (Lodz, 1998) AIP Conf. Proc. 453, 107 (1998)
[7] Bursztyn, H., Waldmann, S.: Deformation Quantization of Hermitian Vector Bundles Lett. Math. Phys. 53, 349-365 (2000).
[8] Chamseddine, A. H., Connes, A., van Suijlekom, W. D.: Beyond the Spectral Standard Model: Emergence of Pati-Salam Unification, JHEP 2013, 132 (2013); Grand Unification in the Spectral Pati-Salam Model, JHEP 2015, 11 (2015)
[9] Connes, A.: Noncommutative geometry. Academic Press (1995)
[10] Connes, A., Lott, J.: Particle models and noncommutative geometry. Nuclear Physics B (Proc. Suppl.) 18B, 29 (1990)
[11] Doplicher, S., Fredenhagen, K., Roberts, J. E.: Spacetime Quantization Induced by Classical Gravity. Phys. Lett. B 331, 39 (1994); The quantum structure of spacetime at the Planck scale and quantum fields. Commun. Math. Phys. 172, 187 (1995)
[12] Drinfel'd, V. G.: On constant quasiclassical solutions of the Yang-Baxter equations, Sov. Math. Dokl. 28, 667 (1983)
[13] Faddeev, L. and Reshetikhin, N. and Takhtajan, L.: Quantization of Lie groups and Lie algebras. Leningrad Math. J. 1 (1990), 193.
[14] Fiore, G.: Deforming Maps for Lie Group Covariant Creation \& Annihilation Operators. J. Math. Phys. 39, 3437 (1998)
[15] Fiore, G.: Drinfel'd Twist and $q$-Deforming Maps for Lie Group Covariant Heisenberg Algebras Rev. Math. Phys. 12, 327 (2000)
[16] Fiore, G.: Quantum group covariant (anti)symmetrizers, $\varepsilon$-tensors, vielbein, Hodge map and Laplacian. J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 37, 9175 (2004)
[17] Fiore, G.: On second quantization on noncommutative spaces with twisted symmetries. J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 43, 155401 (2010)
[18] Fiore, G., Franco, D., Weber, T.: Twisted quadrics and algebraic submanifolds in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, Math. Phys. Anal. Geom. 23, 38 (2020)
[19] Fiore, G., Weber, T.: Twisted submanifolds of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Lett. Math. Phys. 111, 76 (2021)
[20] Fiore, G., Weber, T.: Twisted Riemannian geometry on submanifolds of codimension 2. Forthcoming paper.
[21] Giunashvili, Z.: Noncommutative Symplectic Foliation, Bott Connection and Phase Space Reduction. Georgian Math. J. 11, 255 (2004)
[22] Gurevich, D., Majid, S.: Braided groups of Hopf algebras obtained by twisting. Pacific J. Math. 162(1), 27 (1994).
[23] Gracia-Bondia, J. M., Figueroa, H., Varilly, J.: Elements of Non-commutative geometry. Birkhauser (2000)
[24] Landi, G.: An introduction to noncommutative spaces and their geometries. Lecture Notes in Physics 51, Springer-Verlag (1997)
[25] Madore, J.: An introduction to noncommutative differential geometry and its physical applications. Cambridge University Press (1999)
[26] Majid, S.: Foundations of Quantum Group Theory. Cambridge University Press (1995)
[27] Masson, T.: Submanifolds and Quotient Manifolds in Noncommutative Geometry. J. Math. Phys. 37, 2484 (1996)
[28] Ogievetsky, O. V.: Hopf structures on the Borel subalgebra of $\operatorname{sl}(2)$. Suppl. Rendiconti cir. Math. Palermo Serie II N 37, 185 (1993)
[29] Reshetikhin, N.: Multiparameter Quantum Groups and Twisted Quasitriangular Hopf Algebras. Lett. Math. Phys. 20, 331 (1990)
[30] Weber, T.: Braided Cartan calculi and submanifold algebras. J. Geom. Phys. 150, 103612 (2020)
[31] Woronowicz, S. L.: Differential calculus on compact matrix pseudogroups (quantum groups). Commun. Math. Phys. 122(1), 125 (1989)


[^0]:    *Speaker

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ For instance, the noncommutative algebra $\mathcal{A}$ "of functions on the quantum group $S U_{q}(n)$ " is obtained from the one on the quantum group $U_{q}(n)$ by imposing that the so-called $q$-determinant be 1 , as in the $q=1$ commutative limit, and one can construct various differential calculi on $\mathcal{A}$ [31].

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ This restriction might be relaxed by adopting the more general framework recently introduced in [1].

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ In fact, then all $\gamma \equiv \sum_{a=1}^{k} f^{a} \gamma^{a} \in C\left(\gamma^{a} \in \mathcal{X}\right)$ can be written also in the form $\gamma=\sum_{a=1}^{k} f^{a} \star \gamma^{a}$, so that for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{X}$, by the associativity of $\star, \gamma \star \alpha=\left(\sum_{a=1}^{k} f^{a} \star \gamma^{a}\right) \star \alpha=\sum_{a=1}^{k} f^{a} \star\left(\gamma^{a} \star \alpha\right)=\sum_{a=1}^{k} f^{a}\left(\gamma^{a} \star \alpha\right) \in C[[v]]$, as claimed; and similarly for $\alpha \star \gamma$.

