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#### Abstract

Let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be a cubic form with non-zero discriminant, and for each integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let, $N_{f}(m)=\#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: f(x, y)=m\right\}$. In 1983, Silverman proved that $N_{f}(m)>\Omega\left((\log |m|)^{3 / 5}\right)$ when $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}$. In this paper, we obtain an explicit bound for $N_{f}(m)$, namely, showing that $N_{f}(m)>4.2 \times 10^{-6}(\log |m|)^{11 / 13}$ (holds for infinitely many integers m ), when $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $f(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$ be a cubic form with non-zero discriminant, and for each integer $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$
N_{f}(m)=: \#\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}: f(x, y)=m\right\}
$$

It has been a topic of interest to study how large can $N_{f}(m)$ be. In 1935, Mahler [6] proved that

$$
N_{f}(m)>\Omega\left((\log |m|)^{1 / 4}\right),
$$

i.e., there exists a constant $c>0$, independent of $m$, such that for infinitely many integers $m$,

$$
N_{f}(m)>c(\log |m|)^{1 / 4} .
$$

Invoking the theory of height functions, Silverman [1] extended the idea of Mahler (Mordell, Pillai and Chowla 5 ). This resulted in an improvement of the exponent from $1 / 4$ to $1 / 3$ and simplification of calculation as well.

More specifically, restricting $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}$, Silverman proved that,

$$
N_{f}(m)>\Omega\left((\log |m|)^{3 / 5}\right) .
$$

In this short note, we are interested in the special case, when $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}$. Using the methods employed by Silverman and exploiting the properties of canonical height function on elliptic curves, we explicitly capture the implied constant in the formula.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Let $m_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a non zero integer. Consider the curve $E$ with homogeneous equation

$$
E: f(x, y)=m_{0} z^{3}
$$

with the point $[1,-1,0]$ defined over $\mathbb{Q}$. Using that point as origin, we give $E$ the structure of an elliptic curve. Let

$$
r=\operatorname{rank} E(\mathbb{Q})
$$

the rank of the Mordell-Weil group of $E / \mathbb{Q}$. Then the following inequality holds for infinitely many integers $m$,

$$
N_{f}(m)>\frac{1}{\left(9 \times 2^{r+1}-20\right)^{r / r+2}(\hat{h}(\bar{P}))^{r / r+2}}(\log |m|)^{r /(r+2)}
$$

Where $\hat{h}(\bar{P})$ denotes the Canonical height of a specified point $\bar{P}$ on the elliptic curve $E^{\prime}: Y^{2}=$ $X^{3}-432 m_{0}^{2}$, defined over $\mathbb{Q}$, with the base point $[0,1,0]$ at infinity.

As an immediate corollary, we have the following.
Corollary 1.1. Let $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3} \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$. Then the following inequality holds for infinitely many integers $m$,

$$
N_{f}(m)>4.2 \times 10^{-6}(\log |m|)^{11 / 13}
$$

## 2. Preliminaries

We state and develop the necessary tools to prove our main theorem.
Lemma 2.1. Let there exist integers $x, y, z$ and $m_{0}$, such that $x^{3}+y^{3}=m_{0} z^{3}$ with $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y, z)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(12 m_{0} z, x+y\right)=d$, then $|d|<3^{1 / 3} 12 m_{0}^{5 / 2}|z|^{1 / 2}$.
Proof. Let,

$$
d a=12 m_{0} z \text { and } d b=x+y, \text { where } g c d(a, b)=1 .
$$

Now, our aim is to show that,

$$
d^{2} \mid 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b
$$

Let, $p$ be a prime dividing $d$ and having the maximum power $k$ in $d$.
We will show that, $p^{2 k}$ will always divide $3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b$.
As, $p^{k}\left|d \Rightarrow p^{k}\right| 12 m_{0} z$, we have two possible cases,

$$
p^{k} \mid 12 m_{0} \quad \text { or } \quad p \mid z
$$

If $p^{k} \mid 12 m_{0}$, then we are done. So, it is enough to show when $p \mid z$.
We have,

$$
\begin{gathered}
x^{3}+y^{3}=m_{0} z^{3} \Rightarrow 12^{3} m_{0}^{2}(x+y)\left((x+y)^{2}-3 x y\right)=12^{3} m_{0}^{3} z^{3} \\
\Rightarrow 12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b\left(d^{2} b^{2}-3 x y\right)=d^{2} a^{3} \\
\Rightarrow d^{2} \mid 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b x y
\end{gathered}
$$

now,

$$
p|d \Rightarrow p| x+y
$$

but,
on the other hand, we have $p \mid z$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(x, y, z)=1$, which clearly implies $p \nmid x y$.
As we have $d^{2} \mid 3 \cdot 12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b x y$, the primes dividing $d$ and not dividing $x y$, should be completely contained in the factorisation of $3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b$.
Hence,

$$
\begin{gathered}
p^{2 k} \mid 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b, \text { for all prime } p \text { dividing } d \Rightarrow d^{2} \mid 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2} b . \\
\Rightarrow|d|^{2} \leq 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}|b| \Rightarrow|d|^{3} \leq 3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}|b||d|=3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}|x+y| \\
\Rightarrow|d|^{6} \leq\left(3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}(|x+y|)^{2} \leq\left(3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}\right)^{2}\left|x^{3}+y^{3}\right|=\left(3.12^{3} m_{0}^{2}\right)^{2} m_{0}|z|^{3} \\
\Rightarrow|d|<3^{1 / 3} 12 m_{0}^{5 / 2}|z|^{1 / 2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Next, we state the following result due to Nèron and Tate from [2], which gives the required properties of the canonical height, we are going to apply in the proof of the theorem.

Lemma 2.2. (Néron, Tate) Consider the canonical height or Nèron-Tate height denoted by $\hat{h}$ on an elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$, defined as the following

$$
\hat{h}(P)=\frac{1}{2} \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(4^{-k} h_{x}\left(\left[2^{k}\right] P\right)\right) \text { where } h_{x}(P)=\log (H([x(P), 1])) \text {, then }
$$

(a) For all $P, Q \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ we have

$$
\hat{h}(P+Q)+\hat{h}(P-Q)=2 \hat{h}(P)+2 \hat{h}(Q)
$$

(parallelogram law).
(b) For all $P \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\hat{h}([m] P)=m^{2} \hat{h}(P) .
$$

(c) The canonical height $\hat{h}$ is a quadratic form on E, i.e., $\hat{h}$ is an even function, and the pairing

$$
\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle: E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \times E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad\langle P, Q\rangle=\hat{h}(P+Q)-\hat{h}(P)-\hat{h}(Q),
$$

is bilinear.
(d) Let $P \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Then $\hat{h}(P) \geq 0$, and $\hat{h}(P)=0$ if and only if $P$ is a torsion point.
(e)

$$
\hat{h}=\frac{1}{2} h_{x}+O(1)
$$

where the $O(1)$ depends on $E$ and $x$.
Proof. See pp. 248-251 [2].

Note that, in $(e)$ of Lemma 2.2, the implied constant is not explicit. In 1990, Silverman proved a general result which explicitly determines the constant in terms of the $j$-invariant and discriminant of the elliptic curve. From [3] we invoke a specific case of this result here.

Lemma 2.3. Let, $E / \mathbb{Q}$ be an elliptic curve given by the Weierstrass equation

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{2}=x^{3}+B, \text { then for every } P \in E(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \\
-\frac{1}{6} h(B)-1.48 \leq \hat{h}(P)-\frac{1}{2} h_{x}(P) \leq \frac{1}{6} h(B)+1.576
\end{gathered}
$$

Proof. See pp. 726 [3] .

Once we have the lower bound of $N_{f}(m)$ in theorem 1.1, the explicit lower bound in corollary 1.1 follows from the existence of a specific elliptic curve of rank 11 , which is recently given by Elkies and Rogers in [4]. We state this result as a proposition below.

Proposition 2.1. The elliptic curve given by the equation

$$
x^{3}+y^{3}=m_{0} z^{3} \text { or the Weierstrass form } Y^{2}=X^{3}-432 m_{0}^{2}
$$

where, $m_{0}=13293998056584952174157235$, has the Mordell-Weil rank 11.

Moreover, $\max \left\{h_{x}\left(P_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq 11\right\}=76.61$ where $P_{i}$ varies over 11 independent points of the Mordell-Weil group.

Proof. For the construction of this elliptic curve and the list of 11 independent points; see pp. 192-193 [4] . The rest follows by simple computation.

## 3. Proof of theorem 1.1 and corollary 1.1

We are given, that the elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$

$$
E: x^{3}+y^{3}=m_{0} z^{3}
$$

with the base point $[1,-1,0]$ has the Mordell-Weil rank $r$.
Observe that, any non-torsion point $Q=[x(Q), y(Q), z(Q)] \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ has $z(Q) \neq 0$ as the only point in $E(\mathbb{Q})$ with $z(Q)=0$ is $Q=[1,-1,0]$, the identity of the Mordell-Weil group $E(\mathbb{Q})$.

As the rank of $E(\mathbb{Q})$ is $r$, we can choose $r$ independent points $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ from the free part of the group $E(\mathbb{Q})$ and for any point $Q \in E(\mathbb{Q})$, we will always write $Q=[x(Q), y(Q), z(Q)]$ with $x(Q), y(Q), z(Q) \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $g c d(x(Q), y(Q), z(Q))=1$.

Now fix a large positive integer $N$ and for each $n=\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{r}$ with $1 \leq n_{i} \leq N$, consider the sum

$$
Q_{n}=n_{1} P_{1}+\ldots+n_{r} P_{r}
$$

which gives $N^{r}$ distinct points in $E(\mathbb{Q})$.
Now consider

$$
m=m_{0} \prod_{n} z\left(Q_{n}\right)^{3},
$$

where the product runs over all $r$-tuples $\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{r}\right)$. Note that, $m \neq 0$ as $z\left(Q_{n}\right) \neq 0$.
Hence for each $r$-tuple $n^{\prime}=\left(n_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, n_{r}^{\prime}\right)$, the equation $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}=m$ has the following integral solution

$$
(x, y)=\left(x\left(Q_{n^{\prime}}\right) \prod_{n \neq n^{\prime}} z\left(Q_{n}\right)^{3}, y\left(Q_{n^{\prime}}\right) \prod_{n \neq n^{\prime}} z\left(Q_{n}\right)^{3}\right) .
$$

From this, we immediately get

$$
N_{f}(m)>N^{r} .
$$

Now, we will use the properties of height functions to give an upper bound for $m$ in terms of $N$. To do this in an explicit manner, we will first transform the elliptic curve $E / \mathbb{Q}$ into it's Weierstrass form and then will proceed by using the explicit properties of the height functions on that Weierstrass form.

Consider the following morphism which takes $E / \mathbb{Q}$ to it's Weierstrass form $E^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$

$$
\Phi: E \rightarrow E^{\prime}
$$

defined as

$$
\Phi([x, y, z])= \begin{cases}{\left[12 m_{0} \frac{z}{y+x}, 36 m_{0} \frac{y-x}{y+x}, 1\right],} & z \neq 0 \\ {[0,1,0],} & z=0 .\end{cases}
$$

where $E^{\prime}: Y^{2}=X^{3}-432 m_{0}^{2}$ denotes the Weierstrass form of $E: x^{3}+y^{3}=m_{0} z^{3}$.
Note that, $\Phi$ induces a group homomorphism $\Phi: E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow E^{\prime}(\mathbb{Q})$ of the corresponding MordellWeil groups.

Let $Q_{n}=\left[x\left(Q_{n}\right), y\left(Q_{n}\right), z\left(Q_{n}\right)\right] \in E(\mathbb{Q})$ as above. Then the height (with respect to $x$ ) of $Q_{n}$ under $\Phi$ is given by

$$
h_{x}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)=h\left(x\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)\right),
$$

where $h\left(x(P)=\log (H([x(P), 1])), H\right.$ is the height on $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{Q})$ [2, pp. 234].
As $z\left(Q_{n}\right) \neq 0$, we have

$$
x\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)=12 m_{0} \frac{z\left(Q_{n}\right)}{y\left(Q_{n}\right)+x\left(Q_{n}\right)}
$$

for simplicity we will write $x, y, z$ respectively for $x\left(Q_{n}\right), y\left(Q_{n}\right)$ and $z\left(Q_{n}\right)$.

Hence, we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_{x}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right) & =\log \left(H\left(\left[12 m_{0} \frac{z}{y+x}, 1\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\log \left(H\left(\left[12 m_{0} z, x+y\right]\right)\right) \\
& =\log \left(\max \left\{\left|\frac{12 m_{0} z}{d}\right|,\left|\frac{x+y}{d}\right|\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where, $d=\operatorname{gcd}\left(12 m_{0} z, x+y\right) \quad$ and $\quad \log \left(\max \left\{\left|\frac{12 m_{0} z}{d}\right|,\left|\frac{x+y}{d}\right|\right\}\right) \geq \log \left(\frac{\left|12 m_{0} z\right|}{|d|}\right)$.
So, clearly

$$
h_{x}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)+\log (|d|) \geq \log \left(12\left|m_{0}\right|\right)+\log (|z|)
$$

Now, using Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
h_{x}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right) \geq b_{m_{0}}+\frac{1}{2} \log (|z|)
$$

where, $b_{m_{0}}$ is a constant depending on $m_{0}$.
Further, using (e) of Lemma 2.2, we have

$$
\log (|z|) \leq 4 \hat{h}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)+c_{m_{0}}
$$

where, $c_{m_{0}}$ is another constant depending on $m_{0}$.
As, $\Phi: E(\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow E^{\prime}(\mathbb{Q})$ is group homomorphism,

$$
\hat{h}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right)=\hat{h}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} \Phi\left(P_{i}\right)\right)
$$

Now, using (a), (b) and (d) of Lemma 2.2, we have the following estimate for $\hat{h}$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\hat{h}\left(\Phi\left(Q_{n}\right)\right) \leq\left(3 \times 2^{r-1}-2\right) \max \left\{\hat{h}\left(n_{i} \Phi\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\} \\
\leq\left(3 \times 2^{r-1}-2\right) N^{2} \max \left\{\hat{h}\left(\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}
\end{array}
$$

For simplicity of notation, write $\max \left\{\hat{h}\left(\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\right\}=\hat{h}(\bar{P}), \bar{P}=\Phi\left(P_{i}\right)$ for some $i$.
Hence, altogether we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(\left|z\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|\right) & \leq 4\left(3 \times 2^{r-1}-2\right) N^{2} \hat{h}(\bar{P})+c_{m_{0}} \\
& \leq\left(3 \times 2^{r+1}-7\right) N^{2} \hat{h}(\bar{P})
\end{aligned}
$$

as we can choose a large $N$ such that $c_{m_{0}} \leq N^{2} \hat{h}(\bar{P})$.
Now, we have total $N^{r}$ points $Q_{n}$ on $E(\mathbb{Q})$, so for large enough $N$,

$$
\log |m|=3 \sum_{n} \log \left|z\left(Q_{n}\right)\right|+\log \left(\left|m_{0}\right|\right) \leq\left(3^{2} \times 2^{r+1}-20\right) N^{r+2} \hat{h}(\bar{P})
$$

So, clearly we have the following estimate

$$
N_{f}(m)>N^{r} \geq \frac{1}{\left(9 \times 2^{r+1}-20\right)^{r / r+2}(\hat{h}(\bar{P}))^{r / r+2}}(\log |m|)^{r /(r+2)}
$$

which concludes the proof of theorem 1.1, because we can choose arbitrarily large $N$, giving infinitely many choices for $m$.

The proof of the corollary 1.1 follows by using the elliptic curve of Proposition 2.1 in Theorem 1.1. Observe that, using Lemma [2.3 on the elliptic curve $Y^{2}=X^{3}-432 m_{0}^{2}$ with $m_{0}=$ 13293998056584952174157235, we have

$$
\hat{h}(\bar{P}) \leq 121.767 / 6+76.61 / 2+1.576=60.17
$$

by putting $r=11$, we have the required estimate holding for infinitely many integers $m$

$$
N_{f}(m)>4.2 \times 10^{-6}(\log |m|)^{11 / 13} .
$$

## 4. Concluding remarks

It will be interesting to know, if a similar explicit bound can be obtained for other cubic forms as well. The key idea is to get a result similar to Lemma 2.1 for a general form, then using the similar methods in this article an explicit bound can be obtained. In our case, for $f(x, y)=x^{3}+y^{3}$, the computation turned out to be much simpler which can be a bit complicated for other forms.
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