Supercurrent in Bi₄Te₃ Topological Material-Based Three-Terminal Junctions

Jonas Kölzer,^{1,2} Abdur Rehman Jalil,^{1,2} Daniel Rosenbach,^{1,2} Lisa Arndt,³ Gregor Mussler,^{1,2}

Peter Schüffelgen,^{1,2} Detlev Grützmacher,^{1,2} Hans Lüth,^{1,2} and Thomas Schäpers^{1,2,*}

¹Peter Grünberg Institut (PGI-9), Forschungszentrum Jülich, Wilhelm-Johnen-Straße, 52425 Jülich, Germany

² JARA-Fundamentals of Future Information Technology, Jülich-Aachen Research Alliance,

Forschungszentrum Jülich and RWTH Aachen University, 52425 Jülich, Germany

³ JARA Institute for Quantum Information, RWTH Aachen University, Germany

(Dated: January 4, 2023)

In an in-situ prepared three-terminal Josephson junction based on the topological insulator Bi_4Te_3 and the superconductor Nb the transport properties are studied. The differential resistance maps as a function of two bias currents reveal extended areas of Josephson supercurrent including coupling effects between adjacent superconducting electrodes. The observed dynamics for the coupling of the junctions is interpreted using a numerical simulation of a similar geometry based on a resistively and capacitively shunted Josephson junction model. The temperature dependency indicates that the device behaves similar to prior experiments with single Josephson junctions comprising topological insulators weak links. Irradiating radio frequencies to the junction we find a spectrum of integer Shapiro steps and an additional fractional step, which is interpreted by a skewed current-phase relationship. In a perpendicular magnetic field we observe Fraunhofer-like interference patterns of the switching currents.

I. INTRODUCTION

Hybrid structures comprising three-dimensional topological insulator nanoribbons combined with superconductors are a very promising platform for realizing circuits for fault-tolerant topological quantum computing [1–4]. For its operation Majorana bound states are employed, which are formed by aligning an external magnetic field with a nanoribbon proximitized with an s-type superconductor [5–7]. For the braiding of different pairs of Majorana states for qubit operation multi-terminal structures are required [2, 8, 9]. Braiding can be performed by adjusting the superconducting phase of the superconducting electrodes to each other.

Multi-terminal Josephson junctions are the backbone of Majorana braiding mechanism in a topological qubit; where a three-terminal Josephson junction acts as a basic building block [2]. Understanding the superconducting transport in such a device holds a key importance for the realization of a topological quantum system. Generally, the use of hybrid devices with multiple connections leads to rich physics in terms of transport properties. Indeed, theoretical studies have investigated singularities, such as Weyl nodes, in the Andreev spectra of multi-terminal Josephson junctions [10-12]. Moreover, multi-terminal Josephson junctions with topologically trivial superconducting leads may lead to realizations where the junction itself can be regarded as an artificial topological material [13]. Furthermore, three-terminal junctions also allow transport via the quartet mechanism and non-local Andreev processes [14–17].

On the experimental side, multi-terminal Josephson junctions were fabricated with different materials for the weak link. In three-terminal Josephson junctions with a Cu or InAs nanowire subgap states [18, 19] and halfinteger Shapiro steps [20] were observed, indicating transport via quartets of entangled Cooper pairs. Supercurrent flow affected by dissipative currents in an adjacent junction was studied on graphene-based junctions [21]. Moreover, the higher-dimensional phase space was found to lead to fractional Shapiro steps in this type of junctions due to the inverse AC Josephson effect [4]. By combining a multi-terminal junction with a top gate, the effect of gate voltage and magnetic field on the critical current contour has been studied [3, 24, 25]. Recently, flakes of the topological insulator Bi_2Se_3 were also used as a weak link in an interferometer structure, and evidence for a non-sinusoidal current-phase relationship was observed [26]. In flux-controlled three-terminal junctions based on Bi_2Te_3 , the opening and closing of a minigap was studied using normal probes [27].

Here, we report on the transport properties of a threeterminal Josephson junction based on the Bi₄Te₃ material system as the weak link and Nb as the superconductor. To fabricate the samples, we used selective-area growth for the Bi_4Te_3 layer in combination with an insitu bridge technology to define the superconducting electrodes [2]. Bi_4Te_3 is a natural superlattice of alternating Bi₂ bilayers and Bi₂Te₃ quintuple layers. Initially, Bi₄Te₃ has been reported to be a semimetal with zero band gap and a Dirac cone at the Γ point [29]. However, recent band structure calculations in conjunction with scanning tunneling spectroscopy and angular photoemission spectroscopy measurements suggest that the material is a semimetal with topological surface states [30–32]. In particular, advanced GW-band structure calculations have shown that a band gap of about $0.2 \,\mathrm{eV}$ opens at the Γ point, which significantly reduces the density of the bulk state in this energy range [32]. Bi_4Te_3 is classified as a dual topological insulator, a strong topological insulator

^{*} th.schaepers@fz-juelich.de

with a non-zero mirror Chern number, i.e. a topological crystalline insulator phase. Though Bi₄Te₃ does not exhibit the proposed Dirac semimetal phase, it is still a very interesting material as it resides in close proximity to the critical point of band crossing in the topological phase diagram of $\operatorname{Bi}_x\operatorname{Te}_y$ alloys [33]. Such a transition is proposed by Yang et al. [34] where a topological crystalline insulator (Bi_2Te_3) [35] can be topologically transformed into a topological Dirac semimetal through alloving it with other materials. On our multi-terminal junctions, we first investigated the DC properties and related the results to simulations based on the resistively and capacitively shunted Josephson junction (RCSJ) model. We then measured the radio frequency (rf) response, finding evidence for coupling of adjacent junctions. Finally, the behavior of our three-terminal junctions when an out-ofplane magnetic field is applied is investigated.

II. EXPERIMENTAL

Using the previously introduced technologies of topological insulator selective-area growth and in-situ bridge technology we fabricated three-terminal Josephson junctions, as illustrated in Fig. 1(a) [2, 36]. The geometry of the nanoribbon T-shaped junction for selectivearea growth is defined by trenches in a SiO_2/Si_3N_4 (5 nm/15 nm) layer on a highly-resistive Si (111) substrate [37]. First, the 600-nm-wide nanotrenches are etched into the top Si₃N₄ layer using a combination of electron beam lithography and reactive ion etching. Subsequently, a second set of layers, i.e. a 100-nm-thick SiO₂ layer and a 300-nm-thick Si_3N_4 layer, is deposited on top to define the stencil mask for the in-situ Nb deposition [2]. After patterning the structures for the stencil mask into Si_3N_4 , SiO_2 is etched in hydrofluoric acid (HF) forming the free-hanging bridge structures. Simultaneously, the Si(111) surface in the selective-area growth trenches is released in the bottom SiO_2 layer defined by the Si_3N_4 layer on top. The Bi_4Te_3 layer is selectively grown within these trenches, while the Si_3N_4 bridge structures are employed to define the geometry of the in situ deposited superconducting electrodes [2]. The Bi_4Te_3 layer is grown at a temperature of 310°C using molecular beam epitaxy. Subsequently, the 50-nm-thick superconducting Nb electrodes are deposited by electron beam evaporation followed by covering the whole structure with a 5-nm-thick Al_2O_3 dielectric capping layer. Our processing scheme ensured a high-quality crystalline topological insulator material with clean superconductor interfaces [2, 38], as reported in previous transmission electron microscopy studies. An electron microscopy image of the investigated device is presented in Fig. 1(b).

The measurements of the three-terminal Josephson junction were carried out in a dilution refrigerator with base temperature of T = 25 mK. containing a 1 - 1 - 6 T vector magnet. As indicated in Fig. 1(b), the left, right, and bottom junction electrodes are labeled as "L", "R",

and "B", respectively. Two current sources supply currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ from L and R to the bottom electrode, respectively, with the according voltages $V_{\rm LB}$ and $V_{\rm RB}$ measured. The differential resistances are measured by adding an ac current of 10 nA to the DC current bias using a lock-in amplifier. The rf-irradiation for the Shapiro step measurements was provided by an antenna placed in close vicinity to the sample.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DC characteristics

Information about the basic junction characteristics is obtained by measuring the differential resistances $R_{\rm LB} =$ $\Delta V_{\rm LB}/\Delta I_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB} = \Delta V_{\rm RB}/\Delta I_{\rm RB}$ as a function of the bias currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB},$ respectively. Starting with the left junction we find that $R_{\rm LB}$ shown in Figs 2(a) and (b) contains a superconducting region in the center when $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ are varied. The observed critical current contour is similar to what has been observed in induced superconducting nano junctions made of high mobility materials such as InAs/Al [3, 24] or graphene [21]. The superconducting region extends along an inclined line indicated by the dashed line in Fig. 2(a). The switching to the superconducting state can be seen in the line cuts at fix values $I_{\rm RB} = 0$ and $\pm 0.7 \mu A$ provided in Fig. 2(b). The extension of the superconducting state originates from a part of $I_{\rm RB}$ which flows via R to L through the junction between L and B compensating the current I_{LR} partly and by that reducing the total current. For our three-terminal device no reduced differential resistance is observed along the line $I_{\rm LB} = I_{\rm RB}$, which would indicate the presence of a Josephson supercurrent between the junction formed between electrodes L and R [3, 25]. We attribute this to the fact that the distance between these electrodes is slightly larger than for the other junctions so that no Josephson supercurrent is obtained. However, the junction between L and R acts as a shunt resistor taking care that the switching to the superconducting state is non-hysteretic. The differential resistance $R_{\rm RB}$ measured between R and B electrodes, depicted in Figs 2(c) and (d), shows a similar behaviour as $R_{\rm LB}$, i.e. featuring also an extended superconducting range due a compensation provided by part of $I_{\rm LR}$. The tilt of the superconducting range indicated by the dashed line in Figure 2(c) is lower compared to Fig. 2(a) since now $I_{\rm LR}$ is the compensating current.

Simulations

The experimental results are modeled by assuming a network of two resistively and capacitively shunted Josephson (RCSJ) junctions coupled by a resistor R_C , as illustrated in Fig. 3(a). Solving the related system of differential equations numerically, in analogy to what was presented in previous works [3, 4], we simulate the

3



FIG. 1. Rendering of a selective-area grown three-terminal Josephson junction and false color scanning electron micrograph with circuit: (a) The three-terminal junction is composed of the silicon substrate (gray bottom layer), the first hard mask composed out of a silicon oxide (white)/ silicon nitride (blue) layer (as indicated by the labels). On top of this another hard mask layer composed of silicon oxide (white) and silicon nitride (blue) is deposited and patterned as a shadow mask. The topological insulator (red) is grown selectively into the first hard mask trench and the shadow mask is used for the definition of the junction in the metal deposition (silver) step. (b) False-color scanning electron micrograph of the in-situ prepared three-terminal junction device. Niobium contacts (cyan) are deposited on top of the TI (red). The measurement configuration is also indicated.



FIG. 2. Differential resistance maps: (a) shows $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of the bias currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ at 25 mK with corresponding line cuts given in (b). In (c) the differential resistance map of $R_{\rm RB}$ is depicted with a selection of line cuts given in (d). The dashed lines in **a** and (c) indicate the superconducting regions of compensating bias currents. The differential resistances was measured by using lock-in technique, i.e. $R_{\rm LB} = \Delta V_{\rm LB} / \Delta I_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB} = \Delta V_{\rm RB} / \Delta I_{\rm RB}$.

behaviour of the experimental system (information about the procedure see Supplementary Material). The results of the simulations are shown in Figs. 3(b) to (e), where the differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$ is given as a function of the bias currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$.

The model describes the experiment well by reproducing the Josephson supercurrent along the inclined lines originating from compensating currents from both electrodes with a superconducting region at the center. The inclination is determined by the coupling resistance R_C .

In Figs. 3(b) and (c), the coupling resistance was taken as $R_C = 4 \cdot R_{\text{LB}}$, with $R_{\text{LB}} = 40 \,\Omega$ which results in the same tilt as observed experimentally. Taking these values into account the normal state resistance is given by $R_N = 6/5 \cdot R_{\rm LB} = 48 \,\Omega$. In our simulations for the critical current and for the Steward-McCumber parameter we assumed $I_c = 538 \,\mathrm{nA}$ and $\beta_c = (2e/\hbar)I_c R_N^2 C = 0.1$, respectively, with c the junction capacitance. We found that the superconducting state in the junction between R and B leads to some weak feature as a similar line inclined towards horizontal orientation. Note, that for this line $R_{\rm LB}$ is non-zero, as the supercurrent in the other junction only partly reduces the current in the junction between L and B and hence only partially reduces the voltage drop. A noticeable difference between experiment and simulation is that in the measurements the extension of the superconducting state observed along the inclined line (cf. Fig. 2(a) is decreased compared to the simulation depicted in Fig. 3(b). As discussed by Draelos *et* al. [21], this effect can be explained by dissipation in the neighboring junction being in the normal state resulting in an effective heating, in particular for junctions with small dimensions. In our simulation the direct coupling between the different junctions was neglected. As shown by Arnault et al. [4], including coupling results in a more complex contour of the critical current area. If the coupling resistance becomes very small, i.e. $R_C \rightarrow 0$, the observed lines in the differential resistance shift towards the diagonal (cf. Figs. 3(d) and (e). Thus, both junctions are maximally correlated to both current biases $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$.

Temperature dependence

In Figs. 4(a) to (f) the differential resistance maps are shown for $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB}$ measured at temperatures of



FIG. 3. Numerical simulation of different coupling scenarios: (a) The three-terminal circuit is modeled by two RCSJ shunted Josephson junctions J_{LB} and J_{RB} (green and blue dashed line boxes), which are each modeled by a resistor R_N , a capacitor c, and an ideal Josephson junction J. Currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ are supplied via current sources while the voltage drops $V_{\rm LB}$ and $V_{\rm RB}$ across the junctions are measured. Both junctions are coupled via a coupling resistance R_C . (b) Differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of current biases for a realistic scenario for R_C close to the one extracted in the experiment: $R_N = 40 \Omega$, $R_C = 160 \Omega$, $I_c = 538 \text{ nA}$, $\beta_c = (2e/\hbar)I_c R_N^2 C = 0.1$. The zero resistance range is observed as a tilted line due to a compensation by a part of $I_{\rm RB}$. Additionally, the influence of the second junction is observed as a similar line close to horizontal orientation. The corresponding line cuts indicated in (b) are presented in (c). The scenario for a very small coupling resistance $(R_C \rightarrow 0)$ is shown as a color map of $R_{\rm LB}$ and selected line cuts in (d) and (e).

100 mK, 200 mK, and 800 mK. One finds that with increasing temperature the area of the central superconducting region shrinks. This is in accordance with the temperature dependence of the critical current of a single Nb/Bi₄Te₃/Nb reference junction, as shown in the Supplementary Material. It is noteworthy that the superconducting feature along the inclined lines basically does not change with increasing temperature. This can be explained by the fact, that the dissipation in the neighboring junction already leads to an increased temperature being larger than the substrate temperature.



FIG. 4. Differential resistance maps at various temperatures: Left column (a), (c), (e) shows the differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$, right column (b), (d), (f) $R_{\rm RB}$, accordingly. The temperatures displayed in the rows from up to down are 100 mK, 200 mK, and 800 mK, respectively.

rf characteristics

Next the radio frequency response of the system is investigated in order to confirm that the experiment is described well by Josephson junction physics and to analyze the rf response of the Josephson current. This is done by first choosing a frequency and an amplitude for the rf irradiation so that both junctions show a large rf response in the differential resistance. Subsequently the same DC bias sweeps are performed as in the prior experiments. Figures 5(a) and (b) show Shapiro step measurements of the differential resistances $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB},$ respectively, as a function of bias currents $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$. The differential resistances are calculated by numerical differentiation. Differential resistances obtained by lock-in amplifier measurements can be found in the Supplementary Material. The rf frequency $f_{\rm rf}$ and the according power was set to 5.8 GHz and 0 dBm, respectively. The differential resistances show clear intercrossing stripe-like patterns which can be attributed to the presence of Shapiro steps confirming the presence of a Josephson supercurrent. The intercrossing parallel stripes indicating a coupling of both junctions. By calculating the related voltage drop we find that for both junctions the Shapiro steps are located at integer multiples, n = 1, 2, 3..., of the characteristic



FIG. 5. Shapiro step measurements at 5.8 GHz: (a) Numerically determined differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ at 5.8 GHz and rf power of 0 dBm. (b) Corresponding map of the differential resistance $R_{\rm RB}$.

voltage $V_0 = h f_{\rm rf}/2e$.

In Figs. 6(a) and (b) the differential resistance maps of $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm LB},$ now taken at 8.5 GHz at 0 dBm, are depicted, respectively. Here, the color maps are plotted as a function of the normalized voltages $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$. On first sight one finds that the Shapiro step pattern is more pronounced in $R_{\rm LB}$. We attribute this to a stronger coupling of the rf signal compared to the neighbouring junction due to spatial variations of the rf field. As for the measurements at 5.8 GHz a coupling of both junctions, although weaker, is observed. Our experimental results of Shapiro step measurements are supported by comparison to simulations based on the previously introduced RCSJ model. In Supplementary Figures 4(a)and (b) maps of the simulated values of $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of the normalized bias voltages are shown. There, one finds that the coupling by R_C results in a weak cross coupling of the Shapiro signal resulting in intercrossing stripe-like patterns of different contrast.

A closer inspection of the resistance map presented in Fig. 6(a) reveals that apart from the integer Shapiro steps also half-integer Shapiro steps, e.g. at n = 1/2, are observed. The half integer steps are also clearly resolved in the averaged value of $R_{\rm LB}$ along $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ shown in Fig. 6(a). In single Josephson junctions such fractional steps are interpreted by assuming a skewed current-phase relationship [39–41] (a simulation for this case using our model is provided in the Supplementary Material). More specifically for multi-terminal junctions the rf response of superconductivity induced into normal metal has been studied previously by Duvauchelle et al. [20]. Here, halfinteger steps have been found and interpreted as a feature due to the presence of coherent quartet states. However, in Fig. 2 we did not find indications of quartet states, which would be visible by a feature in the differential resistance at opposite voltage drops on the left and right terminal [18]. Other experimental observations of such fractional steps in multi-terminal junctions are interpreted on the basis of highly connected nonlinear networks of Josephson junctions, where (due to the higher phase space) different transitions of the phase particle in the washboard potential are possible [4]. However, since fractional Shapiro steps were observed in single junctions

made with similar materials [42], we favor the explanation based on a skewed current-phase relationship, which can be attributed to contributions of quasi-ballistic transport. In our measurements under rf radiation we did not find indications of missing odd Shapiro steps, as predicted when Majorana bound states are present in topological junctions [2, 43]. Probably, for our samples the narrow width of the Bi_4Te_3 ribbons prevents the formation of these states, since due to the finite Berry phase a magnetic field along the junctions is required to gain a gap closure for the coherent surface states around the nanoribbon cross section [36].

Magnetic field response

The junction characteristics were also analyzed in a perpendicularly oriented magnetic field B_{\perp} . In Fig. 7(a) the magnetic field dependence $R_{\rm LB}$ is plotted as a function of B_{\perp} and $I_{\rm LB}$, while $I_{\rm RB}$ is kept at zero. One clearly observes a Fraunhofer-like interference pattern of the switching current, i.e. the boundary between the red superconducting areas and the areas with finite resistance. The blue line in Fig. 7(a) indicates the according fitting based on the Fraunhofer interference relation. The close resemblance of the experimental data to an ideal Fraunhofer pattern points towards a relatively homogeneous distribution of the supercurrent density. From the fit we extract a period of about $\Delta B = 14 \,\mathrm{mT}$, which corresponds to a junction area of 152×10^3 nm². Relating these values to the dimensions of the left junction $J_{\rm LB}$ one finds that the period is about a factor of ten smaller than expected. Based on the actual junction size of $200 \times 72 \text{ nm}^2$ a period of $144 \,\mathrm{mT}$ is expected for a h/2e flux periodicity. We attribute the discrepancy to the experimental period to a pronounced flux focusing effect, where the magnetic field is expelled from the edge regions of the superconducting electrodes and bundled in the junction area. As a matter of fact, a comparably large flux focusing effect was previously observed in similar planar Josephson junctions based on topological insulators and Nb superconducting electrodes [36].

In Fig. 7(b) the magnetic field dependence $R_{\rm RB}$ is shown as a function of B_{\perp} and $I_{\rm RB}$ at $I_{\rm LB} = 0$. Once again, a Fraunhofer-like interference is observed, although with a smaller period, i.e. an larger effective area where the magnetic flux is picked up. The reason for the difference compare to the measurements shown in Fig. 7(a) might be some inhomogeneity in the supercurrent density in the junction. Finally, the $R_{\rm LB}$ maps are scanned diagonally, i.e. $I_{\rm LB} = I_{\rm RB}$, as shown in Fig. 7(c). Here, once again a regular Fraunhofer pattern is observed, which is almost identical to the pattern shown in Fig. 7(d), indication, that the current $I_{\rm RB}$ through the neighboring junction basically has not effect.



FIG. 6. Shapiro step measurements at 8.5 GHz: (a) Numerically determined differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of the normalized voltage drops $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$ at 8.5 GHz and rf power of 0 dBm, with $V_0 = hf_{\rm rf}/2e$. The blue curves represent the averaged signal along $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$, respectively. The dashed lines indicate the half-integer steps. (b) Corresponding map of the differential resistance $R_{\rm RB}$.



FIG. 7. Differential resistances under perpendicular magnetic field sweep: (a) shows a map of $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of B_{\perp} and $I_{\rm LB}$ for $I_{\rm RB} = 0$. (b) represents the corresponding map of $R_{\rm RB}$ as a function of B_{\perp} and $I_{\rm RB}$ for $I_{\rm LB} = 0$. In (c) $R_{\rm LB}$ is plotted with the sweep current chosen to be $I_{\rm LB} = I_{\rm RB}$, which corresponds a sweep along the diagonal in the current plane. In all cases a standard Fraunhofer pattern is fitted indicated as blue lines.

IV. CONCLUSION

We have succeeded in extending the previously developed in situ fabrication technology for Josephson junctions to a working more complex design of a threeterminal junction. Analysis of the transport experiments shows that our system indeed behaves like a coupled network of Josephson junctions in DC transport, rf response, as well as magnetic field response. This is the first report on the topological multi-terminal devices where an interaction between the individual Josephson junctions is observed. Moreover, the observation of fractional steps in the rf response opens a window that provides a first insight into the novel physics of this type of device. On a more technical level, our results demonstrate the realization of more complex devices required for network structures in topological quantum circuits.

Further investigations and detailed understanding of such a system are crucial for the realization of complex topological quantum systems. In future, similar experiments with more intricate circuit designs and superconducting phase controlled measurements will be performed. The complexities in the junction characteristics arose from the selected weak-link material Bi_4Te_3 . In future experiments we plan to incorporate conventional three-dimensional topological insulators, e.g. Bi_2Te_3 , Sb_2Te_3 , Bi_2Se_3 , and the topological Dirac semimetal exhibited by the correctly tuned Bi_xTe_y stoichiometric alloy.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Herbert Kertz for technical assistance as well as Kristof Moors and Roman Riwar for fruitful discussion. This work was partly funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy—Cluster of Excellence Matter and Light for Quantum Computing (ML4Q) EXC 2004/1—390534769, the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) via the Quantum Futur project "MajoranaChips" (Grant No. 13N15264) within the funding program Photonic Research Germany, as well as the Bavarian Ministry of Economic Affairs, Regional Development and Energy

- within Bavaria's High-Tech Agenda Project "Bausteine für das Quantencomputing auf Basis topologischer Materialien mit experimentellen und theoretischen Ansätzen" (grant allocation no. 07 02/686 58/1/21 1/22 2/23).
- A. Kitaev, Fault-tolerant quantum computation by anyons, Annals of Physics 303, 2 (2003).
- [2] T. Hyart, B. van Heck, I. C. Fulga, M. Burrello, A. R. Akhmerov, and C. W. J. Beenakker, Flux-controlled quantum computation with Majorana fermions, Phys. Rev. B 88, 035121 (2013).
- [3] D. Aasen, M. Hell, R. V. Mishmash, A. Higginbotham, J. Danon, M. Leijnse, T. S. Jespersen, J. A. Folk, C. M. Marcus, K. Flensberg, and J. Alicea, Milestones toward Majorana-based quantum computing, Phys. Rev. X 6, 031016 (2016).
- [4] J. Manousakis, A. Altland, D. Bagrets, R. Egger, and Y. Ando, Majorana qubits in a topological insulator nanoribbon architecture, Phys. Rev. B 95, 165424 (2017).
- [5] A. Cook and M. Franz, Majorana fermions in a topological-insulator nanowire proximity-coupled to an swave superconductor, Phys. Rev. B 84, 201105 (2011).
- [6] A. M. Cook, M. M. Vazifeh, and M. Franz, Stability of Majorana fermions in proximity-coupled topological insulator nanowires, Phys. Rev. B 86, 155431 (2012).
- [7] H. F. Legg, D. Loss, and J. Klinovaja, Majorana bound states in topological insulators without a vortex, Phys. Rev. B 104, 165405 (2021).
- [8] L. Fu and C. L. Kane, Superconducting proximity effect and Majorana fermions at the surface of a topological insulator, Phys. Rev. Lett. 100, 096407 (2008).
- [9] J. P. T. Stenger, M. Hatridge, S. M. Frolov, and D. Pekker, Braiding quantum circuit based on the 4π Josephson effect, Phys. Rev. B **99**, 035307 (2019).
- [10] T. Yokoyama and Y. V. Nazarov, Singularities in the Andreev spectrum of a multiterminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B 92, 155437 (2015).
- [11] E. Eriksson, R.-P. Riwar, M. Houzet, J. S. Meyer, and Y. V. Nazarov, Topological transconductance quantization in a four-terminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B 95, 075417 (2017).
- [12] H.-Y. Xie, M. G. Vavilov, and A. Levchenko, Weyl nodes in Andreev spectra of multiterminal Josephson junctions: Chern numbers, conductances, and supercurrents, Phys. Rev. B 97, 035443 (2018).
- [13] R.-P. Riwar, M. Houzet, J. S. Meyer, and Y. V. Nazarov, Multi-terminal Josephson junctions as topological matter, Nature Comm. 7, 1 (2016).
- [14] M. Houzet and P. Samuelsson, Multiple Andreev reflections in hybrid multiterminal junctions, Phys. Rev. B 82, 060517 (2010).
- [15] A. Freyn, B. Douçot, D. Feinberg, and R. Mélin, Production of nonlocal quartets and phase-sensitive entanglement in a superconducting beam splitter, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 257005 (2011).
- [16] M. P. Nowak, M. Wimmer, and A. R. Akhmerov, Supercurrent carried by nonequilibrium quasiparticles in a multiterminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B 99, 075416

(2019).

- [17] A. Melo, V. Fatemi, and A. R. Akhmerov, Multiplet supercurrent in Josephson tunneling circuits, SciPost Phys. 12, 17 (2022).
- [18] A. H. Pfeffer, J. E. Duvauchelle, H. Courtois, R. Mélin, D. Feinberg, and F. Lefloch, Subgap structure in the conductance of a three-terminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B **90**, 075401 (2014).
- [19] Y. Cohen, Y. Ronen, J.-H. Kang, M. Heiblum, D. Feinberg, R. Mélin, and H. Shtrikman, Nonlocal supercurrent of quartets in a three-terminal Josephson junction, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115, 6991 (2018).
- [20] J. E. Duvauchelle, A. H. Pfeffer, H. Courtois, and F. Lefloch, Quantum coherence of the quartet scheme observed by Shapiro resonance under radio-frequency irradiation in three terminal Josephson junctions, IEEE Transactions on Applied Superconductivity 26, 1 (2016).
- [21] A. W. Draelos, M.-T. Wei, A. Seredinski, H. Li, Y. Mehta, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, I. V. Borzenets, F. Amet, and G. Finkelstein, Supercurrent flow in multiterminal graphene Josephson junctions, Nano Letters 19, 1039–1043 (2019).
- [4] E. G. Arnault, T. F. Q. Larson, A. Seredinski, L. Zhao, S. Idris, A. McConnell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, I. Borzenets, F. Amet, and G. Finkelstein, Multiterminal inverse ac Josephson effect, Nano Letters 21, 9668 (2021).
- [3] G. V. Graziano, J. S. Lee, M. Pendharkar, C. J. Palmstrøm, and V. S. Pribiag, Transport studies in a gatetunable three-terminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B 101, 054510 (2020).
- [24] N. Pankratova, H. Lee, R. Kuzmin, K. Wickramasinghe, W. Mayer, J. Yuan, M. G. Vavilov, J. Shabani, and V. E. Manucharyan, Multiterminal Josephson effect, Phys. Rev. X 10, 031051 (2020).
- [25] G. V. Graziano, M. Gupta, M. Pendharkar, J. T. Dong, C. P. Dempsey, C. Palmstrøm, and V. S. Pribiag, Selective control of conductance modes in multi-terminal Josephson junctions, arXiv preprint arXiv:2201.01373 (2022).
- [26] C. Kurter, A. Finck, Y. S. Hor, and D. J. Van Harlingen, Evidence for an anomalous current-phase relation in topological insulator Josephson junctions, Nature Comm. 6, 1 (2015).
- [27] G. Yang, Z. Lyu, J. Wang, J. Ying, X. Zhang, J. Shen, G. Liu, J. Fan, Z. Ji, X. Jing, F. Qu, and L. Lu, Protected gap closing in Josephson trijunctions constructed on Bi₂Te₃, Phys. Rev. B 100, 180501 (2019).
- [2] P. Schüffelgen, D. Rosenbach, C. Li, T. W. Schmitt, M. Schleenvoigt, A. R. Jalil, S. Schmitt, J. Kölzer, M. Wang, B. Bennemann, U. Parlak, L. Kibkalo, S. Trellenkamp, T. Grap, D. Meertens, M. Luysberg, G. Mussler, E. Berenschot, N. Tas, A. A. Golubov, A. Brinkman, T. Schäpers, and D. Grützmacher, Selective area growth

and stencil lithography for in situ fabricated quantum devices, Nature Nanotechnology 14, 825 (2019).

- [29] Y. Saito, P. Fons, K. Makino, K. V. Mitrofanov, F. Uesugi, M. Takeguchi, A. V. Kolobov, and J. Tominaga, Compositional tuning in sputter-grown highly-oriented Bi–Te films and their optical and electronic structures, Nanoscale 9, 15115 (2017).
- [30] T. Chagas, G. A. S. Ribeiro, P. H. R. Gonçalves, L. Calil, W. S. Silva, Â. Malachias, M. S. C. Mazzoni, and R. Magalhães-Paniago, Bi₂:Bi₂Te₃ stacking influence on the surface electronic response of the topological insulator Bi₄Te₃, Electronic Structure 2, 015002 (2020).
- [31] T. Chagas, O. A. Ashour, G. A. S. Ribeiro, W. S. Silva, Z. Li, S. G. Louie, R. Magalhães Paniago, and Y. Petroff, Multiple strong topological gaps and hexagonal warping in Bi₄Te₃, Phys. Rev. B 105, L081409 (2022).
- [32] D. Nabok, M. Tas, S. Kusaka, E. Durgun, C. Friedrich, G. Bihlmayer, S. Blügel, T. Hirahara, and I. Aguilera, Bulk and surface electronic structure of Bi₄Te₃ from *GW* calculations and photoemission experiments, Phys. Rev. Materials 6, 034204 (2022).
- [33] A. R. Jalil, Engineering topological superlattices and their epitaxial integration in selectively grown hybrid nanostructures via MBE, Ph.D. thesis, RWTH Aachen University (2022).
- [34] B.-J. Yang and N. Nagaosa, Classification of stable threedimensional dirac semimetals with nontrivial topology, Nature Comm. 5, 1 (2014).
- [35] T. c. v. Rauch, M. Flieger, J. Henk, I. Mertig, and A. Ernst, Dual topological character of chalcogenides: Theory for Bi₂Te₃, Phys. Rev. Lett. **112**, 016802 (2014).
- [36] D. Rosenbach, T. W. Schmitt, P. Schüffelgen, M. P. Stehno, C. Li, M. Schleenvoigt, A. R. Jalil, G. Mussler, E. Neumann, S. Trellenkamp, A. A. Golubov, A. Brinkman, D. Grützmacher, and T. Schäpers, Reappearance of first Shapiro step in narrow topological Josephson junctions, Science Advances 7, eabf1854 (2021).
- [37] T. W. Schmitt, M. R. Connolly, M. Schleenvoigt, C. Liu, O. Kennedy, J. M. Chávez-Garcia, A. R. Jalil, B. Bennemann, S. Trellenkamp, F. Lentz, *et al.*, Integration of topological insulator Josephson junctions in superconducting qubit circuits, Nano Letters 22, 2595 (2022).
- [38] J. Kölzer, K. Moors, A. R. Jalil, E. Zimmermann, D. Rosenbach, L. Kibkalo, P. Schüffelgen, G. Mussler, D. Grützmacher, T. L. Schmidt, H. Lüth, and T. Schäpers, In-plane magnetic field-driven symmetry breaking in topological insulator-based three-terminal junctions, Comm. Materials 2, 116 (2021).
- [39] R. A. Snyder, C. J. Trimble, C. C. Rong, P. A. Folkes, P. J. Taylor, and J. R. Williams, Weak-link Josephson junctions made from topological crystalline insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 097701 (2018).
- [40] R. Panghotra, B. Raes, C. C. de Souza Silva, I. Cools, W. Keijers, J. E. Scheerder, V. V. Moshchalkov, and J. Van de Vondel, Giant fractional Shapiro steps in anisotropic Josephson junction arrays, Comm. Physics 3, 53 (2020).
- [41] B. Raes, N. Tubsrinuan, R. Sreedhar, D. S. Guala, R. Panghotra, H. Dausy, C. C. de Souza Silva, and J. Van de Vondel, Fractional Shapiro steps in resistively shunted Josephson junctions as a fingerprint of a skewed current-phase relationship, Phys. Rev. B 102, 054507 (2020).

- [42] D. Rosenbach, Quantum transport and induced superconductivity in selectively deposited topological insulator devices, Dissertation, RWTH Aachen University, Aachen (2021).
- [43] F. Domínguez, O. Kashuba, E. Bocquillon, J. Wiedenmann, R. S. Deacon, T. M. Klapwijk, G. Platero, L. W. Molenkamp, B. Trauzettel, and E. M. Hankiewicz, Josephson junction dynamics in the presence of 2π- and 4πperiodic supercurrents, Phys. Rev. B **95**, 195430 (2017).

Supplementary Material: Supercurrent in Bi₄Te₃ Topological Material-Based Three-Terminal Junctions

SI. SINGLE JUNCTION MEASUREMENTS

As a reference a single Nb/Bi₄Te₃/Nb junction was measured. The junction has a length of 140 nm and a width of 500 nm. In Supplementary Figure S1(a) the current voltage characteristics is shown at temperatures in the range from 30 mK to 0.77 K. At lowest temperature a critical current of 750 nA is obtained. In contrast to the three terminal junction, here, a hysteretic behaviour is observed, which can be explained by the missing shunt for the single Josephson junction. We attribute the hysteresis to heating resulting in a lower return current I_r compared to I_c [S1]. The critical current monotonously decreases with temperature with some kink around 0.4 K. The latter might be attributed to a switching from a more diffusive to a more ballistic transport in the weak link [S2].



Supplementary Figure S1. Current-voltage characteristics of a single Nb/Bi₄Te₃/Nb junction: (a) Current-voltage characteristics at temperatures ranging from 30 mK to 0.77 K. (b) Critical current I_c as well as return current I_r as a function of temperature.

SII. RCSJ MODEL FOR A THREE-TERMINAL JUNCTION

The characteristics of our three-terminal junctions is simulated by employing a two-dimensional resistively and capacitively shunted Josephson junction (RCSJ) Ansatz in analogy to what was presented in previous works [S3, S4]. In Fig. 3(a) in the main text the corresponding network is depicted including two resistively and capacitively shunted Josephson junctions with the normal state resistance R_N and the capacitance C. We assume two identical junctions each having a critical current of I_c . The junctions are connected by a coupling resistor R_C representing the non-superconducting junction between electrodes L and R. Following the RCSJ Ansatz the characteristics of the three-terminal junction can be described by a set of coupled differential equations of the form:

$$\frac{I_{\rm LB}}{I_c} = \sin(\varphi_{\rm LB}) + \frac{d\varphi_{\rm LB}}{d\tilde{\tau}} + \beta_c \frac{d^2 \varphi_{\rm LB}}{d\tilde{\tau}^2} + \frac{R_N}{R_C} \left(\frac{d\varphi_{\rm RB}}{d\tilde{\tau}} - \frac{d\varphi_{\rm LB}}{d\tilde{\tau}}\right), \tag{S1}$$

$$\frac{I_{\rm RB}}{I_c} = \sin(\varphi_{\rm RB}) + \frac{d\varphi_{\rm RB}}{d\tilde{\tau}} + \beta_c \frac{d^2 \varphi_{\rm RB}}{d\tilde{\tau}^2} - \frac{R_N}{R_C} \left(\frac{d\varphi_{\rm RB}}{d\tilde{\tau}} - \frac{d\varphi_{\rm LB}}{d\tilde{\tau}}\right) \,, \tag{S2}$$

with φ_{LB} and φ_{RB} the phase differences between junctions J_{LB} and J_{RB} , respectively, $\tilde{\tau} = t/\tau_J$ the normalized time, $\tau_J = \Phi_0/(2\pi I_c R_N)$, with $\Phi_0 = h/2e$ the magnetic flux quantum, and $\beta_c = (2e/\hbar)I_c R_N^2 C$ the Stewart-McCumber parameter [S5]. The equations are similar to the standard RCSJ model for a single junction, except of the last term, which introduces the current through the resistor, coupling the two junctions. This current is a result of the voltage difference between the two junctions and the coupling resistance. For $R_C \to \infty$ the coupling term goes to zero, leading to two individual junctions (decoupled system) and for $R_C \to 0$ the system is dominated by the coupling term.

SIII. SHAPIRO STEPS IN THREE-TERMINAL JUNCTION EXPERIMENTS

The differential resistances $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB}$ exposed to an rf radiation with a frequency of 5.8 GHz at 0 dBm recorded as a function of the applied DC currents are presented in Supplementary Figures S2(a) and (b). In contrast to the corresponding figure, which was gained by numerical differentiation, here, the resistance is directly taken using a lock-in amplifier. In Supplementary Figures S3 the corresponding measurements at a frequency of 5.8 GHz at 0 dBm are shown.



Supplementary Figure S2. Shapiro Step response at 5.8 GHz: (a) shows the measured differential resistance across the first junction $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of the direct current $I_{\rm LB}$ and $I_{\rm RB}$ across the junction. (b) shows $R_{\rm RB}$ for the same current constellation.



Supplementary Figure S3. Shapiro Step response at 8.5 GHz: **a** shows the measured differential resistance across the first junction R_{LB} as a function of the direct current I_{LB} and I_{RB} across the junction. **b** shows R_{RB} for the same current constellation.

SIV. SHAPIRO STEPS IN THREE-TERMINAL JUNCTION SIMULATION

Using the model described in Supplementary Information SII the Shapiro response was simulated by adding an oscillation contribution $i_{j,rf} \sin(2\pi f_{rf}t)$, j = LB, RB, to the dc bias currents. The simulated differential resistances R_{LB} and R_{RB} as a function of the normalized voltage drops at a frequency of 8.5 GHz are presented in Supplementary Figures S4(a) and (b). One finds that the Shapiro response is strong in the corresponding junctions, while the coupling from the neighboring junction is weak.

In order to simulate the appearance of the fractional Shapiro steps a non-sinusoidal current-phase relationship was assumed for the Josephson junction by including a $\sin(2\varphi)$ contribution. In Supplementary Figures S5(a) and (b) the



Supplementary Figure S4. Shapiro step simulations at 8.5 GHz: (a) Numerically determined differential resistance $R_{\rm LB}$ as a function of the normalized voltage drops $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$ at 8.5 GHz. The blue curves represent the averaged signal along $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$, respectively. (b) Corresponding map of the differential resistance $R_{\rm RB}$ with the blue curves representing the averaged differential resistance along $V_{\rm LB}/V_0$ and $V_{\rm RB}/V_0$, respectively.

respective simulation outcomes $R_{\rm LB}$ and $R_{\rm RB}$ for junctions $J_{\rm LB}$ and $J_{\rm RB}$ are shown as a function of bias currents. One finds that by increasing the sin 2φ contribution fractional steps appear.

- [S3] G. V. Graziano, J. S. Lee, M. Pendharkar, C. J. Palmstrøm, and V. S. Pribiag, Transport studies in a gate-tunable three-terminal Josephson junction, Phys. Rev. B 101, 054510 (2020).
- [S4] E. G. Arnault, T. F. Q. Larson, A. Seredinski, L. Zhao, S. Idris, A. McConnell, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, I. Borzenets, F. Amet, and G. Finkelstein, Multiterminal inverse ac Josephson effect, Nano Letters 21, 9668 (2021).
- [S5] M. Tinkham, Introduction to Superconductivity (Dover Publications, New York, 2004).

[[]S1] H. Courtois, M. Meschke, J. T. Peltonen, and J. P. Pekola, Origin of hysteresis in a proximity Josephson junction, Physical Review Letters 101, 067002 (2008).

[[]S2] P. Schüffelgen, D. Rosenbach, C. Li, T. W. Schmitt, M. Schleenvoigt, A. R. Jalil, S. Schmitt, J. Kölzer, M. Wang, B. Bennemann, U. Parlak, L. Kibkalo, S. Trellenkamp, T. Grap, D. Meertens, M. Luysberg, G. Mussler, E. Berenschot, N. Tas, A. A. Golubov, A. Brinkman, T. Schäpers, and D. Grützmacher, Selective area growth and stencil lithography for in situ fabricated quantum devices, Nature Nanotechnology 14, 825 (2019).



Supplementary Figure S5. Simulation of Shapiro maps at 8.5 GHz with 2ϕ term: (a) differential resistance of the first junction Shapiro steps as a function of I_{LB} , I_{RB} with an equal contribution of a $\sin 2\phi$ -term in the system, (b) the same for the second junction. (c) and (d) show the same after doubling the $\sin 2\phi$ contribution in the system and (e) and (f) show the same after doubling the contribution of (c) and (d).