## Spin drag mechanism of giant thermal magnetoresistance

Alex Levchenko<sup>1</sup> and A. V. Andreev<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Physics, University of Wisconsin-Madison, Madison, Wisconsin 53706, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, USA

(Dated: March 22, 2024)

We study hydrodynamic thermal transport in high-mobility two-dimensional electron systems placed in an in-plane magnetic field, and identify a new mechanism of thermal magnetotransport. This mechanism is caused by drag between the electron populations with opposite spin polarization, which arises in the presence of a hydrodynamic flow of heat. In high mobility systems, spin drag results in strong thermal magnetoresistance, which becomes of the order of 100 % at relatively small spin polarization of the electron liquid. We express the thermal magnetoresistance in terms of intrinsic dissipative coefficients of electron fluid and show that it is primarily determined by the spin diffusion constant.

The role of mutual drag in transport phenomena is pivotal to understanding kinetic properties of metals, semiconductors, and insulators. Perhaps the most prominent examples of these effects are phonon drag [1], Coulomb drag in bilayers [2], and magnon drag in magnetic systems [3].

Phonon drag, also known as the Gurevich effect, leads to significant deviations in the thermopower of various materials from the predictions based solely on electronic theory. These deviations are caused by the transfer of momentum from the electrons to the phonons, resulting in a substantial heat flow carried by phonons. Likewise, the momentum transfer induced by interlayer electron collisions, mediated by Coulomb interactions, gives rise to drag resistance [4–7]. This occurs when one layer (e.g. a quantum wire or a two-dimensional electron system) is driven out of equilibrium by a current, inducing a nonlocal voltage in the adjacent layer.

In ferromagnetic metals, electron-magnon scattering produces thermoelectric anomalies similar to the phonon drag effect [8–11]. In magnetic insulators, one can realize a nonlocal magnon drag induced by magnetic dipolar interactions between the layers [12]. In complete analogy to the Coulomb drag, a magnon current in one layer induces a chemical potential gradient and/or a temperature gradient in the other layer, which are characterized by the magnon current transresistivity and the magnon thermal transresistivity. The effect of mutual drag between phonons and spin excitations has been also discussed in the literature in the context of the thermal conductivity of a quantum spin system [13].

In this work, we introduce a different drag-induced thermal effect. We show that at charge neutrality, spin polarization of the electrons strongly affects the thermal conductivity. The salient feature of heat transport at charge neutrality is that it can proceed via the hydrodynamic flow of the neutral electron-hole plasma. We thus focus our consideration on the hydrodynamic regime, which attracted significant attention in recent years, see reviews [14–16] and references therein. In a pristine system, the thermal conductivity becomes infinite, and thus very sensitive to disorder and other perturbations. In realistic systems, the thermal conductivity is limited by the disorder-induced friction, which can be made sufficiently weak in high mobility systems. Significant hydrodynamic enhancement of thermal conductivity in graphene systems has been reported in Ref. [17]. Continuing progress in nanofabrication enables fabrication of samples with an even greater mobility, making the thermal conductivity of the system extremely sensitive to other perturbations. Here, we show that thermal transport properties of high mobility systems become very sensitive to spin polarization of the liquid, leading to strong thermal magnetoresistivity. The microscopic mechanism of this phenomenon in the hydrodynamic regime involves spin diffusion (or spin drag). The physical reason for this effect can be understood by observing that since thermal conductivity is measured at zero spin-current, the convective part of the spin current must be compensated by spin-diffusion relative to the liquid. This dramatically increases dissipation at nonzero spin polarization, resulting in giant thermal magnetoresistivity. Our predictions may enable probing spin drag in electron-hole plasma in graphene by thermal measurements [18].

The hydrodynamic description of electron transport in a solid applies provided the rate of momentum- and energy-conserving electron-electron collisions exceeds the momentum and energy relaxation rates on impurities and phonons [19, 20]. Therefore macroscopic hydrodynamic equations express conservation of the number of particles, energy, and momentum of the electron liquid. In addition, in multivalley conductors or in the spin-polarized systems additional approximate conservation laws are possible for (pseudo-) spin degrees of freedom.

In what follows we consider a two-dimensional system in which the electron fluid is partially spin polarized by an in-plane external magnetic field. We assume that the spin-orbit interaction is absent, so that the spin component along the magnetic field is conserved. Experiments show that even at room temperature spin transport in single-, bi-, and trilayer graphene devices exhibit nanosecond spin lifetimes with spin diffusion lengths reaching 10  $\mu$ m [21, 22]. These observations justify our assumptions.

When the electron system is tuned to the charge neutrality point by an applied gate voltage the hydrodynamic flow is decoupled from charge current. Thus, the hydrodynamic equations involve only the entropy current density  $\mathbf{j}_s$  and spin current density  $\mathbf{j}_{\sigma}$ . In a steady state and in the linear regime these two currents are conserved, which is expressed by the continuity equation of the form

$$\nabla \cdot \vec{J} = 0, \quad \vec{J} = \vec{x} \boldsymbol{u} - \hat{\Xi} \vec{X}.$$
 (1)

Here we used column vector notations

$$\vec{J} = \begin{pmatrix} j_s \\ j_\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{x} = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ \varsigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad \vec{X} = \begin{pmatrix} \nabla T \\ \nabla \mu_\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2)$$

where s and  $\varsigma$  are, respectively, entropy and spin densities, while  $\vec{X}$  is the vector of conjugated thermodynamic forces defined by gradients of temperature T and spin chemical potential  $\mu_{\sigma}$ . It should be noted that  $\varsigma$  refers to the projection on the axis of the external field, and the other spin components do not appear in the hydrodynamic description because they are not conserved due to spin precession. The first term in Eq. (1) corresponds to the convective part of the current. It is worthwhile to stress that in the collision-dominated regime hydrodynamic velocity u(r) is the same for all the spin components. This is different from the regime of spin Coulomb drag [23, 24], where the populations of spin-up and spindown electrons have different drift velocities.

The net currents of entropy and spin consist of the convective currents produced by the thermally-driven flow of the partially spin-polarized electron liquid, and the dissipative currents relative to the liquid described by the second term in Eq. (1). The latter are characterized by the matrix of intrinsic kinetic coefficients

$$\hat{\Xi} = \begin{pmatrix} \kappa/T & \gamma_{\sigma}/T \\ \gamma_{\sigma}/T & D_{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (3)$$

which satisfies the Onsager symmetry principle [25, 26]. The diagonal elements contributing to dissipation are the intrinsic thermal conductivity  $\kappa$  and the spin diffusion constant  $D_{\sigma}$  of the electron liquid. The off-diagonal elements describe the so-called spin Seebeck effect, which has been studied in much detail for ferromagnets in the field of spin caloritronics [27]. Since the spin density is odd under time reversal symmetry and energy is not, the Onsager symmetry requires the intrinsic spin thermoconductivity to be odd function of the magnetic field  $\gamma_{\sigma}(H) = -\gamma_{\sigma}(-H)$ .

In the stationary regime the force balance condition for an element of the fluid can be expressed in the form

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla} \cdot \boldsymbol{\Sigma} - k\boldsymbol{u} = \vec{x}^{\mathrm{T}} \vec{\boldsymbol{X}},\tag{4}$$

where the first term in the left hand side represents the divergence of the viscous stress tensor [28]

$$\Sigma_{ij} = \eta(\partial_i u_j + \partial_j u_i) + (\zeta - \eta)\delta_{ij}\partial_k u_k \tag{5}$$

with  $\eta$  and  $\zeta$  being, respectively, shear (first) and bulk (second) viscosity of the electron liquid. The force density in the right hand side of Eq. (4) comes from the local gradients of pressure in the fluid P. To express it in this form we used the thermodynamic relation  $\nabla P = s \nabla T + \varsigma \nabla \mu_{\sigma} = \vec{x}^{T} \vec{X}$  and the column vector notations of Eq. (2). The superscript T denotes transposition. The remaining term in Eq. (4) describes the generic disorder-induced friction characterized by the friction coefficient k. Working under the assumption of smooth disorder, namely weak disorder potential with the long correlation radius  $\xi$ , the coefficient of friction k can be expressed in terms of the local density variations  $\delta n(\mathbf{r})$ induced by disorder potential and the intrinsic conductivity  $\sigma$  as follows [29]

$$k = \frac{e^2}{2\sigma} \langle \delta n^2 \rangle, \tag{6}$$

where  $\langle \ldots \rangle$  denotes spatial averaging. We recall that the intrinsic conductivity does not vanish in generic electron liquids which do not possess Galilean invariance. The assumed model is motivated by the experimental observations of the long-range disorder in graphene devices in the form of charge puddles [30–32] (with the typical scale of  $\xi \sim 100$  nm). The local form of Eq. (4) is supported by the recent analysis presented in Refs. [29, 33, 34], where it was shown that for a weakly-disordered system one can develop an effective renormalized hydrodynamic description on length scales exceeding  $\xi$ .

For a given geometry of the sample and appropriate boundary conditions Eqs. (1) and (4) uniquely determine the flow profile. The precise form of macroscopic transport coefficients follows from the expression for the entropy production rate [35]

$$T\dot{S} = \left\langle \Sigma_{ij}\partial_j u_i + \vec{X}^{\mathbb{T}}\hat{\Xi}\vec{X} + k\boldsymbol{u}^2 \right\rangle$$
(7)

that should be equated to the Joule heating power  $\mathcal{P} = \vec{J}^{\mathbb{T}} \hat{\mathcal{R}} \vec{J}$ . The matrix elements of  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$  define thermal and spin resistances. Alternatively, one can proceed via the linear response to relate currents to applied gradients and thus infer the effective matrix of conductivities whose inverse is  $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ . Below we use the second route as it is more straightforward for the problem at hand.

The macroscopic thermal conductivity  $\varkappa$  is defined as the proportionality coefficient between the entropy current and the temperature gradient at vanishing spin current

$$\varkappa = -T(\boldsymbol{j}_s/\boldsymbol{\nabla}T)_{\boldsymbol{j}_\sigma=0}.$$
(8)

In the absence of spin polarization, the thermal conductivity of large systems at charge neutrality is determined by the friction coefficient and is independent of the liquid viscosity [17, 29, 33]. We show below that for spinpolarized systems the thermal resistivity remains independent from viscosity and is controlled by the spin diffusion coefficient. To this end, we notice that the comparison of the gradient terms in Eq. (4) describing viscous stresses,  $\nabla \cdot \Sigma = (\eta + \zeta) \nabla^2 u$ , to the friction term, ku, introduces a characteristic length scale in the problem, which is the Gurzhi length [19]

$$l_{\rm G} = \sqrt{\frac{\eta + \zeta}{k}}.\tag{9}$$

Therefore, if the sample size L is smaller than  $l_{\rm G}$  the flow profile is essentially inhomogeneous (Poiseuille-like) and thus viscous effects play an important role. In the opposite case of wide devices,  $L \gg l_{\rm G}$ , the flow is mostly uniform except in the boundary layer of thickness  $\sim l_{\rm G}$  near the sample edges. Based on this reasoning we assume the following hierarchy of length scales  $\xi \ll l_{\rm G} \ll L$ . In this limit we may neglect the gradient terms in Eq. (4) in the bulk of the sample, which significantly simplifies the consideration. Then trivially solving for  $\boldsymbol{u}$  we find  $\boldsymbol{u} = -(\vec{x}^T \vec{X})/k$ . At the same time, the required condition on the vanishing spin current gives us from Eq. (1) that  $\boldsymbol{u} = \frac{D_{\alpha}}{\varsigma} \nabla \mu_{\sigma} + \frac{\gamma_{\sigma}}{\varsigma T} \nabla T$ . These two equations fix  $\boldsymbol{u}$ and give a local relationship between  $\nabla \mu_{\sigma}$  and  $\nabla T$ 

$$\boldsymbol{\nabla}\boldsymbol{\mu}_{\sigma} = -\boldsymbol{\nabla}T\frac{s + \frac{\gamma_{\sigma}k}{\varsigma T}}{\varsigma + \frac{D_{\sigma}k}{\varsigma}}.$$
(10)

Having determined both  $\boldsymbol{u}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\nabla}\mu_{\sigma}$  in terms of  $\boldsymbol{\nabla}T$ , we insert both expressions into the first row of Eq. (1), which gives us the entropy current in the presence of the thermal spin drag. After straightforward algebra we obtain the following result for the effective thermal conductivity from Eq. (8)

$$\varkappa(H) = \kappa + T \frac{\left(\frac{sD_{\sigma}}{\varsigma} - \frac{\gamma_{\sigma}}{T}\right)\left(s + \frac{\gamma_{\sigma}k}{T_{\varsigma}}\right)}{\varsigma + \frac{D_{\sigma}k}{\varsigma}} - \frac{s\gamma_{\sigma}}{\varsigma}.$$
 (11)

For small spin polarizations it is safe to assume that  $s \gg \max\{\frac{\varsigma\gamma_{\sigma}}{TD_{\sigma}}, \frac{k\gamma_{\sigma}}{\varsigma T}\}$ , so that only  $s^2 D_{\sigma}/\varsigma$  should be retained in the numerator of the second term of Eq. (11), and the last term can be dropped as well. Indeed, for example, for the graphene monolayer  $s \sim (T/v)^2$ , where v is the band velocity of graphene. For long range disorder we have  $\xi \gg l_T \equiv v/T$ . Therefore, the above conditions are satisfied in the hydrodynamic regime. Furthermore, since for weak disorder  $\kappa \ll Ts^2/k$ , our main result can be simplified to

$$\varkappa(H) \approx T \frac{s^2 D_{\sigma}}{k D_{\sigma} + \varsigma^2}.$$
 (12)

Note that in the absence of spin diffusion the thermal conductivity in Eq. (12) vanishes. This occurs because a hydrodynamic flow of spin-polarized liquid at vanishing spin current is impossible in the absence of spin diffusion. Thus, in the ideal fluid limit, where both intrinsic thermal conductivity and spin diffusion coefficient vanish, the system becomes a thermal insulator. This corresponds to spin-induced stagnation of the electron liquid, which may be used to create spin-actuated thermal valves. A similar stagnation effect arises in hydrodynamic transport of charge away from charge neutrality. In that case simultaneous conservation of currents of charge, entropy, and (for a partially spin-polarized liquid) spin precludes potential flow of an ideal liquid in a smooth external potential [20, 36], resulting in diverging resistivity of 1D systems in the ideal fluid limit [37, 38].

We note that the reduction of the thermal magnetoconductivity by spin polarization reaches ~ 100% when the spin density  $\varsigma(H)$  becomes of the order of root mean square of the charge density fluctuations induced by disorder, namely when  $\varsigma \sim \sqrt{\frac{D_{\sigma}e^2}{\sigma}}\sqrt{\langle \delta n^2 \rangle}$ . At such weak fields magnetic field dependence of the spin diffusion constant  $D_{\sigma}(H)$  and intrinsic conductivity  $\sigma(H)$  can be neglected. Furthermore, equation (12) remains valid even in the case when spin polarization arises due to spontaneous symmetry breaking as long as the hydrodynamic limit can still be justified.

In the case of field-induced spin polarization Eq. (12) can be used to obtain thermal magnetoconductivity at low magnetic fields. Indeed, we write the spin density in the form  $\varsigma = \chi H$ , where  $\chi$  denotes the spin susceptibility. In this case Eq. (12) yields a Lorentzian dependence of thermal conductivity on H,

$$\varkappa(H) \approx \frac{Ts^2}{k} \frac{1}{1 + (H/H_{\sigma})^2}, \quad H_{\sigma} = \frac{\sqrt{kD_{\sigma}}}{\chi}.$$
 (13)

The corresponding thermal resistivity  $\rho_{\rm th} = \varkappa^{-1}$  is thus positive and quadratic. It is of interest to note that the relative thermal magnetoresistance,

$$\Delta \varrho_{\rm th}(H) \equiv \frac{\varrho_{\rm th}(H) - \varrho_{\rm th}(0)}{\varrho_{\rm th}(0)} = \frac{\varsigma^2}{kD_{\sigma}},\qquad(14)$$

provides a way to extract the spin diffusion coefficient  $D_{\sigma}$ from thermal transport measurements, since the degree of spin polarization and the strength of disorder can be determined from the independent experimental probes. At stronger field, when spin density saturates, the resistivity  $\rho_{\rm th}$  also saturates to a constant value. The effect is anomalously strong since  $\Delta \rho_{\rm th} \sim 1$  already for  $H \sim H_{\sigma}$ .

In closing we note that our consideration focused on the bulk contribution to thermal spin drag magnetotransport where momentum relaxation is driven by the disorder potential and the hydrodynamic flow velocity is uniform. In devices whose dimensions are smaller or comparable to the Gurzhi length there will be additional contribution to the thermal resistance, which is determined by the viscous flow near sample boundaries. An extension of the present theory to the devices with Hall bar and Corbino geometry will be presented elsewhere. This research project was financially supported by the National Science Foundation Grant No. DMR-2203411 and H. I. Romnes Faculty Fellowship provided by the University of Wisconsin-Madison Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and Graduate Education with funding from the Wisconsin Alumni Research Foundation (A. L.). A. V. A. acknowledges support from the National Science Foundation through the MRSEC Grant No. DMR-1719797, the Thouless Institute for Quantum Matter (TIQM), and the College of Arts and Sciences at the University of Washington.

- R. Fletcher, E. Zaremba, and U. Zeitler, "Phonon [19] drag thermopower of low-dimensional systems," in *Electron-Phonon Interactions in Low-Dimensional Structures*[20] (Oxford University Press, 2003).
- [2] B. N. Narozhny and A. Levchenko, "Coulomb drag," Rev. Mod. Phys. 88, 025003 (2016).
- [3] Marius V. Costache, German Bridoux, Ingmar Neumann, and Sergio O. Valenzuela, "Magnon-drag thermopile," Nature Materials 11, 199–202 (2012).
- [4] Lian Zheng and A. H. MacDonald, "Coulomb drag between disordered two-dimensional electron-gas layers," Phys. Rev. B 48, 8203–8209 (1993).
- [5] Antti-Pekka Jauho and Henrik Smith, "Coulomb drag between parallel two-dimensional electron systems," Phys. Rev. B 47, 4420–4428 (1993).
- [6] Karsten Flensberg, Ben Yu-Kuang Hu, Antti-Pekka Jauho, and Jari M. Kinaret, "Linear-response theory of Coulomb drag in coupled electron systems," Phys. Rev. B 52, 14761–14774 (1995).
- [7] Alex Kamenev and Yuval Oreg, "Coulomb drag in normal metals and superconductors: Diagrammatic approach," Phys. Rev. B 52, 7516–7527 (1995).
- [8] M. Bailyn, "Maximum variational principle for conduction problems in a magnetic field, and the theory of magnon drag," Phys. Rev. **126**, 2040–2054 (1962).
- [9] F. J. Blatt, D. J. Flood, V. Rowe, P. A. Schroeder, and J. E. Cox, "Magnon-drag thermopower in iron," Phys. Rev. Lett. 18, 395–396 (1967).
- [10] G. N. Grannemann and L. Berger, "Magnondrag Peltier effect in a Ni-Cu alloy," Phys. Rev. B 13, 2072–2079 (1976).
- "Mi-[11] Daisuke Miura and Akimasa Sakuma, croscopic theory of magnon-drag thermoelectric transport ferromagnetic metals," in Journal of the Physical Society of Japan 81, 113602 (2012)
- [12] Tianyu Liu, G. Vignale, and Michael E. Flatté, "Nonlocal drag of magnons in a ferromagnetic bilayer," Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 237202 (2016).
- [13] Suhas Gangadharaiah, A. L. Chernyshev, and Wolfram Brenig, "Thermal drag revisited: Boltzmann versus Kubo," Phys. Rev. B 82, 134421 (2010).
- [14] Andrew Lucas and Kin Chung Fong, "Hydrodynamics of electrons in graphene," Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter **30**, 053001 (2018).
- [15] Alex Levchenko and Jörg Schmalian, "Transport properties of strongly coupled electron-phonon liquids," Annals of Physics 419, 168218 (2020).

- [16] Boris N. Narozhny, "Hydrodynamic approach to two-dimensional electron systems," La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento 45, 661–736 (2022).
- [17] Jesse Crossno, Jing K. Shi, Ke Wang, Xiaomeng Liu, Achim Harzheim, Andrew Lucas, Subir Sachdev, Philip Kim, Takashi Taniguchi, Kenji Watanabe, Thomas A. Ohki, and Kin Chung Fong, "Observation of the Dirac fluid and the breakdown of the Wiedemann-Franz law in graphene," Science **351**, 1058–1061 (2016).
- [18] Jonah Waissman, Laurel E. Anderson, Artem V. Talanov, Zhongying Yan, Young J. Shin, Danial H. Najafabadi, Mehdi Rezaee, Xiaowen Feng, Daniel G. Nocera, Takashi Taniguchi, Kenji Watanabe, Brian Skinner, Konstantin A. Matveev, and Philip Kim, "Electronic thermal transport measurement in low-dimensional materials with graphene non-local noise thermometry," Nature Nanotechnology 17, 166–173 (2022).
- [19] R. N. Gurzhi, "Hydrodynamic effects in solids at low temperature," Soviet Physics Uspekhi 11, 255 (1968).
- [20] A. V. Andreev, Steven A. Kivelson, and B. Spivak, "Hydrodynamic description of transport in strongly correlated electron systems," Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 256804 (2011).
- [21] Marc Drögeler, Frank Volmer, Maik Wolter, Bernat Terrés, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Gernot Güntherodt, Christoph Stampfer, and Bernd Beschoten, "Nanosecond spin lifetimes in single- and few-layer graphene-hbn heterostructures at room temperature," Nano Letters, Nano Letters 14, 6050-6055 (2014).
- [22] Marc Drögeler, Christopher Franzen, Frank Volmer, Tobias Pohlmann, Luca Banszerus, Maik Wolter, Kenji Watanabe, Takashi Taniguchi, Christoph Stampfer, and Bernd Beschoten, "Spin lifetimes exceeding 12 ns in graphene nonlocal spin valve devices," *Nano Letters*, Nano Letters 16, 3533–3539 (2016).
- [23] Irene D'Amico and Giovanni Vignale, "Theory of spin Coulomb drag in spin-polarized transport," Phys. Rev. B 62, 4853–4857 (2000).
- [24] Irene D'Amico and Giovanni Vignale, "Spin Coulomb drag in the two-dimensional electron liquid," Phys. Rev. B 68, 045307 (2003).
- [25] Lars Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. I." Phys. Rev. 37, 405–426 (1931).
- [26] Lars Onsager, "Reciprocal relations in irreversible processes. II." Phys. Rev. 38, 2265–2279 (1931).
- [27] Gerrit E. W. Bauer, Eiji Saitoh, and Bart J. van Wees, "Spin caloritronics," Nature Materials 11, 391–399 (2012).
- [28] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, *Fluid Mechanics*, 2nd ed., Course of Theoretical Physics Series, Vol. 6 (Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford, 1987).
- [29] Songci Li, Alex Levchenko, and A. V. Andreev, "Hydrodynamic electron transport near charge neutrality," Phys. Rev. B 102, 075305 (2020).
- [30] J. Martin, N. Akerman, G. Ulbricht, T. Lohmann, J. H. Smet, K. von Klitzing, and A. Yacoby, "Observation of electron-hole puddles in graphene using a scanning single-electron transistor," Nature Physics 4, 144–148 (2008).
- [31] Yuanbo Zhang, Victor W. Brar, Caglar Girit, Alex Zettl, and Michael F. Crommie, "Origin of spatial charge inhomogeneity in graphene," Nature Physics 5, 722–726 (2009).
- [32] Jiamin Xue, Javier Sanchez-Yamagishi, Danny Bul-

mash, Philippe Jacquod, Aparna Deshpande, K. Watanabe, T. Taniguchi, Pablo Jarillo-Herrero, and Brian J. LeRoy, "Scanning tunnelling microscopy and spectroscopy of ultra-flat graphene on hexagonal boron nitride," Nature Materials **10**, 282–285 (2011).

- [33] Andrew Lucas, Jesse Crossno, Kin Chung Fong, Philip Kim, and Subir Sachdev, "Transport in inhomogeneous quantum critical fluids and in the Dirac fluid in graphene," Phys. Rev. B 93, 075426 (2016).
- [34] Alex Levchenko, Songci Li, and A. V. Andreev, "Giant magnetoresistance in weakly disordered non-Galilean invariant conductors," Phys. Rev. B 109, 075401 (2024).

- [35] See file of supplemental materials for further details.
- [36] Aaron Hui, Calvin Pozderac, and Brian Skinner, "2D hydrodynamic electron flow through periodic and random potentials," (2023), arXiv:2309.15917 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [37] Alex Levchenko, Tobias Micklitz, Jérôme Rech, and K. A. Matveev, "Transport in partially equilibrated inhomogeneous quantum wires," Phys. Rev. B 82, 115413 (2010).
- [38] Wade DeGottardi and K. A. Matveev, "Electrical and thermal transport in inhomogeneous Luttinger liquids," Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 236405 (2015).