Nonrelativistic spin splittings and altermagnetism in twisted bilayers of centrosymmetric antiferromagnets

Sajjan Sheoran^{*} and Saswata Bhattacharya[†]

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, New Delhi 110016, India

Magnetism-driven nonrelativistic spin splittings (NRSS) are promising for highly efficient spintronics applications. Although 2D centrosymmetric (in four-dimensional spacetime) antiferromagnets are abundant, they have not received extensive research attention owing to symmetry-forbidden spin polarization and magnetization. Here, we demonstrate a paradigm to harness NRSS by twisting the bilayer of centrosymmetric antiferromagnets with commensurate twist angles. We observe *i*-wave altermagnetism and spin-momentum locking by first-principles simulations and symmetry analysis on prototypical MnPSe₃ and MnSe antiferromagnets. The strength of NRSS (up to 80 meVÅ) induced by twisting is comparable to SOC-induced linear Rashba-Dresselhaus effects. The results also demonstrate how applying biaxial strain and a vertical electric field tune the NRSS. The findings reveal the untapped potential of centrosymmetric antiferromagnets and thus expand the material's horizons in spintronics.

Spin splittings in the electronic structure of crystalline solids play a pivotal role in spintronics applications (e.g., spin transistor) [1, 2]. The conventional spin-orbit coupling (SOC) induced Rashba-Dresselhaus [3–6] in nonmagnetic and Zeeman effects in ferromagnetic (FM) materials create spin splittings under certain crystalline (i.e., inversion $[\mathcal{P}]$) and time-reversal symmetry (\mathcal{T}) breaking [7], respectively. SOC-induced spin splitting and resulting spin polarization engender spin-orbit torques [8], while FM spin polarization has been widely known for spin generation and detection [1]. However, the SOC effect introduces spin dephasing mechanisms [9–11], limiting the practical application. In addition, materials with heavy elements having significant SOC imparts additional challenges, including scarcity, toxicity, and instability. Therefore, nonrelativistic spin splitting (NRSS) is an important avenue to pursue.

Recently, antiferromagnetic (AFM) materials have emerged as viable substitutes for nonmagnetic and FM materials, benefiting from resilience towards stray fields, ultrafast dynamics, and magnetotransport effects [12– 16]. The coupling of spin to lattice degrees of freedom via a staggered collinear compensated magnetism leads to alternating NRSS, termed altermagnetism [17–19]. Numerous efforts have been undertaken to investigate NRSS in AFM materials by breaking combined $\mathcal{PT\tau}$ and/or $U\tau$ symmetries, where U and τ are spinor and translation symmetry, respectively [20–26]. Nevertheless, the majority of AFM spin splittings are limited to bulk materials (e.g., MnF₂ [21, 27], LaMnO₃, and MnTiO₃ [23]), require SOC (e.g., MnS₂ [23] and ZnV₂O₄ [28]), or external perturbation [29–31].

Since the experimental revelation of 2D magnetic ordering, 2D vdW magnetic materials have garnered significant attention in scientific research, emerging as promising contenders for future information technology. Interestingly, two recent works focus on spin splitting in FM NiCl₂ [32] and FeBr₂ (although "hidden") [33] monolayers vdW stacked antiferromagnetically. In contrast, antiferromagnetism-induced spin splitting among centrosymmetric materials with AFM order within each layer is not achieved due to \mathcal{PT} symmetry-enforced spindegeneracy. Despite being abundant in nature, this impedes practical applications of 2D centrosymmetric AFM materials [34–38].

This study generates NRSS and altermagnetism in \mathcal{PT} -symmetric AFM monolayers vdW stacked with a relative twist. We perform density functional theory (DFT) simulations on twisted bilayer (tb-) MnPSe₃ and MnSe as prototypical candidates. The *i*-wave spin-momentum coupling arises in the 2D BZ for $\theta \equivee 0^{\circ}, 60^{\circ}$) tb-MnPSe₃ and MnSe. Based on the symmetry analysis, we find that the strengths of NRSS along specific crystallographic *k*paths are comparable to the conventional SOC-induced Rashba-Dresselhaus effects. Moreover, external perturbations (i.e., electric and strain fields) provide exceptional tunability to NRSS.

MnPSe₃ and MnSe (space group #162, $P\overline{3}1m$) represent two distinct classes of vdW materials that possess exceptional exfoliation properties [34–38]. Unlike the majority of other 2D magnetic materials, they exhibit an AFM arrangement, conforming to the conventional collinear Néel order on the honeycomb lattice [Fig. 1]. This in-plane antiferromagnetism is different from the A-type antiferromagnetism observed in various other 2D vdW compounds, i.e., $MnBi_2Te_4$ [39], CrI_3 [40], and CrSBr [41], where individual layers exhibit FM order but stack antiferromagnetically. The antiferromagnetism of MnPSe₃ is "truly" in-plane and differs from that of MnSe, where Mn ions with opposite magnetic moments (Mn_A and Mn_B) form unusual out-of-plane ordering within the individual layer. Note that the orientation of on-site magnetic moments concerning the lattice only matters if SOC is included. Therefore, nonrelativistic spin-group formalism is described as the symmetry transformation of decoupled real and spin space [18, 42–

^{*} phz198687@physics.iitd.ac.in

[†] saswata@physics.iitd.ac.in



FIG. 1. Crystal structure of the monolayer (a) MnPSe₃ and (b) MnSe. The red and blue spheres indicate Mn atoms with the opposite collinear magnetic densities. The brown and green spheres represent P and Se atoms, respectively. The Cartesian (x, y, z) coordinate system and the hexagonal unit cell (with solid black lines) are shown for each case. The nontrivial spin-group symmetries are also highlighted. E and C_2 represent identity and two-fold rotation (about an axis perpendicular to spins) in spin-space, respectively. \mathcal{M}_i and C_{2j} denote the mirror reflection perpendicular to the *i* axis and the two-fold rotation parallel to the *j* axis in real-space, respectively. \mathcal{P} represents the real-space inversion.

45]. The spin-symmetry operations $[R_i||R_i]$ of monolayer $MnPSe_3$ and MnSe are indicated in Fig. 1, where the transformation on the left (right) of the double vertical bar acts on the only spin (real) space. In addition, collinear magnets always have additional symmetry $[\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2||T]$ arising from spin-only groups, where $\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2$ is the two-fold rotation perpendicular to the collinear spin axis, followed by spin-space inversion. Mn_A and Mn_B sublattices are connected through $[\mathcal{C}_2 || \mathcal{P}]$ symmetry in monolayer MnPSe₃ and MnSe. $[\mathcal{C}_2 || \mathcal{P}][\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2 || T] ~(\equiv \mathcal{PT}^1)$ symmetry transforms energy eigenstate $E(k, \sigma)$ as $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{P}]|\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2||T|E(k,\sigma) = [\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{P}]E(-k,\sigma) = E(k,-\sigma), \text{ lead-}$ ing to spin degeneracy throughout the Brillouin zone (BZ). We have verified that through DFT+U calculations performed on the projector augmented wave method [46] based VASP [47] code (methods are detailed in Sect. I of supplemental material (SM) [48]). DFT simulated energy bands for monolayer MnPSe₃ and MnSe are doubly degenerate (see Sect. II in SM [48]). The semiconducting MnPSe₃ and MnSe have a magnetic moment of $\sim 4.5 \mu_B/Mn$ with weak interlayer coupling. In addition, $[\mathcal{C}_2||\tau]$ can also enforce spin-degeneracy by connecting opposite spin sublattices by translation (τ) as $[\mathcal{C}_2||\tau]E(k,\sigma)=E(k,-\sigma)$. Since 2D systems have only in-plane components of momentum $k_{||}$, nonrelativistic Hamiltonian for 2D systems may have symmetries other than $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{P}]$ and $[\mathcal{C}_2||\tau]$ enforcing spin degeneracy. For example, $[\mathcal{C}_2 || \mathcal{M}_z]$ symmetry also enforces spin degeneracy throughout BZ in 2D materials, with $M_z: M_z k_{||} = k_{||}$



FIG. 2. The Moiré superlattices formed by twisting bilayer of (a) MnPSe₃ and (b) MnSe by 21.79° . (c) The Moiré BZ construction uses BZs of the top and bottom layers. The large red and blue hexagons are the first BZ of the top and bottom layers, respectively, and black hexagons represent the BZ corresponding to the Moiré superlattice. Spin-polarized band structure of (d) tb-MnPSe₃ and (e) tb-MnSe at the PBE level. The red and blue bands denote spin-up and spin-down states, respectively.

as a planer mirror reflection [see Sec. II of SM [48] for details]. That makes achieving NRSS even more difficult for 2D materials. In the case of MnPSe₃ and MnSe monolayers, $[C_2||\mathcal{M}_z]$ is already broken [Figs. 1(a) and 1(b)], whereas type-III Shubnikov MSG ensures $[C_2||\tau]$ symmetry-breaking [49]. The only symmetry preserving spin degeneracy is $[C_2||\mathcal{P}]$ symmetry in monolayers MnPSe₃ and MnSe.

Bilayer MnSe and MnPSe₃ are obtained from monolayers with various high-symmetry stackings as used in Ref [30]. Spin-up and spin-down states are degenerate for AA, AA', AB, and BA stackings [see Sec. II of SM [48]]. The $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{P}]$ symmetry enforces double degeneracy in AA, AB, and BA, whereas double degeneracy in AA' stacking is protected by the $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{M}_z]$. Therefore, high-symmetry stackings are not an ideal for SOC-unrelated spin splitting in 2D \mathcal{PT} -symmetric antiferromagnets.

Commensurate twisted bilayers are obtained using coincidence lattice theory [50] by taking the AA bilayer as the untwisted limit to break \mathcal{PT} symmetry. A periodic lattice structure, including Moiré superlattice, can form with special twist angle θ , $\cos \theta = \frac{n^2 + 4mn + m^2}{2(m^2 + mn + n^2)}$, where m, n are whole numbers [51]. We only considered twist angles that resulted in reasonably sized commensurate supercells with the number of atoms in unit-cell fewer than 350. Figures 2(a) and 2(b) show relaxed crystal structures and Moiré patterns in $\theta = 21.79^{\circ}$ tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe [see Moiré BZ in Fig. 2(c)]. Different pos-

¹ Therefore, we use " $[\mathcal{C}_2 || \mathcal{P}]$ " and " \mathcal{PT} " interchangeably.

sible interlayer and intralayer magnetic couplings $\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow\uparrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow$, $\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow$, and $\uparrow\uparrow\downarrow\downarrow\downarrow$ were considered to determine the preferred magnetic ordering [here, up and down arrows represent the relative magnetic moment direction on Mn atoms]. The most stable magnetic structure is $\uparrow\downarrow\uparrow\downarrow$, where magnetic order is intralayer and interlayer AFM [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. Twist angle leads to small variation in the magnitude of local magnetic moments from 4.441 to 4.448 μ_B /Mn in tb-MnSe. The tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe are altermagnetic with opposite spin sublattices connected through the rotation symmetries ([C_2 || C_2 [010]] and [C_2 || C_2 [-120]], respectively) with net zero magnetization [Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. In addition to Mn atoms, nonmagnetic ligands also contribute to \mathcal{PT} symmetry breaking in tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe.

Firstly, we compute the spin-polarized band structures of tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe along the high-symmetry paths (HSPs) [Figs. 2(d),(e)]. The bands are spin degenerate along HSPs due to special symmetries arising at arbitrary k-point on HSP. For instance, $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{C}_{2[010]}]$ in tb-MnPSe₃ transforms spin-up to spin-down state along the Γ -K path, enforcing degeneracy between them [see Sec. III of SM [48]. However, this is not the case for any generic k-point. No symmetry transform transform spinup to spin-down at generic k-point, leading to the lifting of Kramers degeneracy. Therefore, the full BZ analysis of spin splitting is required. We plot spin splitting energy $\delta E \left[=E_{\uparrow}(k)-E_{\downarrow}(k)\right]$ of valence bands in tb-MnSe as a function of k [Fig. 3(a)]. The δE is invariant under real-space inversion $[\delta E(k) = \delta E(-k)]$ due to spinonly symmetry $[\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2||T]$, which transforms energy eigenstates $[\overline{\mathcal{C}}_2||T]E(k,\sigma) = E(-k,\sigma)$. Therefore, leading to 6fold symmetric $([E||\mathcal{C}_6])$ planar *i*-wave spin-momentum coupling, which is different from the 3-fold symmetry of SOC-induced δE observed in well-known monolayer MoS_2 [52]. Similar patterns are also observed for δE of CB in tb-MnSe and VB in tb-MnPSe₃ (see Sect. III in SM). The maximum NRSS is observed at the orthocenter (H/H') of the triangle formed by Γ , M, and K_1/K'_1 points. Maximum splitting observed is 20.4, 4.2, and 5.1 meV for VB of tb-MnSe, CB of tb-MnSe, and VB of tb-MnPSe₃, respectively. Maximum δE is smaller than well-known bulk antiferromagnets, i.e., MnF_2 [21], Fe_2TeO_6 [31], and LaMnO₃ [23]. The δE observed in CB of tb-MnPSe₃ is negligible and beyond the accuracy of our calculations.

To understand the nature of NRSS, we plot band structures along both K_1 - K_c - K_2 and M_1 - M_c - M_2 directions [Figs. 3(b)-(e)]. Interestingly, linear NRSS is observed around K_c and M_c for VB and CB of tb-MnSe and VB of tb-MnPSe₃. The spin splittings exhibit contrasting characteristics at the H and H' points, featuring distinct valleys and maximum strength, suggesting the potential for valleytronics applications in twisted bilayers of antiferromagnets [53, 54]. Note that the spin splittings around the Γ point, along the Γ -H/H' direction, exhibit cubic characteristics, which result in their being relatively small and, as such, are excluded from the cur-



FIG. 3. (a) Spin splitting energy $[\delta E = E_{\uparrow}(k) - E_{\downarrow}(k)]$ distribution of valence band in 21.79° tb-MnSe. The units of k_x and k_y are Å⁻¹. Conduction bands of tb-MnSe along the (b) K_1 - K_c - K_2 and (c) M_1 - M_c - M_2 paths [see Fig 2(c) for paths]. Valence bands of tb-MnPSe₃ along the (d) K_1 - K_c - K_2 and (e) M_1 - M_c - M_2 paths. The red and blue curves denote spin-up and spin-down bands, respectively. Black dashed squares represent prominent spin splittings. Fermi energy is set to valence band maximum.

rent discussion [55].

Spin splittings around M_c and K_c points are further analyzed using the symmetry-based model Hamiltonian, deduced using the "method of invariants" [55, 58]. The symmetry element (besides identity) of M_c/K_c point is $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{C}_{2[010]}]$ and $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{C}_{2[-120]}]$ for tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe, respectively [see Figs. 2(a) and 2(b)]. The symmetry invariant terms include $\alpha q_{y'}\sigma_z$ and $q_{y'}q_i^2\sigma_z$ (i = x', y'), where $q = k - M_c/K_c$ are the momenta measured from M_c/K_c [see Sec. III of SM [48] for notation, derivation, and discussion]. Therefore, splitting is absent



FIG. 4. Band structures of tb-MnPSe₃ around (a) K_c and (b) M_c along q_y direction. (c) and (d) are counterparts of (a) and (b), respectively, obtained for tb-MnSe. The solid and dotted lines are band structures obtained by DFT and the model described by Eq. 1, respectively.

TABLE I. Classification of 2D materials based on the MSG type, magnetic order and their impact on the NRSS. The relevant spin-group symmetry is also indicated in the case of spin-degeneracy at generic k.

Spin splitting	Monolayer			Twisted bilayer	Examples
prototype	Magnetic order	MSG type	NRSS at generic k	NRSS at generic k	
SST-1	Nonmagnetic	II	$\boldsymbol{X}([\mathcal{C}_2 E])$	$\boldsymbol{X}([\mathcal{C}_2 E])$	MoS_2 [56], $PtSe_2$ [57]
SST-2	Ferromagnetic	I/III	\checkmark	\checkmark	$NiCl_2, CrI_3, CrN, CrSBr$ [32]
SST-3	Antiferromagnetic	III	$\mathbf{X}([\mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{P}]/[\mathcal{C}_2 \mathcal{M}_z])$	\checkmark	MnPSe ₃ , MnSe [This work]
SST-4	Altermagnetic	I/III	\checkmark	-	
SST-5	Antiferromagnetic	IV	$oldsymbol{arkappa}([\mathcal{C}_2 au])$	-	

along the $q_{x'}$ (K_c - K'_1 and M_c - K'_1) direction, whereas it is present along the $q_{y'}$ direction (K_c - $K_{1/2}$ and M_c - $M_{1/2}$). To understand the NRSS along the $q_{y'}$ direction, it is possible to write an effective Hamiltonian (H_{eff}), up to third-order in k:

$$H_{eff} = \alpha q_{y'} \sigma_z + \eta q_{y'}^3 \sigma_z \tag{1}$$

 α and η are the constants determining the strength of NRSS. The primary linear term in Eq. 1 leads to the linear splitting of spin-up and spin-down energy bands around M_c and K_c points, similar to the linearly split bands by SOC-induced Rashba and Dresselhaus effects. Note that spin splitting in Eq. 1 originates from altermagnetic ordering and is completely nonrelativistic. On the other hand, the Rashba-Dresselhaus effect is induced by the spin-orbit field originating from noncentrosymmetric sites and is of relativistic origin. We fit the energy levels around M_c and K_c along the $q_{y'}$ direction to obtain spinsplitting parameters. The fits are obtained by minimiza-tion of the summation, $S = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \sum_{q} f(q) |\text{Det}[H_{eff}(q) - E^{i}(q)I]|^{2}$ over the i^{th} energy eigenvalues $[E^{i}(q)]$ as training sets. We have also included a weight function f(q)with normal distribution to get a better fit near the spindegenerate point and avoid overfitting. The obtained fits to the DFT energy levels of tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe are shown in Figs. 4(a)-4(d). The Hamiltonian in Eq 1 with α =58.6 meVÅ and η =34.2 eVÅ³ provide the best fit to the VBs of tb-MnPSe₃ around the K_c point [Fig. 4(a)]. Whereas $\alpha = 39.8 \text{ meV}\text{\AA}$ and $\eta = 3.5 \text{ eV}\text{\AA}^3$ are observed for VBs of tb-MnPSe₃ around the M_c point, respectively [Fig. 4(b)]. Similarly, linear splitting strength of 35.4 and 60.7 meVÅ is observed in CBs of tb-MnSe around the K_c and M_c points, respectively [Figs. 4(c)-3(d)]. The NRSS is comparable to those experimentally reported in the literature (e.g., 10 meVÅ for KTaO3 [59], 4.3 meVÅ for LaAlO₃/SrTiO₃ interface [60], \sim 70 meVÅ in InGaAs/InAlAs interface [61], and 77 meVÅ for MoSSe monolayer [62]). The growing field of twistronics makes NRSS observed in tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe experimentally accessible.

The 2D magnetic materials can be classified into five prototypes depending on the magnetic order, MSG, and whether NRSS is absent or present in a monolayer [Table I]. Spin degeneracy in nonmagnetic materials (SST-1) is enforced by $|\mathcal{C}_2||E|$ and remains preserved under twisting operations. In contrast, FM materials (SST-2) show NRSS in both monolayer limits and two layers stacked antiferromagnetically with a twist [32]. Altermagnetic materials (SST-4) have opposite-spin sublattices connected through mirror-rotation symmetries with opposite-spin electronic states separated in the momentum space. MSG type-IV always has AFM order with $[\mathcal{C}_2||\tau]$ symmetry (SST-5) and necessitates SOC to induce spin splitting [23]. 2D AFM materials with MSG type-III containing $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{P}|$ (\mathcal{PT}) or $[\mathcal{C}_2||\mathcal{M}_z]$ (SST-3) are unique, as NRSS is absent in the monolayer and presented in twisted bilayer. Therefore, the twisting operation generates splittings in SST-3 type materials, the most common magnetic ordering found in nature.



FIG. 5. Band structures of 21.79° (a) tb-MnPSe₃ and (b) tb-MnSe in the presence of the out-of-plane electric field (\mathcal{E}_z) of strength 10 MV/cm. (c) The Zeeman spin splittings in the CB (Δ_C) and VB (Δ_V) of 21.79° tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe at Γ point as a function of \mathcal{E}_z . (d) The variation in α (see Eq. 1) as a function of biaxial strain for 21.79° tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe.

Although controlling crystal symmetries in bulk materials is challenging, it has been shown that gating can effectively break the symmetries in 2D materials, including twisted bilayers [63–65]. In the following, we apply an out-of-plane electric field (\mathcal{E}_z) to the tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe in DFT simulations self-consistently using the approach introduced by Neugebauer and Scheffler [66]. The electric field creates not only polarization but also magnetization by breaking opposite spin-sublattice transformation through the magnetoelectric coupling [67]. The Zeeman-like Hamiltonian under \mathcal{E}_z are given by [31], $\hat{H}_Z = \lambda \mathcal{E}_z \sigma_z$, where λ is coefficient determining coupling strength. In the presence of \mathcal{E}_Z , the spin degenerate levels at the high symmetry points $(\Gamma, M, \text{ and } K)$ and along HSPs will be split into two sublevels, $E_{+} = \lambda \mathcal{E}_{z}$ and $E_{-}=-\lambda \mathcal{E}_{z}$ [Figs. 5(a)-5(c)]. We observe that the splitting induced by \mathcal{E}_z in tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe exhibits markedly distinct characteristics. Specifically, an electric field \mathcal{E}_z with a strength of 10 MV/cm results in nearly negligible splitting at the Γ point for tb-MnPSe3, suggesting a small λ [Figs. 5(a), 5(c)]. In contrast, for tb-MnSe, the Γ point experiences a significantly larger Zeeman-type splitting ($\sim 175 \text{meV}$) induced by an electric field \mathcal{E}_z of 10 MV/cm [Figs. 5(b), 5(c)]. This disparity can be explained through structural analysis: in tb-MnPSe₃, Mn atoms with opposite magnetic moments lie within the same z-plane, while in tb-MnSe, they are situated in different z-planes, thus supporting magnetoelectric coupling when an electric field is applied along the z-direction. On the contrary, when we compare the Zeeman splittings induced in the CB and VB of tb-MnSe at the Γ point [Fig. 5(b)], it becomes evident that the splitting in the VB is significantly greater in magnitude compared to that in the CB. This pronounced splitting in the VB of tb-MnSe can be attributed to the in-plane orbitals, which have wave functions segregated on different z-planes and, as a result, are more susceptible to the \mathcal{E}_z . In addition, tunability in electronic states can be achieved by the strain engineering of 2D materials [68]. The in-plane biaxial strain preserves the crystal symmetry, thus creating no additional splittings. However, the strength of NRSS (α) around K_c/M_c for twisted bilayers are modified under biaxial in-plane strain [Fig. 5(d)]. α increases with compressive strain and increases with tensile strain, providing exceptional tunability.

Similar effects were also investigated for other twist angles, including 9.43°, 13.17°, 27.79°, 32.20°, 38.21°, and 42.10° (see Sect. IV of SM [48]). The δE also depends upon the dispersiveness of energy bands, where δE increases with increasing band dispersion. The linear NRSS is more prominent for the twist angles around 30°, as the structure deviates from the \mathcal{PT} -symmetric ($\theta = 0^\circ, 60^\circ$) counterparts by the highest amount. In addition, the strength of splitting is the same for twist angles θ and $60^\circ - \theta$ (see Sect. V of SM [48]). MnPSe3 and MnSe contain relatively lighter elements with negligible SOC effects (see Sect. VI of SM [48]). The Zeeman splitting observed in bilayer MnSe with a twist angle of $\theta = 0^{\circ}$ is ~180 meV under 10 MV/cm of the vertical electric field [30], nearly similar to 21.79° tb-MnSe of ~ 175 meV with the same electric field. Similarly, the Zeeman effect in 0° tb-MnPSe₃ is negligible [29], like 21.79° tb-MnPSe₃. Therefore, the order of Zeeman spin splitting depends much on how opposite spin-sublattices are arranged in the monolayer concerning the electric field and has less to do with the twist angle. Note that the models in this study include only spin degrees of freedom, thus revealing spin splitting qualitatively. For quantitative analysis, other degrees of freedom (i.e., orbital and sublattice) through first-principles or multiband tightbinding model calculations need to be included.

To summarize, we have shown that NRSS can be induced in 2D \mathcal{PT} -symmetric antiferromagnets by taking bilayers with a relative twist. By first-principles calculations and symmetry analysis, we further predict spinmoment coupling in 21.79° tb-MnPSe₃ and tb-MnSe that accommodate linear NRSS as large as ~ 90 meVÅ. The lateral electric field split otherwise spin degenerate bands along the HSPs through magnetoelectric coupling, with more prominent effects in tb-MnSe. In addition, NRSSs are tunable using the biaxial strain. The measurement of these spin splittings can be conducted through wellestablished optical [29] and electrical transport [69] techniques commonly used in the field of spintronics. Employing antiferromagnets featuring spin-split bands as described in the present study may obviate the necessity for a heavy-metal layer, given that the current AFM mechanism yields a substantial magnitude of spin-moment splitting, even with lighter elements. Moreover, the low-Z antiferromagnets with even larger NRSSs can be predicted by the inverse design approach with desired functionality [70]. In addition, NRSS in Moiré-induced flat bands ($\theta \leq 3^{\circ}$) can be an interesting avenue to prospect. We aspire to broaden the pool of available materials and enrich the field of AFM semiconductor spintronics [1, 2] through the complete realization of original devices.

Acknowledgments.—S.S. acknowledges CSIR, India, for the senior research fellowship [grant no. 09/086(1432)/2019-EMR-I]. S. B. acknowledges financial support from SERB under a core research grant (grant no. CRG/2019/000647) to set up High-Performance Computing (HPC) facility "Veena" at IIT Delhi for computational resources.

- I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. D. Sarma, Spintronics: Fundamentals and applications, Reviews of modern physics 76, 323 (2004).
- [3] G. Dresselhaus, Spin-orbit coupling effects in zinc blende structures, Physical Review 100, 580 (1955).
 [4] E. Bachka, Drawn time of annion ductors with an analysis.
- [2] A. Fert, Nobel lecture: Origin, development, and future of spintronics, Reviews of modern physics 80, 1517 (2008).
- [4] E. Rashba, Properties of semiconductors with an extremum loop. i. cyclotron and combinational resonance in a magnetic field perpendicular to the plane of the loop, Sov. Phys.-Solid State 2, 1109 (1960).

- [5] F. Vas' ko, Spin splitting in the spectrum of twodimensional electrons due to the surface potential, Soviet Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics Letters 30, 541 (1979).
- [6] Y. A. Bychkov and É. I. Rashba, Properties of a 2d electron gas with lifted spectral degeneracy, JETP lett 39, 78 (1984).
- [7] A. F. Young, C. R. Dean, L. Wang, H. Ren, P. Cadden-Zimansky, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, J. Hone, K. L. Shepard, and P. Kim, Spin and valley quantum hall ferromagnetism in graphene, Nature Physics 8, 550 (2012).
- [8] C. Ciccarelli, L. Anderson, V. Tshitoyan, A. Ferguson, F. Gerhard, C. Gould, L. Molenkamp, J. Gayles, J. Zelezný, L. Šmejkal, *et al.*, Room-temperature spin– orbit torque in nimnsb, Nature physics **12**, 855 (2016).
- [9] R. . J. Elliott, Theory of the effect of spin-orbit coupling on magnetic resonance in some semiconductors, Physical Review 96, 266 (1954).
- [10] F. Seitz, D. Turnbull, and H. Ehrenreich, Solid state physics (Academic Press, 1968).
- [11] M. Dyakonov and V. Perel, Spin relaxation of conduction electrons in noncentrosymmetric semiconductors, Soviet Physics Solid State, Ussr 13, 3023 (1972).
- [12] J. Han, R. Cheng, L. Liu, H. Ohno, and S. Fukami, Coherent antiferromagnetic spintronics, Nature Materials 22, 684 (2023).
- [13] V. Baltz, A. Manchon, M. Tsoi, T. Moriyama, T. Ono, and Y. Tserkovnyak, Antiferromagnetic spintronics, Reviews of Modern Physics **90**, 015005 (2018).
- [14] T. Jungwirth, X. Marti, P. Wadley, and J. Wunderlich, Antiferromagnetic spintronics, Nature nanotechnology 11, 231 (2016).
- [15] T. Jungwirth, J. Sinova, A. Manchon, X. Marti, J. Wunderlich, and C. Felser, The multiple directions of antiferromagnetic spintronics, Nature Physics 14, 200 (2018).
- [16] J. Železný, P. Wadley, K. Olejník, A. Hoffmann, and H. Ohno, Spin transport and spin torque in antiferromagnetic devices, Nature Physics 14, 220 (2018).
- [17] S. Pekar and E. Rashba, Combined resonance in crystals in inhomogeneous magnetic fields, Zh. Eksperim. i Teor. Fiz. 47 (1964).
- [18] L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Beyond conventional ferromagnetism and antiferromagnetism: A phase with nonrelativistic spin and crystal rotation symmetry, Physical Review X 12, 031042 (2022).
- [19] L. Šmejkal, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Emerging research landscape of altermagnetism, Physical Review X 12, 040501 (2022).
- [20] S. Hayami, Y. Yanagi, and H. Kusunose, Momentumdependent spin splitting by collinear antiferromagnetic ordering, journal of the physical society of japan 88, 123702 (2019).
- [21] L.-D. Yuan, Z. Wang, J.-W. Luo, E. I. Rashba, and A. Zunger, Giant momentum-dependent spin splitting in centrosymmetric low-z antiferromagnets, Physical Review B 102, 014422 (2020).
- [22] S. Hayami, Y. Yanagi, and H. Kusunose, Bottom-up design of spin-split and reshaped electronic band structures in antiferromagnets without spin-orbit coupling: Procedure on the basis of augmented multipoles, Physical Review B 102, 144441 (2020).
- [23] L.-D. Yuan, Z. Wang, J.-W. Luo, and A. Zunger, Prediction of low-z collinear and noncollinear antiferromagnetic

compounds having momentum-dependent spin splitting even without spin-orbit coupling, Physical Review Materials 5, 014409 (2021).

- [24] R. González-Hernández, L. Šmejkal, K. Výborný, Y. Yahagi, J. Sinova, T. Jungwirth, and J. Železný, Efficient electrical spin splitter based on nonrelativistic collinear antiferromagnetism, Physical Review Letters 126, 127701 (2021).
- [25] L. Šmejkal, A. B. Hellenes, R. González-Hernández, J. Sinova, and T. Jungwirth, Giant and tunneling magnetoresistance in unconventional collinear antiferromagnets with nonrelativistic spin-momentum coupling, Physical Review X 12, 011028 (2022).
- [26] L.-D. Yuan and A. Zunger, Degeneracy removal of spin bands in collinear antiferromagnets with noninterconvertible spin-structure motif pair, Advanced Materials, 2211966 (2023).
- [27] P. Dufek, K. Schwarz, and P. Blaha, Electronic and magnetic structure of mnf 2 and nif 2, Physical Review B 48, 12672 (1993).
- [28] T. Maitra and R. Valenti, Orbital order in znv 2 o 4, Physical review letters 99, 126401 (2007).
- [29] N. Sivadas, S. Okamoto, and D. Xiao, Gate-controllable magneto-optic kerr effect in layered collinear antiferromagnets, Physical Review Letters 117, 267203 (2016).
- [30] S. Sheoran and S. Bhattacharya, Multiple zeeman-type hidden spin splittings in pt-symmetric layered antiferromagnets, Physical Review B 109, L020404 (2024).
- [31] H. J. Zhao, X. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Yang, L. Bellaiche, and Y. Ma, Zeeman effect in centrosymmetric antiferromagnetic semiconductors controlled by an electric field, Physical Review Letters **129**, 187602 (2022).
- [32] R. He, D. Wang, N. Luo, J. Zeng, K.-Q. Chen, and L.-M. Tang, Nonrelativistic spin-momentum coupling in antiferromagnetic twisted bilayers, Physical Review Letters 130, 046401 (2023).
- [33] L.-D. Yuan, X. Zhang, C. M. Acosta, and A. Zunger, Uncovering spin-orbit coupling-independent hidden spin polarization of energy bands in antiferromagnets, Nature Communications 14, 5301 (2023).
- [34] R. Basnet, K. M. Kotur, M. Rybak, C. Stephenson, S. Bishop, C. Autieri, M. Birowska, and J. Hu, Controlling magnetic exchange and anisotropy by nonmagnetic ligand substitution in layered m p x 3 (m= ni, mn; x= s, se), Physical Review Research 4, 023256 (2022).
- [35] C. Autieri, G. Cuono, C. Noce, M. Rybak, K. M. Kotur, C. E. Agrapidis, K. Wohlfeld, and M. Birowska, Limited ferromagnetic interactions in monolayers of mps3 (m= mn and ni), The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 126, 6791 (2022).
- [36] N. Pournaghavi, M. Islam, R. Islam, C. Autieri, T. Dietl, and C. M. Canali, Realization of the chern-insulator and axion-insulator phases in antiferromagnetic mnte/bi 2 (se, te) 3/mnte heterostructures, Physical Review B 103, 195308 (2021).
- [37] M. Aapro, M. N. Huda, J. Karthikeyan, S. Kezilebieke, S. C. Ganguli, H. G. Herrero, X. Huang, P. Liljeroth, and H.-P. Komsa, Synthesis and properties of monolayer mnse with unusual atomic structure and antiferromagnetic ordering, ACS nano 15, 13794 (2021).
- [38] S. Sattar, M. Islam, and C. Canali, Monolayer mn x and janus x mn y (x, y= s, se, te): A family of twodimensional antiferromagnetic semiconductors, Physical Review B 106, 085410 (2022).

- [39] Y.-F. Zhao, L.-J. Zhou, F. Wang, G. Wang, T. Song, D. Ovchinnikov, H. Yi, R. Mei, K. Wang, M. H. Chan, *et al.*, Even–odd layer-dependent anomalous hall effect in topological magnet mnbi2te4 thin films, Nano letters **21**, 7691 (2021).
- [40] N. Sivadas, S. Okamoto, X. Xu, C. J. Fennie, and D. Xiao, Stacking-dependent magnetism in bilayer cri3, Nano letters 18, 7658 (2018).
- [41] K. Lee, A. H. Dismukes, E. J. Telford, R. A. Wiscons, J. Wang, X. Xu, C. Nuckolls, C. R. Dean, X. Roy, and X. Zhu, Magnetic order and symmetry in the 2d semiconductor crsbr, Nano Letters 21, 3511 (2021).
- [42] W. Brinkman and R. J. Elliott, Theory of spin-space groups, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A. Mathematical and Physical Sciences 294, 343 (1966).
- [43] D. B. Litvin and W. Opechowski, Spin groups, Physica 76, 538 (1974).
- [44] D. B. Litvin, Spin point groups, Acta Crystallographica Section A: Crystal Physics, Diffraction, Theoretical and General Crystallography 33, 279 (1977).
- [45] P. Liu, J. Li, J. Han, X. Wan, and Q. Liu, Spin-group symmetry in magnetic materials with negligible spinorbit coupling, Physical Review X 12, 021016 (2022).
- [46] G. Kresse and D. Joubert, From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method, Physical review b 59, 1758 (1999).
- [47] G. Kresse and J. Furthmüller, Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set, Physical review B 54, 11169 (1996).
- [48] See Supplemental Material at [link to be inserted by publisher] for computational methods, symmetry analysis of monolayer, bilayer, and twisted bilayers, results for twist angles other than 21.79°, correlation between twist angle θ and $60^{\circ}-\theta$, and effect of spinorbit coupling. The Supplemental Material also includes Refs. [18, 21, 29, 30, 46, 47, 49, 51, 56, 66, 71–89].
- [49] M. S. Dresselhaus, G. Dresselhaus, and A. Jorio, Group theory: application to the physics of condensed matter (Springer Science & Business Media, 2007).
- [50] K. Uchida, S. Furuya, J.-I. Iwata, and A. Oshiyama, Atomic corrugation and electron localization due to moiré patterns in twisted bilayer graphenes, Phys. Rev. B 90, 155451 (2014).
- [51] J. L. Dos Santos, N. Peres, and A. C. Neto, Graphene bilayer with a twist: Electronic structure, Physical review letters 99, 256802 (2007).
- [52] H. Jafari, E. Barts, P. Przybysz, K. Tenzin, P. J. Kowalczyk, P. Dabrowski, and J. Sławińska, Robust zeeman-type band splitting in sliding ferroelectrics, arXiv:2308.15241 (2023).
- [53] S. Sheoran, M. Jain, R. Moulik, and S. Bhattacharya, Probing the uniaxial strain-dependent valley drift and berry curvature in monolayer mosi 2 n 4, Physical Review Materials 7, 114003 (2023).
- [54] S. Sheoran, A. Phutela, R. Moulik, and S. Bhat-Manipulation valley tacharya, of and spin properties in two-dimensional janus wsigez4 as) through symmetry (z = n,p, control. The Journal of Physical Chemistry C 127, 11396 (2023)
- [55] H. J. Zhao, H. Nakamura, R. Arras, C. Paillard, P. Chen, J. Gosteau, X. Li, Y. Yang, and L. Bellaiche, Purely cubic spin splittings with persistent spin textures, Physical Review Letters **125**, 216405 (2020).

- [56] M. H. Naik and M. Jain, Ultraflatbands and shear solitons in moiré patterns of twisted bilayer transition metal dichalcogenides, Physical review letters **121**, 266401 (2018).
- [57] L. Xu, H. Liu, C. Song, X. Li, F. Li, D. Li, L. Wang, X. Bai, and J. Qi, Evolution of interlayer stacking orders and rotations in bilayer ptse2 visualized by stem, 2D Materials 8, 025014 (2021).
- [58] L. Tao and E. Y. Tsymbal, Persistent spin texture enforced by symmetry, Nature communications 9, 2763 (2018).
- [59] S. Varotto, A. Johansson, B. Göbel, L. M. Vicente-Arche, S. Mallik, J. Bréhin, R. Salazar, F. Bertran, P. L. Fèvre, N. Bergeal, *et al.*, Direct visualization of rashba-split bands and spin/orbital-charge interconversion at ktao3 interfaces, Nature Communications **13**, 6165 (2022).
- [60] G. J. Omar, W. Kong, H. Jani, M. Li, J. Zhou, Z. S. Lim, S. Prakash, S. Zeng, S. Hooda, T. Venkatesan, *et al.*, Experimental evidence of t 2 g electron-gas rashba interaction induced by asymmetric orbital hybridization, Physical Review Letters **129**, 187203 (2022).
- [61] J. Nitta, T. Akazaki, H. Takayanagi, and T. Enoki, Gate control of spin-orbit interaction in an inverted i n 0.53 g a 0.47 as/i n 0.52 a l 0.48 as heterostructure, Physical Review Letters 78, 1335 (1997).
- [62] T. Hu, F. Jia, G. Zhao, J. Wu, A. Stroppa, and W. Ren, Intrinsic and anisotropic rashba spin splitting in janus transition-metal dichalcogenide monolayers, Physical Review B 97, 235404 (2018).
- [63] A. Weston, E. G. Castanon, V. Enaldiev, F. Ferreira, S. Bhattacharjee, S. Xu, H. Corte-León, Z. Wu, N. Clark, A. Summerfield, *et al.*, Interfacial ferroelectricity in marginally twisted 2d semiconductors, Nature nanotechnology **17**, 390 (2022).
- [64] S. Talkington and E. J. Mele, Electric-field-tunable band gap in commensurate twisted bilayer graphene, Physical Review B 107, L041408 (2023).
- [65] S. Sheoran, S. Monga, A. Phutela, and S. Bhattacharya, Coupled spin-valley, rashba effect, and hidden spin polarization in wsi2n4 family, The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters 14, 1494 (2023).
- [66] J. Neugebauer and M. Scheffler, Adsorbate-substrate and adsorbate-adsorbate interactions of na and k adlayers on al (111), Physical Review B 46, 16067 (1992).
- [67] M. Fiebig, Revival of the magnetoelectric effect, Journal of physics D: applied physics 38, R123 (2005).
- [68] Z. Dai, L. Liu, and Z. Zhang, Strain engineering of 2d materials: issues and opportunities at the interface, Advanced Materials **31**, 1805417 (2019).
- [69] D.-F. Shao, Y.-Y. Jiang, J. Ding, S.-H. Zhang, Z.-A. Wang, R.-C. Xiao, G. Gurung, W. Lu, Y. Sun, and E. Y. Tsymbal, Néel spin currents in antiferromagnets, Physical Review Letters 130, 216702 (2023).
- [70] A. Zunger, Inverse design in search of materials with target functionalities, Nature Reviews Chemistry 2, 0121 (2018).
- [71] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, and M. Ernzerhof, Generalized gradient approximation made simple, Physical review letters 77, 3865 (1996).
- [72] S. Grimme, Semiempirical gga-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction, Journal of computational chemistry 27, 1787 (2006).
- [73] A. Liechtenstein, V. I. Anisimov, and J. Zaanen, Densityfunctional theory and strong interactions: Orbital order-

- [74] M. I. Aroyo, J. M. Perez-Mato, C. Capillas, E. Kroumova, S. Ivantchev, G. Madariaga, A. Kirov, and H. Wondratschek, Bilbao crystallographic server: I. databases and crystallographic computing programs, Zeitschrift für Kristallographie-Crystalline Materials **221**, 15 (2006).
- [75] L. Elcoro, B. Bradlyn, Z. Wang, M. G. Vergniory, J. Cano, C. Felser, B. A. Bernevig, D. Orobengoa, G. Flor, and M. I. Aroyo, Double crystallographic groups and their representations on the bilbao crystallographic server, Journal of Applied Crystallography 50, 1457 (2017).
- [76] H. T. Stokes and D. M. Hatch, Findsym: program for identifying the space-group symmetry of a crystal, Journal of Applied Crystallography 38, 237 (2005).
- [77] Y. Hinuma, G. Pizzi, Y. Kumagai, F. Oba, and I. Tanaka, Band structure diagram paths based on crystallography, Computational Materials Science 128, 140 (2017).
- [78] V. Wang, N. Xu, J.-C. Liu, G. Tang, and W.-T. Geng, Vaspkit: A user-friendly interface facilitating highthroughput computing and analysis using vasp code, Computer Physics Communications 267, 108033 (2021).
- [79] U. Herath, P. Tavadze, X. He, E. Bousquet, S. Singh, F. Muñoz, and A. H. Romero, Pyprocar: A python library for electronic structure pre/post-processing, Computer Physics Communications 251, 107080 (2020).
- [80] A. Jain, S. P. Ong, G. Hautier, W. Chen, W. D. Richards, S. Dacek, S. Cholia, D. Gunter, D. Skinner, G. Ceder, *et al.*, Commentary: The materials project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation, APL materials 1 (2013).

- [81] S. V. Gallego, J. M. Perez-Mato, L. Elcoro, E. S. Tasci, R. M. Hanson, K. Momma, M. I. Aroyo, and G. Madariaga, Magndata: towards a database of magnetic structures. i. the commensurate case, Journal of Applied Crystallography 49, 1750 (2016).
- [82] W. R. Inc., Mathematica, Version 13.3, champaign, IL, 2023.
- [83] X. Liu, A. P. Pyatakov, and W. Ren, Magnetoelectric coupling in multiferroic bilayer vs 2, Physical Review Letters 125, 247601 (2020).
- [84] G. Constantinescu, A. Kuc, and T. Heine, Stacking in bulk and bilayer hexagonal boron nitride, Physical review letters 111, 036104 (2013).
- [85] L. Tao and E. Y. Tsymbal, Perspectives of spin-textured ferroelectrics, Journal of Physics D: Applied Physics 54, 113001 (2021).
- [86] S. Calder, A. Haglund, A. I. Kolesnikov, and D. Mandrus, Magnetic exchange interactions in the van der waals layered antiferromagnet mn p se 3, Physical Review B 103, 024414 (2021).
- [87] M. Milivojević, M. Orozović, S. Picozzi, M. Gmitra, and S. Stavrić, Interplay of altermagnetism and weak ferromagnetism in two-dimensional ruf _4, arXiv preprint arXiv:2401.15424 (2024).
- [88] T. Adamantopoulos, M. Merte, F. Freimuth, D. Go, M. Ležaić, W. Feng, Y. Yao, J. Sinova, L. Šmejkal, S. Blügel, *et al.*, Spin and orbital magnetism by light in rutile altermagnets, arXiv preprint arXiv:2403.10235 (2024).
- [89] S. Bhowal and N. A. Spaldin, Ferroically ordered magnetic octupoles in d-wave altermagnets, Physical Review X 14, 011019 (2024).