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#### Abstract

A simplicial complex is said to be critical (or forbidden) for the 3-sphere $S^{3}$ if it cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ but after removing any one point, it can be embedded.

We show that if a multibranched surface cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, it contains a critical complex which is a union of a multibranched surface and a (possibly empty) graph. We exhibit all critical complexes for $S^{3}$ which are contained in $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ families. We also classify all critical complexes for $S^{3}$ which can be decomposed into $G \times S^{1}$ and $H$, where $G$ and $H$ are graphs.

In spite of the above property, there exist complexes which cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, but they do not contain any critical complexes. From the property of those examples, we define an equivalence relation on all simplicial complexes $\mathcal{C}$ and a partially ordered set of complexes $(\mathcal{C} / \sim ; \subseteq)$, and refine the definition of critical. According to the refined definition of critical, we show that if a complex $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, then there exists $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[X]$ such that $\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is critical for $\left[S^{3}\right]$.


## 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper we work in the piecewise linear category, consisting of simplicial complexes and piecewise-linear maps.

In [2], the definition of critical multibranched surfaces for the 3 -sphere was introduced. More generally, we can define the criticality on simplicial complexes as follows. For two simplicial complexes $X$ and $Y, X$ is said to be critical (or forbid$d e n)$ for $Y$ if $X$ cannot be embedded in $Y$, but for any point $p \in X, X-p$ can be embedded in $Y$. In this paper, the polyhedron $|X|$ is expressed directly using $X$. Hereafter, we assume the connectivity of simplicial complexes for simplicity.

Let $\Gamma(Y)$ denote the set of critical complexes for $Y$. By the Kuratowski's and Wagner's theorems ([5] [9), we will show that $\Gamma\left(S^{2}\right)=\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$ (Proposition 2.3). In this direction, our major goal in this paper is to characterize $\Gamma(Y)$ for a closed $n$-manifold $Y(n \leq 3)$. To achieve this, first enumerate the complexes $X$ that cannot be embedded in $Y$. One would think that if we remove as many points as possible from $X$ while maintaining the property that $X$ cannot be embedded in $Y$, we will obtain a critical complex. However, there are complexes that do not satisfy this requirement (Example 2.16 and Theorem 2.18). Based on these, we refine the definition of the criticality so that $X$ cannot be embedded in $Y$, but for

[^0]any proper subspace $X^{\prime}$ of $X$, which does not contain a subspace homeomorphic to $X, X^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $Y$. Then we arrive at the equivalence $X \sim Y$ on simplicial complexes $\mathcal{C}$ as $X$ can be embedded in $Y$ and $Y$ can be embedded in $X$, and we obtain a partially ordered set of complexes $(\mathcal{C} / \sim ; \subseteq)$. In $(\mathcal{C} / \sim ; \subseteq)$, the definition of the criticality is changed to that $[X]$ is critical for $[Y]$ if $[X] \nsubseteq[Y]$ and for any $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \varsubsetneqq[X],\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[Y]$. Finally we will prove the existence of critical subcomplexes, that is, if $[X] \nsubseteq[M]$ for a closed $n$-manifold $M(n \leq 3)$, then there exists $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[X]$ such that $\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is critical for $[M]$. For a typical example, a torus $T$ cannot be embedded in a 2-sphere $S^{2}$. By applying this existence theorem, there exist $\left[K_{5}\right],\left[K_{3,3}\right] \subseteq[T]$ such that $\left[K_{5}\right],\left[K_{3,3}\right]$ are critical for $\left[S^{2}\right]$.
1.1. Symbol explanation. We decompose a 2-dimensional simplicial complex $X$ into the following parts. Let $\triangle^{i}$ denote an $i$-dimensional simplex of $X$, and $N(p ; X)$ denote an open neighborhood of $p$ in $X$. The 2-dimensional part $X_{2}$ of $X$ is decomposed into the sector $S(X)$ and the branch $B(X)$, where
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S(X)=\left\{p \in X \mid \exists N(p ; X) \cong \mathbb{R}^{2}\right\} \\
& B(X)=\left\{\partial \triangle^{2} \mid \operatorname{int} \triangle^{2} \subset S(X)\right\} \backslash S(X)
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and put $\partial X_{2}=\left\{p \in B(X) \mid \exists N(p ; X) \cong \mathbb{R}_{+}^{2}\right\}$.
The 1-dimensional part $X_{1}$ of $X$ is decomposed into the edge $E(X)$ and the vertex $V(X)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E(X)=\left\{p \in X \mid \exists N(p ; X) \cong \mathbb{R}^{1}\right\} \\
& V(X)=\left\{\partial \triangle^{1} \mid \operatorname{int} \triangle^{1} \subset E(X)\right\} \backslash E(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Critical complexes

2.1. Critical complexes for closed manifolds. In this subsection, we consider critical complexes for closed $n$-manifolds $(n \leq 3)$.
Lemma 2.1. Let $M$ be an n-manifold and $X \in \Gamma(M)$ be a critical complex for $M$. Then $\operatorname{dim} X \leq n$.

Proof. Suppose that $\operatorname{dim} X>n$ and let $B^{n+1}$ be an open $(n+1)$-ball in $X$. Then for a point $p \in B^{n+1}, X-p$ cannot be embedded in $M$ since $X-p$ contains an open $(n+1)$-ball in $B^{n+1}-p$.

Let $M$ be a connected compact $n$-manifold and $p$ be a point in the interior of $M$. We denote the quotient space obtained from $M$ and the closed interval $[0,1]$ by identifying $p$ and $\{0\}$ by $M^{\perp}$.

Proposition 2.2. $\Gamma\left(S^{1}\right)=\emptyset$.
Proof. Let $X \in \Gamma\left(S^{1}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{dim} X=1$. Since $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{1}, X$ contains $I^{\perp}$, where $I$ denotes a closed interval. However, for a point $p \in I^{\perp}$ with a neighborhood which is homeomorphic to an open interval $(0,1)$, $X-p$ cannot be embedded in $S^{1}$. Hence such complex $X$ does not exist.

Proposition 2.3. $\Gamma\left(S^{2}\right)=\left\{K_{5}, K_{3,3}\right\}$.
Proof. It can be checked that $K_{5}$ and $K_{3,3}$ are critical for $S^{2}$. Thus we have $\Gamma\left(S^{2}\right) \ni K_{5}, K_{3,3}$.

Conversely, let $X \in \Gamma\left(S^{2}\right)$. By Lemma 2.1, $\operatorname{dim} X \leq 2$.

First, suppose that $\operatorname{dim} X=2$. Then $X$ contains a point $p$ whose open neighborhood is homeomophic to an open disk $D$. Since $X$ is critical for $S^{2}, X-p$ can be embedded in $S^{2}$ and hence $X-D$ can be embedded in $S^{2}$. If $X-D$ is a disk, then $X$ is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$. This contradicts to the criticality of $X$. Otherwise, we can find an embedding of $X-D$ in $S^{2}$ such that $\partial N(p ; X)$ bounds a disk in $S^{2}-(X-D)$. Therefore, by filling with $D$, we have an embedding of $X$ in $S^{2}$. This contradicts to the criticality of $X$ and we have $\operatorname{dim} X=1$.

Next, since $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{2}$, by [5], $X$ contains $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$. If $X$ contains $K_{5}$ and $X-K_{5} \neq \emptyset$, then for a point $p \in X-K_{5}, X-p$ cannot be embedded in $S^{2}$. Hence $X=K_{5}$. The same holds true for $K_{3,3}$. Thus $X$ is $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$.

Let $F_{g}$ be a closed orientable surface of genus $g>0$, and $\Omega\left(F_{g}\right)$ be the set of forbidden graphs for $F_{g}$.

Theorem 2.4. $\Gamma\left(F_{g}\right)=\left\{F_{0}, \ldots, F_{g-1}\right\} \cup \Omega\left(F_{g}\right)$.
Proof. (ゝ) $F_{i} \in\left\{F_{0}, \ldots, F_{g-1}\right\}$ cannot be embedded in $F_{g}$ since it is closed. If we remove a point $p$ from $F_{i} \in\left\{F_{0}, \ldots, F_{g-1}\right\}$, then $F_{i}-p$ can be embedded in $F_{g}$. Thus $F_{i} \in \Gamma\left(F_{g}\right)$. It follows from the definition that $\Omega\left(F_{g}\right) \subset \Gamma\left(F_{g}\right)$.
$(\subset)$ Let $X$ be a critical complex. If $X$ has no point whose neighborhood is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, then it is a graph and by the criticality it belongs to $\Omega\left(F_{g}\right)$. Otherwise, for a point $p$ whose neighborhood is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}, X-p$ can be embedded in $F_{g}$. If $\partial N(p ; X)$ bounds a disk in $F_{g}$, then $X$ can be embedded in $F_{g}$ and we have a contradiction. Otherwise, cutting and pasting $F_{g}$ along $\partial N(p ; X)$, $X$ has an embedding in $F_{h}(h<g)$. If $X$ is closed, then $X=F_{h}$. Otherwise, by connecting sum $F_{g-h}$ to $F_{h}$ at any point of $F_{h}-X, X$ has an embedding in $F_{g}$ and we have a contradiction.

Theorem 2.5 (Characterization of critical complexes with the same dimension). Let $M$ be a closed n-manifold and $X \in \Gamma(M)$ be a critical complex for $M$. Then $\operatorname{dim} X=n$ if and only if $X$ is a closed n-manifold which is homeomorphic to a connected proper summand of $M$ including $S^{n}$, namely, $M=X \# M^{\prime}$ for some closed n-manifold $M^{\prime}$ which is not homeomorphic to $S^{n}$.

Proof. The "if" part obviously holds and we need to prove the "only if" part.
Since $\operatorname{dim} X=n$, there exists a point $p \in X$ whose neighborhood is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By the criticality of $X, X-p$ can be embedded in $M$ and we can assume $X-\operatorname{int} B \subset M$, where $B=N(p ; X)$ is an $n$-ball. We divide the proof into two cases.

Case 1: $\partial B$ separates $M$.
Case 2: $\partial B$ does not separate $M$.
In Case 1, let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be compact submanifolds of $M$ divided by $\partial B$, where we assume without loss of generality that $X-\operatorname{int} B \subset M_{1}$. We remark that $M_{2}$ is not homeomorphic to an $n$-ball. If $X-\operatorname{int} B=M_{1}$, then $X$ is a connected proper summand $\hat{M}_{1}$ of $M$, where $\hat{M}_{1}$ denotes the closed $n$-manifold obtained from $M_{1}$ by capping off $\partial M_{1}$. Otherwise, there are a point $q \in M_{1}-(X-\operatorname{int} B)$ and a neighborhood $B^{\prime}=N\left(q ; M_{1}\right) \subset M_{1}-(X-\operatorname{int} B)$. Note that $\hat{M}_{1}-\operatorname{int} B^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $M$ since $\hat{M}_{1}-\operatorname{int} B^{\prime}$ and $\hat{M}_{1}-\operatorname{int} B$ are homeomorphic. Since $X \subset \hat{M}_{1}-\operatorname{int} B^{\prime}, X$ can be embedded in $M$. This is a contradiction.

In Case 2, there exists a simple closed curve $C$ embedded in $M$ such that $C$ intersects $\partial B$ transversely in one point. The curve $C$ cannot be completely contained in the interior of $X-\operatorname{int} B$, so $C$ intersects $\partial(X-\operatorname{int} B)$ transversely. Let $\alpha$ be a subarc of $C$, such that $\alpha$ is contained in $X-\operatorname{int} B$, one of its endpoints is a point in $\partial B$, and the other is a point in $\partial X$. Let $N(\alpha)$ be a neighborhood of $\alpha$ in $X-\operatorname{int} B$. Note that $N(\alpha)$ intersects $\partial B$ in an $(n-1)$-ball and intersects $\partial X$ in another $(n-1)$-ball. Consider $N(\alpha \cup \partial B)$. Note that the closure of $X-N(\alpha \cup \partial B)$ is homeomorphic to $X$ since an $(n-1)$-ball adjacent to $\partial X$ is removed. This implies that $X$ can be embedded in $M$, which is a contradiction.
2.2. Critical multibranched surfaces. For a 2-dimensional simplicial complex $X$, we say that $X$ is a multibranched surface if $B(X)$ consists of circles and $E(X)=$ $\emptyset$. Eto-Matsuzaki-the second author proved that some family of multibranched surfaces belong to $\Gamma\left(S^{3}\right)$.
Theorem $2.6([2],[6]) . X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}, X_{g}\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{n}\right) \in \Gamma\left(S^{3}\right)$.
2.3. $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ families and their critical subcomplexes.

Theorem 2.7. If a multibranched surface $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, then there exists a critical subcomplexes $M \cup H$ of $X$, where $M$ is a multibranched surface and $H$ is a (possibly empty) graph.

Proof. Suppose that a multibranched surface $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. If $X$ is not critical, then there exists a point $p \in X$ such that $X-p$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$.

Case 1: $p$ is contained in the interior of a sector $S$.
Case 2: $p$ is contained in a branch $B$.
In Case 1, S-p is homeomorphic to the interior of a regular neighborhood $N(G \cup \partial S ; S)$, where $G$ denotes a spine. Then, the following are equivalent.

- $(X-\operatorname{int} S) \cup N(G \cup \partial S ; S)$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$.
- $(X-\operatorname{int} S) \cup G$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$.

If $(X-\operatorname{int} S) \cup G$ is critical, then we have the conclusion of Theorem 2.7.
Otherwise, we continue this process on $(X-\operatorname{int} S) \cup G$, eliminating points in $G$ or in other sector $S^{\prime}$ of $X$. Eventually we get a critical complex $M \cup G \subset X$ as desired.

In Case 2, for a point $p \in B, X-p$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. Then for a neighborhood $N(p)$ of $p, X-N(p)$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. But $N(p)$ necessarily contains points in a sector, that is, there is a point $q \in S$ such that $q \in N(p)$. Then $X-q$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. We can proceed as in the Case 1. Eventually we get a critical complex $M \cup G \subset X$ as desired.

Let $Y_{n}, P_{n}, D_{n}$ denote $K_{1, n} \times S^{1}$, an $n$-punctured sphere, $n$ disks respectively.
Suppose that a multibranched surface $X$ contains $Y_{n}$ as a sub-multibranched surface. We replace $Y_{n}$ with $P_{i} \cup D_{j}(n=i+j)$, where $\partial P_{i}$ and $\partial D_{j}$ are attached by degree 1 maps to the branches of degree 1 in $Y_{n}$. Note that the degree of each branch remains the same. Make this replacement as recursive as possible into $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ and get the $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ family (1)-(5) and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ family (6) - (9).
(1) $K_{5} \times S^{1}$
(2) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{4}$
(3) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{1}$
(4) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{4}$
(5) $\left(K_{3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$
(6) $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$
(7) $\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3}$
(8) $\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3}$
(9) $\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$

To obtain (5) $\left(K_{3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$, attach the three boundary components of $P_{3}$ (or $\left.D_{3}\right)$ to three different branches of $K_{3} \times S^{1}$. Similarly, to obtain $\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$, attach the three boundary components of $P_{3}$ (or $D_{3}$ ) to three different branches of $K_{1,3} \times S^{1}$.
Theorem 2.8. All members of $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ families cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, and they contain critical subcomplexes of the form $M \cup H$ of $X$ as in the following list, where $M$ is a multibranched surface and $H$ is a (possibly empty) graph.
(1) $K_{5} \times S^{1} \supset\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup K_{1,4}$
(2) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{4} \supset\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup K_{1,4}$
(3) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{1}=\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{1}$
(4) $\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{4} \supset\left(K_{4}-K_{3}\right) \times S^{1} \cup D_{4} \cup K_{3}$
(5) $\left(K_{3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}=\left(K_{3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$
(6) $K_{3,3} \times S^{1} \supset\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup K_{1,3}$
(7) $\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \supset\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup K_{1,3}$
(8) $\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3} \supset\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3} \cup K_{1,3}$
(9) $\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3} \supset\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3} \cup K_{1,3}$

To prove Theorem 2.8, we need two lemmas below.
Let $X$ be a complex embedded in a trivial bundle $F \times S^{1}$ with the projection $p: F \times S^{1} \rightarrow F$. We say that $X$ is vertical in $F \times S^{1}$ if $p^{-1}(p(X))=X$.

Lemma 2.9. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $f: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ be an embedding. Then $f$ is one of the following type.
(1) There exist a knot $K$ in $S^{3}$ and a trivial bundle structure $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ of $N(K)$ such that $f\left(G \times S^{1}\right)$ is contained in $N(K)$ and $f\left(G \times S^{1}\right)$ is vertical in $N(K)$.
(2) There exist a cable knot $K$ with a cabling annulus $A$ and a trivial bundle structure $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ of $N(K)$ such that $f\left(G \times S^{1}\right)$ is contained in $N(K) \cup N(A)$, $f\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cap N(K)$ is vertical in $N(K)$ and $f\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cap N(A)$ consists of mutually disjoint annuli parallel to $A$.

Proof. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G$. Then $N\left(f\left(T \times S^{1}\right)\right)$ is a solid torus with a trivial bundle structure $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ in which $f\left(T \times S^{1}\right)$ is vertical. Put $K=\{0\} \times S^{1} \subset$ $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ 。

Let $e_{1}, \cdots, e_{n}$ be the edges of $E(G)-E(T)$, and $A_{i}=f\left(e_{i} \times S^{1}\right) \cap E(K)$ $(i=1, \cdots, n)$ be an annulus. Since the boundary slope of $A_{i}$ is integral and $E(K)$ is a knot exterior in $S^{3}$, there are only two possibilities.
(i) $A_{i}$ is boundary parallel in $E(K)$.
(ii) $A_{i}$ is a cabling annulus of $K$.

It is known that a cabling annulus of a knot is unique up to isotopy.

If all annuli $A_{1}, \cdots, A_{n}$ are boundary parallel in $E(K)$, then we can isotope them into $N(K)$. Then we have a conclusion (1). Otherwise, there is a cabling annulus $A_{i}$ and all other cabling annuli are parallel to $A_{i}$. Similarly all boundary parallel annuli can be isotoped into $N(K)$. Then we have a conclusion (2).

We say that an embedding $f: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ is standard if it is of type (1) in Lemma 2.9, $K$ is the trivial knot and $p \times S^{1}$ bounds a disk in $E(K)$ for a point $p \in \partial D^{2}$.

Let $X$ be a multibranched surface. A circular permutation system for $X$ is a choice of a circular ordering of the sectors attached to each branch. See [6, Section 2] for details.

Lemma 2.10. Let $G$ be a connected graph and $f: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ be an embedding. Then there exists a standard embedding $f_{0}: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$.

Proof. First suppose that $f$ is of type (1) in Lemma 2.9. By re-embedding $N(K)=$ $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ in $S^{3}$, we have that $K$ is the trivial knot. Moreover, by Dehn twists along $D^{2}$, we have that $p \times S^{1}$ bounds a disk in $E(K)$ for a point $p \in \partial D^{2}$. Thus we have a standard embedding $f_{0}: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$. Since the rotation sysytem does not change during the above two operations, we have a standard embedding $f_{0}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$.

Next let $f$ be of type (2) in Lemma 2.9, By re-embedding cabling annuli cointained in $N(A)$ into $N(K)$, we will obtain another embedding $f^{\prime}$ which is of type (1). Let $A^{+}$and $A^{-}$be two annuli which are obtained from $\partial N(K)$ by cutting along $\partial A$. We replace the cabling annulus $A$ with one of those annuli $A^{+}$and $A^{-}$, say $A^{-}$, and slightly push it into int $N(K)$. By repeating this process on all mutually disjoint annuli parallel to $A$, we obtain another embedding $f^{\prime}$ of type (1). We note that the rotation sysytem does not change during the above process.

Remark 2.11. By Lemma 2.10, if there exists an embedding $f: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ for a connected graph $G$, then as the embedding is vertical, it induces an embedding of $G$ in a disk $D^{2}$, and hence $G$ is a planar graph and the rotation system of $f$ is the same as one of a planar embedding of $G$. Since the circular permutation system determines the regions of $S^{3}-f\left(G \times S^{1}\right)$, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the regions of $f$ and $f_{0}$.
Proof of Theorem 2.8. First we show that each member of $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ families cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$.
(1) By Remark 2.11, $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ since $K_{5}$ is not planar.
(2) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{4} \rightarrow S^{3}$. Then by Lemma 2.10 and Remark 2.11, $f\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right)$ divides $S^{3}$ into four regions as $K_{4}$ divides $S^{2}$ into four regions. We note that each region of $f\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right)$ contains three branches except for one branch. Now $f\left(P_{4}\right)$ is contained in one of those regions, but in this case, one component of $f\left(\partial P_{4}\right)$ cannot be attached to a branch. This is a contradiction.
(3) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$. Since one branch of $f\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right)$ bounds a disk of $D_{1},\left.f\right|_{K_{4} \times S^{1}}$ is a standard embedding. There are four regions of $\left.f\right|_{K_{4} \times S^{1}}$, say $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$, which are all solid tori. Exactly one region, say $R_{4}$, contains three branches as meridians, and other three regions $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ contain three branches as longitudes. Therefore only $R_{4}$ can
contain a disk of $D_{1}$ as meridian disks. Then $P_{3}$ is contained in another region, say $R_{1}$, which does not contain $\partial D_{1}$. However, $\partial P_{3}$ consists of three longitudes of $R_{1}$, hence it is impossible. This is a contradiction.
(4) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{4} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{4} \rightarrow S^{3}$. In this case, each branch of $K_{4} \times S^{1}$ bounds a disk of $D_{4}$, hence $\left.f\right|_{K_{4} \times S^{1}}$ is a standard embedding. There are four regions of $\left.f\right|_{K_{4} \times S^{1}}$, say $R_{1}, R_{2}, R_{3}$ and $R_{4}$, which are all solid tori. Exactly one region, say $R_{4}$, contains three branches as meridians, and other three regions $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$ contain three branches as longitudes. Therefore only $R_{4}$ can contain disks of $D_{4}$ as meridian disks, but at most three meridian disks. Then at most one disk of $D_{4}$ cannot be attached to a branch. This is a contradiction.
(5) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$. Similar to (3), $\left.f\right|_{K_{3} \times S^{1}}$ is a standard embedding, and there are two solid torus regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$, where $R_{2}$ contains three disks of $D_{3}$ as meridian disks. Since $R_{2}$ is divided by $D_{3}$ into three ball regions, $P_{3}$ cannot be contained in it. Therefore $P_{3}$ is contained in $R_{1}$. Then we obtain a 2 -sphere $S$ consiting of $P_{3}$ and $D_{3}$. By observing three annuli of $f\left(e \times S^{1}\right)$ for $e \in E\left(K_{3}\right)$, the 2-sphere $S$ is non-separating in $S^{3}$. This is a contradiction.
(6), (7), (8) are similar to (1), (2), (4) respectively.
(6) By Remark 2.11 $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ since $K_{3,3}$ is not planar.
(7) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$. Then by Lemma 2.10 and Remark 2.11] $f\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right)$ divides $S^{3}$ into three regions as $K_{2,3}$ divides $S^{2}$ into three regions. We note that each region of $f\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right)$ contains two branches except for one branch among three branches to which $P_{3}$ attaches. Now $f\left(P_{3}\right)$ is contained in one of those regions, but in this case, one component of $f\left(\partial P_{3}\right)$ cannot be attached to a branch. This is a contradiction.
(8) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{2,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$. In this case, each of degree two branches of $K_{2,3} \times S^{1}$ bound a disk of $D_{3}$, hence $\left.f\right|_{K_{2,3} \times S^{1}}$ is a standard embedding. There are three regions of $\left.f\right|_{K_{2,3} \times S^{1}}$, say $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3}$, which are all solid tori. Exactly one region, say $R_{3}$, contains two branches of degree one as meridians, and other two regions $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ contain two branches of degree one as longitudes. Therefore only $R_{3}$ can contain disks of $D_{3}$ as meridian disks, but at most two meridian disks. Then at most one disk of $D_{3}$ cannot be attached to a branch. This is a contradiction.
(9) Suppose that there exists an embedding $f:\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup P_{3} \cup D_{3}$. Then $f\left(\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3}\right)$ divides $S^{3}$ into three regions, say $R_{1}, R_{2}$ and $R_{3} . f\left(P_{3}\right)$ is contained in one of those regions, say $R_{1}$. However, since $R_{1}$ contains only two branches of degree one in $f\left(\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3}\right)$, one component of $\partial P_{3}$ cannot be attached to a branch of degree one in $f\left(\left(K_{1,3} \times S^{1}\right) \cup D_{3}\right)$. This is a contradiction.

It is straightforward to check along the proof of Theorem 2.7 that in the list of Theorem 2.8, each subcomplex of each member of $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ is critical for $S^{3}$. We leave it to the reader.
2.4. Classifying critical subcomplexes in the $K_{5} \times S^{1}$ and $K_{3,3} \times S^{1}$ families. In the list of Theorem [2.8, all critical complexes except for (3) and (5) have a form $M \cup G$, where $M=B \cup S$ denotes a multibranched surface with a branch $B$ and a sector $S$ and $G$ denotes a graph. In cases (1), (2), a graph $K_{1,3}$ is attached to a multibranched surface in such a way that the degree one vertices of the graph
are attached to different branches, while in cases (4), (6), (7), (8), (9), the graph $K_{3}$ or $K_{1,3}$ is attached in such a way that the degree one vertices of the graph are attached to different sectors.

We classify these critical complexes $M \cup G(G \neq \emptyset)$ as follows. We assume all sectors are attached to branches by degree one maps. We assume that all sectors are orientable surfaces, and that the multibranched surfaced does not contain a non-orientable surface.
(I) $K_{4}$-type - The branch $B$ is divided into four parts $B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ and the sector $S$ is divided into six parts $S_{i j}(i<j, i=1,2,3, j=2,3,4)$, where $\partial S_{i j}=B_{i} \cup B_{j}$. The multibranched surface $M$ can be embedded in $S^{3}$ so that it divides $S^{3}$ into four regions $R_{k}(k=1, \ldots, 4)$, where $\partial R_{k}=\bigcup_{i \neq k, j \neq k} S_{i j}$. Furthermore, we assume that $M$ has a unique embedding in $S^{3}$ up to homeomorphism. (Thus, the branch $B$ and the sector $S$ are corresponding to the vertices and the edges of $K_{4}$.) The graph $G$ is $K_{1,4}$ or a tree which has a $K_{1,4}$-minor and each vertex $v_{i}(i=1, \ldots, 4)$ of degree one is attached to a point in $B_{i}$. We call this complex $M \cup G$ a $K_{4}$-type. In the above list, (1), (2) are of $K_{4}$-type.
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Figure 1. $K_{4}$-type
(II) $\Theta_{4}$-type - The sector $S$ is divided into four parts $S_{i}(i=0, \ldots, 3)$ and $\partial S_{i}=B$. The multibranched surface $M$ can be embedded in $S^{3}$ so that it divides $S^{3}$ into four regions $R_{j}(j=1, \ldots, 4)$, where $\partial R_{j}=S_{j-1} \cup S_{j}$ for $j=1,2,3$ and $\partial R_{4}=S_{3} \cup S_{0}$. Moreover, we assume that $M$ can be embedded in $S^{3}$ so that the sector $S$ takes any circular permutation like $\Theta_{4}$. The graph $G$ has three edges $e_{k}(k=1,2,3)$ and each edge is attached to $M$ so that $e_{k}$ connects a point in int $S_{k}$ and a point in int $S_{k+1}$ for $k=1,2$ and $e_{3}$ connects a point in int $S_{3}$ and a point in int $S_{1}$. Think of $G$ as $K_{3}$ or as a union of three disjoint edges. We call this complex $M \cup G$ a $\Theta_{4}$-type. In the above list, (4) are of $\Theta_{4}$-type.


Figure 2. $\Theta_{4}$-type
 $\partial S_{i}=B$. The multibranched surface $M$ can be embedded in $S^{3}$ so that it divides $S^{3}$ into three regions $R_{j}(j=1,2,3)$, where $\partial R_{j}=S_{j} \cup S_{j+1}$
for $j=1,2$ and $\partial R_{3}=S_{3} \cup S_{1}$. The graph $G$ is $K_{1,3}$ and each vertex $v_{i}$ $(i=1,2,3)$ of degree one is attached to a point in int $S_{i}$. We call this complex $M \cup G$ a $K_{2,3}$-type. In the above list, (6), (7), (8), (9) are of $K_{2,3}$-type.


Figure 3. $K_{2,3}$-type
It is straightforward to check that critical complexes of those types are critical.
Theorem 2.12. $K_{4}$-type, $\Theta_{4}$-type and $K_{2,3}$-type are critical for $S^{3}$.
2.5. Critical complexes which have a form $\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$. Let $X$ be a simplicial complex such that the 2-dimensional part $X_{2}$ of $X$ is a product $G \times S^{1}$ for a graph $G$. Then $X$ can be expressed as $X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$, where $H$ is the 1-dimensional part $X_{1}$ of $X$.

We define a reduction of $X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$ to $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ as follows. We regard $S^{1}$ as the quotient space $[0,1] /\{0\} \sim\{1\}$. By a map $f:\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H \rightarrow(G \times\{0\}) \cup H$, we obtain a reduction $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ of $X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$.
Theorem 2.13. Let $X$ be a critical complex for $S^{3}$ such that the 2 dimensional part $X_{2}$ of $X$ is a product $G \times S^{1}$ for a graph $G$. Put $X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$, where $H$ is the 1-dimensional part $X_{1}$ of $X$. Then a reduction $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ has a minor $G^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime}$ which is one of the following.
(1) $G^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime}$ is $K_{5}$, where $H^{\prime}=K_{1,4}$.
(2) $G^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime}$ is $K_{5}$, where $H^{\prime}=K_{3}$.
(3) $G^{\prime} \cup H^{\prime}$ is $K_{3,3}$, where $H^{\prime}=K_{1,3}$.


Figure 4.
The characterization (1), (2) and (3) in Theorem 2.13 coincide with three types (I), (II) and (III) in Section 2.

Lemma 2.14. Let $e \in E(G)$ be an edge and $p \in \operatorname{int}\left(e \times S^{1}\right)$ be a point. Suppose that there exists an embedding $f: X-p \rightarrow S^{3}$. Then there exists an embedding $f^{\prime}$ : $X-p \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(\left(G \times S^{1}\right)-p\right)$ is contained in a standard embedding $f_{0}: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$.

Proof. We divide the proof into two cases.
Case 1: $e$ is not a cut edge for $G$.
Case 2: $e$ is a cut edge for $G$.
Case 1. By Lemma 2.10, there exists a standard embedding $f_{0}:(G-e) \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$. We can regard a once punctured annulus $\left(e \times S^{1}\right)-p$ as a union of $\operatorname{int} N\left(\partial e \times S^{1} ; e \times S^{1}\right)$ and $\operatorname{int} N\left(e ; e \times S^{1}\right)$. Since $e$ is not a cut edge for $G$, we may assume that $e$ is contained in a region $R$ of $G-e$ in $D^{2}$, where $f_{0}\left((G-e) \times S^{1}\right) \subset D^{2} \times S^{1}$. We embed $\left(e \times S^{1}\right)-p$ in $R \times S^{1}$ so that it is contained in $e \times S^{1}$. Then we obtain the desired embedding $f^{\prime}: X-p \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$.

Case 2. Put $G-e=G_{1} \cup G_{2}$. By Lemma 2.10, there exist standard embeddings $f_{0}: G_{1} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ and $g_{0}: G_{2} \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$. We combine those two embeddings into one embedding $h_{0}:\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right) \times S^{1} \rightarrow$ $S^{3}$ so that $G_{1} \cup G_{2} \subset D^{2}$, there is an arc $\alpha$ properly embedded in $D^{2}$ which separates $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}, \partial \alpha \times S^{1}$ is the trivial link. Then we may assume that $e$ is contained in the outside region $R$ of $G_{1} \cup G_{2}$ in $D^{2}$. We embed $\left(e \times S^{1}\right)-p$ in $R \times S^{1}$ so that it is contained in $e \times S^{1}$. Then we obtain the desired embedding $f^{\prime}: X-p \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$.

Lemma 2.15. If $X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$ is critical, then a reduction $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ is also critical for $S^{2}$.

Proof. First suppose that $\hat{X}$ can be embedded in $S^{2}$. Then $\hat{X}$ is contained in a disk $D^{2} \subset S^{2}$ and by embedding $D^{2} \times S^{1}$ in $S^{3}, X=\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup H$ can be embedded in $S^{3}$. This contradicts the criticality of $X$.

Next we will show that for any edge $e$ in $G \cup H,(G \cup H)-e$ can be embedded in $S^{2}$.

Let $e \in E(G)$ be an edge and $p \in \operatorname{int}\left(e \times S^{1}\right)$ be a point. Then there exists an embedding $f: X-p \rightarrow S^{3}$. By Lemma 2.14, there exists an embedding $f^{\prime}: X-p \rightarrow$ $S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f$ such that $f^{\prime}\left(\left(G \times S^{1}\right)-p\right)$ is contained in a standard embedding $f_{0}: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$. This shows that a reduction $\hat{X}=(G-e) \cup H$ can be embedded in $S^{2}$.

Let $e^{\prime} \in E(H)$ be an edge. Then there exists an embedding $f^{\prime}:\left(G \times S^{1}\right) \cup(H-$ $\left.e^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow S^{3}$. By Lemma 2.10, there exists a standard embedding $f_{0}^{\prime}: G \times S^{1} \rightarrow S^{3}$ with the same circular permutation system as $f^{\prime}$. We embed $H-e^{\prime}$ into the corresponding regions of $G$ in $D^{2}$. Then we obtain an embedding $G \cup\left(H-e^{\prime}\right)$ in $S^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 2.13, By Lemma 2.15, a reduction $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ is critical for $S^{2}$. Hence by Kuratowski's and Wagner's Theorem ([5], [9]), $\hat{X}$ has a minor of $K_{5}$ or $K_{3,3}$. It is straightforward to check that if $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ has a minor $K_{5}$, then we have the conclusions (1) or (2), and if $\hat{X}=G \cup H$ has a minor $K_{3,3}$, then we have the conclusion (3). We leave it to the reader.
2.6. Complexes which do not contain critical complexes. Suppose that a complex $X$ cannot be embedded in the 3 -sphere $S^{3}$. Then we expect that there is a subspace $X^{\prime} \subset X$ which is critical. However, there are many complexes which cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ but do not contain any critical complexes. Let's start with a simple example.

Example 2.16. Let $S^{2}$ be the 2 -sphere and $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ be arcs embedded in $S^{2}$ so that they intersect 1 point transversely. Let $D_{1}, D_{2}$ be two disks and $p_{1}, p_{2}$ be two points in $\partial D_{1}, \partial D_{2}$ respectively. We obtain a complex $X$ from $S^{2}, D_{1}, D_{2}$ and an arc $\gamma$ by gluing a subarc of $\partial D_{i}-p_{i}$ to $\alpha_{i}$ for $i=1,2$, and connecting $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ by $\gamma$.

This complex $X$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ since if it can be, then $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ lie in different sides of $S^{2}$, but then $\gamma$ cannot connect $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$. However, $X$ does not contain any critical complex. Suppose that $X^{\prime} \subset X$ is a critical complex. Then $X^{\prime}$ contains both of $S^{2}$ and $\gamma$ since if we remove any point from $S^{2}$ or $\gamma$, then it can be embedded in $S^{3}$. Since $X^{\prime}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, for any small neighborhood $N(x)$ of a point $x=\alpha_{1} \cap \alpha_{2}, N(x)$ must contain two parts $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ of $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ respectively, and there exists a path connecting $D_{1}^{\prime}$ and $D_{2}^{\prime}$ and containning $\gamma$. However, for any point $q$ in $\operatorname{int} D_{i}^{\prime}, X^{\prime}-q$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$ since it contains a subcomplex which is homeomorphic to $X^{\prime}$.

Example 2.16 leads us to the next lemma. We say that a point $p$ in $X$ is an boundary point if it has a neighborhood in $X$ which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{n}=$ $\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid x_{n} \geq 0\right\}$ for some integer $n$ and $p$ is corresponding to the origin. We define the boundary $\partial X$ of $X$ as the set of boundary points.

Lemma 2.17. Let $X$ be a complex which is critical for $Y$. Then $\partial X=\emptyset$.
Proof. Suppose that $\partial X \neq \emptyset$. Let $p$ be a point in int $X$ close to $\partial X$. Then $X-p$ can be embedded in $Y$. However, $X$ can be contained in $X-p$ and hence $X$ can be also embedded in $Y$. This is a contradiction.

Theorem 2.18. The cone over $K_{5}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. But, it does not contain any critical complex.

Proof. First we observe that the cone over $K_{5}$ cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$. If it can be, then for the vertex $v$ of it, a sufficiently small neighborhood $N(v)$ is homeomorphic to the cone over $K_{5}$. This shows that the 2 -sphere $\partial N(v)$ contains a non-planar graph $K_{5}$, and we have a contradiction.

Next we show that the cone over $K_{5}$ does not contain any critical complexes. By Lemma 2.17, it is sufficient to check only subcomplexes $X$ with $\partial X=\emptyset$. However, such a subcomplex of the cone over $K_{5}$ is only the vertex $v$. This completes the proof.

Example 2.19. The octahedron obstruction is introduced in 11. It is obtained from the octahedron with its eight triangular faces by adding 3 more faces of size 4 orthogonal to the three axis. We remark that after removing upper 4 triangular faces, the octahedron obstruction still cannot be embedded in $S^{3}$, and that after removing 2 opposite triangular faces, the octahedron obstruction can be embedded in $S^{3}$, where the boundaries of 2 opposite triangular faces must form a Hopf link. By removing non-adjacent 4 triangular faces from the octahedron obstruction, we obtain a closed surface, which is homeomorphic to the real projective plane as Boy's surface.

Example 2.20. Let $X$ be a complex which is obtained from the octahedron obstruction by removing a face of size four, and adding an arc connecting two points $p_{1}, p_{2}$ in the interior of two faces $D_{1}, D_{2}$ of size four. We remove $D_{1}$ and add a graph $G$. Let $D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$ be disks in the octahedron separated by $\partial D_{2}$. Since $G$
contains two paths connecting $p_{1}$ and two points in $D_{3}$ and $D_{4}$, eventually we obtain a critical subcomplex $X^{\prime} \subset X$ with $\partial X^{\prime}=\emptyset$, which is of $K_{2,3}$-type in Theorem 2.12.

## 3. Refined critical complexes

3.1. Partially ordered set of complexes. From Example 2.16 and Theorem 2.18, we derive the following refined definition of critical. For two connected simplicial complexes $X$ and $Y, X$ is said to be refined critical for $Y$ if $X$ cannot be embedded in $Y$, but for any proper subspace $X^{\prime}$ of $X$, which does not contain a subspace homeomorphic to $X, X^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $Y$. This refined definition of critical leads us the following equivalence relation.

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the set of simplicial complexes. We define an equivalence relation on $\mathcal{C}$ as follows. This equivalence relation coincides with Fréchet dimension type (3, 8, 8 ). Two simplicial complexes $X$ and $Y$ are equivalent $X \sim Y$ if $X$ can be embedded in $Y$ and $Y$ can be embedded in $X$. Then we say that $[X] \in \mathcal{C}$ is critical for $[Y] \in \mathcal{C}$ if $X \in[X]$ cannot be embedded in $Y \in[Y]$, but for any proper subcomplex $X^{\prime}$ of $X$ with $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \neq[X], X^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $Y$.

Let $\Gamma([Y])$ denote the set of equivalence classes of critical complexes for $[Y]$. Then it holds that $\Gamma\left(S^{3}\right) \subset \Gamma\left(\left[S^{3}\right]\right)$ and that the complex $X$ of Example 2.16 the cone over $K_{5}$ belong to $\Gamma\left(\left[S^{3}\right]\right)$. To see this, just note that if a subcomplex of $X$ contains the cone point of $X$, then it is equivalent to $X$. But if the cone point is removed, then the new complex can be embedded in $S^{3}$.

For $[X],[Y] \in \mathcal{C} / \sim$, we define a relation $[X] \subseteq[Y]$ if $X$ can be embedded in $Y$. Then we have a partially ordered set $(\mathcal{C} / \sim ; \subseteq)$. We denote $[X] \varsubsetneqq[Y]$ if $[X] \subseteq[Y]$ and $[X] \neq[Y]$.

### 3.2. Refined critical complexes for closed manifolds.

Proposition 3.1. If $X \in \Gamma(Y)$, then $[X] \in \Gamma([Y])$.
Proof. Suppose that $X \in \Gamma(Y)$. Then $X$ cannot be embedded in $Y$, but for any point $p \in X, X-p$ can be embedded in $Y$. This implies that $[X] \nsubseteq[Y]$, but for any proper subcomplex $X^{\prime}$ of $X, X^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $Y$. Hence, $[X] \nsubseteq[Y]$, but for any $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \varsubsetneqq[X],\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[Y]$. Thus $[X] \in \Gamma([Y])$.

Proposition 3.2. Let $M$ be a closed n-manifold and $[X] \in \Gamma([M])$ be a critical element such that $[X] \nsubseteq\left[M^{\prime}\right]$ for any closed $n$-manifold $M^{\prime}$. Then $[X]=\left[B^{n \perp}\right]$.

Proof. Let $[X] \in \Gamma([M])$ be a critical element such that $[X] \nsubseteq\left[M^{\prime}\right]$ for any closed $n$-manifold $M^{\prime}$. This implies that there exists a point $p \in X$ such that for any neighborhood $N(p ; X), N(p ; X)$ cannot be embedded in $B^{n}$. Let $B^{k}$ be a $k$-ball in $X$ such that $p \in B^{k}$ and $k$ is maximal. Then $k \geq n$ and there exists $B^{n}$ in $N(p ; X)$ such that $p \in B^{n}$. Since $N(p ; X) \backslash B^{n} \neq \emptyset$, there exists a point $q \in N(p ; X) \backslash B^{n}$ and there exists an arc $\gamma \in N(p ; X)$ connecting $p$ and $q$. Hence a union of $B^{n}$ and $\gamma$ forms $B^{n \perp}$ and we have $B^{n \perp} \subseteq X$. Since $B^{n \perp}$ cannot be embedded in $M$ and $[X]$ is critical for $M$, we have $B^{n \perp}=X$.

Proposition 3.2 shows the next proposition.
Proposition 3.3. $\Gamma\left(\left[S^{1}\right]\right)=\left\{B^{1^{\perp}}\right\}$.
Mardes̆ić-Segal essentially proved the next theorem.

Theorem $3.4([7]) . \Gamma\left(\left[S^{2}\right]\right)=\left\{\left[K_{5}\right],\left[K_{3,3}\right],\left[B^{2 \perp}\right]\right\}$.
We generalize Theorem 3.4 to the next theorem.
Theorem 3.5. $\Gamma\left(\left[F_{g}\right]\right)=\left\{\left[F_{0}\right], \ldots,\left[F_{g-1}\right],\left[{B^{2}}^{\perp}\right]\right\} \cup\left\{[G] \mid G \in \Omega\left(F_{g}\right)\right\}$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 3.1, 3.2 we have

$$
\Gamma\left(\left[F_{g}\right]\right) \supset\left\{\left[F_{0}\right], \ldots,\left[F_{g-1}\right],\left[B^{2 \perp}\right]\right\} \cup\left\{[G] \mid G \in \Omega\left(F_{g}\right)\right\}
$$

Conversely, let $[X] \in \Gamma\left(\left[F_{g}\right]\right)$. First, suppose that $[X] \nsubseteq\left[F^{\prime}\right]$ for any closed surface $F^{\prime}$. Then, by Proposition [3.2, we have $[X]=\left[B^{2 \perp}\right]$. Next, suppose that $[X] \subseteq\left[F^{\prime}\right]$ for some closed surface $F^{\prime}$. This implies that $X$ can be embedded in $F^{\prime}$. In the case that $X=F^{\prime}$, we have $[X] \in\left\{\left[F_{0}\right], \ldots,\left[F_{g-1}\right]\right\}$. In the case that $X \varsubsetneqq F^{\prime}$, we will show that $[X] \in\left\{[G] \mid G \in \Omega\left(F_{g}\right)\right\}$ as follows. Since $X$ is a compact subspace of $F^{\prime}$, the 2-dimensional part $X_{2}$ of $X$ is a compact subsurface of $F^{\prime}$ and the 1-dimensional part $X_{1}$ of $X$ is a graph embedded in $X-\operatorname{int} X_{2}$. Let $\alpha$ be an arc properly embedded in $X_{2}$. We deform $X_{2}$ along $\alpha$ as shown in Figure 5] If the resultant complex $X^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $F_{g}$, then $X$ can be


Figure 5. Topological deformations
also embedded in $F_{g}$. This contradicts that $[X] \in \Gamma\left(\left[F_{g}\right]\right)$. Hence $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \nsubseteq\left[F_{g}\right]$ and $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \varsubsetneqq[X]$, therefore $[X]$ is not critical for $\left[F_{g}\right]$. It follows from this argument that each component of $X_{2}$ is a disk. Next we replace each disk of $X_{2}$ with a "very large" grid. Geelen-Richter-Salazar ([4]) showed that if a very large grid is embedded in a surface, then a large subgrid is embedded in a disk in the surface. Similarly this contradicts that $[X] \in \Gamma\left(\left[F_{g}\right]\right)$. Hence $X_{2}=\emptyset$ and $\operatorname{dim} X=1$. It follows that $[X] \in\left\{[G] \mid G \in \Omega\left(F_{g}\right)\right\}$.
3.3. Existence of critical subcomplexes. As we have seen Example 2.16 and Theorem 2.18 those examples do not satisfy the natural property. However, by considering the equivalence relation above, we obtain the next natural property.

Theorem 3.6 (Existence of critical subcomplexes). Suppose that a 2-dimensional complex $X$ cannot be embedded in a closed n-manifold $M(n \leq 3)$. Then there exists an element $\left[X^{\prime}\right] \subseteq[X]$ such that $\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is critical for $[M]$.

Proof. Suppose that $X$ cannot be embedded in $M$. While maintaining this property, perform the following topological operations (I), (II) and (III) as much as possible. We remark that the complex obtained by such deformations can be embedded in the original complex.
(I) Remove an edge or a sector.
(II) Remove an open disk from a sector without boundary.
(III) Deform as (a), (b) or (c) of Figure 6 along an essential arc $\alpha$, where if an inequivalent complex is obtained by deforming along $\alpha$, then $\alpha$ is said to be essential.


Figure 6. Topological deformations

Let $X^{\prime}$ be the resultant complex. We will show that $\left[X^{\prime}\right]$ is critical for $[M]$. Let $\left[X^{\prime \prime}\right] \varsubsetneqq\left[X^{\prime}\right]$, then $X^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $X^{\prime}$. Hence there exists a triangulation of $X^{\prime}$ such that $X^{\prime \prime}$ is a subcomplex of $X^{\prime}$. We take the barycentric subdivision on this triangulation. Then there exist two subcomplexes $X_{0}^{\prime}$ and $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ of $X^{\prime}$ such that

$$
X^{\prime \prime} \subseteq X_{0}^{\prime \prime} \varsubsetneqq X_{0}^{\prime} \subseteq X^{\prime}
$$

$X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be obtained from $X_{0}^{\prime}$ by removing a single simplex $\Delta$, and $X_{0}^{\prime} \sim X^{\prime}$. We will show that $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$, and it follows that $\left[X^{\prime \prime}\right] \subseteq[M]$.

By an operation (I), $\operatorname{dim} \Delta \neq 1$. Thus $\operatorname{dim} \Delta=2$. Since we take the barycentric subdivision, $\partial \Delta$ contains at most one component of $B\left(X_{0}^{\prime}\right)$. We need to consider the following cases (1)-(7).

(1)-(a)

(1)-(b)

Figure 7. Case (1)
In Case (1)-(a), by an operation (II), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.
In Case (1)-(b), if $\Delta$ is contained in a sector without boundary, then similarly to (1)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$. Otherwise, by an operation (III)-(a) along an $\operatorname{arc} \alpha$ which connects a point in the boundary of the sector with a point $\partial \Delta$, we have the same resultant as (III)-(b) on $X_{0}^{\prime}$. Hence $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.

In Case (2)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime} \sim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts to $X_{0}^{\prime} \nsim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
In Case (2)-(b), by an operation (III)-(b), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.


Figure 8. Case (2)


Figure 9. Case (3)


Figure 10. Case (4)

(5)-(a)

(5)-(b)

Figure 11. Case (5)

In Case (2)-(c), $X_{0}^{\prime} \sim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts to $X_{0}^{\prime} \nsim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
In Case (3)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime} \sim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts to $X_{0}^{\prime} \nsim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
In Case (3)-(b), $X_{0}^{\prime} \sim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. This contradicts to $X_{0}^{\prime} \nsim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
In Case (3)-(c), $X_{0}^{\prime} \nsim X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$. But it holds that $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is embeddability equivalent to $X_{0}^{\prime}$, that is, $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$ if and only if $X_{0}^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.


Figure 12. Case (6)

(7)-(a)

(7)-(b)

Figure 13. Case (7)

We observe that $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be obtained from $X_{0}^{\prime}$ by an operation (III)-(a) and (I). By the assumption, $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$. It follows that $X_{0}^{\prime}$ can be embedded in $M$, and this is a contradiction.

In Case (4)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime}$ is disconnected, a contradiction.
In Case (4)-(b), it holds that $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is embeddability equivalent to $X_{0}^{\prime}$. Note that $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be obtained from $X_{0}^{\prime}$ by operations (III)-(a) and (I). Similarly to (3)-(c), we have a contradiction.

In Case (5)-(a), if $\Delta$ is contained in a sector without boundary, then by an operation (II), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$. Otherwise, a complex which obtained from $X_{0}^{\prime}$ by operations (III)-(b), (III)-(c) and (I) is embeddability equivalent to $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ as shown in Figure 14. Hence $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.


Figure 14. Topological deformations
In Case (5)-(b), similarly to (5)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.
In Case (6)-(a), by an operation (III)-(c), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.
In Case (6)-(b), similarly to (6)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.
In Case (6)-(c), similarly to (6)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be embedded in $M$.
In Case (7)-(a), $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is embeddability equivalent to $X_{0}^{\prime}$. But $X_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ can be obtained from $X_{0}^{\prime}$ by operations (I)'s. This is a contradiction.

In Case (7)-(b), similarly to (7)-(a), we have a contradiction.

This completes the proof.
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