

Sharp Ramsey thresholds for large books

Qizhong Lin,^{*} Ye Wang[†]

Abstract

For graphs G and H , let $G \rightarrow H$ signify that any red/blue edge coloring of G contains a monochromatic H . Let $G(N, p)$ be the random graph of order N and edge probability p . The sharp thresholds for Ramsey properties seemed out of hand until a general technique was introduced by Friedgut (*J. AMS* 12 (1999), 1017–1054). In this paper, we obtain the sharp Ramsey threshold for the book graph $B_n^{(k)}$, which consists of n copies of K_{k+1} all sharing a common K_k . In particular, for every fixed integer $k \geq 1$ and for any real $c > 1$, let $N = c2^k n$. Then for any real $\gamma > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(N, p) \rightarrow B_n^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 - \gamma), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 + \gamma). \end{cases}$$

The sharp Ramsey threshold $\frac{1}{c^{1/k}}$ for $B_n^{(k)}$, e.g. a star, is positive although its edge density tends to zero.

Keywords: Ramsey number; Random graph; Ramsey threshold; Regularity method

1 Introduction

For graphs G and H , let $G \rightarrow H$ signify that any red/blue edge coloring of G contains a monochromatic copy of H . The Ramsey number $r(H)$ is defined as the minimum N such that $K_N \rightarrow H$. Ramsey's theory [38] guarantees that the Ramsey number $r(H)$ is finite for all H . The question of whether or not G has the Ramsey property $G \rightarrow H$ is of particular interest when G is a typical random graph from the probability space $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$, defined by Erdős-Rényi [15], where n is the number of ordered vertices and p is the probability of edge appearance. A random graph in $\mathcal{G}(n, p)$ is always denoted by $G(n, p)$.

The Ramsey threshold $p(n)$ (tending to zero) of the event $G(n, p) \rightarrow H$ is defined by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(n, p) \rightarrow H) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \ll p(n), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \gg p(n). \end{cases}$$

We also call $p_\ell = o(p(n))$ and $p_u = \Omega(p(n))$ a lower Ramsey threshold and an upper Ramsey threshold, respectively. It is often to signify $\Pr(G(n, p) \rightarrow H) \rightarrow 1$ and $\Pr(G(n, p) \rightarrow H) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ by saying that *asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s.) $G(n, p) \rightarrow H$ and a.a.s. $G(n, p) \not\rightarrow H$, respectively. If we can replace $p \ll p(n)$ and $p \gg p(n)$ in the above with $p \leq (1 - \gamma)p(n)$ and

^{*}Center for Discrete Mathematics, Fuzhou University, Fuzhou, 350108, P. R. China. Email: linqizhong@fzu.edu.cn. Supported in part by NSFC (No. 12171088, 12226401) and NSFFJ (No. 2022J02018).

[†]College of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China. Email: ywang@hrbeu.edu.cn. Supported in part by NSFC (No. 12101156).

$p \geq (1 + \gamma)p(n)$ for every $\gamma > 0$, respectively, then the Ramsey threshold is said to be **sharp**. For convenience, we always say such $p(n)$ is a sharp Ramsey threshold for H .

The study of Ramsey thresholds was initiated by Frankl and Rödl [16] and independently by Łuczak, Ruciński and Voigt [31], who proved that $p = 1/\sqrt{n}$ is a Ramsey threshold for triangle. In a series of papers [16, 31, 39, 40, 41], the Ramsey thresholds are determined for all graphs H . For a graph H , let $v(H)$ and $e(H)$ be the numbers of vertices and edges of H , respectively. The Ramsey threshold for a fixed graph was determined by Rödl and Ruciński [41], who proved that (except H is a path of length 3 as was pointed out in [17] or a disjoint union of stars)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(n, p) \rightarrow H) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \ll n^{-1/m_2(H)}, \\ 1 & \text{if } p \gg n^{-1/m_2(H)}, \end{cases}$$

where $m_2(H) = \max\{\frac{e(F)-1}{v(F)-2} : F \subseteq H, v(F) \geq 3\}$. This result has a short proof from Nenadov and Steger [34].

The sharp thresholds for Ramsey properties seemed out of hand until a general technique for settling these questions was introduced by Friedgut [18]. In particular, Friedgut and Krivelevich [17] obtained all sharp thresholds for fixed trees except the star and a path of length 3. When H is a triangle, it was established by Friedgut, Rödl, Ruciński and Tetali [19].

In the following, we mainly focus on the situations when the graphs are large. A closely related problem is the size Ramsey number. For a graph H , Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [13] defined the size Ramsey number as $\hat{r}(H) = \min\{e(G) : G \rightarrow H\}$. Beck [3] proved $\hat{r}(P_n) = O(n)$ for path P_n of length n , who in fact showed a.a.s.

$$G(c_1 n, c_2/n) \rightarrow P_n,$$

where c_1 and c_2 are positive constants. This has been improved by Dudek and Prałat [12].

For a path P_n of length n , Gerencsér and Gyárfás [20] proved $r(P_n) = n + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil$. Thus if $N < 3n/2$, $G(N, 1) \rightarrow P_n$ is an impossible event. But if $c > 1$, Letzter [28] proved a.a.s.

$$G(3cn/2, p) \rightarrow P_n$$

when $pn \rightarrow \infty$, hence $p = \frac{1}{n}$ is a Ramsey threshold of $G(3cn/2, p) \rightarrow P_n$, from which Letzter [28] improved Beck's result [3] further. For more references for non-diagonal cases of the Ramsey thresholds, we refer the reader to [2, 23, 32, 33] etc.

Let $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta, n}$ be the family of graphs H with order n and maximum degrees at most Δ . Beck [4] conjectured that the size Ramsey number $\hat{r}(H_n) = O(n)$ for any $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta, n}$. However, Rödl and Szemerédi [43] showed that it does not hold even for $\Delta = 3$. In 2011, Kohayakawa, Rödl, Schacht and Szemerédi [25] proved that for every fixed $\Delta \geq 2$, there exist constants $B = B(\Delta)$ and $C = C(\Delta)$ such that if $N = \lceil Bn \rceil$ and $p = C(\log N/N)^{1/\Delta}$, then for any $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta, n}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(N, p) \rightarrow H) = 1.$$

This implies that $\hat{r}(H) = O(n^{2-1/\Delta} \log^{1/\Delta} n)$ for any $H \in \mathcal{F}_{\Delta, n}$.

Let $B_n^{(k)}$ be the book graph consisting of n copies of K_{k+1} , all sharing a common K_k . Let $K_{k, n}$ be the complete bipartite graph with two parts of sizes k and n . Clearly, both of these two special families of graphs do not belong to $\mathcal{F}_{\Delta, n}$. The corresponding Ramsey-type problems of

these two families of graphs have attracted a great deal of attention. A classical result of Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [13] implies that

$$\hat{r}(K_{k,n}) = \Theta(n), \text{ and } \hat{r}(B_n^{(k)}) = \Theta(n^2).$$

Moreover, Li, Tang and Zang [30] proved that $r(K_{k,n}) = (2^k + o(1))n$, but $r(B_n^{(k)})$ is much harder to handle. When $k = 2$, Rousseau and Sheehan [44] showed that $r(B_n^{(2)}) \leq 4n + 2$, and the equality holds if $4n + 1$ is a prime power. After many years, Conlon [9] established that

$$r(B_n^{(k)}) = (2^k + o(1))n, \tag{1}$$

which confirms a conjecture of Thomason [47] asymptotically and also gives an answer to a problem proposed by Erdős [13]. The small term $o(1)$ in (1) is improved further by Conlon, Fox and Wigderson [10]. For more Ramsey numbers of books, the reader is referred to [6, 11, 35, 36, 37] etc.

In this paper, we obtain sharp Ramsey thresholds for $B_n^{(k)}$ and $K_{k,n}$.

Theorem 1.1 *Let $N = c2^k n$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer and $c > 1$ is a real number. Then for any $\gamma > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(N, p) \rightarrow B_n^{(k)}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 - \gamma), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 + \gamma). \end{cases}$$

Remark. Although the edge density of the book graph $B_n^{(k)}$ tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the sharp Ramsey threshold for $B_n^{(k)}$ is a positive constant $c^{1/k}$.

In Theorem 1.1, if we take $c = (1 + \epsilon)$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$ and $p \rightarrow 1$, then a.a.s. $G((1 + \epsilon)2^k n, p) \rightarrow B_n^{(k)}$. Especially, (1) holds.

For $c > 1$, since the Ramsey threshold of $G(cr(P_n), p) \rightarrow P_n$ is $\frac{1}{n}$ in Letzter [28] and the sharp Ramsey threshold of $G(cr(K_{1,n}), p) \rightarrow K_{1,n}$ is $\frac{1}{c}$ from Theorem 1.1, we see that the Ramsey thresholds of $G(cr(T_n), p) \rightarrow T_n$ are so different for different types of trees T_n . Note that most Ramsey numbers $r(T_n)$ of trees T_n with n edges are unknown, ranging from $\lfloor \frac{4n+1}{3} \rfloor$ to $2n$, see Erdős, Faudree, Rousseau and Schelp [14], and Yu and Li [48]. It would be interesting to find more Ramsey thresholds for different types of trees. Moreover, it would be very interesting to determine the sharp Ramsey threshold for P_n , which seems not easy.

Combining Lemma 2.1, the following is immediate.

Corollary 1.1 *Let $N = c2^k n$, where $k \geq 1$ is an integer and $c > 1$ is a real number. Then for any $\gamma > 0$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Pr(G(N, p) \rightarrow K_{k,n}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p \leq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 - \gamma), \\ 1 & \text{if } p \geq \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 + \gamma). \end{cases}$$

Notation: For a graph $G = (V, E)$ with vertex set V and edge set E , let uv denote an edge of G . For $X \subseteq V$, $e(X)$ is the number of edges in X , and $G[X]$ denotes the subgraph of G induced by X . For two disjoint subsets $X, Y \subseteq V$, $e_G(X, Y)$ denotes the number of edges between X and Y . In particular, the neighborhood of a vertex v in $U \subseteq V$ is denoted by $N_G(v, U)$, and $\deg_G(v, U) = |N_G(v, U)|$ and the degree of v in G is $\deg_G(v) = |N_G(v, V)|$. Let $X \sqcup Y$ denote the disjoint union of X and Y . We always delete the subscriptions if there is no confusion from the context. Note that we have not distinguished large x from $\lceil x \rceil$ or $\lfloor x \rfloor$ when x is supposed to be an integer since these rounding errors are negligible to the asymptotic calculations.

2 The lower Ramsey threshold

The following slightly stronger lemma implies the lower Ramsey threshold of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 2.1 *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and $c > 1$ a real number. Let $N = c2^k n$. If $p \in [0, \frac{1}{c^{1/k}})$, then a.a.s. $G(N, p) \not\rightarrow K_{k,n}$.*

Proof. Let $\gamma > 0$ be sufficiently small. It suffices to show that

$$p_\ell = \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 - \gamma)^{1/k}$$

is a function such that a.a.s. $G(N, p_\ell) \not\rightarrow K_{k,n}$.

We first have the following claim.

Claim 2.1 *We have that a.a.s. $G(N, p_0)$ contains no $K_{k,n}$, where $p_0 = p_\ell/2$.*

Proof. All graphs in the proof are on vertex set V with $|V| = N$. Consider random graph $G(N, p_0)$. Let $U \subseteq V$ be a set with $|U| = k$ and $V \setminus U = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{N-k}\}$. For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, N-k$, define a random variable X_i such that $X_i = 1$ if v_i is a common neighbor of U and 0 otherwise. Then $\Pr(X_i = 1) = p_0^k$.

Set $S_{N-k} = \sum_{i=1}^{N-k} X_i$ that has the binomial distribution $B(N-k, p_0^k)$. Note that the event $S_{N-k} \geq n$ means that there is a $K_{k,n}$ with U as the part of k vertices. Hence

$$\Pr(K_{k,n} \subseteq G(N, p_0)) \leq \binom{N}{k} \Pr(S_{N-k} \geq n).$$

We now evaluate the probability $\Pr(S_{N-k} \geq n)$. Write $n = \frac{N}{c2^k} = (p_0^k + \delta)(N-k)$, where

$$\delta = \frac{N}{c2^k(N-k)} - p_0^k = \frac{N}{c2^k(N-k)} - \frac{1}{c2^k}(1 - \gamma) = \frac{1}{c2^k} \left(\gamma + \frac{k}{N-k} \right).$$

By virtue of Chernoff bound (see e.g. [1, 5, 7, 21, 29]),

$$\Pr(S_{N-k} \geq n) = \Pr\left(S_{N-k} \geq (p_0^k + \delta)(N-k)\right) \leq \exp\left\{-(N-k)\delta^2/(3p_0^k(1-p_0^k))\right\}.$$

Note that $(N-k)\delta^2 \sim N\delta^2 \sim \frac{\gamma^2}{c2^k}n$, thus we have

$$\binom{N}{k} \Pr(S_{N-k} \geq n) \lesssim N^k \exp\left\{-\frac{\gamma^2}{c2^k}n/(3p_0^k(1-p_0^k))\right\} \rightarrow 0,$$

and so a.a.s. $G(N, p_\ell/2)$ contains no $K_{k,n}$. The claim is finished. \square

Now we write the random variable S_{N-k} as $S_{N-k}^{p_\ell/2}(U)$ for fixed U with $|U| = k$, where the superscript $p_\ell/2$ corresponds to random graph $G(N, p_\ell/2)$. Then we have shown

$$\binom{N}{k} \Pr\left(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell/2}(U) \geq n\right) \rightarrow 0, \tag{2}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider an edge coloring of $G(N, p_\ell)$ with red and blue at random with probability $1/2$, independently. It is easy to see that both red graphs and blue graphs form $\mathcal{G}(N, p_\ell/2)$.

For a vertex set U of size k , let $S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, R}(U)$ and $S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, B}(U)$ be the numbers of common red and blue neighbors of U , respectively. Then

$$\Pr\left(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, R}(U) \geq n\right) = \Pr\left(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, B}(U) \geq n\right) = \Pr\left(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell/2} \geq n\right),$$

and thus $\Pr(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, R}(U) \geq n \text{ or } S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, B}(U) \geq n) \leq 2\Pr(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell/2} \geq n)$. Therefore, from (2), we have

$$\binom{N}{k} \Pr\left(S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, R}(U) \geq n \text{ or } S_{N-k}^{p_\ell, B}(U) \geq n\right) \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, which implies that a.a.s. $G(N, p_\ell) \not\rightarrow K_{k, n}$. \square

Remark. More careful calculation in the proof can yield an improvement $O\left(\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}\right)$ for the small term γ .

3 The upper Ramsey threshold

The following result follows from Chernoff bound directly.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $p \in (0, 1]$ be a fixed probability. If $N \rightarrow \infty$, then a.a.s. $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ with vertex set V satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) *For any vertex $v \in V$ and subset $U \subseteq V$, $\deg(v, U) = p|U| + o(N)$;*
- (ii) *For any pair of distinct vertices u and v , $|N(u) \cap N(v)| = p^2N + o(N)$;*
- (iii) *For any subsets $U \subseteq V$, $e(U) = p\binom{|U|}{2} + o(N^2)$;*
- (vi) *For any disjoint vertex sets U and W , $e(U, W) = p|U||W| + o(N^2)$.*

The assertion is clear when $k = 1$, so we may assume $k \geq 2$.

3.1 The first case for $k = 2$

In this subsection, we include a short proof for the case when $k = 2$ of Theorem 1.1. Denote B_n instead of $B_n^{(2)}$. The upper Ramsey threshold for $k = 2$ follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $c > 1$ and $p = \frac{1+\gamma}{\sqrt{c}}$, where $\gamma \in (0, \sqrt{c}-1]$. Let G be a graph of order $N = \lfloor 4cn \rfloor$ that satisfies properties in Lemma 3.1. Then $G \rightarrow B_n$ for all large n .*

Proof. Suppose that there is an edge-coloring of G by red and blue that contains no monochromatic B_n . We shall show this assumption would lead to a contradiction.

Let V be the vertex set of G . Let R and B denote the red and blue subgraphs, respectively. Let M_r and M_b be the number of monochromatic triangles in red and blue, respectively. Let M_{rb} be the numbers of non-monochromatic triangles. Denote by $M = M_r + M_b$ the number of monochromatic triangles. Let T be the number of triangles in G . Clearly, $M = T - M_{rb}$.

Note from Lemma 3.1 that $e(G) \sim \frac{1}{2}pN^2$, and $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \sim p^2N$, we have

$$T = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{uv \in E(G)} |N(u) \cap N(v)| \sim \frac{1}{6}p^3N^3, \quad (3)$$

where coefficient $\frac{1}{3}$ of the sum follows from that each triangle is counted triply in the sum.

Since a red edge uv and n red common neighbors of u and v yield a red B_n , we have $|N_R(u) \cap N_R(v)| \leq n - 1$. Hence

$$M_r = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{uv \in E(R)} |N_R(u) \cap N_R(v)| \leq \frac{1}{3}(n-1)e(R).$$

Similarly, $M_b \leq \frac{1}{3}(n-1)e(B)$, and thus

$$M \leq \frac{1}{3}(n-1)e(G) \sim \frac{1}{6}pnN^2. \quad (4)$$

For any $v \in V$, each edge between $N_R(v)$ and $N_B(v)$ is contained in a non-monochromatic triangle, and thus

$$M_{rb} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} e(N_R(v), N_B(v)) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} p \deg_R(v) \deg_B(v) + o(N^3),$$

where $\frac{1}{2}$ comes from that each such triangle is counted by its two vertices and the term $o(N^3)$ comes from the third property in Lemma 3.1. Since $\deg_R(v) + \deg_B(v) = \deg(v)$, we have $\deg_R(v) \deg_B(v) \leq \frac{1}{4}[\deg(v)]^2$. Therefore,

$$M_{rb} \leq \frac{1}{8}p \sum_{v \in V} [\deg(v)]^2 + o(N^3) \sim \frac{1}{8}p^3N^3. \quad (5)$$

Recall $M = T - M_{rb}$, which and (3), (4) and (5) yield

$$\frac{1}{6}pnN^2 \geq (1 - o(1)) \left(\frac{1}{6}p^3N^3 - \frac{1}{8}p^3N^3 \right) = \left(\frac{1}{24} - o(1) \right) p^3N^3,$$

which implies that $p^2 \leq (1 + o(1))\frac{4n}{N} = (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{c}$, contradicting to the assumption $p = \frac{1+\gamma}{\sqrt{c}}$ and the proof is completed. \square

3.2 The regularity method and useful lemmas

Szemerédi regularity lemma [45, 46] is a powerful tool in extremal graph theory. There are many important applications of the regularity lemma. We refer the reader to nice surveys [26, 27, 42] and other related references. The proof for the upper Ramsey thresholds of Theorem 1.1 for general $k \geq 3$ mainly relies on the regularity method.

Given $p \in (0, 1]$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, the p -density of a pair (U, W) of disjoint sets of vertices in a graph G is defined as

$$d_{G,p}(U, W) = \frac{e_G(U, W)}{p|U||W|}.$$

We say that the pair (U, W) is (ε, p) -regular in G if $|d_{G,p}(U, W) - d_{G,p}(U', W')| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $U' \subset U$ and $W' \subset W$ with $|U'| \geq \varepsilon|U|$ and $|W'| \geq \varepsilon|W|$. When $p = 1$, it is the usual edge density, denoted by $d_G(U, W)$, between U and W . If $U \cap W \neq \emptyset$, then the edges in $U \cap W$ will be counted twice. Given $0 < \eta, p \leq 1$, $D \geq 1$, a graph G is called (η, p, D) -upper-uniform if, for

all disjoint sets of vertices U, W of size at least $\eta|V(G)|$, the density $d_{G,p}(U, W)$ is at most D .

Given a red-blue coloring of the edges of G , we write R and B for the graphs on $V(G)$ induced by the red and blue edges, respectively. We say that $V(G) = \sqcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ is an *equitable partition* for the coloring (R, B) of G if $||V_i| - |V_j|| \leq 1$ for all $1 \leq i < j \leq m$.

We will use the following regularity lemma for random graphs.

Lemma 3.3 *For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and integer $M_0 \geq 1$, there exists $M = M(\varepsilon, M_0) > M_0$ such that the following holds. If $p \in (0, 1]$ is fixed, then **a.a.s.** every 2-coloring of the edges of $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ has an (ε, p) -regular equitable partition $V(G) = \sqcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ where $M_0 \leq m \leq M$ such that*

- (i) *each part V_i is (ε, p) -regular;*
- (ii) *for each V_i , all but at most εm parts V_j such that (V_i, V_j) are (ε, p) -regular;*
- (iii) *for any vertex $v \in V$ and for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\deg(v, V_i) = p|V_i| + o(N)$;*
- (iv) *for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $e(V_i) = p\binom{|V_i|}{2} + o(N^2)$;*
- (v) *for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, $e(V_i, V_j) = p|V_i||V_j| + o(N^2)$.*

Proof. We only sketch the proof of Lemma 3.3 as follows. From Lemma 3.1, a.a.s. $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ satisfies that (1) for any vertex $v \in V$ and subset $U \subseteq V$, $\deg(v, U) = p|U| + o(N)$; (2) for any subsets $U \subseteq V$, $e(U) = p\binom{|U|}{2} + o(N^2)$; (3) for any disjoint vertex sets U and W , $e(U, W) = p|U||W| + o(N^2)$. Therefore, the random graph G and hence the red subgraph R and the blue subgraph B are a.a.s. upper uniform (with suitable parameters). Let $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon/2$, $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon^2/128$, $K_1 = K(\varepsilon_1) \leq 2^{(1/\varepsilon_1)^{(10/\varepsilon_1)^{15}}}$, and let $\eta = \min\{\varepsilon_1/K_1, \varepsilon^3/256\}$ as in Conlon, Fox and Wigderson [10, Lemma 2.1]. We can first apply the colored version of Letzter [28, Theorem 5.2] (from an original version by Kohayakawa and Rödl [22, 24]) to obtain that there exists $L = L(\eta, M_0) > M_0$ such that the following holds. If $p \in (0, 1]$ is fixed, then we have that a.a.s. every 2-coloring of the edges of $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ has an equitable partition $V(G) = \sqcup_{i=1}^\ell W_i$ with $\max\{M_0, 1/\eta\} \leq \ell \leq L$ such that all but at most $\varepsilon_2\binom{m}{2}$ pairs (W_i, W_j) are (η, p) -regular. Then we apply [10, Lemma 2.4] to each W_i to get an equitable partition $W_i = U_{i1} \sqcup \dots \sqcup U_{iK_1}$ such that each U_{ij} for $1 \leq j \leq K_1$ is ε_1 -regular. Subsequently, by a similar argument as that in [10, Lemma 2.1], we can obtain an (ε, p) -regular equitable partition $V(G) = \sqcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ satisfying the conditions from the above equitable partition as desired. \square

The following is a counting lemma by Conlon [9, Lemma 5], which will be used to find a large monochromatic book.

Lemma 3.4 (Conlon [9]) *For any $\delta > 0$ and any integer $k \geq 1$, there is $\varepsilon > 0$ such that if $V_1, \dots, V_k, V_{k+1}, \dots, V_{k+\ell}$ are (not necessarily distinct) vertex sets with $(V_i, V_{i'})$ ε -regular of density $d_{i,i'}$ for all $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$ and $1 \leq i \leq k < i' \leq k + \ell$ and $d_{i,i'} \geq \delta$ for all $1 \leq i < i' \leq k$, then there is a copy of K_k with the i th vertex in V_i for each $1 \leq i \leq k$ which is contained in at least*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\ell} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k d_{i,k+j} - \delta \right) |V_{k+j}|$$

copies of K_{k+1} with the $(k+1)$ -th vertex in $\cup_{j=1}^{\ell} V_{k+j}$.

We also need the following standard counting lemma, one can see Conlon, Fox and Wigderson [10, Lemma 2.5], or see Zhao [49, Theorem 3.27] for a detailed proof.

Lemma 3.5 *Suppose that V_1, \dots, V_k are (not necessarily distinct) subsets of a graph G such that all pairs (V_i, V_j) are ε -regular. Then the number of labeled copies of K_k whose i th vertex is in V_i for all i is at least*

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} d(V_i, V_j) - \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k |V_i|.$$

We have the following corollary by Conlon, Fox and Wigderson [10, Corollary 2.6] from Lemma 3.5, which counts the monochromatic extensions of cliques.

Corollary 3.1 (Conlon, Fox and Wigderson [10]) *Let $\varepsilon, \delta \in (0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon \leq \delta^3/k^2$. Suppose U_1, \dots, U_k are (not necessarily distinct) vertex sets in a graph G and all pairs (U_i, U_j) are ε -regular with $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} d(U_i, U_j) \geq \delta$. Let Q be a randomly chosen copy of K_k with one vertex in each U_i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ and say that a vertex u extends Q if u is adjacent to every vertex of Q . Then, for any u ,*

$$\Pr(u \text{ extends } Q) \geq \prod_{i=1}^k d(u, U_i) - 4\delta. \quad (6)$$

3.3 General case for $k \geq 3$

Now we give a proof for the upper Ramsey threshold of Theorem 1.1 for $k \geq 3$. For any $c > 1$ and $k \geq 3$, let $N = c2^k n$ and $p = \frac{1}{c^{1/k}}(1 + \gamma)$, where $\gamma > 0$ is sufficiently small and n is sufficiently large. Set

$$p_0 = \frac{1}{c^{1/k}} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right).$$

Let δ and ε be sufficiently small positive reals such that

$$\delta = \min \left\{ \frac{\gamma}{4c}, \frac{p_0^k}{2^{k+5}} \gamma \right\}, \text{ and } \varepsilon = \min \left\{ \frac{1}{k^2} (\delta p)^k, \frac{1}{k^2} (p_0/2) \binom{k}{2} \right\}. \quad (7)$$

We begin by applying Lemma 3.3 to the graph $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ with ε and $M_0 = 1/\varepsilon$ to obtain a constant $M = M(\varepsilon)$ such that **a.a.s.** every 2-coloring of edges of $G \in \mathcal{G}(N, p)$ has an (ε, p) -regular equitable partition $V(G) = \sqcup_{i=1}^m V_i$ where $M_0 \leq m \leq M$ satisfying

- (i) each part V_i is (ε, p) -regular;
- (ii) for each V_i , all but at most εm parts V_j such that (V_i, V_j) are (ε, p) -regular;
- (iii) for any vertex $v \in V$ and for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $\deg_G(v, V_i) \geq p_0 |V_i|$;
- (iv) for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $e(V_i) \geq p_0 \binom{|V_i|}{2}$;
- (v) for $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, $d_G(V_i, V_j) \geq p_0$.

Let R and B be the subgraphs of G induced by all red and blue edges, respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume that there are at least $m' \geq m/2$ of the parts, say $V_1, \dots, V_{m'}$, have internal **red** p -density at least $\frac{1}{2}$. Let Γ_B be the subgraph of the reduced graph Γ defined on $\{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ in which $v_i v_j \in E(\Gamma_B)$ if (V_i, V_j) is (ε, p) -regular and $d_{B,p}(V_i, V_j) \geq \delta$. Let Γ'_B be the subgraph of Γ_B induced by the “red” vertices v_i for $1 \leq i \leq m'$.

Suppose that, in Γ'_B , some vertex v_i has at least $(2^{1-k} + 2\varepsilon)m'$ non-neighbors. Then, since v_i has at most $\varepsilon m \leq 2\varepsilon m'$ non-neighbors, we have that there are at least $2^{1-k}m'$ parts V_j with

$1 \leq j \leq m'$ such that (V_i, V_j) is (ε, p) -regular. Let J be the set of all these indices j such that v_j is the non-neighbor of v_i and (V_i, V_j) is (ε, p) -regular. Then $|J| \geq m/2^k$. Note that

$$d_{B,p}(V_i, V_j) + d_{R,p}(V_i, V_j) = \frac{e_B(V_i, V_j) + e_R(V_i, V_j)}{p|V_i||V_j|} \geq \frac{p_0}{p},$$

thus if $v_i v_j \notin E(\Gamma_B)$, then we have $d_{R,p}(V_i, V_j) \geq \frac{p_0}{p} - \delta$ and so the edge density between V_i and V_j satisfies $d_R(V_i, V_j) \geq p_0 - p\delta$. Since the red p -density is at least $1/2$, from Lemma 3.4, there exists a red K_k which is contained in at least

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in J} \left((p_0 - p\delta)^k - \delta \right) |V_j| &\geq \left(\left(\frac{1}{c^{1/k}} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right) - \delta \right)^k - \delta \right) |J| \frac{N}{m} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{c} (1 + ck\delta) - \delta \right) |J| \frac{N}{m} \geq n \end{aligned}$$

red K_{k+1} by noting (7) that $\delta \leq \frac{\gamma}{4c}$. Thus, we obtain a red $B_n^{(k)}$ as desired.

Therefore, we may assume that every vertex in Γ'_B has degree at least $(1 - 2^{1-k} - 2\varepsilon)m'$. Since $2^{1-k} + 2\varepsilon < \frac{1}{k-1}$ for $k \geq 2$, it follows from Turán's theorem that Γ'_B contains a K_k on vertices v_{i_1}, \dots, v_{i_k} . Let $W_j = V_{i_j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Then every pair (W_i, W_j) with $i \leq j$ is (ε, p) -regular and $d_{B,p}(W_i, W_j) \geq \delta$ for $i \neq j$, and each W_i has red p -density at least $\frac{1}{2}$.

From Lemma 3.5 and (7), the number of blue K_k with the i th vertex in W_i is at least

$$\left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} [p \cdot d_{B,p}(W_i, W_j)] - \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k |W_i| \geq \left(\delta^k p^k - \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \right) \prod_{i=1}^k |W_i| > 0.$$

Similarly, the number of red K_k in any W_i is at least

$$\left([p_0 \cdot d_{R,p}(W_i)] \binom{k}{2} - \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \right) |W_i|^k \geq \left((p_0/2) \binom{k}{2} - \varepsilon \binom{k}{2} \right) |W_i|^k > 0.$$

For any vertex v , define

$$d_{B,p}(v, W_i) := \frac{\deg_B(v, W_i)}{p_0 |W_i|}.$$

Similarly, we define $d_{R,p}(v, W_i)$. From the assumption that $\deg_G(v, W_i) \geq p_0 |W_i|$, we have

$$d_{R,p}(v, W_i) + d_{B,p}(v, W_i) \geq 1. \quad (8)$$

Now, for any vertex v and for $1 \leq i \leq k$, let $x_i(v) := d_{B,p}(v, W_i)$. Then $d_{R,p}(v, W_i) \geq 1 - x_i(v)$. From a technical analytic inequality by Conlon [9, Lemma 8], we know that

$$\prod_{i=1}^k x_i(v) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - x_i(v))^k \geq 2^{1-k}.$$

Therefore, we have either $\prod_{i=1}^k x_i(v) \geq 2^{-k}$ or $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - x_i(v))^k \geq 2^{-k}$. There are two

cases as follows.

Case 1. $\prod_{i=1}^k x_i(v) \geq 2^{-k}$.

For a given vertex v , if we pick $w_i \in W_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq k$ uniformly and independently at random, then the probability that all the edges (v, w_i) are blue is roughly $\prod_{i=1}^k [px_i(v)]$. Together with the regularity of the pairs (W_i, W_j) , a random blue K_k spanned by (W_1, \dots, W_k) will also have probability close to $\prod_{i=1}^k [px_i(v)]$ of being in the blue neighborhood of a random chosen v . Indeed, from Corollary 3.1, the expected number of blue extensions of a randomly chosen blue K_k spanned by (W_1, \dots, W_k) is at least

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{v \in V} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k [p_0 \cdot d_{B,p}(v, W_i)] - 4\delta \right) &= \sum_{v \in V} \left(\prod_{i=1}^k [p_0 x_i(v)] - 4\delta \right) \geq \left(2^{-k} - \frac{4\delta}{p_0^k} \right) p_0^k N \\ &= \left(2^{-k} - \frac{4\delta}{p_0^k} \right) \frac{1}{c} \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{2} \right)^k \cdot c 2^k n \geq n \end{aligned}$$

by noting $\delta \leq \frac{p_0^k}{2^{k+5}} \gamma$ from (7). Therefore, a randomly chosen blue K_k spanned by (W_1, \dots, W_k) will have at least n blue extensions in expectation, giving us a blue $B_n^{(k)}$.

Case 2. $\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - x_i(v))^k \geq 2^{-k}$.

For this case, we have

$$\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k \sum_{v \in V} (1 - x_i(v))^k = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{v \in V} \sum_{i=1}^k (1 - x_i(v))^k \geq 2^{-k} N.$$

Thus there must exist some $1 \leq i \leq k$ for which $\sum_{v \in V} (1 - x_i(v))^k \geq 2^{-k} N$. Similarly, from the regularity of W_i , for a random red K_k in W_i and for a random $v \in V$, v will form a red extension of the K_k with probability close to $p^k (1 - x_i(v))^{-k}$. Indeed, we can apply Corollary 3.1 again to obtain that the expected number of extensions of a random red K_k in W_i is at least

$$\sum_{v \in V} \left([p_0 (1 - x_i(v))]^k - 4\delta \right) \geq (2^{-k} - 4\delta/p_0^k) p_0^k N \geq n,$$

yielding a red $B_n^{(k)}$ as desired. Theorem 1.1 is proved. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon and J. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1992.
- [2] P. Araújo, L. Moreira and M. Patias-Sihn , Ramsey goodness of trees in random graphs, [arXiv:2001.03082](#) v2.
- [3] J. Beck, On size Ramsey number of paths, trees, and circuits, I, *J. Graph Theory* 7 (1983), 115–129.
- [4] J. Beck, On Size Ramsey Number of Paths, Trees and Circuits II, in: Mathematics of Ramsey Theory (Nes tr l and R dl eds.), 34–45, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

- [5] B. Bollobás, Random Graphs, Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [6] X. Chen, Q. Lin and C. You, Ramsey numbers of large books, *J. Graph Theory* 101 (2022), no. 1, 124–133.
- [7] H. Chernoff, A measure of the asymptotic efficiency for tests of a hypothesis based on the sum of observations, *Ann. Math. Statistics* 23 (1952), 493–507.
- [8] C. Chvátal, V. Rödl, E. Szemerédi and W. Trotter, The Ramsey number of a graph with bounded maximum degree, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 34 (1983), 239–243.
- [9] D. Conlon, The Ramsey number of books, *Adv. Combin.* (2019), Paper No. 3, 12 pp.
- [10] D. Conlon, J. Fox and Y. Wigderson, Ramsey numbers of books and quasirandomness, *Combinatorica* 42 (2022), no. 3, 309–363.
- [11] D. Conlon, J. Fox and Y. Wigderson, Off-diagonal book Ramsey numbers, [arXiv:2110.14483](https://arxiv.org/abs/2110.14483).
- [12] A. Dudek and P. Prałat, An Alternative proof of the linearity of the size-Ramsey number of paths, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 24 (2015), 551–555.
- [13] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau and R. Schelp, The size Ramsey numbers, *Period. Math. Hungar.* 9 (1978), 145–161.
- [14] P. Erdős, R. Faudree, C. Rousseau and R. Schelp, Ramsey numbers for brooms, *Congr. Numer.* 35 (1982), 283–293.
- [15] P. Erdős and A. Rényi, On the evolution of random graphs, *Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.* 5 (1960), 17–61.
- [16] P. Frankl and V. Rödl, Large triangle-free subgraphs in graphs without K_4 , *Graphs Combin.* 2 (1986), 135–144.
- [17] E. Friedgut and M. Krivelevich, Sharp thresholds for certain Ramsey properties of random graphs, *Random Structures Algorithms* 17 (2000), no. 1, 1–19.
- [18] E. Friedgut, Sharp thresholds of graph properties, and the k -sat problem, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 12 (1999) no. 4, 1017–1054.
- [19] E. Friedgut, V. Rödl, A. Ruciński and P. Tetali, A sharp threshold for random graphs with a monochromatic triangle in every edge coloring, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 179 (2006), no. 845, vi+66 pp.
- [20] L. Gerencsér and A. Gyárfás, On Ramsey-type problems, *Ann. Univ. Eötvöös Sect. Math.* 10 (1967), 167–170.
- [21] S. Janson, T. Łuczak and A. Ruciński, Random Graphs, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 2000.
- [22] Y. Kohayakawa, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma for sparse graphs, Foundations of computational mathematics (Rio de Janeiro, 1997), Springer, Berlin, 1997, 216–230.

- [23] Y. Kohayakawa and B. Kreuter, Threshold functions for asymmetric Ramsey properties involving cycles, *Random Structures Algorithms* 44 (1997), 245–276.
- [24] Y. Kohayakawa and V. Rödl, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma and quasi-randomness, Recent advances in algorithms and combinatorics, CMS Books Math./Ouvrages Math. SMC, vol. 11, Springer, New York, 2003, 289–351.
- [25] Y. Kohayakawa, V. Rödl, M. Schacht and E. Szemerédi, Sparse partition universal graphs for graphs of bounded degree, *Adv. Math.* 226 (2011), 5041–5065.
- [26] J. Komlós, A. Shokoufandeh, M. Simonovits, and E. Szemerédi, The regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory, Theoretical aspects of computer science (Tehran, 2000), Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., vol. 2292, Springer, Berlin, 2002, pp. 84–112.
- [27] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits, Szemerédi’s regularity lemma and its applications to graph theory. *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is eighty, Vol. 2 (Keszthely, 1993)*, 295–352, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud., 2, János Bolyai Math. Soc., Budapest, 1996.
- [28] S. Letzter, Path Ramsey number for random graphs, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 25 (2016), 612–622.
- [29] Y. Li and Q. Lin, Elementary methods of graph Ramsey theory, Springer, 2022.
- [30] Y. Li, X. Tang and W. Zang, Ramsey functions involving $K_{m,n}$ with n large, *Discrete Math.* 300 (2005) no. 1-3, 120–128.
- [31] T. Łuczak, A. Ruciński and B. Voigt, Ramsey properties of random graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 56 (1992), 55–68.
- [32] L. Moreira, Ramsey goodness of clique versus paths in random graphs, *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 35 (3) (2021), 2210–2222.
- [33] F. Mousset, R. Nenadov and W. Samotij, Towards the Kohayakawa-Kreuter Conjecture on Asymmetric Ramsey Properties, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 29 (2020), 943–955.
- [34] R. Nenadov and A. Steger, A short proof of the random Ramsey theorem, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 25 (2016), 130–144.
- [35] V. Nikiforov and C. Rousseau, A note on Ramsey numbers for books, *J. Graph Theory* 49 (2005), 168–176.
- [36] V. Nikiforov and C. Rousseau, Book Ramsey numbers I, *Random Structures Algorithms* 27 (2005), 379–400.
- [37] V. Nikiforov, C. Rousseau and R. Schelp, Book Ramsey numbers and quasi-randomness, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* 14 (2005), 851–860.
- [38] F. P. Ramsey, On a problem of formal logic, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* 30 (1929), 264–286.
- [39] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński, Lower bounds on probability thresholds for Ramsey properties, *Combinatorics, Paul Erdős is Eighty (Vol.1)*, Keszthely (Hungary), Bolyai Soc. Math. Studies, 1993, pp.317–346.

- [40] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński, Random graphs with monochromatic triangles in every edge coloring, *Random Structures Algorithms* 5 (1994), 253–270.
- [41] V. Rödl and A. Ruciński, Threshold functions for Ramsey properties, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1995), 917–942.
- [42] V. Rödl and M. Schacht, Regularity lemmas for graphs, in: *Fete of Combinatorics and Computer Science*, Bolyai Soc. Math. Stud. 20, 2010, 287–325.
- [43] V. Rödl and E. Szemerédi, On size Ramsey numbers of graphs with bounded degree, *Combinatorica* 20 (2000), 257–262.
- [44] C. Rousseau and J. Sheehan, On Ramsey numbers for books, *J. Graph Theory* 2 (1978) 77–87.
- [45] E. Szemerédi, On sets of integers containing no k elements in arithmetic progression, *Acta Arith.* 27 (1975), 199–245.
- [46] E. Szemerédi, Regular partitions of graphs, in *Problèmes combinatoires et théorie des graphes*, Colloq. Internat., CNRS, 260, Paris, 1978, 399–401.
- [47] A. Thomason, On finite Ramsey numbers, *European J. Combin.* 3 (1982), 263–273.
- [48] P. Yu and Y. Li, All Ramsey numbers for brooms in graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* 23 (3) (2016), P3.
- [49] Y. Zhao, Graph theory and additive combinatorics: Notes for MIT 18.217, 2019. <http://yufeizhao.com/gtac/gtac.pdf>.