arXiv:2403.01955v1 [cond-mat.soft] 4 Mar 2024

Identification of local structures in water from supercooled to ambient conditions

Riccardo Foffi¹ and Francesco Sciortino^{2,*}

¹Institute for Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil,

Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, ETH Zürich, Laura-Hezner-Weg 7, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland.

²Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy.

Studies of water thermodynamics have long been tied to the identification of two distinct families of local structures, whose competition could explain the origin of the many thermodynamic anomalies and of the hypothesized liquid-liquid critical point in water. Despite the many successes and insights gained, the structural indicators proposed throughout the years were not able to unequivocally identify these two families over a wide range of conditions. We show that a recently introduced indicator, Ψ , which exploits information on the HB network connectivity, can reliably identify these two distinct local environments over a wide range of thermodynamic conditions (188 to 300 K and 0 to 13 kbar), and that close to the liquid-liquid critical point the spatial correlations of density fluctuations are identical to those of the Ψ indicator. Our results strongly support the idea that water thermodynamic properties arise from the competition between two distinct and identifiable local environments.

I. INTRODUCTION

Water is a liquid with fascinating physical properties [1–4]. Differently from many other common compounds, the thermodynamics response functions of liquid water display a non-monotonic temperature and pressure dependence. For example, at ambient pressure, the compressibility has a minimum at 46 °C and a maximum at approximately -43 °C, and the density has a maximum at 4 °C [2, 5]. These non-monotonic behaviors are strongly suggestive of relevant structural changes taking place in the liquid state.

The peculiarities of water can be traced back to the strength and directionality of the hydrogen-bond interaction and to the limited number of hydrogen-bonds that a water molecule can form with its neighbours [6, 7]. Unlike other substances, water molecules can assume a variety of local structures, from the highly tetrahedral open configuration in which the water molecule participates in four linear hydrogen bonds, to more distorted and denser local environments. The radial distribution function of the oxygen atoms indeed reveals the presence of interstitial molecules, located between the first and the second tetrahedral shells [8, 9]. There is consensus that molecules in "tetrahedral" configurations are characterized by low energy, local order, low local density, while molecules at the other extreme are characterized by higher energies, higher disorder, higher local density [10–12]. If this large variety of configurations can be grouped in two families or if it reflects a continuum of geometries is still object of controversy [13–15].

The idea of two families of different local environments is consistent with the hypothized presence of a liquidliquid critical point [16] in supercooled states, the end point of a line of liquid-liquid first order transitions. Such a critical point, originally discovered in the ST2 water model [16], has recently been confirmed with accurate free-energy calculations in several high-quality classical potentials (TIP4P/2005, TIP4P/Ice [17], WAIL [18]) significantly strengthening the possibility that such unconventional thermodynamic scenario is representative of real water. Neural network potentials based on quantum mechanics calculations [19] and path-integral simulations [20] also support the presence of such liquidliquid critical point. Several experiments also support the liquid-liquid critical point scenario [5, 21, 22]. In particular, recent X-rays scattering experiments probing sub-microsecond timescales to observe the relaxation of the metastable liquid before nucleation have provided evidence of a transition between two different structures [23, 24] in deep supercooled states.

The presence of a critical point requires the competition between two different local structures and a freeenergy gain when local structures of the same type cluster in space. Several studies have shown that a simple two-state description of the free-energy [10–12, 25–29], in which the entropic term of mixing two different local structures (differing in energy, entropy and density) is complemented by a clustering contribution is able to describe the equation of states of several numerically studied models, as well as reproduce the equation of states of water better than any other previously proposed expression [30].

Numerical simulations have been thoroughly scrutinised searching for quantities that could detect the two families of molecular structures [25, 31–37]. Unfortunately, most proposed indicators (but see for exceptions Refs. [25, 35, 36]), while based on strong physical intuitions, have typically resulted into wide distributions which in some cases can be represented by the superposition of two distributions with relative weights changing with pressure and/or temperature. A clear indication of a well separated two-state behavior (a distribution function with two well resolved peaks) has remained elusive. The ongoing attempt to include longer-range informa-

^{*} To whom correspondence should be addressed. Email: francesco.sciortino@uniroma1.it

tions in the definition of the structural indicators [38–41], despite its sound physical expectation, has not drastically improved the classification.

In the attempt to better characterize the features of the radial distribution function q(r), we have recently developed [42, 43] an analysis connecting the physical distance between two molecules with their chemical distance, measured as the (smallest) number of hydrogen bonds that need to be crossed on going from one molecule to the other. All structural features appearing in q(r)were thus associated to specific bonding geometries. In particular, the interstitial molecules, the ones populating the region around 3.5 Å between the first and second peak of the q(r), have been associated to molecules with chemical distance larger or equal to four. We have also found that a molecular order parameter (that we named Ψ_i), defined for each molecule *i* as the smallest distance in real space among all molecules with chemical distance four has, close to the critical point, a well defined bimodal distribution function. Tetrahedral, lowdensity local structures were found to be characterised by large values of Ψ_i (~ 6.5Å), while high-density local structures favour shorter distances (~ 3.5 Å). Even more, at pressures close to the critical point, the average over all molecules in the system $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ fluctuates exactly as the density [44], confirming that $\langle \Psi_i \rangle$ could very well be chosen as order parameter of the liquid-liquid transition.

In this article we extend this analysis to a wide range of temperatures (from 180 to 300 K) and pressures (from 1 to 2500 bar), to quantify the temperature and pressure dependence of this indicator. At the lowest temperature, we extend the analysis to the very high density region (up to pressures of 13 kbar), presenting an interpretation of the VHDA glass as the limiting structure composed by $\Psi_i \sim 3.5 \text{\AA}$ molecules.

II. METHODS

Most of the trajectories analyzed in this article have been previously generated [42, 43] using GROMACS 5.1.4 [45] in the NPT ensemble (Nosé-Hoover thermostat and Parrinello-Rahman barostat) and reproduce the dynamics of a system of 1000 rigid water molecules interacting via the TIP4P/Ice model [46]. To suppress thermal vibration that are known to blur structural properties and hydrogen-bond identification, we have calculated the inherent structures (IS) [47], the local potential energy minima, via a constant volume steepest descent path starting from equilibrated configurations. As previously done [42, 43], we find the IS using the steepest descent algorithm in GROMACS. The reproducibility of our MD simulations was tested against other TIP4P/Ice results recently reported by Lupi *et al.* [48], Espinosa *et al.* [49] (see SI). The presence of an hydrogen bond between two molecules is detected via the Luzar-Chandler geometric criterion [50]. In short, two molecules are hydrogenbonded if the H-O-H angle is smaller than 30° and the oxygen-oxygen distance is smaller than 3.5 Å. When applied to IS, this criterion can properly identify the hydrogen bonds in the system over an extremely wide range of temperatures and pressures [43].

To evaluate the Ψ structural indicator, the chemical distance D between any pair of molecules i and j is calculated by counting the minimum number of hydrogen bonds which needs to be traveled to move along the HB network from site i to site j. As we will show the resulting Ψ histograms can be for convenience represented as a binary mixture of Burr Type XII distributions [51].

We also analyze new simulations of a system of 250000 water molecules in the NVT ensemble at density 1.015 g/cm³ and T = 191 K. This state point, on the critical isochore, is quite close to the critical point, such that density fluctuations at small wavevectors can be observed and correlated with the fluctuations of $\langle \Psi \rangle$.



FIG. 1. Pressure dependence of the Ψ^* distribution along (A) a sub-critical isotherm T = 188 K and (B) a super-critical isotherm T = 190 K. A clear bimodal behavior is manifested. The simulation labeled 1775B was initialized from a highdensity configuration as opposed to the one labeled 1775 bar which was initialized from a low-density configuration. Points represent simulation data and solid lines the regression from Eq. 1.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

It is well established that, as water is exposed to increasing pressures, the Oxygen-Oxygen radial distribution function shows an increasing signal at separations around 3.5 Å, a distance which lies between the peaks of



FIG. 2. Temperature dependence of $P(\Psi^*)$ for four different pressure values. Points represent simulation data and solid lines the regression from Eq. 1.

the first (2.8 Å) and second(4.5 Å) spatial shells of the liquid at ambient conditions [8, 9]. This feature, which signals a progressive distorsion of the open tetrahedral geometry, has been well characterized over a broad range of conditions and becomes particularly evident in the amorphous ices, when, upon crossing from HDA to VHDA, an initially minor signal in this "interstitial" region, transforms into a dominant peak, highlighting a drastic restructuring of the H-bond network [43, 52]. Interestingly, this interstitial population has been shown to arise from molecules which are at a chemical distance of four (or more) from the central one [42]. It is important to stress that most of these interstitial molecules are still involved in four hydrogen bonds, albeit more distorted on average than in the open tetrahedral environment, and therefore they cannot be directly associated with coordination defects (c.f. three or five coordinated molecules) in the HB network, although some correlation exists [40, 42]). In a previous work [42], we have shown that the distribution of real space distances between molecules at chemical distance four displays a clear separation between a group of molecules located around 3.5 Å and anoter group with distances ≈ 8 Å. This significant separation in real space (3.5 vs. 8.0 Å) has been exploited to build, in Ref. [44], a molecular indicator expressly designed to quantify the local environment of each molecule. More precisely, Ψ_i is defined as the minimum distance in real space between

all pairs i-j, where the index j runs over all molecules at chemical distance four from i. Once a proper definition of H-bond is accepted, this new indicator Ψ_i does not require any arbitrary cut-off in its definition, eliminating the possibility of cut-off dependent findings. In Ref. [44] it was shown that averaging Ψ_i over all molecules in the system produces a global (as opposed to local) indicator which accurately describes the critical fluctuations in the vicinity of the liquid-liquid critical point, which, for the TIP4P/Ice model, was estimated at $T_c \approx 188.6$ K, $P_c \approx 1750$ bar, $\rho_c \approx 1.015$ g/cm³ [17].

Fig. 1 shows the distribution of Ψ_i for different pressures at deep supercooled conditions, below (T=188 K) and above (T=190 K) the critical temperature $T_c \approx$ 188.6 K [17]. To subtract the trivial isotropic scaling component in the relative distances on varying the density, we show the distribution as a function of $\Psi^* \equiv \Psi[\frac{\rho(T,P)}{1 \text{ g/cm}^3}]^{1/3}$, where $\rho(T, P)$ is the temperature and pressure dependent density. At low pressures (P < 1000 bar), the distribution is asymmetric and centred around $\Psi^* \approx 0.6$, with a negligible tail below $\Psi^* \approx 0.4$. On increasing pressure, this last region starts to be populated. Below T_c the distribution jumps from the low density to the high density liquid value, while the same change is observed progressively at temperature above (but close to) T_c . The crossover from the open tetrahedral distance $\Psi^* \approx 0.6$ to the interstitial distance $\Psi^* \approx 0.35$ is clearly detectable from



FIG. 3. Cross-over from the high-density liquid to the very high-density structure as reflected by the $P(\Psi^*)$ distribution at T = 188 K. In a continuous way, all molecules become surrounded by interstitial molecules. Points represent simulation data and solid lines the regression from Eq. 1.

the distribution functions. Beside the cross-over, the distributions show a marked two-peak behavior, with an approximate crossing (isosbestic) point around $\Psi^* \approx 0.5$. The clear two-peaks structure provides an indisputable evidence of two major local environments characterizing supercooled water, significantly reinforcing the underlying idea on which two-state models have been developed in the past. Data in Fig. 1 also show that, as expected, the two coexisting liquids (data for P = 1775 bar at T=188 K), are not "pure", the low-density liquid containing a small fraction of interstitial molecules and, vice versa, the high-density liquid containing a non-negligible fraction of tetrahedral local structures.

To highlight the temperature dependence next we consider the behavior of Ψ^* along four different isobars (1,1000,1700, 2500 bar) from 300 K down to T_c . The two lowest isobars are characterized by a significant change in density on cooling. Fig. 2 shows that the change in density is accompanied by a significant change in the structure of the liquid, as revealed by the distributions of Ψ^* . Both for P = 1 and P = 1000 bar, the fraction of interstitial molecules decreases on cooling, almost vanishing at the lowest temperature, consistent with the expectation that interstitial local configurations are characterized by a higher energy per molecule. The density change with temperature at P = 1700 and P = 2500 bar is significantly more limited and correspondingly, the Ψ^* distributions do not show a significant change. At all state points the two-peaks structure is very evident, confirming that the two families of local environments are already very well characterised also at ambient temperatures. We stress that above the critical point there is a single free energy minimum in supercritical conditions, which encompasses two structurally distinct families: at the single molecule level, the two families unequivocally exist as shown by the bimodality of $P(\Psi)$.

The data in Fig. 2 show that a pure tetrahedral system

is reached at very deep supercooling at ambient pressure. The opposite limit, in which essentially all molecules have interstitial neighbours is found in the very-high density limit, again at low temperature. To show this we follow at T = 188 K the evolution of the distribution of Ψ with pressure also in the region between 2000 and 13000 bar, where the high density liquid continuously transforms into the very high density structure. This process, described in Fig. 3, is accompanied by the progressive disappearance of molecules with large Ψ . Around 13000 bar, all molecules have at least one interstitial neighbour and $P(\Psi^*)$ peaks around 0.35.

We also observe that Ψ , unlike other indicators, is not critically affected by being evaluated using the inherent structure coordinates. When evaluated in the real dynamics (i.e., the structures directly sampled during the simulation, before the suppression of thermal vibrations via energy minimization), the features of Ψ at low T are fundamentally unchanged (see Fig. 4). The peaks trivially display a slight broadening due to the vibrational noise (and hence also a relatively less accurate definition of H-bond), but the shape of the distribution, its bimodality, and the large separation between the two configurations are all well-conserved properties, highlighting the robustness of the structural description provided by Ψ . The agreement between RD and IS apparently deteriorates on increasing T (Fig. 5). The differences arise from the mis-identification of H-bonds in the RD configurations at higher temperatures (needed to define the topological distances used to compute Ψ). Indeed, as discussed in detail in the SI, at higher temperatures the distribution of molecular distances and orientations is widened by the increasing contribution of vibrational and librational modes, mixing the configurations corresponding to H-bonded and non-H-bonded pairs. Above ≈ 240 K, the two distributions (bonded and non-bonded, see Fig. S3) are so widened by thermal motion that they superimpose in the region where the Luzar-Chandler cutoff acts. However, if the distribution of Ψ is evaluated using the spatial configuration of the RD but retaining the H-bond network identified in the corresponding IS configuration (thick violet curves in Fig. 5), then the same agreement between RD and IS that was observed at $T = 188 \,\mathrm{K}$, is found at all temperatures.

At all the conditions we analyzed, the Ψ^* distributions can be faithfully represented as a mixture of two Burr Type XII distributions [51]

$$P(\Psi) = sP_L(\Psi; c_L, k_L, \lambda_L) + (1-s)P_H(\Psi; c_H, k_H, \lambda_H)$$
(1)

whose parameters (c, k, λ) and relative weights (s) were optimized independently for each thermodynamic condition. These fits are superimposed as solid lines on the simulation data in Figures 1–4. The behavior of the distribution parameters (see SI) suggests that a non-trivial dependence on thermodynamic conditions is still present after removing the isotropic scaling component, preventing us from obtaining a simpler description of the behavior of Ψ^* .



FIG. 4. The distribution of Ψ^* evaluated in the real dynamics conserves the bimodality and the wide separation of the two local states that is observed in the inherent structures. Shown here selected points along the T = 188 K isotherm. The thin dashed lines represent the distributions at the corresponding thermodynamic states in the inherent structures.



FIG. 5. The distribution of Ψ^* evaluated from 194 K to 300 K along the 1700 bar isobar, shows no significant differences between inherent structures and real dyamics, provided that Hbonds are properly identified. Curves labeled "IS" and "RD" (thin green and dashed orange, respectively), correspond to Ψ^* distributions evaluated in the inherent structures and real dynamics. Curves labeled "RD/IS", in thick violet, have been evaluated using the spatial configuration of the RD, but employing the definition of the H-bond network obtained from the IS.

To provide a graphical representation of the spatial correlation of the molecules with similar Ψ value, we perform and analyse a simulation of a 250000 molecules systems, at T = 190 K and $\rho = 1.015$ g/cm³, corresponding to the critical isochore of the TIP4P/Ice model. The 250000 molecule system are contained in a cubic box of side of about 19.5 nm. Such a large distance, more than 60 times the nearest neighbour oxygen-oxygen distance (0.28 nm) makes it possible to investigate the presence of

long range correlations. Fig. 6(a) shows with a red sphere the position of the molecules with $\Psi^* < 0.45$. Eyes immediately catch the spatial correlation between them, with a correlation scale significantly larger than the nearest neighbour distance. Such a correlation is a clear indication of a net attraction between molecules with similar environments. This observation can be quantified and strengthened by calculating the structure factor, the power spectrum of the Fourier transform of the density. shown in Fig. 6B (evaluated in the IS configurations). As expected close to the critical point, and as previously demonstrated for the ST2 water model [53] and also for TIP4P/2005 and TIP4P/Ice in smaller-sized systems [17], a strong increase in the scattered intensity at small q is observed. To provide evidence that this correlation is brought up by the correlation between molecules with similar environment we define a $\langle \Psi \rangle$ field, by averaging Ψ_i over all molecules included in a small cubic volume. For convenience, we pick this volume as $(L/32)^3$ where L is the simulation box side. With this choice there are about 8 molecules in each mesh volume. The resulting field $\langle \Psi \rangle$ can then be Fourier transformed in space and compared with S(q). The result of this comparison in shown in Fig. 6B, neatly demonstrating by the similarity of the two Fourier transforms that the density field and the $\langle \Psi \rangle$ field not only provide the same information, but also that the spatial correlation in the density picked up by the small-angle scattering is identical to the spatial correlation of $\langle \Psi \rangle$. In this respect, the two families of molecules can be identified as the two states commonly assumed in mean field models.

IV. CONCLUSIONS

In this manuscript we have demonstrated that two distinct families of local environments can be clearly identified in numerical simulations of bulk liquid water on the basis of the structural indicator Ψ_i . This indicator quantifies the distance from a central molecule i of the closest molecule separated by four hydrogen bonds. In this respect, it requires information on the connectivity and local geometry of the hydrogen bond network departing from each molecule. The distribution of Ψ_i becomes unimodal in two extreme cases, both at deep supercooling: at T=188 K, below the critical pressure and at ambient pressure, all molecules belong to the large Ψ family, while at T=188 K and pressures above 10 kbar (when the configuration of water in the supercooled liquid is reminiscent of the very-high density amorphous structure [43]), all molecules belong to the small Ψ family. Since the small Ψ family is characterised by the presence of interstitial molecules between the first and the second tetrahedral shell, the very high density amorphous can be described as the limiting structure in which, despite the hydrogen bonds being mostly preserved (with only $\sim 10\%$ of the molecules showing coordination defects at



FIG. 6. (A) Snapshot of molecules with low Ψ^* from a constant-density simulation with 250000 molecules at T = 191 K and $\rho = 1.015$ g/cm³ visually shows spatial correlations extending over large length-scales. (B) Comparison between the Fourier transform of the averaged Ψ^* field (multiplied along y by an arbitrary factor) and the Fourier transform of the density field ρ (i.e., the structure factor), evaluated in the IS configurations, confirms that these two scalar fields show the same spatial correlations. The same calculation was also performed in the RD configurations (Fig. S6) and shows no noticeable difference from the calculations in the IS.

13 kbar [43]), all molecules are surrounded by and act as interstitial molecules. The bimodal character of Ψ is also conserved at ambient conditions, where, despite the increased thermal noise leading to a broadening of the two peaks and the existence of a single free energy minimum (at system level), it is still possible to clearly discern two structural families (at the single molecule level, in terms of Ψ).

The present findings provide a strong support to theoretical modelling of the thermodynamics of water as arising from the relative competition between these two families of local environments, each of them characterised by its own local energy, density and entropy. The water anomalies originate from the competition between these two local structures, a phenomenon which is missing in simple liquids.

Finally, we have demonstrated that these local structures cluster in space. The analysis of a very large simulation, with more than 250000 molecules and providing access to distances extending up to 10 nm, allows us to demonstrate that the correlation goes well beyond the 0.8-1 nm range which has long been associated to the typical decay of the spatial correlations in water [54], thus confirming the preferential association of molecules of similar type, a feature which is essential for the existence of the liquid-liquid critical phenomenon.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

The supplementary material includes data on the phase diagram of the TIP4P/Ice model of water used

in the present work, discussion on the identification of Hydrogen bonds, and additional data relative to the parameterization of the Ψ^* distribution through Burr type XII distributions, and the comparison between inherent structures and real dynamics.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

F.S. acknowledges support from PRIN 2022JWAF7Y, ICSC—Centro Nazionale di Ricerca in High Performance Computing, Big Data and Quantum Computing, funded by the European Union—NextGenerationEU" and CINECA-ISCRAB.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data that support the findings of this study are available within the article. Additional data (MD trajectories) are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

- P. G. Debenedetti, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 15, 59 (2003).
- [2] P. Gallo, K. Amann-Winkel, C. A. Angell, M. A. Anisimov, F. Caupin, C. Chakravarty, E. Lascaris, T. Loerting, A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, J. Russo, J. A. Sellberg, H. E. Stanley, H. Tanaka, C. Vega, L. Xu, and L. G. M. Pettersson, Chem. Rev. **116**, 7463 (2016).
- [3] P. H. Handle, T. Loerting, and F. Sciortino, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **114**, 13336 (2017).
- [4] H. Tanaka, J. Chem. Phys. **153**, 130901 (2020).
- [5] K. H. Kim, A. Späh, H. Pathak, F. Perakis, D. Mariedahl, K. Amann-Winkel, J. A. Sellberg, J. H. Lee, S. Kim, J. Park, K. H. Nam, T. Katayama, and A. Nilsson, Science **358**, 1589 (2017).
- [6] J. Russo, F. Leoni, F. Martelli, and F. Sciortino, Rep. Prog. Phys. 85, 016601 (2022).
- [7] F. Smallenburg and F. Sciortino, Phys. Rev. Lett. 115, 015701 (2015).
- [8] A. K. Soper and M. A. Ricci, Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2881 (2000).
- [9] L. B. Skinner, M. Galib, J. L. Fulton, C. J. Mundy, J. B. Parise, V.-T. Pham, G. K. Schenter, and C. J. Benmore, J. Chem. Phys. **144**, 134504 (2016).
- [10] H. Tanaka, J. Chem. Phys. **112**, 799 (2000).
- [11] V. Holten and M. A. Anisimov, Sci. Rep. 2, 713 (2012).
- [12] J. W. Biddle, R. S. Singh, E. M. Sparano, F. Ricci, M. A. González, C. Valeriani, J. L. F. Abascal, P. G. Debenedetti, M. A. Anisimov, and F. Caupin, J. Chem. Phys. **146**, 034502 (2017).
- [13] J. Niskanen, M. Fondell, C. J. Sahle, S. Eckert, R. M. Jay, K. Gilmore, A. Pietzsch, M. Dantz, X. Lu, D. E. McNally, T. Schmitt, V. Vaz Da Cruz, V. Kimberg, F. Gel'mukhanov, and A. Föhlisch, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **116**, 4058 (2019).
- [14] A. K. Soper, J. Chem. Phys. **150**, 234503 (2019).
- [15] G. P. Johari and J. Teixeira, J. Phys. Chem. B 119, 14210 (2015).
- [16] P. H. Poole, F. Sciortino, U. Essmann, and H. E. Stanley, Nature **360**, 324 (1992).
- [17] P. G. Debenedetti, F. Sciortino, and G. H. Zerze, Science 369, 289 (2020).
- [18] J. Weis, F. Sciortino, A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, and P. G. Debenedetti, J. Chem. Phys. 157, 024502 (2022).
- [19] T. E. Gartner, L. Zhang, P. M. Piaggi, R. Car, A. Z. Panagiotopoulos, and P. G. Debenedetti, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 202015440 (2020).
- [20] A. Eltareb, G. E. Lopez, and N. Giovambattista, Sci. Rep. 12, 6004 (2022).
- [21] O. Mishima and H. E. Stanley, Nature **392**, 164 (1998).
- [22] K. Winkel, E. Mayer, and T. Loerting, J. Phys. Chem. B 115, 14141 (2011).
- [23] K. H. Kim, K. Amann-Winkel, N. Giovambattista, A. Späh, F. Perakis, H. Pathak, M. L. Parada, C. Yang, D. Mariedahl, T. Eklund, Thomas. J. Lane, S. You, S. Jeong, M. Weston, J. H. Lee, I. Eom, M. Kim, J. Park, S. H. Chun, P. H. Poole, and A. Nilsson, Science **370**, 978 (2020).
- [24] K. Amann-Winkel, K. H. Kim, N. Giovambattista, M. Ladd-Parada, A. Späh, F. Perakis, H. Pathak, C. Yang, T. Eklund, T. J. Lane, S. You, S. Jeong, J. H. Lee, I. Eom, M. Kim, J. Park, S. H. Chun, P. H. Poole,

and A. Nilsson, Nat. Commun. 14, 442 (2023).

- [25] M. J. Cuthbertson and P. H. Poole, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 115706 (2011).
- [26] Y. Li, J. Li, and F. Wang, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 110, 12209 (2013).
- [27] R. S. Singh, J. W. Biddle, P. G. Debenedetti, and M. A. Anisimov, J. Chem. Phys. **144**, 144504 (2016).
- [28] F. Caupin and M. A. Anisimov, Phys. Rev. Lett. 127, 185701 (2021).
- [29] Z. Yu, R. Shi, and H. Tanaka, J. Phys. Chem. B 127, 3452 (2023).
- [30] M. A. Anisimov, M. Duška, F. Caupin, L. E. Amrhein, A. Rosenbaum, and R. J. Sadus, Phys. Rev. X 8, 011004 (2018).
- [31] J. R. Errington and P. G. Debenedetti, Nature 409, 318 (2001).
- [32] E. Shiratani and M. Sasai, J. Chem. Phys. 104, 7671 (1996).
- [33] J. Russo and H. Tanaka, Nat. Commun. 5, 3556 (2014).
- [34] H. Tanaka, H. Tong, R. Shi, and J. Russo, Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 333 (2019).
- [35] J. M. Montes de Oca, F. Sciortino, and G. A. Appignanesi, J. Chem. Phys. **152**, 244503 (2020).
- [36] A. R. Verde, J. M. M. De Oca, S. R. Accordino, L. M. Alarcón, and G. A. Appignanesi, Eur. Phys. J. E 44, 47 (2021).
- [37] A. V. Muthachikavil, G. M. Kontogeorgis, X. Liang, Q. Lei, and B. Peng, Phys. Rev. E 105, 034604 (2022).
- [38] C. Faccio, M. Benzi, L. Zanetti-Polzi, and I. Daidone, J. Mol. Liq. 355, 118922 (2022).
- [39] A. Neophytou, D. Chakrabarti, and F. Sciortino, Nat. Phys. (2022), 10.1038/s41567-022-01698-6.
- [40] N. A. Loubet, A. R. Verde, J. A. Lockhart, and G. A. Appignanesi, J. Chem. Phys. 159, 064512 (2023).
- [41] I. Daidone, R. Foffi, A. Amadei, and L. Zanetti-Polzi, J. Chem. Phys. **159**, 094502 (2023).
- [42] R. Foffi, J. Russo, and F. Sciortino, J. Chem. Phys. 154, 184506 (2021).
- [43] R. Foffi and F. Sciortino, Phys. Rev. Lett. **127**, 175502 (2021).
- [44] R. Foffi and F. Sciortino, J. Phys. Chem. B 127, 378 (2023).
- [45] M. J. Abraham, T. Murtola, R. Schulz, S. Páll, J. C. Smith, B. Hess, and E. Lindahl, SoftwareX 1–2, 19 (2015).
- [46] J. L. F. Abascal, E. Sanz, R. García Fernández, and C. Vega, J. Chem. Phys. **122**, 234511 (2005).
- [47] F. Sciortino, J. Stat. Mech. **2005**, P05015 (2005).
- [48] L. Lupi, B. Vázquez Ramírez, and P. Gallo, J. Chem. Phys. 155, 054502 (2021).
- [49] J. R. Espinosa, J. L. F. Abascal, L. F. Sedano, E. Sanz, and C. Vega, J. Chem. Phys. 158, 204505 (2023).
- [50] A. Luzar and D. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 8160 (1993).
- [51] I. W. Burr, Ann. Math. Stat. 13, 215 (1942).
- [52] D. Mariedahl, F. Perakis, A. Späh, H. Pathak, K. H. Kim, G. Camisasca, D. Schlesinger, C. Benmore, L. G. M. Pettersson, A. Nilsson, and K. Amann-Winkel, J. Phys. Chem. B **122**, 7616 (2018).
- [53] J. Guo, R. S. Singh, and J. C. Palmer, Mol. Phys. 116, 1953 (2018).

[54] F. Sciortino and S. L. Fornili, J. Chem. Phys. 90, 2786 (1989).

Supplementary Information for: Identification of local structures in water from supercooled to ambient conditions

Riccardo Foffi¹ and Francesco Sciortino²

¹Institute for Environmental Engineering, Department of Civil, Environmental and Geomatic Engineering, ETH Zürich, Laura-Hezner-Weg 7, 8093 Zürich, Switzerland.
²Dipartimento di Fisica, Sapienza Università di Roma, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, I-00185 Rome, Italy.



FIG. S1. Equation of state $\rho(T)$ of TIP4P/Ice sampled by our simulations. The star markers are density estimates from TIP4P/Ice simulations at 1 and 1000 bar extracted from Espinosa *et al.* [1].



FIG. S2. Isothermal compressibility of TIP4P/Ice sampled by our simulations. The star markers are compressibility estimates from TIP4P/Ice simulations at 1000 and 1700 bar extracted from Espinosa *et al.* [1].

NUMERICAL SIMULATIONS

The results of our numerical simulations of TIP4P/Ice were successfully tested for reproducibility against the results reported in the recent work of Espinosa *et al.* [1], as shown for the equation of state in Fig. S1 and the isothermal compressibility in Fig. S2, displaying the characteristic maxima.



FIG. S3. Joint radial-angular pair distribution function of water molecules in (left) RD and (right) IS configurations at T = 300 K and P = 1700 bar. The strong contribution of thermal motion at high temperatures complicates the definition of H-bonds in the RD. Dashed cyan lines represent the Luzar-Chandler definition of H-bond; solid green line is an ad-hoc definition obtained by defining a line of minimum cost passing through the saddle point of the pair distribution function. Level curves of the distributions are shown in logarithmic scale.

IDENTIFICATION OF HYDROGEN BONDS IN THE REAL DYNAMICS

The vibrational and librational motion of water molecules, which is present in the RD but suppressed in the IS, significantly widens the radial-angular pair distribution function, adding a random thermal component that complicates the identification of H-bonds. Fig. S3 shows the joint pair distribution of the Oxygen-Oxygen distance (r) and the intermolecular Hydrogen-Oxygen-Oxygen angle (θ) for all pairs of molecules in the system. In the IS, the identification of H-bonded pairs is obvious and unambiguous, as there is a well-separated basin which is perfectly captured by a simple definition such as that of Luzar and Chandler [2]: r < 3.5 Å and $\theta < 30^{\circ}$. In the RD, while we can still identify these two basins, we are unable to clearly define a boundary between them to separate H-bonded and non-H-bonded pairs. The two basins are now broadened by thermal motion and superimpose in the region where the Luzar-Chandler cutoff acts. Even using ad-hoc approaches, such as defining a diagonal cut through the saddle point of the pair distribution function, we are bound to mis-identify a non-negligible number of H-bonds, which will affect our definition of the H-bond network, and by extension the evaluation of Ψ .

FITTING THE PROBABILITY DISTRIBUTION OF Ψ^*

We tested a wide class of probability density functions to fit the behavior of Ψ^* (Cauchy, Gamma, inverse Gamma, F, Frechet, Gumbel, Weibull, Burr and Skew-Normal distributions). The initial investigation was conducted by independently fitting the two limit distributions for the low-density (T = 188 K, P = 0 bar) and the high-density (T = 188 K, P = 13 kbar) states for different distribution families. For both states, the Burr Type XII distributions [3] were found to provide the most accurate description of the data (although a good quality fit could also be obtained with Skew-Normal distributions):

$$P(x) = \frac{ck}{\lambda} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^{c-1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)^c\right]^{-k-1}; \qquad x > 0, \ c > 0, \ k > 0, \ \lambda > 0.$$
(S1)

For each state point (T, P), the distribution parameters were evaluated by optimizing over a binary mixture of the two distributions

$$P(\Psi) = sP_L(\Psi; c_L, k_L, \lambda_L) + (1 - s)P_H(\Psi; c_H, k_H, \lambda_H)$$
(S2)

The mixing parameter $s \in [0, 1]$ represents the weight of the distribution associated to the LDL-like structure, it is therefore equivalent to what is generally identified as the order parameter or the fraction of locally-favored structures [4–6]. The other parameters which define the distributions, the two "shape" parameters c and k and the "scale" parameter λ , don't have an obvious physical meaning. Therefore, the two quantities of interest with an immediate physical interpretations are the average values of each structural component's distribution and the mixing parameter, shown in Fig. S4. For both high- and low-density structures, the distribution average decreases with increasing pressure, highlighting how pressure increases disorder within both types of structural arrangements, by reducing the average distance of molecules in the fourth coordination shell. The mixing parameter reflects the behavior displayed by the density in the equation of state (Fig. S1), confirming its accuracy as a possible order parameter.

The behavior displayed in Fig. S4B is indeed what would be expected for an order parameter which is tightly linked to the system density. When the isobars investigated in this work cross through temperature of maximum density (TMD) locus, then the inversion in the $\rho(T)$ dependency is reflected in s(T). Fig. S5 shows the same data for the fraction of locally favored structures, s, as a function of the system density ρ . At low P, an increase in



FIG. S4. Temperature dependence of (A) the average of each structural family's distribution and (B) mixing parameter along the 4 isobars of Fig. S1, resulting from the fit of two Burr distributions. In panel A, the dashed lines represent the curves for the high-density component. At the lowest temperatures below the critical isobar, the fraction of high-density structure is extremely low ($\phi \simeq 1$), so the corresponding parameter values are less significant: values of the HDL component are therefore not shown when s > 0.9.

T leads to an increase in ρ , and therefore to a decrease in s. At intermediate P the TMD line is crossed at ≈ 270 K and ≈ 240 K (for 1000 bar and 1700 bar respectively), showing that minimum s corresponds to maximum ρ . Finally, the P = 2500 bar isobar lies entirely above the TMD line, so that with increasing T, ρ decreases, and s increases.

We note that the position of the inflection point in the *T*-dependence of the mixing parameter s along the 1 bar and (to a lesser extent) the 1000 bar isobars (see Fig. S4B) does not coincide with the temperatures at which the isothermal compressibility has a maximum. In our case, the value of s at the compressibility maxima is larger than 1/2. In terms of two-state models, this could indicate either the presence of clustering in the two molecular local arrangements or a non-negligible contribution to the compressibility of the reference state, more relevant when far from the critical point. A future analysis of TIP4P/Ice with a two-state model which incorporates correlations between the local environments [7, 8] may help shed light on these observations.

The parameters resulting from our fits, for all the thermodynamic conditions analyzed, are reported in Tables S1 and S2.



FIG. S5. Fraction of locally favored structures s, evaluated by the regression of Burr Type XII distributions to the Ψ^* distributions, shown as a function of the system density, ρ , for four different isobars (as indicated by annotations). The temperature along the isobars is color-coded following the colorbar on the right.

LONG-RANGE CORRELATIONS IN THE REAL DYNAMICS

Fig. S6 shows the Fourier transform of the coarse-grained $\langle \Psi^* \rangle$ field and of the density field (i.e., the structure factor) evaluated in the RD, comparing them to their values in the IS as shown in Fig.6B in the main text. Indeed, the energy minimization procedure only produces local changes in the coordinates of the molecules, leaving the low-q density fluctuations unaffected. Similarly, close to the critical point, hydrogen bonds are properly identified both in the RD and the IS, yielding identical estimates of Ψ .



FIG. S6. Comparison of the Fourier transforms of the density field (structure factor) and of the coarse-grained $\langle \Psi^* \rangle$ field between the RD and IS configurations, evaluated for a system of N = 250000 molecules at T = 190 K and $\rho = 1.015$ g/cm³.

T (K)	P (bar)	c_L	k_L	λ_L	c_H	k_H	λ_H	s
188.0	0.0	16.25	5.949	0.6752	16.16	0.598	0.4602	0.958
188.0	1000.0	14.31	36.66	0.779	11.95	0.591	0.4079	0.973
188.0	11000.0	12.88	42.13	0.7468	60.6	0.2565	0.3391	0.07635
188.0	13000.0	3.208	20.92	1.514	60.97	0.2925	0.3398	0.09002
188.0	1675.0	12.31	81.33	0.8625	15.81	0.3064	0.3572	0.8379
188.0	1775.0	14.07	80.08	0.836	8.024	1.782	0.471	0.8851
188.0	1776.0	11.67	186.3	0.9189	37.15	0.1095	0.3319	0.3742
188.0	1801.0	11.95	124.0	0.8778	36.66	0.1119	0.3329	0.3473
188.0	1901.0	12.36	119.5	0.861	39.16	0.1112	0.333	0.2932
188.0	2500.0	14.07	127.3	0.8207	50.92	0.1009	0.3315	0.1791
188.0	5000.0	12.93	78.42	0.8011	56.22	0.1657	0.3347	0.1261
188.0	7000.0	12.54	27.41	0.7389	57.56	0.2087	0.3368	0.1042
188.0	9000.0	11.79	86.68	0.8212	61.36	0.2264	0.3383	0.08738
190.0	0.0	13.47	52.14	0.8149	61.70	0.5982	0.3991	1.0
190.0	1000.0	13.29	71.81	0.8426	20.06	0.5614	0.3852	0.9863
190.0	1500.0	12.69	83.11	0.859	9.253	1.06	0.4262	0.8892
190.0	1650.0	12.93	69.47	0.8415	9.565	0.7417	0.4054	0.8215
190.0	1725.0	11.76	133.9	0.9094	26.48	0.1433	0.3326	0.6441
190.0	1800.0	11.66	100.7	0.8751	37.3	0.1058	0.3313	0.39
190.0	1900.0	12.38	126.2	0.8629	41.55	0.1024	0.3319	0.2843
190.0	2000.0	12.34	136.0	0.8676	40.9	0.1088	0.3321	0.2698
190.0	2500.0	13.94	81.55	0.797	48.58	0.1065	0.3319	0.1847

TABLE S1. Parameters resulting from the fit of the Ψ^* distributions with a binary mixture of two Burr Type XII distributions (part 1).

T (K)	P (bar)	c_L	k_L	λ_L	c_H	k_H	λ_H	s
192.0	1000.0	14.61	15.67	0.7339	10.59	4.88	0.5564	0.923
194.0	1700.0	11.27	137.4	0.9078	32.71	0.1246	0.3336	0.4153
196.0	1700.0	10.87	177.1	0.9375	34.94	0.1185	0.3327	0.4037
200.0	1000.0	13.19	59.69	0.8239	7.874	2.259	0.4872	0.9382
200.0	1700.0	10.77	207.2	0.9559	36.17	0.1119	0.3321	0.3952
210.0	0.0	13.72	59.89	0.8152	10.94	2.954	0.4657	0.9797
210.0	1000.0	12.46	46.64	0.8169	9.457	0.8321	0.4122	0.8192
210.0	1700.0	10.54	161.9	0.9346	37.49	0.1102	0.331	0.3792
210.0	2500.0	12.76	205.5	0.8764	48.11	0.09701	0.3299	0.2073
220.0	0.0	13.14	86.5	0.8455	7.929	2.072	0.4986	0.9273
220.0	1000.0	10.55	138.5	0.9356	18.12	0.2457	0.3457	0.6486
220.0	2500.0	11.66	135.9	0.8722	50.78	0.08798	0.3286	0.2252
240.0	0.0	11.76	52.34	0.8266	9.371	0.9294	0.42	0.7651
240.0	1000.0	9.269	85.13	0.9204	29.28	0.1411	0.3323	0.5316
240.0	1700.0	9.384	197.3	0.9913	35.76	0.1211	0.3304	0.3789
240.0	2500.0	10.38	159.9	0.9214	45.22	0.1018	0.3287	0.2592
250.0	0.0	10.85	135.0	0.9166	11.07	0.6345	0.3893	0.6997
270.0	0.0	9.042	168.3	0.9983	20.45	0.2244	0.3398	0.6648
270.0	1000.0	8.493	155.6	1.01	28.75	0.1484	0.3313	0.5104
270.0	1700.0	8.299	177.9	1.032	38.76	0.1118	0.3284	0.4185
270.0	2500.0	8.988	95.34	0.9214	43.62	0.1044	0.3279	0.3233
300.0	0.0	8.286	103.7	0.9693	19.24	0.2616	0.3443	0.6518
300.0	1000.0	7.88	11.13	0.7365	24.07	0.2425	0.3356	0.6054
300.0	1700.0	7.856	97.14	0.9746	34.8	0.1302	0.3292	0.4731
300.0	2500.0	8.048	100.3	0.9667	40.76	0.1131	0.328	0.401

TABLE S2. Parameters resulting from the fit of the Ψ^* distributions with a binary mixture of two Burr Type XII distributions (part 2).

- J. R. Espinosa, J. L. F. Abascal, L. F. Sedano, E. Sanz, and C. Vega, J. Chem. Phys. 158, 204505 (2023).
- [2] A. Luzar and D. Chandler, J. Chem. Phys. 98, 8160 (1993).
- [3] I. W. Burr, Ann. Math. Stat. **13**, 215 (1942).
- [4] J. Russo and H. Tanaka, Nat. Commun. 5, 3556 (2014).
- [5] H. Tanaka, H. Tong, R. Shi, and J. Russo, Nat. Rev. Phys. 1, 333 (2019).
- [6] R. Foffi and F. Sciortino, J. Phys. Chem. B 127, 378 (2023).
- [7] V. Holten, D. T. Limmer, V. Molinero, and M. A. Anisimov, J. Chem. Phys. 138, 174501 (2013).
- [8] I. Daidone, R. Foffi, A. Amadei, and L. Zanetti-Polzi, J. Chem. Phys. 159, 094502 (2023).