Theoretical analysis on the possibility of superconductivity in a trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ under pressure and its experimental examination : comparison with $La_3Ni_2O_7$

Hirofumi Sakakibara,^{1, *} Masayuki Ochi,^{2, 3, *} Hibiki Nagata,^{4, 5, *} Yuta Ueki,^{4, 5, *}

Hiroya Sakurai,^{4,*} Ryo Matsumoto,⁴ Kensei Terashima,⁴ Keisuke Hirose,⁶

Hiroto Ohta,⁶ Masaki Kato,⁶ Yoshihiko Takano,^{4, 5, †} and Kazuhiko Kuroki^{2, ‡}

¹Advanced Mechanical and Electronic System Research Center(AMES), Faculty of Engineering,

Tottori University, 4-10 Koyama-cho, Tottori, Tottori 680-8552, Japan

²Department of Physics, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

³Forefront Research Center, Osaka University, 1-1 Machikaneyama-cho, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan

⁴MANA, National Institute for Materials Science (NIMS), 1-2-1 Sengen, Tsukuba 305-0047 Japan

⁵ University of Tsukuba, 1-1-1 Tennodai, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-8577, Japan

⁶Department of Molecular Chemistry and Biochemistry,

Doshisha University, 1-3 Tataramiyakotani, Kyo-Tanabe 610-0321, Japan

(Dated: March 1, 2024)

We study the possibility of superconductivity in a trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure both theoretically and experimentally, making comparison with the recently discovered high T_c superconductor La₃Ni₂O₇, a bilayer nickelate. Through DFT calculations, we find that a structural phase transition from monoclinic to tetragonal takes place around 10 - 15 GPa. Using the tetragonal crystal structure, we theoretically investigate the possibility of superconductivity, where a combination of fluctuation exchange approximation and linearized Eliashberg equation is applied to a six-orbital model constructed from first principles band calculation. The obtained results suggests that $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ may also become superconducting under high pressure with T_c comparable to some cuprates, although it is not as high as $La_3Ni_2O_7$. We also perform experimental studies using our polycrystalline samples of $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$ and $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$. The superconducting transition of La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}, with a maximum onset T_c of 67.0 K at a pressure of 26.5 GPa, is confirmed by a drop in the electrical resistance, as well as the magnetic field dependence of the resistance. Quite interestingly, similar temperature and magnetic field dependencies of the resistance are observed also for $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$, where a drop in the resistance is observed at lower temperatures compared to $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$, under pressures of 32.8 GPa and above. Given the theoretical expectation, the reduction in the resistance can most likely be attributed to the occurrence of superconductivity in $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$. The temperature at which the resistance deviates from a linear behavior, considered as the onset T_c , monotonically increases up to 23 K at 79.2 GPa, which is opposite to the pressure dependence of T_c in La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}.

I. INTRODUCTION

Even vs. odd number effects have often been issues of interest in various fields of physics. A famous example in condensed matter physics is the Haldane's conjecture, which states that in a spin-N/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain, the excitation spectrum is gapless and the spin-spin correlation exhibits a slow (algebraic) decay when N is an odd number, whereas when N is even, there is a gap in the excitation and the spin correlation decays exponentially [1]. Schulz discussed the relation between spin-N/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain and N coupled spin-1/2 chains [2, 3], namely, N-leg ladders, and argued that when N is even, the system is gapful, while the system becomes gapless when N is odd. In the 1990's, following the studies by Dagotto *et al.*[4] and by Rice *et al.*[5], spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic N-leg ladders were intensively studied both theoretically and experimentally [6]. Due to the opening of the spin gap in the case of N = even, the possibility of superconductivity in doped even-leg (two-leg in particular) Hubbard and t-Jmodels was widely investigated both analytically and numerically [4–6]. Indeed, a cuprate that contains two-leg ladders was found to superconduct under pressure [7].

Here, an interesting problem arises regarding the possibility of superconductivity in doped *odd*-number-leg ladders. One might expect absence of superconductivity due to the absence of the spin gap, but one of the present authors and his colleagues showed that superconductivity can still take place in the three-leg Hubbard ladder [8], based on the weak coupling analysis that shows two out of three spin modes are gapped [9, 10]. A similar result was also obtained in Ref. [3], and the weak coupling analysis was soon extended to N-leg ladders [11].

A two dimensional analogue of the two-leg ladder model, namely, the bilayer model, typically on a square lattice, has also been a target of theoretical interest from the perspective of unconventional superconductivity. When two square lattices are coupled by a large

^{*} These five authors contributed equally

[†] TAKANO.Yoshihiko@nims.go.jp

[‡] kuroki@presto.phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

vertical hopping t_{\perp} or a strong vertical magnetic coupling J_{\perp} , opening of a spin gap is expected, and various studies have shown strong enhancement of interlayer pairing superconductivity [4, 12–20]. Motivated by the very strong enhancement of superconductivity near half filling found in some studies [13, 14], one of the present authors investigated the possibility of realizing the bilayer Hubbard model with large t_{\perp} in actual materials, and ended up with a bilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [16]. The key factor there was that the Ni $3d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, which are elongated in the out-of-plane direction and hence enhance t_{\perp} , are close to half filling in this material. Quite recently, superconductivity in the very $La_3Ni_2O_7$ with a maximum T_c of 80 K has been discovered under high pressure [21], which has sparked a vast wave of interest both experimentally [22-25] and theoretically [26–54] including ours [55].

Given the recent developments in the bilayer $La_3Ni_2O_7$, and also the previous studies regarding even vs. odd-leg ladders as described above, it is natural to consider investigating the possibility of superconductivity in a trilayer Ruddlesden-Popper nickelate La₄Ni₃O₁₀. Since La₄Ni₃O₁₀ is not superconducting at ambient pressure, we will consider its possibility under pressure as in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ both theoretically and experimentally. Since superconductivity in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ appears to occur when the symmetry of the crystal structure becomes (close to) tetragonal under high pressure [21], we first investigate theoretically whether the crystallographic symmetry of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ becomes tetragonal under high pressure. Indeed, we find that a structural phase transition from monoclinic to tetragonal takes place around 10 -15 GPa. Adopting a hypothesis that superconductivity in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$, if any, occurs when the crystallographic symmetry is tetragonal, we investigate the possibility of superconductivity using the crystal structure obtained at 40 GPa, which is safely in the tetragonal phase regime. A combination of fluctuation exchange approximation (FLEX) and linearized Eliashberg equation is applied to a six-orbital (2 orbitals \times 3 layers) model constructed from first principles band calculation. Our calculation results suggest that La₄Ni₃O₁₀ may also become superconducting under high pressure with T_c comparable to some cuprates, although it is not as high as La₃Ni₂O₇.

We also present experimental results for our polycrystalline samples of La₃Ni₂O_{7.01} and La₄Ni₃O_{9.99}. The superconducting transition of La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}, with a maximum onset T_c of 67.0 K at a pressure of 26.5 GPa, is confirmed by a drop in the electrical resistance, as well as the magnetic field dependence of the resistance. Quite interestingly, similar temperature and magnetic field dependencies of the resistance are observed also for La₄Ni₃O_{9.99}, where a drop in the resistance is observed at lower temperatures compared to La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}, under pressures of 32.8 GPa and above. Given the theoretical expectation, the reduction in the resistance can most likely be attributed to the occurrence of superconductivity in La₄Ni₃O_{9.99}.

II. THEORETICAL METHOD

For density functional theory (DFT) calculation, we use the PBEsol exchange-correlation functional [56] and the projector augmented wave method [57] as implemented in Vienna ab initio Simulation Package (VASP) [58–61]. Core-electron states in PAW potentials are [Kr] $4d^{10}$, [Ar], [He] for La, Ni, O, respectively. We use a plane-wave cutoff energy of 600 eV for Kohn-Sham orbitals without including the spin-orbit coupling for simplicity.

We perform structural optimization until the Hellmann-Feynman force becomes less than 0.01 eV Å⁻¹ for each atom using an $8 \times 8 \times 2$ *k*-mesh. To verify the stability of the optimized crystal structure under pressure, we calculate the phonon dispersion using the finite displacement method as implemented in the PHONOPY [62] software in combination with VASP. We use a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ *q*-mesh for a conventional tetragonal unit cell containing 34 atoms. For a $3 \times 3 \times 1$ supercell used for finite-displacement calculations, we use a $4 \times 4 \times 2$ *k*-mesh.

To discuss superconductivity, we extract Ni- $d_{x^2-u^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ Wannier orbitals using WANNIER90 software [63– 65]. For this purpose, we use the tetragonal crystal structure under the pressure of 40 GPa obtained by our calculation. We use an $12 \times 12 \times 12$ k-mesh for a primitive unit cell. We adopt FLEX [66, 67] in order to take into account the effect of electron correlation as was done in Ref. [55] for $La_3Ni_2O_7$. As for the interaction term of the Hamiltonian, we take the on-site interactions, namely, intraorbital(interorbital) Coulomb interactions U(U'), Hund's coupling J, and pair hopping J'. We assume the orbital rotational symmetry, and take the same value of U for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, and U' = U - 2J, J = J'. As a typical value of the interactions, we take U = 3 eV, J = J' = 0.3 eV and U' = U - 2J = 2.4 eV. These interaction values are the same as those adopted in our study for La₃Ni₂O₇, which can be considered as typical values for 3d-transitionmetal oxides [68]. We calculate the self-energy induced by the spin-fluctuation formulated as shown in the literatures [69–71] in a self-consistent calculation. The real part of the self-energy at the lowest Matsubara frequency is subtracted in the same manner with Ref. [72] to maintain the band structure around the Fermi level obtained by first-principles calculation.

We use the linearized Eliashberg equation to study the possibility of superconductivity, also as in Ref. [55] for La₃Ni₂O₇. The renormalized Green's functions obtained by FLEX are plugged into this equation. Also, the pairing interaction kernel in this equation is obtained from the FLEX Green's function as a purely electronic one (i.e. phonon-mediated pairing interaction is not considered), which is mainly dominated by spin fluctuations in the present case. Since the the eigenvalue λ of the Eliashberg equation monotonically increases upon lowering the temperature, and reaches unity at $T = T_c$, we adopt λ calculated at a fixed temperature, T = 0.01 eV as a measure of superconductivity. For convenience, we will call the eigenfunction (with the largest eigenvalue) of the linearized Eliashberg equation at the lowest Matsubara frequency $i\omega(=i\pi k_{\rm B}T)$ the "superconducting gap function". We take a $16 \times 16 \times 4$ k-point mesh and 2048 Matsubara frequencies for the FLEX calculation.

III. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

Polycrystalline samples of $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$ and La₄Ni₃O_{9.99} were employed for electrical resistance measurements under high-pressure conditions. These were synthesized from La₂O₃ and NiO, and characterized by powder X-ray diffraction and thermogravimetry to find that they were of single phases with the chemical compositions. The details of the synthesis and characterization will be described elsewhere [73]. High pressure was generated with Diamond Anvil Cell (DAC) with boron-doped diamond electrodes designed for four-terminal resistance measurement [74, 75]. Cubic boron nitride powder was used as a pressure-transmitting medium. Applied pressure was estimated by fluorescence of ruby placed near the sample up to 20 GPa [76]. For pressure beyond 20 GPa, applied pressure was estimated by a Raman spectrum from a culet of the top diamond anvil obtained by Raman Microscope (Renishaw) [77]. Details of the cell configuration will be described in the literatures [78, 79]. Temperature and magnetic fields were controlled by PPMS (Quantum Design).

IV. THEORETICAL RESULTS-CRYSTAL STRUCTURE

We optimized the crystal structure under pressure by DFT calculation. Here, we note that several different space groups have been reported in literature for $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ at ambient pressure, Fmmm [81] (or *Imm2* considering a symmetry lowering mentioned in this study, as pointed out in Ref. 82), Cmce (Bmab) [82– 84], $P2_1/a$ (Z = 4) (i.e., $P2_1/a$ containing 4 formula units in the unit cell) [85–87] as was also reported for $Nd_4Ni_3O_{10-\delta}$ [88, 89], and $P2_1/a$ (Z = 2) [82, 90] as was also reported for $Pr_4Ni_3O_{10}$ [91]. It was pointed out that samples were mixed phases of orthorhombic and monoclinic symmetry [82, 92]. The stability of several phases, *Cmce*, *Pbca*, and two types of $P2_1/a$, was also investigated by DFT calculation [93]. Due to the complexity of the situation, we first optimized a crystal structure under pressure assuming a monoclinic space group of $P2_1/a$ (Z = 4), which has a relatively low symmetry among these candidates and is a subgroup of some of the candidates, and next checked the stability of the obtained structure under pressure by phonon calculation.

By the structural optimization of an $P2_1/a$ (Z = 4) structure under pressure, we found that the structural

phase transition from monoclinic to tetragonal takes place as shown in Fig. 1(a). In fact, lattice parameters relevant to the monoclinic-tetragonal transition, a, b, and β , were shown in Fig. 1(b), where a = b and $\beta=90^\circ$ were realized at around 10 – 15 GPa. We also confirmed that the obtained tetragonal structure belongs to the I4/mmm space group by checking atomic coordinates. Then, we performed phonon calculation for the tetragonal I4/mmm structure under the pressure of 10 and 15 GPa as shown in Figs. 1(c)-(d). While the calculated phonon dispersion has an imaginary mode at 10 GPa, this instability disappears at 15 GPa, by which we confirmed that the structural transition takes place at around 10 - 15 GPa and the tetragonal structure is dynamically stable above 15 GPa. Our calculation shows that several tens of gigapascal pressure gives rise to a tetragonal structure, as in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ [21].

V. THEORETICAL RESULTS-BAND STRUCTURE AND SUPERCONDUCTIVITY

We now study the possibility of superconductivity in the tetragonal phase, which can be considered as natural due to the enhanced electronic hopping between the layers, which is likely to favor interlayer pairing superconductivity, as in $La_3Ni_2O_7$. In Fig. 2(b), we present the band structure of the six-orbital model of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ at 40 GPa. Some of the key parameter values are listed in table I. For comparison, in Fig. 2(a), we also show the band structure of the four-orbital model of $La_3Ni_2O_7$ obtained in our previous study [55]. While there are bonding (colored blue) and anti-bonding (green) $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands in $La_3Ni_2O_7$, in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$, there is an additional non-bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band (yellow) between the bonding and anti-bonding bands [97, 98]. Interestingly, the Fermi level in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ is placed near the top of the bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band, while the bottom of the anti-bonding band is placed somewhat above the Fermi level, which is a situation similar to that in $La_3Ni_2O_7$. This similarity occurs due to a combination of two discrepancies between these materials, namely, (i) there is a non-bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ band in La₄Ni₃O₁₀, and (ii) the formal Ni valence is +2.67 in La₄Ni₃O₁₀ against +2.5 in La₃Ni₂O₇.

Let us now see how this similarity and discrepancies of the band structure between the two materials is reflected in superconductivity. In Fig. 2(c), the eigenvalue of the Eliashberg equation λ of the six-orbital model of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ is plotted against the band filling (defined as the number of electrons per unit cell per spin), which is measured from that of the stoichiometric composition (two electrons). For comparison, we plot λ for the fourorbital model of La₃Ni₂O₇ [55]. The eigenvalue is found to be smaller for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ than for La₃Ni₂O₇, but a notable difference regarding the band filling dependence is that while λ monotonically decreases for La₃Ni₂O₇ when the band filling is decreased, namely, when it moves away from half filling, for La₄Ni₃O₁₀, λ is (locally) maximized

(a) (b) monoclinic tetragonal lattice constant (Å) 5.4 90.4 angle 5.3 ि 5.2 90 10 0 20 Pressure (GPa) (c) 10 GPa (d) 15 GPa Energy (meV) 80 60 40 20 0 $\Gamma 7$ R ΖI ΓZ R

FIG. 1. (a) Optimized crystal structures of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ red in the monoclinic and tetragonal phases. Gray, blue, and red spheres denote La, Ni, and O atoms, respectively. Crystal structure was depicted using the VESTA software [80]. (b) Lattice parameters a, b, and β obtained by structural optimization with the $P2_1/a$ (Z = 4) space group under pressure. (c)(d)Phonon dispersion of the tetragonal I4/mmm structure under the pressure of 10 and 15 GPa, respectively.

TABLE I. The orbital level offset $\Delta E = E_{x^2-y^2} - E_{3z^2-r^2}$ between $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, the vertical interlayer hopping t_{\perp} between the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, the nearest-neighbor intralayer hoppings $t_{3z^2-r^2}$, $t_{x^2-y^2}$, and $t_{x^2-y^2-3z^2-r^2}$ of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ are displayed. Here, the onsite energy offset between the inner- and outer-layer $d_{x^2-y^2}$ ($d_{3z^2-r^2}$) orbitals is 0.264 eV (0.416 eV). The first column indicates the layer difference of parameters. The parameters of La₃Ni₂O₇ presented in Ref. [55] are also displayed in the lowest row for comparison.

[eV]	ΔE	t_{\perp}	$t_{3z^2-r^2}$	$t_{x^2-y^2}$	$t_{x^2-y^2-3z^2-r^2}$
inner	0.102	-0.715	-0.163	-0.543	-0.297
outer	0.255		-0.148	-0.539	-0.285
$\mathrm{La_3Ni_2O_7}$	0.372	-0.664	-0.117	-0.491	-0.242

near the stoichiometric composition. Consequently, its value (0.433) for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ at stoichiometry is not small, in the sense that it is still comparable to those of some of the cuprates (with relatively low T_c) obtained by the same method [94–96]. The relatively small reduction of λ compared to that of La₃Ni₂O₇ is even more interesting considering the fact that the band filling of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals in La₄Ni₃O₁₀ is only roughly 1/3 (per Ni atom per spin), compared to roughly 1/2 (half filling) in La₃Ni₂O₇, so that the electron correlation effects are expected to be significantly smaller in the former.

In order to understand the origin of the band filling dependence of λ , we plot in Fig. 2(e) the superconducting gap function of the model of La₄Ni₃O₁₀ for various band fillings, together with that of La₃Ni₂O₇ (at stoichiometry) obtained in Ref. [55] (Fig. 2(d)). At stoichiometry in La₄Ni₃O₁₀, the sign of the gap is reversed not only

between bonding and anti-bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands (as in $La_3Ni_2O_7$), but also between bonding and non-bonding bands. When the band filling is decreased from stoichiometry (when holes are doped), the superconducting gap of the anti-bonding band becomes small, while when electrons are doped, the gap of the non-bonding band becomes small. Also, all the bands are fully gapped at stoichiometry, while the small gaps are nodal when doped. From these results, we may conclude that the (locally) maximized and relatively large λ obtained for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ around stoichiometry, despite $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals being away from half filling, is because all three $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands contribute to superconductivity. This in turn can be attributed to the relation between the Fermi level and the band edges; around stoichiometry, the edge of all three bands touches or lies close to the Fermi level, namely all the bands are (nearly) "incipient", which pushes up the spin fluctuations to finite energies, thereby making them more effective as pairing glue [16, 99]. When holes are doped, the Fermi level moves away from the anti-bonding band bottom, whereas for electron doping, the Fermi level firmly intersects the non-bonding band. These situations are schematically presented in Fig. 2(f). It is also worth noting that the role played by the non-bonding band is quite different from that in the three-leg Hubbard ladder, where the non-bonding band is irrelevant for superconductivity [8]. Although the origin of this discrepancy is not clear at present, there are differences in that t_{\perp} is much larger than the in-plane hoppings and also the band filling is far away from half filling in the present model, besides the obvious differences in the dimensionality and the presence of the inter-orbital hybridization. In the above, we have mainly focused on the gap function



FIG. 2. (a) The band structure of the four-orbital model of La₃Ni₂O₇ [55]. (b) The band structure of the six-orbital model of La₄Ni₃O₁₀. On the right is a blowup near the Fermi level, together with the Fermi level for various band fillings. (c) The eigenvalue of the Eliashberg equation for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ and La₃Ni₂O₇ [55] plotted against the band filling measured from stoichiometry. The yellow hatched region indicates the range of λ obtained by the same method for various cuprates [94–96]. (d) Superconducting gap functions of La₃Ni₂O₇ for $\Delta n = 0$ [55]. (e) Superconducting gap functions of La₃Ni₂O₇ and La₄Ni₃O₁₀. The strength of Wannier orbital characters are presented in panels (a)(b)(d)(e) with the thickness/radius of the color coded line/circles, where the "sum" of the $d_{x^2-y^2}(d_{3z^2-r^2})$ orbital characters among inner- and outer-layer is indicated by red (green, blue, and yellow). The yellow color is used for indicating the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ characters in the case that the eigenstate contains the inner-layer components less than 5%. Otherwise, either green or blue is used for the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ components, depending on whether the band energy is above or below 0.2 eV. In this way, the colors blue, yellow, and green represent bonding, nonbonding, and anti-bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands, respectively. All the calculation results for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ are obtained using the crystal structure at 40 GPa.



FIG. 3. The eigenvalue of the Eliashberg equation for $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ plotted against the external pressure.

of the $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals, but as for the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ orbitals, the gap function takes relatively large values around the N point in all cases presented here, where the hybridization between the $d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ orbitals is strong. This tendency is similar to that found in our calculation for La₃Ni₂O₇ [55].

Finally, we study the pressure dependence of the superconductivity. We perform similar FLEX calculations also at 20 and 60 GPa, and obtain the eigenvalue λ . The eigenvalue is plotted against pressure in Fig. 3, which suggests that T_c is expected to increase upon increasing the pressure.

VI. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS-LA₃NI₂O_{7.01}

We now turn to the experimental results. We first focus on La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}. Fig. 4 displays the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance under various pressures and magnetic fields. Semiconducting behavior is observed below 20.0 GPa, as shown in Fig. 4(a), although it is likely caused by extrinsic factors such as grain boundary scattering because La₃Ni₂O_{7- δ} ($\delta \sim 0$) has been reported to exhibit metallic properties under ambient pressure [100]. At 26.5 GPa, the superconducting transition with a onset T_c around 67.0 K is clearly recognized, which is a behavior similar to that of La₃Ni₂O₇ single crystals in the previous studies [21, 23, 24], although the amount of the resistance drop is not as significant as in those studies. T_c appears to decrease with increasing pressure as shown in Fig. 4(b). The superconductivity survives even at magnetic fields of 5 T although the resistance drop below T_c diminishes significantly with increasing field, as shown in Fig. 4(c). T_c exhibits small field dependence, i.e., 67.0 K (0 T) to 65.3 K (5 T), which is also consistent with the previous studies [21, 23, 24].

VII. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS-LA₄NI₃O_{9.99}

We now present experimental results for $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$. Fig. 5 shows the temperature dependence of the electrical resistance under various pressures and magnetic fields. La₄Ni₃O_{9,99} displays metallic behavior across all measured pressures, with a slight upturn observed at temperatures below approximately 100 K, as shown in Fig. 5(a). The origin of the upturn is uncertain at present. Intriguingly, the drop in resistance suddenly appears below 5 K at 32.8 GPa. Upon increasing the pressure beyond 46.2 GPa, the temperature at which the resistance is maximized increases, and also the decrease in the resistance below it becomes more pronounced, as seen in Fig. 5(b). Here, it should be reminded that according to our theoretical calculation, the tetragonal structure is stabilized beyond pressures around 20 GPa in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$, for which superconductivity with a T_c lower than that of La₃Ni₂O₇ is expected to take place around stoichiometric composition. Since the pressures where the reduction in the resistance is observed are well in the tetragonal phase regime, this reduction can most likely be attributed to pressure induced superconductivity of La₄Ni₃O_{9.99}, although the magnitude of the resistance drop is not as significant as in single crystal La₃Ni₂O₇ [21, 23, 24], similarly to our data for polycrystalline La₃Ni₂O_{7.01}. In fact, the magnetic field dependence of the resistance is quite similar to that of $La_3Ni_2O_{7,01}$ as shown in 5(c), namely, the peak position of the resistance is nearly unaffected, while the resistance drop becomes less significant with increasing field. On the other hand, as seen in Fig. 5(b), the temperature at which the resistance deviates from a linear behavior, considered as the onset T_c , monotonically increases up to 23 K upon increasing the pressure up to 79.2 GPa, which is a pressure dependence opposite to what is observed in La₃Ni₂O_{7.01} and hence is characteristic of $La_4Ni_3O_{9,99}$. This tendency may also be considered as consistent with the theoretical results, where the eigenvalue of the Eliashberg equation increases with pressure (Fig. 3).

VIII. CONCLUSION

In the present study, we have investigated the possibility of superconductivity in La₄Ni₃O₁₀ under pressure both theoretically and experimentally. Through DFT calculations, we have found that a structural phase transition from monoclinic to tetragonal takes place around 20 GPa. Using the crystal structure obtained at 40 GPa, we have theoretically investigated the possibility of superconductivity, where a combination of FLEX and linearized Eliashberg equation is applied to a six-orbital model constructed from first principles band calculation. An interesting feature found here is that the eigenvalue of the Eliashberg equation is (locally) maximized around stoichiometry, reflecting the fact that all of the three $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands contribute to superconductivity around



FIG. 4. Temperature dependence of electrical resistance of $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$ (a) under several pressures from 0.0 GPa to 35.1 GPa, (b) normalized at 90 K under 15.2 - 35.1 GPa, (c) under magnetic fields from 0 to 5 T at 26.5 GPa.



FIG. 5. Temperature dependence of the electrical resistance of $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$ (a) under several pressures from 1.1 to 41.2 GPa, (b) normalized at 30 K, under several pressures from 32.8 to 79.2 GPa, (c) under magnetic fields from 0 to 7 T, at 69.4 GPa

this band filling. This is due to the fact that all the bands are (nearly) incipient, which pushes up the spin fluctuations to finite energies and make them more effective as pairing glue. Hence our calculation results suggest that La₄Ni₃O₁₀, around stoichiometric composition, may become superconducting under high pressure with T_c comparable to some cuprates, although it is not as high as La₃Ni₂O₇. In our analysis, we have assumed that superconductivity, if any, occurs in the tetragonal structure phase. We speculate that other orders such as the charge density wave is more favored for lower symmetries, but such a competition between superconductivity and other orders is beyond the scope of the present study, and serves as an interesting future issue.

We have also examined our theoretical analysis by performing experimental studies using our polycrystalline samples of $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$ and $La_4Ni_3O_{9.99}$. The superconducting transition of $La_3Ni_2O_{7,01}$ has been confirmed by a drop in the electrical resistance, as well as the magnetic field dependence of the resistance. We have observed a maximum onset T_c of 67.0 K at a pressure of 26.5 GPa. Similar temperature and magnetic field dependencies of the resistance have been observed also for La₄Ni₃O_{9.99} under high pressure, where a drop in the resistance is observed at lower temperatures compared to $La_3Ni_2O_{7.01}$. Given the theoretical expectation, the reduction in the resistance can most likely be attributed to the occurrence of superconductivity in $La_4Ni_3O_{9,99}$, although we believe that further studies are necessary for a complete confirmation. The onset T_c , defined as the temperature at which the resistance deviates from a linear behavior, increases with pressure up to 23 K at 79.2 GPa, which is the opposite of what is observed for $La_3Ni_2O_{7,01}$. Understanding the origin of this difference between the two

materials also serves as an interesting future study.

During the finalization process of the present study, we came to notice a recent experimental study on polycrystalline samples [101]. The temperature and magnetic field dependencies of the resistance in $La_3Ni_2O_7$ observed there are quite similar to our results on $La_3Ni_2O_7$, observed there are quite similar to our results on $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ under the pressure of up to 50 GPa. Also, after the initial submission and before the resubmission of our manuscript, there appeared three studies that suggest occurrence of superconductivity in $La_4Ni_3O_{10}$ under pressure. In Ref. [102], results similar to ours are obtained for polycrystalline samples. In Refs. [103, 104], single crystals are studied, and more clear drops of resistance are obtained at around 20-25 K at high pressures.

- [1] F. D. M. Haldane, Phys. Rev. Lett. 50, 1153 (1983).
- [2] H. J. Schulz, Phys. Rev. B 34, 6372 (1986).
- [3] H. Schulz, Correlated Fermions and Transport in Mesoscopic Systems: Proceedings of the XXXIst Rencontres de Moriond, Vol. 90 (Atlantica Séguier Frontières, 1996).
- [4] E. Dagotto, J. Riera, and D. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 45, 5744 (1992).
- [5] T. M. Rice, S. Gopalan, and M. Sigrist, Europhysics Letters 23, 445 (1993).
- [6] For a review, E. Dagotto and T.M. Rice, Science 271, 618 (1996), and references therein.
- [7] M. Uehara, T. Nagata, J. Akimitsu, H. Takahashi, N. Môri, and K. Kinoshita, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 65, 2764 (1996).
- [8] T. Kimura, K. Kuroki, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 54, R9608 (1996).
- [9] E. Arrigoni, Phys. Lett. A **215**, 91 (1996).
- [10] E. Arrigoni, Phys. Status Solidi B **195**, 425 (1996).
- [11] H.-H. Lin, L. Balents, and M. P. A. Fisher, Phys. Rev. B 56, 6569 (1997).
- [12] N. Bulut, D. J. Scalapino, and R. T. Scalettar, Phys. Rev. B 45, 5577 (1992).
- [13] K. Kuroki, T. Kimura, and R. Arita, Phys. Rev. B 66, 184508 (2002).
- [14] T. A. Maier and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 84, 180513(R) (2011).
- [15] V. Mishra, D. J. Scalapino, and T. A. Maier, Sci. Rep. 6, 32078 (2016).
- [16] M. Nakata, D. Ogura, H. Usui, and K. Kuroki, Phys. Rev. B 95, 214509 (2017).
- [17] T. A. Maier, V. Mishra, G. Balduzzi, and D. J. Scalapino, Phys. Rev. B 99, 140504(R) (2019).
- [18] S. Karakuzu, S. Johnston, and T. A. Maier, Phys. Rev. B 104, 245109 (2021).
- [19] K. Matsumoto, D. Ogura, and K. Kuroki, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 89, 044709 (2020).
- [20] D. Kato and K. Kuroki, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 023156 (2020).
- [21] H. Sun, M. Huo, X. Hu, J. Li, Z. Liu, Y. Han, L. Tang, Z. Mao, P. Yang, B. Wang, J. Cheng, D.-X. Yao, G.-M.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kenji Kawashima for fruitful discussions. We are supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant No. JP22K03512 (H. Sakakibara), JP22K04907 (K. K.), JP20H05644 (Y. T.), and JSPS Bilateral Program JPJSBP120214602 (Y. T.). The computing resource is supported by the supercomputer system HOKUSAI in RIKEN, the supercomputer system (system-B) in the Institute for Solid State Physics, the University of Tokyo, and the supercomputer of Academic Center for Computing and Media Studies (ACCMS), Kyoto University.

H.S.(H. Sakakibara), M.O., H.N., Y.U., and H.S.(H. Sakurai) contributed equally to this work.

Zhang, and M. Wang, Nature **621**, 493 (2023).

- [22] Z. Liu, M. Huo, J. Li, Q. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Dai, X. Zhou, J. Hao, Y. Lu, M. Wang, and H.-H. Wen, (2023), arXiv:2307.02950.
- [23] J. Hou, P. T. Yang, Z. Y. Liu, J. Y. Li, P. F. Shan, L. Ma, G. Wang, N. N. Wang, H. Z. Guo, J. P. Sun, Y. Uwatoko, M. Wang, G.-M. Zhang, B. S. Wang, and J.-G. Cheng, (2023), arXiv:2307.09865.
- [24] Y. Zhang, D. Su, Y. Huang, H. Sun, M. Huo, Z. Shan, K. Ye, Z. Yang, R. Li, M. Smidman, M. Wang, L. Jiao, and H. Yuan, (2023), arXiv:2307.14819.
- [25] J. Yang, H. Sun, X. Hu, Y. Xie, T. Miao, H. Luo, H. Chen, B. Liang, W. Zhu, G. Qu, C.-Q. Chen, M. Huo, Y. Huang, S. Zhang, F. Zhang, F. Yang, Z. Wang, Q. Peng, H. Mao, G. Liu, Z. Xu, T. Qian, D.-X. Yao, M. Wang, L. Zhao, and X. J. Zhou, (2023), arXiv:2309.01148.
- [26] Y. Shen, M. Qin, and G.-M. Zhang, (2023), arXiv:2306.07837.
- [27] Q.-G. Yang, D. Wang, and Q.-H. Wang, (2023), arXiv:2306.03706.
- [28] V. Christiansson, F. Petocchi, and P. Werner, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 206501 (2023).
- [29] W. Wú, Z. Luo, D.-X. Yao, and M. Wang, (2023), arXiv:2307.05662.
- [30] Z. Liao, L. Chen, G. Duan, Y. Wang, C. Liu, R. Yu, and Q. Si, (2023), arXiv:2307.16697.
- [31] X.-Z. Qu, D.-W. Qu, J. Chen, C. Wu, F. Yang, W. Li, and G. Su, (2023), arXiv:2307.16873.
- [32] H. Oh and Y.-H. Zhang, (2023), arXiv:2307.15706.
- [33] Z. Luo, X. Hu, M. Wang, W. Wú, and D.-X. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. **131**, 126001 (2023).
- [34] Y.-B. Liu, J.-W. Mei, F. Ye, W.-Q. Chen, and F. Yang, (2023), arXiv:2307.10144.
- [35] Y. Cao and Y.-F. Yang, (2023), arXiv:2307.06806.
- [36] C. Lu, Z. Pan, F. Yang, and C. Wu, (2023), arXiv:2307.14965.
- [37] X. Chen, P. Jiang, J. Li, Z. Zhong, and Y. Lu, (2023), arXiv:2307.07154.
- [38] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, and E. Dagotto, (2023), arXiv:2306.03231.

- [39] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, (2023), arXiv:2307.15276.
- [40] F. Lechermann, J. Gondolf, S. Botzel, and I. M. Eremin, (2023), arXiv:2306.05121.
- [41] Y.-F. Yang, G.-M. Zhang, and F.-C. Zhang, (2023), arXiv:2308.01176.
- [42] K. Jiang, Z. Wang, and F.-C. Zhang, (2023), arXiv:2308.06771.
- [43] Y. Gu, C. Le, Z. Yang, X. Wu, and J. Hu, (2023), arXiv:2306.07275.
- [44] Z. Pan, C. Lu, F. Yang, and C. Wu, (2023), arXiv:2309.06173.
- [45] J.-X. Zhang, H.-K. Zhang, Y.-Z. You, and Z.-Y. Weng, (2023), arXiv:2309.05726.
- [46] Z. Luo, B. Lv, M. Wang, W. Wú, and D.-X. Yao, (2023), arXiv:2308.16564.
- [47] R. Jiang, J. Hou, Z. Fan, Z.-J. Lang, and W. Ku, (2023), arXiv:2308.11614.
- [48] D.-C. Lu, M. Li, Z.-Y. Zeng, W. Hou, J. Wang, F. Yang, and Y.-Z. You, (2023), arXiv:2308.11195.
- [49] Y.-H. Tian, Y. Chen, J.-M. Wang, R.-Q. He, and Z.-Y. Lu, (2023), arXiv:2308.09698.
- [50] Q. Qin and Y.-F. Yang, (2023), arXiv:2308.09044.
- [51] J. Huang, Z. D. Wang, and T. Zhou, (2023), arXiv:2308.07651.
- [52] Y. Zhang, L.-F. Lin, A. Moreo, T. A. Maier, and E. Dagotto, (2023), arXiv:2308.07386.
- [53] H. Lange, L. Homeier, E. Demler, U. Schollwöck, A. Bohrdt, and F. Grusdt, (2023), arXiv:2309.13040.
- [54] B. Geisler, J. J. Hamlin, G. R. Stewart, R. G. Hennig, and P. Hirschfeld, (2023), arXiv:2309.15078.
- [55] H. Sakakibara, N. Kitamine, M. Ochi, and K. Kuroki, (2023), arXiv:2306.06039.
- [56] J. P. Perdew, A. Ruzsinszky, G. I. Csonka, O. A. Vydrov, G. E. Scuseria, L. A. Constantin, X. Zhou, and K. Burke, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 136406 (2008).
- [57] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, Phys. Rev. B **59**, 1758 (1999).
- [58] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **47**, 558 (1993).
- [59] G. Kresse and J. Hafner, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 14251 (1994).
- [60] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Comput. Mater. Sci. 6, 15 (1996).
- [61] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Phys. Rev. B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [62] A. Togo and I. Tanaka, Scr. Mater. 108, 1 (2015).
- [63] N. Marzari and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 56, 12847 (1997).
- [64] I. Souza, N. Marzari, and D. Vanderbilt, Phys. Rev. B 65, 035109 (2001).
- [65] G. Pizzi *et al.*, J. Phys.: Cond. Matter **32**, 165902 (2020).
- [66] N. E. Bickers, D. J. Scalapino, and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 961 (1989).
- [67] N. E. Bickers and S. R. White, Phys. Rev. B 43, 8044 (1991).
- [68] For La₃Ni₂O₇, we also performed FLEX calculation adopting a more realistic set of interaction values evaluated by constrained-RPA [28], and obtained similar results.
- [69] A. I. Lichtenstein and M. I. Katsnelson, Phys. Rev. B 57, 6884 (1998).
- [70] K. Yada and H. Kontani, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 74, 2161 (2005).
- [71] M. Mochizuki, Y. Yanase, and M. Ogata, Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 147005 (2005).

- [72] H. Ikeda, R. Arita, and J. Kuneš, Phys. Rev. B 81, 054502 (2010).
- $\left[73\right]$ H. Sakurai et~al., in preparation ~(2024).
- [74] R. Matsumoto, Y. Sasama, M. Fujioka, T. Irifune, M. Tanaka, T. Yamaguchi, H. Takeya, and Y. Takano, Rev. Sci. Instrum. 87, 076103 (2016).
- [75] R. Matsumoto, A. Yamashita, H. Hara, T. Irifune, S. Adachi, H. Takeya, and Y. Takano, Applied Physics Express 11, 053101 (2018).
- [76] G. J. Piermarini, S. Block, J. D. Barnett, and R. A. Forman, J. Appl. Phys. 46, 2774 (1975).
- [77] Y. Akahama and H. Kawamura, J. Appl. Phys. 96, 3748 (2004).
- [78] R. Matsumoto, Z. Hou, M. Nagao, S. Adachi, H. Hara, H. Tanaka, K. Nakamura, R. Murakami, S. Yamamoto, H. Takeya, T. Irifune, K. Terakura, and Y. Takano, Science and Technology of Advanced Materials **19**, 909 (2018).
- [79] R. Matsumoto, T. Irifune, M. Tanaka, H. Takeya, and Y. Takano, Japanese Journal of Applied Physics 56, 05FC01 (2017).
- [80] K. Momma and F. Izumi, J. Appl. Crystallogr. 44, 1272 (2011).
- [81] Z. Zhang and M. Greenblatt, J. Solid State Chem. 117, 236 (1995).
- [82] J. Zhang, H. Zheng, Y.-S. Chen, Y. Ren, M. Yonemura, A. Huq, and J. F. Mitchell, Phys. Rev. Mater. 4, 083402 (2020).
- [83] V. Voronin, I. Berger, V. Cherepanov, L. Gavrilova, A. Petrov, A. Ancharov, B. Tolochko, and S. Nikitenko, Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section A: Accelerators, Spectrometers, Detectors and Associated Equipment 470, 202 (2001), proceedings of the 13th National Synchrotron Radiation Conference.
- [84] C. D. Ling, D. N. Argyriou, G. Wu, and J. Neumeier, J. Solid State Chem. **152**, 517 (2000).
- [85] M. U. Nagell, S. Kumar, M. H. Sørby, H. Fjellvåg, and A. O. Sjåstad, Phase Transitions 88, 979 (2015).
- [86] S. Kumar, Ø. Fjellvåg, A. O. Sjåstad, and H. Fjellvåg, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 496, 165915 (2020).
- [87] M. Periyasamy, L. Patra, Ø. S. Fjellvåg, P. Ravindran, M. H. Sørby, S. Kumar, A. O. Sjåstad, and H. Fjellvåg, ACS Appl. Electron. Mater. 3, 2671 (2021).
- [88] A. Olafsen, H. Fjellvåg, and B. C. Hauback, J. Solid State Chem. **151**, 46 (2000).
- [89] B.-Z. Li, C. Wang, P. T. Yang, J. P. Sun, Y.-B. Liu, J. Wu, Z. Ren, J.-G. Cheng, G.-M. Zhang, and G.-H. Cao, Phys. Rev. B **101**, 195142 (2020).
- [90] S. Huangfu, X. Zhang, and A. Schilling, Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033247 (2020).
- [91] S. Huangfu, G. D. Jakub, X. Zhang, O. Blacque, P. Puphal, E. Pomjakushina, F. O. von Rohr, and A. Schilling, Phys. Rev. B 101, 104104 (2020).
- [92] H. Li, X. Zhou, T. Nummy, J. Zhang, V. Pardo, W. E. Pickett, J. F. Mitchell, and D. S. Dessau, Nat. Commun. 8, 704 (2017).
- [93] D. Puggioni and J. M. Rondinelli, Phys. Rev. B 97, 115116 (2018).
- [94] H. Sakakibara, H. Usui, K. Kuroki, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 057003 (2010).
- [95] H. Sakakibara, H. Usui, K. Kuroki, R. Arita, and H. Aoki, Phys. Rev. B 85, 064501 (2012).
- [96] H. Sakakibara, K. Suzuki, H. Usui, S. Miyao, I. Maruyama, K. Kusakabe, R. Arita, H. Aoki, and

- [97] A similar bonding, non-bonding, and anti-bonding $d_{3z^2-r^2}$ bands were obtained in a band structure calculation study for La₄Ni₃O₁₀ at ambient pressure [98].
- [98] M.-C. Jung, J. Kapeghian, C. Hanson, B. Pamuk, and A. S. Botana, Electronic structure of higher-order ruddlesden-popper nickelates, Phys. Rev. B 105, 085150 (2022).
- [99] For a brief summary on the effect of incipient bands in spin-fluctuation-mediated superconductivity, see N. Kitamine, M. Ochi, and K. Kuroki, arXiv:2308.12750.
- [100] S. Taniguchi, T. Nishikawa, Y. Yasui, Y. Kobayashi, J. Takeda, S.-i. Shamoto, and M. Sato, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 64, 1644 (1995).

- [101] M. Zhang, C. Pei, Q. Wang, Y. Zhao, C. Li, W. Cao, S. Zhu, J. Wu, and Y. Qi, (2023), arXiv:2309.01651.
- [102] Q. Li, Y.-J. Zhang, Z.-N. Xiang, Y. Zhang, X. Zhu, and H.-H. Wen, (2023), arXiv:2311.05453.
- [103] M. Zhang, C. Pei, X. Du, Y. Cao, J. W. Qi Wang, Y. Li, Y. Zhao, C. Li, W. Cao, S. Zhu, Q. Zhang, N. Yu, P. Cheng, J. Zhao, Y. Chen, H. Guo, L. Yang, and Y. Qi, (2023), arXiv:2311.07423.
- [104] Y. Zhu, E. Zhang, B. Pan, X. Chen, D. Peng, L. Chen, H. Ren, F. Liu, N. Li, Z. Xing, J. Han, J. Wang, D. Jia, H. Wo, Y. Gu, Y. Gu, L. Ji, W. Wang, H. Gou, Y. Shen, T. Ying, X. Chen, W. Yang, C. Zheng, Q. Zeng, J. gang Guo, and J. Zhao, (2023), arXiv:2311.07353.