Wetting on Silicone Surfaces

Lukas Hauer,^{1,2,*} Abhinav Naga,³ Rodrique G. M. Badr,⁴

Jonathan T. Pham,⁵ William S. Y. Wong,⁶ and Doris Vollmer²

¹Institute for Biology, Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, 10115 Berlin, Germany

²Physics at Interfaces, Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany

³Department of Physics, Durham University, DH1 3LE, United Kingdom

⁴ Institut für Physik, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz, Staudingerweg 7-9, 55099 Mainz, Germany

⁵Department of Chemical and Environmental Engineering,

University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, 45221 OH, USA

⁶Department of Applied Physics, School of Science, Aalto University, 02150 Espoo, Finland

(Dated: April 9, 2024)

Silicone is frequently used as a model system to investigate and tune wetting on soft materials. Silicone is biocompatible and shows excellent thermal, chemical, and UV stability. Moreover, the mechanical properties of the surface can be easily varied by several orders of magnitude in a controlled manner. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) is a popular choice for coating applications such as lubrication, self-cleaning, and drag reduction, facilitated by low surface energy. Aiming to understand the underlying interactions and forces, motivated numerous and detailed investigations of the static and dynamic wetting behavior of drops on PDMS-based surfaces. Here, we recognize the three most prevalent PDMS surface variants, namely liquid-infused (SLIPS/LIS), elastomeric, and liquid-like (SOCAL) surfaces. To understand, optimize, and tune the wetting properties of these PDMS surfaces, we review and compare their similarities and differences by discussing (i) the chemical and molecular structure, and (ii) the static and dynamic wetting behavior. We also provide (iii) an overview of methods and techniques to characterize PDMS-based surfaces and their wetting behavior. The static and dynamic wetting ridge is given particular attention, as it dominates energy dissipation, adhesion, and friction of sliding drops and influences the durability of the surfaces. We also discuss special features such as cloaking and wetting-induced phase separation. Key challenges and opportunities of these three surface variants are outlined.

Keywords: PDMS, LIS, SLIPs, elastomers, gels, SOCAL, brushes, liquid-like, self-assembled monolayer, soft wetting, wetting ridge, cloaking, elastocapillarity, viscoelasticity

I. INTRODUCTION

Silicones are abundant materials with global production margins exceeding a million tonnes annually. As a surface coating, the material is widely used in numerous industries, ranging from the medical and energy sectors to the personal care and automotive/aerospace industries. The low surface energy of silicones promotes low friction and adhesion with contacting solids and liquids, providing desirable properties for self-cleaning, lubrication, anti-icing/-biofouling, drag-reduction, and enhanced heat and mass transfer [1-10]. The most common silicone is polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). PDMS is biocompatible, water repellent, and flexible while being chemically/thermally stable [11–19]. The mechanical properties of PDMS can be tuned over many orders of magnitude and the synthesis is safe and mostly straightforward when using commercially available two-part systems, requiring no advanced training. Thus, PDMS is readily used in labs as a model system for soft materials.

Due to the chemical and mechanical properties, PDMS is rigorously used as a surface coating: The softness and flexibility of PDMS yield excellent lubrication properties [20–22] which can be utilized for joint lubrication [23] or drag reduction [24, 25]. The low (lateral) adhesion is exploited for applications in anti-icing [8, 26–28], anti-marine fouling [29], and anti-microbial formation [30–34]. Heat and mass transfer can be optimized as PDMS surfaces provide high nucleation rates while maintaining drop mobility [35–37]. PDMS is also used to interface biological systems, e.g., to control the growth and the size of cells [38, 39].

PDMS-based surfaces come in different forms with various material and wetting properties [40]. Variations in polymer chain configuration give a broad design space for PDMS-based surfaces. The chain-chain interactions (cohesion and friction) vary with the tunable chain length or with the addition of covalent cross-links. The adhesive interactions with the underlying substrate can be physical (e.g., capillary forces) or chemical (e.g., covalent bonding).

To provide optimal surface functionality, understanding the wetting interactions in a given application is essential. Misinterpretation of these interactions can lead to misuse, malfunction, and deterioration of the surface. However, such understanding is challenging as the interactions are complex with strong coupling between the different components, and require consideration on many levels. During wetting, various forms

^{*} lukas.hauer@hu-berlin.de

of mass, momentum, and energy exchange take place on length scales ranging from the molecular structure of the polymer (Å) to the macroscopic drop (cm) [41-43]. The associated timescales vary from mere picoseconds up to several days. The liquid drop can mix to some extent with the underlying polymer [44–46]. The sub-components that make up the polymer matrix of solid PDMS can undergo chemical reactions or phase changes. This alters the molecular structure of either or both the surface and the liquid [41, 47]. Liquid PDMS chains (e.g., oil) can engulf and cloak the drop The cloak changes the drop affinity to the [48-50].surface and therefore affects the wettability. Interactions between the drop and surface are particularly high near the so-called "three-phase-contact line" [51, 52]. At this location, the drop meets with the surface and the surrounding medium (a third fluid such as air). Here, the surface tension of the sessile drop exerts pulling stress on the surface. On many rigid surfaces such as metals or glass, the pulling stress is minor compared to the internal material stresses. PDMS, however, is soft, even when it comes as a rubber. Hence, the surface tension-induced pulling stress deforms the surfaces to an annular "wetting ridge" [53–56]. On very soft surfaces the wetting ridge grows large enough that it becomes visible by the bare eye.

This review aims to present, discuss, and compare the physicochemical properties of variations of PDMS surfaces during static and dynamic wetting. We first introduce the chemical and structural features of PDMS and PDMS-based surfaces. We focus on the three most frequently used PDMS-based surfaces: i) *liquid infused surfaces* (cf. lubricant infused surface - LIS [57]/slippery lubricant infused porous surface - SLIPS [58]), where liquid PDMS oil impregnates a porous substrate structure, Fig. 1a. ii) *elastomeric surfaces* (cf. silicone gel surface), where PDMS chains are cross-linked to give structural integrity, Fig. 1b. iii) *slippery omniphobic covalently attached liquid-like surfaces* (cf. SOCAL, liquid-like surfaces [59–62]), where PDMS chains form a nanometric thin surface layer, Fig. 1c.

After establishing the structural differences in chainchain and chain-surface organization on the different surfaces, we discuss their characteristic wetting behavior. We consider static and dynamic wetting - with a particular focus on the wetting ridge. This feature is ubiquitous amongst PDMS-based surfaces and the most pivotal element for wetting, drop mobility, and surface durability. Contact friction mostly dissipates in the ridge. Therefore it is critical for lubrication and drop sliding. However, it also accumulates surface material, and contacting objects may entrain surface material in the wetting ridge [63]. Eventually, this can cause surface deterioration and malfunction. We discuss secondary effects such as PDMSdrop cloaking which influences the wetting behavior and surface durability.

We emphasize the importance of the surface-associated

wetting properties as they are directly related to *lubrication* and *durability*: These are the two main concepts for optimized surface functionality. This perspective will help to gain a generalized, fundamental understanding of these most important PDMS-based surface variants, which helps to create guidelines for optimized and long-lasting PDMS surfaces.

II. PDMS-BASED SURFACE - STRUCTURE AND PROPERTIES

PDMS-based surfaces come in various configurations with associated material properties and wetting behaviors. We consider three common types of PDMS-based surfaces, i) PDMS oil-based surfaces (e.g., liquid-infused surfaces), Fig. 1a, ii) PDMS elastomeric surfaces (e.g., crosslinked polymers), Fig. 1b, and iii) PDMS SOCAL surfaces (e.g., liquid-like polymer chains), Fig. 1c. Each PDMS-based surface has the same monomeric building block, and consequently, some intrinsic properties are shared among the surface types.

The monomer of PDMS has an inorganic siloxane backbone and two methyl side chains, Fig. 2a center. The silicon-based backbone sets it apart from alkyl (hydrocarbon) or perfluoroalkyl (fluorocarbon) chemistries, hence the classification of siloxanes (silicon-oxygen bond).

The siloxane backbone is more flexible than a carbon backbone. This flexibility is attributed to the large Si – O – Si bond angle of $143^{\circ} - 150^{\circ}$ [62, 65, 66] which separates the pendant dimethyl groups. In addition, the alternating oxygen atoms do not allow side groups, resulting in low rotational energy around the backbone (3.3 kJ/mol) - e.g., lower than what is noted between carbon-carbon bonds with methylene CH₂ configurations (13.8 kJ/mol) that typically occur in comparable organic polymers, such as polyethylene glycol [67]. As a result, PDMS chains are highly flexible, and readily re-orientate to a minimal energy state, while possessing a low glass transition temperature ($\approx -150^{\circ}$ C [68]).

The organic content in PDMS is relatively low compared to other silicones due to the small organic methyl group (CH₃, is the smallest organic group). The apolarity of the methyl group yields weak interactions with polar solvents such as water and alcohols [69, 70]. This is reflected in the low solubility which, in the case of water, is only \approx 770 ppm [71–73]. Vice versa, PDMS is even insoluble in water [29]. The poor interaction strength between PDMS and water, however, does not hamper the diffusive mobility of H₂O molecules in PDMS ($\approx 2 \times 10^{-9}$ m²/s [73, 74]) and is comparable with many other liquid-liquid diffusivities.

The weak interaction strength with many solvents [38] results in low PDMS surface energy. Consequently, adhesion between contacting liquids and PDMS is relatively weak. Water repels from PDMS surfaces as reflected in the contact angles ranging between $90^{\circ} - 110^{\circ}$ [75–80]. The flexibility of PDMS chains maintains slippage and



FIG. 1. PDMS surface with sessile water drop. Scale bars serve as order of magnitude guide. Depending on their molecular chain configuration and substrate arrangement, PDMS surfaces can be a) liquid-infused, b) elastomeric, or c) molecularly attached (SOCAL) to a rigid substrate. The thickness of the surface varies from mm and μ m (LIS, elastomeric) to nm (in particular SOCAL). Top row shows shadowgraphs of sessile drops (10 μ l) on each PDMS surface type, each exhibiting $\theta > 90^{\circ}$. a) Low molecular weight chains with free ends (green points) behave as a Newtonian liquid, obtaining viscosities between 1 mPa s $\leq \eta \leq 10$ kPa s. Rough/porous solid substrate texture provides support for oil lubrication and increases surface retention. b) Crosslinks (red points) create a network mesh with elasticity. Free chains (PDMS oil) can reside inside the network and rearrange freely. The degree of crosslinking and the amount of free chains inside the network determine the softness and lubrication of elastomer surfaces. c) PDMS chains are covalently bonded to anchor sites (gray points). The free ends remain flexible and maintain liquid-like surface properties on SOCAL surfaces. Few chains are grafted on both chain ends to the surface. Illustration and shadowgraphs adapted from [64].

thus provides mobility for contacting drops [81–84]. Depending on the surface type, aqueous and organic drops can slide off when tilting the surface by a few degrees. However, on some PDMS surfaces drops remain pinned even when tilting the surface by 90°.

PDMS chains can be adjusted in length (*i.e.*, molecular weight), cross-linkage, and chemical/physical surface attachments ("grafting"). Such variations shape distinctive surface types and features including viscosity, elasticity, film thickness, and durability.

A. Liquid-Infused Surfaces

The design of liquid-infused surfaces is to some extent nature-inspired: carnivorous plants such as the Nepenthes pitcher plant utilize a combination of leaf texture and lubrication (the plant uses water as a lubricant) to trap insects such as ants [85]. Liquid-infused surfaces comprise a micro- or nanostructured texture, infiltrated with liquid PDMS oil, also termed silicone oil. Typically, silicone oils are PDMS chains terminated with trimethyl groups, Fig 2a. The end groups are nonreactive; therefore PDMS remains liquid with a linear chain topology [13], Fig. 2a, left. The oil viscosity is tunable via the number of monomer units in the chain and ranges from 1 mPa s to 10 kPa s [86]. Short PDMS chains ("oligomers" [87]) with n < 100 monomer units are typically very mobile with low viscosities of $\eta < 50$ mPa s. Due to the low surface tension of PDMS oils, almost all surfaces are "siliconephilic", enabling rapid spreading of oil into the porous, micro-/nanostructure textures [88– 90]. Micro- and/or nanostructures are added to the surface to enable stronger oil retention by capillary forces [91]. These surfaces are summarized under the surface class named "SLIPS" (slippery liquid-infused porous surface) or "LIS" (lubricant-infused surface) [57, 58]. The oil provides lubrication, resulting in static friction forces orders of magnitude lower than dry friction. Most liquid drops slide off when tilting the surface by merely a few degrees. However, the infused oil can also be taken along, inducing degradation of the coating.

Various strategies for oil replenishment [92], such as microfluidic setups [93] or lubricant reservoirs [94, 95] have been implemented to enhance the lifetime of the surface.

B. Elastomeric Surfaces

Crosslinked PDMS coated on substrates forms elastomeric surfaces, Fig. 1b. Vinyl- or hydroxyl-terminated PDMS chains (Fig. 2a, right) are reactive and widely used in cross-linking reactions. In Sylgard 184 (a commercial PDMS elastomer), these reactive PDMS chains are mixed with a platinum-catalyzed methylhydrogensiloxane, (HCH₃)SiO, cross-linker. In a hydrosilylation reaction, these shorter siloxane-based cross-linker chains $(n \approx 10)$ undergo an addition reaction with the vinyl groups on the PDMS chain ends [96], Fig. 2b. Other derivatives of the synthesis exist. For example, the vinyl group can become incorporated in the siloxane backbone or other cross-linking agents may be utilized to realize addition and condensation crosslinking-reactions [97–100]. The mixture of activated PDMS chains and cross-linker is coated on a surface by e.g., spin-coating, dip-coating, or blade-coating [101–103], before the reaction proceeds.



FIG. 2. Chemical structure of polydimethylsiloxane. a) PDMS can be oligometric (n < 100) with low viscosity (1 - 5 mPa s) or assemble into long chains with high viscosities (up to $\eta = 10$ kPa s). The silicon-oxygen backbone provides chain flexibility while the methyl side chains yield water repellency. The end groups can be inert (trimethylsilyl) or reactive (hydroxyl, vinyl). b) Hydrosilylation reaction between linear vynil-terminated PDMS chains (top) and cross-linking agents with methylhydrosiloxane monomers create branches and networked elastomeric polymers. Here, the cross-linking agent is a polymer chain with x dimethylsiloxane and y methylhydrosiloxane monomers that are randomly arranged. The network branches are randomly distributed on the cross-linker chain and terminal on the PDMS base chain. c) Hydroxyl-terminated PDMS chains can be grafted on surface hydroxyl groups that naturally occur on metals/metalloid oxides, or can be functionalized with hydrogen plasma.

Crosslinking reactions (independent of their formulation) will eventually arrive at the "gel point". The gel point is achieved when a percolated bulk network forms and the material loses fluidity [104]. Physically, this is an equilibrium state where the original PDMS liquid mixture becomes a soft solid (*i.e.*, a gel). Three specific changes to physicochemical properties arise. i) Thermal rheology; as with other thermoset polymers, once a PDMS elastomer reaches the gel point, it can no longer reflow upon heating (unlike thermoplastics). This occurs above the glass transition temperature (or even melting point) of an equivalent linear (identical M_w , uncrosslinked) PDMS chain. ii) Solvation; prior to gelation, the PDMS liquid mixture is readily soluble in certain solvents (e.g., hexane or toluene [69]). However, post-gelation, cross-linked

PDMS will experience swelling instead. The cross-links preserve the integrity of the elastomeric polymer network. iii) Mechanical; passing the gel point induces an abrupt increase of the viscosity and the emergence of elasticity [105]. Depending on the cross-linker to oligomer ratio, the material obtains a stiffness between 1 kPa and 10 MPa [79, 106–109].

Even under optimal stoichiometric conditions, not all reactive chains will be crosslinked to the network. At least 3% of the chains will remain free within the network [69]. These free chains alter the material properties. They can accumulate at the coating surface towards air and in the wetting ridge[110] where they separate from the network [111, 112]. This has consequences for static and dynamic wetting [44, 113, 114].

C. SOCAL Surfaces

The free chain ends of PDMS can be covalently anchored to a surface, creating a nanometer-thin coating [115–119], Fig. 1c. Most chains are anchored at one end while the other remains free. Few chains can be grafted on both ends, forming chain loops [120, 121]. The freemoving end is almost as flexible as liquid oligomers or oils and the surface interface is comparably slippery. Therefore, these surfaces are often called "liquid-like" or "SO-CAL" (slippery, omniphobic, covalently attached, liquid) surfaces.

Surface anchoring is done either by a "grafting-from" or a "grafting-to" reaction. "Grafting-from" implies that chain polymerization is initiated at the anchor site on the surface and proceeds by adding PDMS monomer units. In a "grafting-to" reaction, an already polymerized chain binds to the surface [115, 116, 122]. "Grafting-from" can be attained by e.g., highly reactive chloro-terminated siloxanes (monomers or oligomers). Long PDMS chains (n > 1000) will experience "grafting-to" anchoring. In both instances, they are reported to form covalent bonds and create molecularly thin layers [123].

Surface anchor sites are typically provided by hydroxyl groups, Fig. 2c. Anchor sites can be created using chemical treatment, e.g., oxygen plasma exposure or alkali activation. Many metals/metalloid oxides (e.g., SiO_2 , TiO_2 , Al_2O_3 , NiO) naturally form hydroxyl groups under ambient water vapor exposure [124].

Grafted PDMS chains exist in a stretched or a collapsed state, depending on the affinity to the surrounding solvent and the chain density [125]. Polar liquids (*i.e.*, water) collapse chains as hydrophobic aggregation occurs to minimize surface energy. Organic liquids can stretch out chains if they are preferably solvated under similar chemical affinity. Anchored chains swell when exposed to PDMS oil. These solvent-dependent chain conformations lead to unique wetting behaviors: While both advancing θ_a and receding angles θ_r follow trends expected by surface tension variations (*i.e.*, high for water, low for organic liquid), contact angle hysteresis $\Delta \theta = \theta_a - \theta_r$ is low for both liquids [126, 127]. This is attributed to the nanometric smoothness of such surfaces and the flexibility exhibited by grafted chains. At the molecular level, grafted chains rotate almost freely, reducing contact friction [128] Thus, contact angle hysteresis $\Delta\theta$ remains relatively low. Notably, $\Delta\theta$ depends on the grafting density and grafted chain length (*i.e.*, the molecular weight) [124]. For example, $\Delta\theta$ was measured to be lower on SOCAL surfaces with 6 kDa chains, compared to both shorter (0.77 kDa) and longer (117 kDa) chains [115].

III. SOFT WETTING MECHANISMS

A. Static Wetting Ridge

All surface types share the formation of an annular wetting ridge around the three-phase contact line [56, 129–131]. The wetting ridge is defined as the region that rises above the original unperturbed level of the surface. On liquid-infused surfaces, the wetting ridge consists of PDMS oil only, whereas on elastomers, it may consist of a mixture of crosslinked and liquid PDMS, depending on the swelling ratio. On SOCAL surfaces, the wetting ridge will encompass the local stretching of the grafted polymer chains. As PDMS chains are organized differently on the liquid-infused, elastomer, and SOCAL surfaces, the material forces in the wetting ridge differ, as well. Thus, each surface type forms a characteristic wetting ridge with a specific geometry. The wetting ridge significantly contributes to the overall drop morphology and the wetting dynamics.

1. Liquid

In addition to the hydrophobic nature of PDMS oil, the impregnated oil acts as a lubricant. The film lubrication reduces the (static) friction of contacting objects (both solids and liquids) on the surface immensely. Low friction typically leads to excellent self-cleaning properties [57]. Furthermore, lubricant allows surfaces to "self-heal" from abrasion damages by the replenishment and re-flow of oil. However, once the PDMS oil is partially depleted from the surface, contacting drops are no longer lubricated and lose their mobility [134]. Maintaining the PDMS oil on the surface is, hence, the most important priority for the design of liquid-infused surfaces [28, 91, 135].

Oil depletion is already triggered by contacting water drops that induce the formation of annular wetting ridges, Fig. 3a. Wetting ridges formed on lubricantinfused surfaces can be visible to the naked eye, Fig. 1a. Still, the characteristic sizes are usually in the microdomain (ca. 200 μ m), and optical magnification helps to reveal more detail, Fig. 3a right.

For drops smaller than the capillary length, gravity can be neglected and capillary interactions between the lubricant and the water drop solely govern the morphology of the wetting ridge. In contrast to wetting on inert rigid surfaces, a single angle, such as the Young angle [136], is insufficient for this wetting configuration [137]. On soft surfaces, the surface material (the lubricant) adapts to the wetting drop as the interfacial tension of the drop pulls on the surface. The surface becomes curved in the vicinity of the wetting ridge. In equilibrium, the (liquid) wetting ridge is in a so-called "Neumann configuration", which implies a balance of the three fluid interfacial tensions $[\vec{\gamma}_w + \vec{\gamma}_o + \vec{\gamma}_{ow} = 0$, subscripts correspond oil-ambient, water-ambient, and oil-water], Fig. 3a left box. This tension balance yields a closed triangle, characterized by the associated three Neumann angles ϑ_1 , ϑ_2 , and ϑ_3 [138]. The angles are related to the interfacial tensions as

$$\frac{\gamma_w}{\sin\vartheta_1} = \frac{\gamma_o}{\sin\vartheta_2} = \frac{\gamma_{ow}}{\sin\vartheta_3}.$$
 (1)

For water and PDMS oil, $\gamma_w > \gamma_o + \gamma_{ow}$, hence no Neumann configuration exists, and we expect the oil to fully spread around the drop (cf. Sec. Free Chain Cloaking Layer). In other situations, the height of the wetting ridge (or the location of the three-phase contact line) keeps growing until the lubricant pressure in the wetting ridge matches the lubricant pressure in the solid textures. In equilibrium, each of the fluid interfaces assumes a minimal energy surface [139] where the mean curvature is constant [140–142]. The oil interface deforms significantly in the surroundings of the wetting ridge but straightens, afar. The "catenoid" surface approximates all these properties. This is possible because the surface curvature in the two principal normal directions has equal magnitude but opposite signs, such that they perfectly cancel out.

While the classical Young equation/angle fails for lubricant-infused surfaces, a similar angle can be recovered in the limit when the wetting ridge is small compared to the size of the drop, Fig. 3a center. This limit typically prevails in the "starved limit" when the infused PDMS oil is scarce. The resulting "pseudo Young angle" θ_{app}^{S} [143] is

$$\cos\theta_{app}^{S} = \frac{\Upsilon_{s}^{\text{eff}} - \Upsilon_{sl}^{\text{eff}}}{\gamma_{w}}.$$
(2)

The effective surface tensions of the solid have contributions from both, texture $(\Upsilon_s \text{ and } \Upsilon_{sl})$ and PDMS oil $(\gamma_o \text{ and } \gamma_{ow})$, *i.e.*, $\Upsilon_s^{\text{eff}} = \Upsilon_s \varphi + \gamma_o (1 - \varphi)$ and $\Upsilon_{sl}^{\text{eff}} = \Upsilon_{sl} \varphi + \gamma_{ow} (1 - \varphi)$, where φ is the texture fraction of the solid surface.

Moreover, in the starved limit, the shape of the wetting ridge is not only defined by capillarity. Disjoining pressures must also be considered when the oil film becomes very thin (≤ 100 nm) because the oil-ambient interface starts to interact with the oil-solid interface. Such interactions are characteristic of very thin films and are summarised in the "disjoining pressure" framework



FIG. 3. Different manifestations of the wetting ridge on different PDMS surfaces. a) Liquid wetting ridge on a liquid-infused surface. Neumann balance at the three-phase contact line (box) and the apparent contact angle θ_{app} . The right half illustrates the starved limit and the pseudo-Young's balance. Macroscopically, the vector center of the surface tensions aligns with the baseline of the substrate. The image on the right shows a liquid wetting ridge, taken with a fluorescence confocal microscope, adapted from [92]. b) Elastic wetting ridge on an elastomeric surface. Bulk elasticity creates a compliance force $f_{el} \propto \mu \delta_{e,I}$, resulting in wetting ridges smaller than the liquid ones. The right half shows material dimpling around the wetting ridge when the surface coating is very thin. The right image shows an elastic wetting ridge, taken with an x-ray microscope on elastomeric PDMS (3 kPa), adapted from [132]. c) Molecular wetting ridge on a SOCAL surface. The entropic force of the PDMS chain f_m limits the surface tension-induced chain stretch. Right half shows surface swelling when liquid-PDMS affinity/solubility is high. The right image shows a molecular wetting ridge, computed with coarse-grained molecular dynamics simulation, adapted from [133].

[144]. The interactions take place on a molecular level and can stem from a combination of van der Waals interactions, electrostatic attraction or repulsion, and steric forces [145]. For static PDMS oil films, the interactions are usually purely dispersive, and the disjoining pressure is

$$\Pi(h) = -\frac{A_H}{6\pi h^3},\tag{3}$$

where h is the film thickness and A_H is the Hamaker constant. A_H depends on the involved materials and can be approximated with the Lifshitz theory [146]. In general, the disjoining pressure can be either attractive (unstable film) or repulsive (stable film). For PDMS oil on a solid-textured substrate $\mathcal{O}(A_H) \approx -10^{-19}$ J (cf. [147] for a comprehensive material list). In case of severe film starvation, the disjoining pressure needs to be considered within the total free energy [148]. A consequence is that the oil interface assumes shapes, different from the catenoid [140].

When the surface holds sufficient PDMS oil, the contact angle defined in Eq. (2) breaks down because the assumption of a small wetting ridge no longer holds. The interface of the ridge now contributes to the total free energy. It becomes challenging to define a baseline from which to measure the (pseudo) angle, as the wetting ridge conceals the footprint of the drop. In practice, apparent contact angles θ_{app} are utilized, which are defined as the angle of the drop at the Neumann point to the horizontal plane, Fig. 3a left. The size of the large ridge δ_l and the size of the drop r are related to the difference between θ_{app} and θ_{app}^S [149] per

$$\delta_l = r \left(\cos \theta_{app} - \cos \theta_{app}^S \right). \tag{4}$$

2. Elastic

Wetting ridges also occur on elastomeric surfaces [150]. However, the mechanisms that govern the "elastic wetting ridge" are more complex than those governing liquid ridges. Capillary forces around the perimeter of a wetting drop induce mechanical stress in the PDMS material $(\boldsymbol{\sigma})$. Consequently, the material around the three-phase contact line deforms to a wetting ridge, similar to the liquid wetting ridge. In addition to the surface tension of the PDMS surface, the elasticity of the network bulk contributes to the ridge geometry. Depending on the bulk stiffness, wetting ridges on elastomers can grow up to tens of microns. With the steady improvement of optical techniques, elastic wetting ridges have been resolved with an increasing level of detail. Such modern state-ofthe-art techniques are e.g., shadowgraphy, interferometry, and laser scanning confocal microscopy (cf. Methods and Techniques, [53, 132, 151, 152], and Fig. 3.)

The shape of the elastic wetting ridge can be found by balancing the bulk elasticity and the surface stress of the network to the imposed surface tension of the water drop at the three-phase contact line. The surface tension of the water pulls on the surface, acting like a point/line load on the PDMS material, reading

$$\vec{\gamma}_w = \gamma_w \left(\cos\theta \vec{t} + \sin\theta \vec{n}\right) \delta\left\{x - x_{\rm cl}\right\}.$$
 (5)

Here, \vec{n} and \vec{t} denote the surface normal and tangential vectors respectively. $\delta \{x - x_{cl}\}$ denotes the Dirac delta function and x_{cl} localizes the horizontal position of the three-phase contact line. The surface stress balance is then

$$\boldsymbol{\sigma}\vec{n} - \Upsilon_{\rm e} \left(\nabla \cdot \vec{n}\right) \vec{n} = \vec{\gamma}_w. \tag{6}$$

The spring-load system is a simple analogy to this wetting ridge where the resulting displacement - *i.e.*, the height of the wetting ridge $\delta_{e,I}$ [153, 154] - scales with the drop surface tension pulling on the surface per

$$\delta_{e,\mathrm{I}} \propto \frac{\gamma_w}{\mu} \sin \theta.$$
 (7)

The compliance of the wetting ridge is characterized by μ^{-1} , where μ is the shear modulus of PDMS. PDMS

elastomers have a hydrophobic contact angle θ between 90° – 110° [75–80], Fig 1b. Here, the solid surface tension $\Upsilon_{\rm e}$ is considered symmetric around $x_{\rm cl}$. This, however, is not fulfilled as PDMS-air and PDMS-water interfaces have differing surface tension ($\Upsilon_{el} \approx 40 \text{ mN/m}$ and $\Upsilon_e \approx 20 \text{ mN/m}$). To match the asymmetric surface tension around the contact line, Eq. (6) can be adjusted with Heaviside functions.

Solutions of this system of equations (and variations) show that the competition between the bulk elasticity (μ) and the capillary point load ($\gamma_w \sin \theta$) produces shapes that are comparable with experimental measurements [132].

Considering only these two components, however, produces a singularity at the contact point of the load. The surface tension of the network material Υ_e regularizes this singularity by opposing the deformation with plastic stresses [137, 155]. The length scale at which the surface tension-regularization emerges is

$$\delta_{e,\mathrm{II}} \propto \frac{\Upsilon_e}{\mu}.$$
 (8)

When the wetting drop induces an elastic wetting ridge where $\mathcal{O}(\delta_{e,\mathrm{I}})$ is below $\mathcal{O}(\delta_{e,\mathrm{II}})$ the Neumann configuration [Eq. (1)] is recovered. The ratio of the two elastic length scales $[\alpha = \delta_{e,\mathrm{I}}/\delta_{e,\mathrm{II}}]$ demarcates the two limits in which the stress can be treated:

$$\alpha \propto \frac{\gamma_w}{\Upsilon_e} \sin \theta \begin{cases} \ll 1 & \text{Neumann-limit,} \\ \gg 1 & \text{bulkelasticity-limit.} \end{cases}$$
(9)

Straining solid (crystalline) surfaces can alter the molecular surface structure, yielding a local dependency of the surface energy on the strain [156]. Consequently, the surface energy and the surface tension are not equal $[\gamma_e \neq \Upsilon_e]$. The surface energy is a function of the surface strain $\gamma_e(\epsilon_{\Sigma})$, and the relation to the surface tension is

$$\Upsilon_{\rm e} = \frac{\rm d}{{\rm d}\epsilon_{\Sigma}} \left[(1 + \epsilon_{\Sigma}) \gamma_e(\epsilon_{\Sigma}) \right]. \tag{10}$$

Eq. (10) is known as the Shuttleworth equation [157, 158]. While originally introduced in 1950, it obtained recent momentum in the wetting community. The Shuttleworth effect was utilized to show anisotropic wetting behavior on unidirectionally stretched PDMS surfaces [159–161] and differing dependencies of Υ_e and γ_e on the cross-link density [162]. Other studies found contradictory behavior and the absence of a $\gamma_e(\epsilon_{\Sigma})$ dependency [163]. Their observations were rationalized by only partially stretched elastomers (*i.e.*, below the max. chain length) or oil-swollen elastomers where mobile chains at the surface enable the relaxation of strain-induced local molecular surface inhomogeneities [113, 164, 165]. In that sense, the PDMS elastomer surfaces resemble rather a liquid interface than a solid one. However, surface modifications,

8

e.g. oxidation of surface molecules, can create a thin "surface skin" that is chemically and mechanically different from the bulk PDMS [166, 167]. Upon stretching, the oxidized skin breaks, and non-oxidized PDMS molecules replenish the gap. Such surface-modified PDMS elastomers exhibit a strain-dependent surface energy/tension per Eq. (10).

Further experimental findings indicate that the surface tension might not only depend on strain [per Eq. (10)] but on the strain rate, which could be interpreted as a form of complex surface rheology [168].

3. Molecular

A wetting ridge on a SOCAL surface is tiny but possible: chains may stretch around the contact line, or ungrafted chains migrate freely to the contact line. Because of the nanometric thickness of the SOCAL surface, direct experimental observations of "molecular wetting ridges" have not been demonstrated. However, theoretical calculations - both on a molecular level and in a mean-field framework - predict their formation [133, 169–171].

The molecular wetting ridge is, again, a result of the interplay of the capillary forces induced by the wetting drop and the material response of the surface. However, the motivation of the linear elasticity framework from a continuum mechanical point of view is less obvious as the length scales are much smaller. Deformations occur (at most) over the length of a chain (collapsed to stretched) in contrast to elastomers that undergo deformations far exceeding the lengthscales of the spacing between crosslinking nodes. Further, the chain configuration introduces isotropy as the chains are not laterally reticulated. Therefore, the ridge deformation on SO-CAL surfaces is usually considered in a thermodynamic framework of the individual polymer chains. The restoring force on the molecular chain level originates from entropy [59]. Stretched chains have a reduced conformational state. Thus, the entropy is low. This state is undesirable for the grafted chain. It strives to increase the possible conformations and maximize its entropy by recovering the unstretched state [172, 173]. Notably, the thermodynamic consideration for a swollen chain recovers a linear relation between the deformation and the restoring force per chain

$$f_m = k_B T \frac{H_B}{nb^2},\tag{11}$$

where k_B is the Boltzmann constant, T is the temperature, H_B is the thickness of the grafted chain layer, n is the number of monomers in a chain, and b is the size of a monomer. With this, the height of the molecular ridge is expected to scale as

$$\delta_m \propto \frac{\gamma_w n b^2}{\sqrt{\sigma} k_B T} \sin \theta, \qquad (12)$$

where σ is the grafting density for the SOCAL surface $(\sigma \approx 0.1 \text{ chains/nm}^2 [121]).$

B. Free PDMS Chains and Cloaking

1. Phase-Separated Wetting Ridge

In Sylgard 184 PDMS elastomers synthesized under the standard recommended crosslinking procedure (10:1 base to crosslinker), around 5 %wt of the chains remain free. Free chains can be considered as a solvent that swells the crosslinked network [69, 174, 175]. The volume ratio between the swollen network and the dry network defines the swelling ratio $Q = V_{\text{swollen}}/V_{\text{dry}}$. Depending on the degree of crosslinking, PDMS elastomers display different swelling ratios in equilibrium. For example, a gel prepared with Sylgard 184 with a 60:1 mixing ratio can be swollen up to $Q \approx 16$ with low molecular weight (oligomeric) PDMS chains [112].

Synersis - *i.e.*, the extraction of free chains from the network onto the surface [33, 176-178] - can cause lubrication [113]. This essentially makes (swollen) PDMS elastomers similar to liquid-infused surfaces on which contaminants (such as water) hardly stick [29].

Synersis is typically induced by global effects such as temperature variations [179] or the progression of the crosslink reaction [33], affecting the entire surface of the PDMS elastomer. Wetting drops, however, trigger the accumulation of free chains locally around the threephase contact line, caused by the surface tension of the drop [44, 180]. On highly swollen PDMS elastomers, this can lead to the phase separation of free chains at the tip of the wetting ridge [111, 112]. The extent of phase-separation (measured by the size of the separated tip, h_{oil}) is proportional to the swelling ratio Q, *i.e.*, the number of free chains in the gel. On PDMS-based SO-CAL surfaces, such kinds of phase-separated tips have also been observed in molecular dynamics simulations [133]. Due to the small length scales of the surface, direct experimental visualization is still lacking.

2. Cloaking Layer

The surface tension of a wetting drop yields the formation of a wetting ridge and the accumulation of free chains (PDMS oil). A sharp three-phase contact line at the tip of the ridge implies a (Neumann) balance [Eq. (1)] of the competing surface tensions of the water (γ_w) , the oil (γ_o) , and water/oil (γ_{ow}) . The Neumann balance is fulfilled with a negative "spreading coefficient":

$$S = \gamma_w - \gamma_{ow} - \gamma_o \le 0. \tag{13}$$

Generally, $S \leq 0$ indicates the presence of a contact line (partial wetting), and S > 0 expresses the absence of a contact line in equilibrium (complete wetting). As all three interfaces are liquid, their surface tensions are easily accessible with direct measurements (cf. Shadowgraphy in Methods and Techniques), and their values are listed in Tab. I. Notably, for these values, the spreading coefficient is positive (7 - 16 mN/m), and thus, PDMS oil tends to spread on top of the water drop [48]. The PDMS engulfs the drop with a thin laver, Fig. 4a.b. This behavior is called "cloaking". and significantly changes the wetting configuration Due to the cloak, the surface energy of the [181].drop cap changes, affecting the total free energy and consequently the wetting affinity of the drop and the contact angle, respectively. A cloaking layer of PDMS oil makes the Neumann configuration impossible. Instead, the effective surface tension of the drop changes.

TABLE I. Interfacial tension between water-air, PDMS oilair, and PDMS oil-water interface at room temperature. Differences in the values can stem from differing PDMS chain lengths ($\eta \approx 5-300$ mPa s) and measurement errors.

Interface		Surface Tension [mN/m]
water-air	γ_w	72 (self-measured)
PDMS oil-water	γ_{ow}	40 [182], 38 [183]
PDMS oil-air	γ_o	18 - 22 [183], 21 [182], 25 [184]

Naively, the "cloaked" surface tension γ_c of the drop effectively sums up from two interfaces (water-oil and oilair) that replaces the uncloaked surface (water-air), *i.e.*, $\gamma_c = \gamma_{ow} + \gamma_o$. However, the concepts of surface tension and surface energy consider shared surfaces of two bulk phases. Surface tension and energy result from excess energy that decays at some length scale towards the bulk phases. The length (or the vicinity) in which the excess energy decays is also reflected in the stress isotropy, being isotropic in the bulk phases, and anisotropic near the interface. Following this reasoning, γ_c is only the sum of γ_{ow} and γ_o when the cloaking layer is thick enough and the surface tension has "bulk properties". However, the laver can be substantially thinner, especially upon cloak formation. In this case, the two interfaces (water-oil and oil-ambient) of the thin cloak layer start to interact with each other via the disjoining pressure $\Pi(h_c)$ yielding stabilizing stress contributions which depend on the thickness of the cloak h_c . The stabilizing disjoining pressure contribution adds to the surface tension of the cloak with [185 - 187]

$$\gamma_c(h_c) = \gamma_{ow} + \gamma_o + \Pi(h_c)h_c + \int_{h_c}^{\infty} \Pi(h)dh.$$
 (14)

Note, that for $h_c \to \infty$, the disjoining pressure vanishes and $\gamma_c = \gamma_{ow} + \gamma_o$ is recovered. In the opposite limit, when $h_c \to 0$, $\gamma_c \to \gamma_w$ such that the surface tension of the uncloaked drop is recovered.

The formation of the cloak is a transient process, associated with time scales of the oils rooting in viscous



FIG. 4. Sessile drop on lubricated surface, cloaked by PDMS oil. **a)** Three-dimensional view of PDMS oil on the drop, obtained by laser scanning confocal microscopy. **b)** Side view of the angular averaged 3D stack. Images adapted from [133] c) Temporal evolution of pendant drop on PDMS elastomer with swollen with 200 mPa s (red) and 350 mPa s (green) PDMS oil. The shaded region shows standard deviation of experimental repeats. Data adapted from [189].

or diffusive oil transport from the surface to the drop cap. Hence, the temporal evolution of the cloak formation can be measured: experimentally, this has been illustrated with pendant drop measurements, where drops hang on swollen PDMS elastomer surfaces [180, 188, 189]. In these experiments, the surface tension of the hanging drop (38 μ l) changed from the characteristic $\gamma_{wa} =$ 72 mN/m to $\gamma_c \approx 64$ mN/m after more than ≈ 3 minutes, Fig. 4c. Besides oil parameters (such as viscosity), the drop size influences the cloak formation time [180]. The coupling in Eq. (14) between γ_c and h_c indicates that one is a proxy for the other. Hence, the temporal evolution of γ_c may be linked to the gradually increasing cloak thickness. Note, that $\gamma_{wo} + \gamma_o \approx 64 \text{ mN/m}$, Tab. I, indicating that the cloak reached a bulk-like thickness for $t \to t_{\infty} \approx 3$ min.

C. Dynamic Wetting Ridge

1. Liquid

Sliding drops experience friction, stemming from shear dissipation. On rigid surfaces, the source of dissipation is either found at the three-phase contact line (for small speeds) or at the footprint of the drop where a hydrodynamic boundary layer forms (at higher speeds). On PDMS surfaces, the main source of dissipation, however, can be localized in the PDMS layer instead of the drop. This is the case when the lubricant is more viscous than the drop [190]. The wetting ridge at the threephase contact line moves with the drop and the accumulated material in the ridge is constantly reorganized, Fig. 5a. During reorganization, the material undergoes shear and strain that dissipates energy due to the viscous/viscoelastic nature of the PDMS material. Note, that shear is not only evoked in the ridge but on all parts of the PDMS surface that are in contact with the drop (*i.e.*, the drop footprint); however, the dissipation in the wetting ridge is the dominant source of sliding friction. Hence, to quantify the sliding friction, it is sufficient to only focus on the wetting ridge.

In general, the dissipation $P_{\text{diss}} = \int_{V_{\text{ridge}}} \boldsymbol{\sigma} : \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} dV$, depends on the volume of the wetting ridge V_{ridge} , the strain rate $\dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$ (*i.e.*, the rate of reorganization), and the material stress σ . The stress in the wetting ridge follows from material laws, and thus, changes with the fabric of the different surface types. PDMS oils are Newtonian fluids that show a linear relationship between stress and shear rate, $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = \eta \dot{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}}$. If the oil is very viscous, the drop mobility is significantly hampered [190, 191]. Still, lubrication enables higher drop mobility on lubricated compared to non-lubricated surfaces which tend to be rougher and have a much larger number of surface defects that slow down the drop [24]. The shear stress in the liquid wetting ridge scales as $\sigma \propto \eta v/h_r$. The dissipation rate is found by integrating the viscous stress over the ridge area. Furthermore, we must account for the variation of dynamic contact angle of the wetting ridge with speed, Fig 5b,c), which leads to, $P_{\rm diss} \propto v\beta\phi r (\eta v/\theta_o)$. ϕ accounts for the texture density which is important as only texture contacts along the perimeter add to the overall friction. On parts where the ridge contacts oil, it is assumed that no significant shear builds up and can, therefore, be neglected. $\beta = \ln (h_r/\epsilon)$ and ϵ account for cut-off length scale (taken to be ≈ 100 nm) [192]. θ_o is the opening angle, formed between the horizontal plane and the wetting ridge. This angle changes with the speed according to Tanner's law $\theta_o \approx (\beta \eta v / \gamma)^{1/3}$ [193]. Including Tanner's law into the expression for the dissipative power yields $P_{\rm diss} \propto v \phi r \left(\beta \eta v / \gamma\right)^{2/3}$. The ratio between the viscous stress and the surface force in the liquid wetting ridge are condensed in the capillary number $Ca = \eta v / \gamma$. Hence, the dissipative scaling can be written compactly as

$$P_{\rm diss}^{\rm vis,I} \propto \phi vr \left(\beta {\rm Ca}\right)^{2/3}$$
. (15)

Despite its simplicity, this relation has been remarkably successful at capturing the scaling between dissipation and capillary number in experiments performed with various surface geometries and lubricant viscosities by independent groups, Fig. 6a.

On flat lubricated surfaces, the ridge meniscus behaves analogously to a Landau Levich Derjaguin film: the viscous stress elevates the film in the vicinity $l_{\rm dyn}$ behind the advancing and the receding wetting ridge. The elevated height scales as $h_{\rm dyn} \propto r {\rm Ca}^{2/3}$, and the vicinity length as $l_{\rm dyn} \propto r {\rm Ca}^{1/3}$. Depending on whether considering the advancing or receding ridge, Ca is formed with γ_{ow} and γ_o , respectively. As many oil surfaces utilize a porous structure to maintain better retention of the oil film, $h_{\rm dyn}$ only forms when this height exceeds the height of the porous structure $h_{\rm p}$ [90]. Combining the dynamic $h_{\rm dyn}$ scaling with this inequality, produces the critical



FIG. 5. Dynamic geometries of liquid wetting ridge during drop sliding. The oil film underneath the drop and behind the drop, both, resemble a Landau-Levich film. The dynamic film thickness a) underneath the drop front $h_{\rm dyn,f}$, and b) behind the drop rear end $h_{\rm dyn,b}$, both display the scaling $\propto {\rm Ca}^{2/3}$, characteristic for Landau-Levich films. Data in b) and c) adapted from [50] and insets in b) adapted from [190].

capillary number $Ca^* = (h_p/r)^{3/2}$ that needs to be exceeded to form h_{dyn} [191]. The associated (secondary) dissipation rate within this domain scales (non-linearly in v) as

$$P_{\rm diss}^{\rm vis,II} \propto \gamma v r {\rm Ca}^{2/3}.$$
 (16)

Since the scaling between power and the capillary number is the same for both dissipation in the Landau Levich film and at the foot of the wetting ridge, most experiments have the same scaling regardless of the dissipation mechanism. While this makes the scaling law remarkably universal, it also makes it difficult to directly deduce which of the two mechanisms is dominant.

At high capillary numbers (Ca $\gtrsim 10^{-2}$), a different scaling law was observed between friction on velocity, with a lower exponent on the velocity. In this regime, drops begin to move more rapidly. The mechanism for this regime is still unknown. A tentative explanation that has been proposed is that the wetting ridge shape does not have time to fully develop in this regime [194].

2. Elastic

On elastomeric surfaces, the wetting ridge dissipation is more complex [198, 199]. Dissipation in elastomers is non-Newtonian and depends on the (oscillatory) strain



FIG. 6. Dissipation-speed profile $(P_{\text{diss}}/r \propto v^k)$ on a) liquid-infused silicone oil (green) $\eta = 100$ mPa s and perfluorinated oil (red) $\eta = 30$ mPa s ($k \approx 1.66$), b) $\mu = 250$ kPa elastomer ($k \approx 1.23$), and c) 6 kDa chain SOCAL surfaces ($k \approx 1.25$). a) Bright colors correspond to cases where Ca^{*} < Ca and dark colors to cases where Ca^{*} > Ca, with Ca^{*} = $(h_p/r)^{3/2}$.b) The colors correspond to various coating thickness: green - 1100 nm, black berry - 350 nm, red - 90 nm, yellow - 55 nm. c) Data points were measured on SOCAL surface, with chains: pink - grafted from, blue - grafted to substrate (c.f. PDMS based surfaces - structure and properties). Data reproduced from a) red [195] green [190, 191], b) [196], and c) green [197] rest [64].

rate ω . The crosslinks add (visco)elasticity to the material fabric and the resulting stress is well represented with the Chasset-Thirion model, *i.e.*, $\sigma \propto \epsilon \mu (\tau \omega)^m$ [200]. The moving wetting ridge oscillates with $\omega \propto v/\delta_{\rm e,I}$. τ is the rheological relaxation time and m < 1 yields characteristic shear-thinning of PDMS [201, 202]. These two values vary depending on the degree of crosslinking and the number of free chains in the network [203]. Softer elastomers with more free chains usually have both smaller τ and smaller m. The strain in elastic ridges scales with the ratio of the two elastocapillary lengths $\epsilon \propto \delta_{\rm e,I}/\delta_{\rm e,II}$ and the strain rate with $\dot{\epsilon} \propto \epsilon \omega$. Together with the volumetric scaling $V \propto \delta_{\rm e,I}^2$, the dissipative work in the viscoelastic wetting ridge scales as

$$P_{\rm diss}^{\rm vel} \propto \gamma_w v r \alpha^2 {\rm Ca}_e^m,$$
 (17)

Fig. 6b. Here, we introduce the "viscoelastic" capillary number $\operatorname{Ca}_e = \tau v / \delta_{e,I}$. The thickness of the surface coating affects the shape of the wetting ridge when the length scales of both become comparable [204, 205]. Surface material that accumulates in the elastic wetting ridge cannot be replenished. Thus, the surface dimples around the wetting ridge, in lack of surface material, Fig. 3b. Very thin coating surfaces also limit the size of the wetting ridge as the material is confined (or attached) to an underlying surface. The ridge geometry is in addition to $\delta_{e,I}$ and $\delta_{e,II}$ governed by the thin film height. The friction that builds up in the wetting ridge is consequently reduced, as the total dissipation volume, V_{ridge} decreases [196].

Wetting ridge relaxations that are different from expected viscoelastic materials were observed on PDMS elastomers having free oligomer chains [206]. The reorganization of the free chains inside the elastomer follows poroelastic behavior, which is typically slower than viscoelastic dissipation [207]. Wetting ridges that move slowly dissipate energy in a different mode, as the mate-

rial fabric can change significantly inside the ridge. As discussed earlier, a phase of pure liquid can form at the tip of the ridge where the dissipative picture resembles the liquid-infused case. The amount of phase separation scales inversely with the moving speed of the ridge [114]. Thus, a complex back coupling between speed and dissipation is expected. While concise models for dissipation on swollen PDMS elastomers are missing, first clues suggest that the separation lowers dissipation: the accumulated oligomeric free chains have low viscosity and lubricate the drop and effectively reduce contact friction [44, 113].

3. Molecular

In SOCALs, a mobile drop sliding over the surface reorganizes the streched chains in the ridge constantly. While low, the contact angle hysteresis is not zero. This indicates a non-symmetric process: the differing capillary force at the advancing and receding side leads to a nonzero net force as the drop moves. The force that builds up during sliding was measured with a drop friction force instrument [64]. This force scales with the drop speed approximately with $P_{\text{diss}} \propto v^{5/4}$, Fig 6c. While preliminary, these measurements have some important implications: as the variation in synthesis does not appear to influence the effective friction force, therefore, the grafting density and chain length may not play a significant role. However, rigorous investigations are still lacking and decisive conclusions should not be drawn at this stage.

IV. COATING COMPARISON

In this review, we discussed wetting behaviors on PDMS-based surfaces. We discuss how this relatively simple polymer can create a wide design space for sur-



FIG. 7. Comparison of PDMS-based surface structures and wetting mechanisms on the three variants. Illustrations adapted from [64].

faces, via polymer-chain configurations, polymerization, and surface anchoring. The complexity in surface manufacturing varies for different surface variants: Creating liquid-infused surfaces without a micro-/nanometric texture is straightforward. It can be achieved by simply spreading PDMS oil on a surface. The process is equally facile for PDMS elastomeric surfaces, but only if no special requirements regarding the coating thickness exist. The "grafting-from" technique for SOCAL surfaces requires a more elaborate process such as "chemical-vapordeposition" (CVD), requiring controlled atmosphere conditions, and sometimes toxic chemicals (e.g. chlorosilanes or alkoxysilanes).

The various surface designs open up a wide variety of concepts and mechanisms. Today, they are increasingly utilized and tuned for a plethora of applications. While similar at first glance, wetting mechanisms such as the wetting ridge develop differently on each PDMS-based surface variant. In the context of a specific application, this brings advantages and disadvantages. For example, self-cleaning are increasingly essential for preserving the energy efficiency of solar panels, bio-contamination reduction of medical equipment, or repelling stains and dirt on outdoor equipment and textiles. For this, all three PDMS-based surface variants are conceptually suitable as they strive to provide drop mobility (low static friction), a feature that is essential for self-cleaning.

Liquid-infused surfaces have freely flowing PDMS oils providing excellent lubrication properties and high drop mobility. An important avenue for future research is to understand how particulate contaminants adheres to the lubricant layer and whether they enter the solid crevices. However, one limitation of liquid-infused surfaces is that lubricant is depleted by the (comparatively) large wetting ridge when they are exposed to harsh environments. Thus, to make these surfaces viable for technological applications, further work is needed to systematically understand the mechanisms of lubricant depletion. Elastomer surfaces, on the other hand, have good material retention and hence mechanical durability, provided by the crosslink network. This advantage, however, brings along higher dissipation margins and declined drop mobility. SOCAL surfaces seem to overcome both challenges, as retention is high due to covalent surface anchors, while dissipation remains low, although not as low as liquid-infused surfaces. This is attributed to a minuscule ridge and the inherent flexibility of molecularly anchored chains that behave in a liquid-like manner. Particulate matter hardly sticks and can be removed easily. However, once the surface experiences critical damage, the nano-thickness of the SOCAL surface can be permanently abraded away. Bulky liquid-infused and elastomer surfaces provide plenty of surface material that - in case of surface damage - can self-heal and increase the surface lifetime.

We currently observe a transition in soft wetting research, shifting from a fundamental understanding perspective to a practical application focus, encompassing fields such as energy and biomedical science. Still, to utilize soft wetting effectively in any application, fundamental understanding is critical, as illustrated in the self-cleaning example, above. This review serves to provide a series of guidelines that link the current state-of-the-art soft wetting knowledge - in particular on silicone surfaces to mechanistic design features desirable for a myriad of applications.

V. METHODS AND TECHNIQUES

A. Optical Characterization

1. Shadowgraphy

The Shadowgraphy method captures shadows of macroscopic objects (e.g., drops of > 1 μ l) with highresolution optical cameras and back-illumination. Shadowgraphs are typically well-contrasted and thus suitable for contour profile extractions of static/dynamic drops using image processing. For example, shadowgraphs are the basis of goniometry, *i.e.*, one of the most common and simplest methods to measure surface wettability. Here, the wetting contact angle is extracted from the drop contour and recovered by various fitting techniques (e.g. Young-Laplace equation, spherical/elliptical fit, or tangent fit [208, 209]). Goniometry was utilized to demonstrate surface adaptation and dissipation on PDMS gels [44, 210]. The overall shape of the drop contour is governed by the surface tension. If the drop becomes larger than the capillary length, gravity distorts the contour shape from a perfect (hemi)sphere (i.e., oblate sessile drops and prolate pendant drops). When the density of the drop and the prevailing gravity is known, the surface tension can be measured from shadowgraphs (cf. pendant drop measurement). With optically-assisted magnification, microscopic features such as wetting ridges become visually detectable [160]. In place of (vis)light, xrays were used for high-definition imaging of static wetting ridges [132].

2. Confocal Microscopy

Confocal microscopy is a non-invasive optical technique that allows us to image samples across both horizontal and vertical planes. Three-dimensional images can also be reconstructed by stacking the two-dimensional horizontal/vertical images. Confocal microscopy has been used to study the static and dynamic properties of drops on liquid-infused surfaces and soft elastomeric surfaces [112, 114, 152, 211]. Fundamentally, confocal microscopy is an extension of fluorescence microscopy where light that is out of focus is filtered out using a pinhole placed at the conjugated point of the optical path. Laser scanning confocal microscopes scan across a sample point by point and combine the scans to produce 3D images with a horizontal resolution of around 200 nm and a vertical resolution of around 1 μ m. The relatively slow point-by-point scan can be accelerated with a spinning archimedean disk. When using confocal microscopy, different fluorescent dyes must be added to the different phases (e.g. drop and coating) being imaged. The use of dyes enables the detection of interfaces between phases of similar refractive index. Typically, inverted confocal microscopes (objective lens below specimen) are used to image drops because this configuration makes it possible to image the contact region between the drop and the surface - which is the region that governs the wetting properties - with minimal optical artifacts. The primary limitation of this technique is that it requires transparent substrates, such as glass.

3. Interferometry

Interferometric microscopy enables in-plane depth measurements with nano-to-microscopic resolution. In wetting, this has been utilized to directly visualize nanometric features such as contact angles/lines [212, 213], drop profiles [214], wetting ridges [53, 215], and thin films [195, 216–219]. Coherent light of a "diagnostic beam" travels through the specimen and is brought into superposition with a reference beam with identical coherency. The emerging interference pattern gives the optical path (geometric depth times refractive index) difference between both light beams. The optical path length of the reference beam is calibrated. Different ways of beam calibration brought up various flavors of interference techniques such as Reflection Interference Contrast Microscopy, Digital Holographic Microscopy, or Michelson interferometry, each varying in practical complexity and precision. With information on the refractive index of the specimen, the geometrical depth of the specimen can be determined with a precision of < 10 nm.

4. Ellipsometry

Ellipsometry is a non-destructive/non-invasive optical technique that allows the characterization of thin films with sub-Angstrom resolution. The fast scanning speed (< 1 min for single-wavelength, < 1 s for multiwavelength) enables in situ measurements of dynamic films. The technique was used to investigate e.g., precursor spreading of PDMS oil on glass [220-222] or wetting on SOCAL surfaces [223, 224]. Ellipsometry measures changes in the polarization state of an incident light beam upon interaction with the surface. In ellipsometric measurements, linear polarized light is directed to a film. Depending on the thickness and material properties of the film, the amplitude and phase of the reflected light change due to light-film interactions (absorption/scatter). The light-film interactions are different for the p and s components of the light (parallel and lateral to the plane of the incident), resulting in an altered, elliptical polarization state of the reflected light. The ratio of s/p amplitudes before and after reflection, and the phase shift contains information on the film thickness and optical constants (e.g., refractive index). Ellipsometers either use a single wavelength or multiple wavelengths. In the former case, the angle of incidence is varied and the laver thickness and refractive index are fitted. When working with multiple wavelengths, usually one angle of incidence is used and the film thickness and refractive index are fitted as a function of wavelength. PDMS films on oxidized surfaces (*i.e.*, glass or silicon oxide) are prone to measurement errors as both have almost identical refractive indices.



FIG. 8. Different imaging techniques are suitable for different length scales. Most light-based techniques such as (Vis)shadowgraphy or confocal microscopy are bound by the diffraction limit. Interferometry and ellipsometry overcome this limit by exploiting wave superposition and phase shifts, bringing down the resolution limit to sub-nano.

B. Mechanical Characterization

1. Classical Rheology

Rheology describes the stress-strain/shear behavior and the mechanical properties of soft materials such as PDMS. From a wetting perspective, these mechanical properties provide information that can be related to the dynamics and dissipation in the wetting ridge and around the contact line. The term rheology is generic, which includes various geometries and experimental setups to measure dynamic mechanical properties. The most common for silicone-based materials - particularly related to wetting - is parallel-plate shear rheology. In these experiments, silicone-based elastomers, swollen networks, or melts are loaded between two plates. The top plate is typically rotated in a sinusoidal fashion while recording both the stress and the strains. The lower plate can be connected to a Peltier plate, or the entire system can be enclosed into an environmental chamber if temperature or humidity control is needed. Typically, an amplitude strain sweep is conducted at a constant frequency to determine the linear region. A constant strain is then chosen, and a frequency sweep is then conducted to quantify the mechanical properties of the material as a function of frequency (i.e., rate dependence) in the linear regime. Common values that are extracted from the measurement as a function of frequency are the storage (G')and loss modulus (G''). For more liquid-based PDMS (i.e. oils), viscosities can also be measured through a similar method. The storage modulus describes the tendency of the material to store energy (solid-like character) whereas the loss modulus is the tendency to dissipate energy (liquid-like character). Their ratio, $G''/G' = \tan \delta$ can be associated with damping.

2. Indenter

The use of indentation and contact mechanics is useful for characterizing surface and near-surface properties. This can include properties like adhesion and friction, lubricant layer properties, and the mechanical properties of a substrate. Such experiments can be conducted across various size scales, from macro- [225-227] to micro-[228, 229] to nanoscales [230]. The experimental setup generally consists of a spherical or cone shaped probe, which is attached to a force measurement tool (e.g. a deflecting cantilever or a load cell). For the nanoscale, an AFM tip is usually employed. In a typical experiment, the probe approaches the surface at a designated rate until it comes into contact, at which point the probe and surface can jump into contact. The probe continues to indent into the surface until reaching a pre-defined distance or force. The probe is then either retracted from the surface directly or held stationary for some time and then retracted. During this entire process, the force and distance are recorded, allowing for quantification of mechanical properties during the approaching process, relaxation of the material during the holding time, and adhesion during the retraction process. Combining indentation with contact mechanics models can enable the quantification of mechanical properties while integrating force-distance curves during retraction determines the work required to separate two surfaces. By sliding laterally, friction measurements can be conducted with a similar type of experimental setup. From the wetting perspective, indentation can be used as a tool to characterize mechanical characteristics, which can then be related to the wetting ridge formation. For example, measuring forces during the holding time can provide a route to separate the viscoelastic and poroelastic relaxation timescales associated with wetting ridges [231]. Moreover, particle indentation methods can also be connected to fluid separation and surface stress. The indentation process can also provide information for pure liquid surfaces like capillary forces [232], detection of nanobubbles [233] or quantification of lubricant layer thicknesses [234] for SLIPS.

3. Force Microscopy

Forces that build up during drop-sliding on PDMS films can be measured with cantilever set-ups [197]. Such "force microscopes" were used to measure dissipation on PDMS surfaces of all types. The cantilever is vertically placed over the PDMS surface. Glass capillaries, metal blades, and rods with ring ends have been successfully utilized as cantilevers [196, 197, 235–237]. The cantilever top is fixed while the lower end hangs freely several micrometers above the surface. Displacements of the lower end - which can be tracked with e.g. shadowgraphy - relate to acting forces and can be extracted with the cantilever spring constant. The spring constant is calibrated by measuring the natural frequency or by applying welldefined loads. To measure dynamic wetting forces on PDMS surfaces, a sessile drop is pushed against the cantilever by moving the surface horizontally. The major drawback of this technique is the invasiveness of the capillary to the drop. It is still not completely understood how the cantilever itself influences the measured friction forces.



FIG. 9. Force microscopic measurement conducted on a confocal microscope. Here, 10 μ l water drops slide on on SOCAL surfaces. a) Atto-dyed, steady-state drop footprints, and faintly the probing metal blade. b) Kymograph of the blade deflection upon drop contact, measured with the reflection channel of an *xt*-scan. c) Calibration curve to determine the spring constant of the blade, here approx. 0.21 N/m.

C. Computational Methods

1. Molecular Dynamics (MD) Simulations

Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations are particlebased methods that resolve the evolution of the particle positions and momenta by solving Newton's second law of motion [238]. Due to the molecular resolution, MD is utilized to obtain explicit insight into the polymer behavior of PDMS chains during wetting. In particular, MD is used to investigate the nanoscopic wetting ridges of SO-CAL surfaces, and the conformation/dynamics of PDMS chains, or to calculate relevant thermodynamic quantities such as surface tensions or micro-rheology. The particles can represent atoms but also larger, coarse-grained structures (e.g. molecules), which affects the interaction force fields between particles. Interaction forces may be atomistic (quantum mechanical) or effective (e.g. Lennard-Jones potential) such that they reproduce the most important physical properties of the system of interest. By virtue of their resolution, MD simulations are computationally expensive since one needs to solve the equation of motion for every particle. Consequently, MD setups are usually microscopic in time and space resolution.

2. Lattice Boltzmann Method (LBM)

LBM is a computational method typically applied to solve fluid mechanics problems [239–241]. In contrast to microscopic methods (e.g. MD) that compute the motion of individual (or clusters) of fluid particles, LBM is a mesoscopic method based on kinetic theory. The central quantity computed in LBM is the probability distribution function, which gives the number of fluid particles moving at a given velocity at a given position. From the distribution function, we can obtain detailed information that is difficult to obtain experimentally, such as the velocity field, pressure distribution, and viscous dissipation in the fluid. LBM has been applied to study drop dynamics on flat and textured surfaces, including liquid-infused surfaces [242]. One of the key advantages of LBM is that coarse-grained molecular interactions (for example, between liquids and solid surfaces) and complex solid geometries can be implemented efficiently. Since LBM focuses on the collective behavior of fluid particles rather than on individual fluid particles, it is less computationally intensive than microscopic simulations, which means larger drops can be simulated relative to the surface features (height of pillars in the case of liquid-infused surfaces).

3. Mean Field Models

Mean field approaches regard the collective behavior of fluids and solids and are an alternative to MD and LBM,



FIG. 10. Typical time and length scale domains of wetting problems, treated with different computational methods. Density functional theory (DFT) is utilized in the sub-nano domain. The next larger domain sizes are treated with atomistic and coarse-grained molecular dynamics (MD) simulation. The Lattice Boltzmann method (LBM) utilizes kinetic theory and is mesoscopic, linking the microscopic and the macroscopic world. Mean field theory is utilized for large molecule ensembles and macroscopic problems.

particularly for macroscopic systems. Mean field models were utilized e.g., for static and dynamic wetting on PDMS gels [170, 210, 243–245], characterization of wetting ridges [246–249], water sorption in PDMS [250], or to model cloaking [251]. Mean field models exploit that individual fluctuations of atoms, molecules, or coarsegrained particles diminish when averaged over sufficiently large ensembles. As a result, energy and momentum are not properties of single beads, but (weakly) smooth mean fields which are time and space-dependent. The variety of mean-field approaches is large: Momentum-based approaches applied to fluids result in the well-known Navier-Stokes equations and their many sub-frameworks (lubrication theory, Stokes equation, potential theory) [252–254]. Solids are frequently described with linear elasticity theory. Complex materials require rheological models (e.g. Maxwell/Kelvin-Voigt bodies). Consideration of the (free) energy field gives rise to the Allen-Cahn/Cahn-Hilliard equations [255, 256], gradient dynamics [257], or the heat equation [258]. The spatiotemporal distribution of the mean-field quantities is expressed with partial differential equations (PDE).

Finding closed-form solutions for these PDEs is the exception as the dependent variables (e.g., velocity and temperature) can be coupled and the system geometry is often complex. Hence, numerical tools, such as the Crank-Nichelson method and the finite element method are utilized to solve the time and space components, respectively [259–261]. Multiple phases and their shared interfaces are handled with explicit (interface tracking, e.g., arbitrary Lagrangian-Euler [262]) or implicit (interface capturing, e.g. volume of fluid [263, 264]) methods. Commercial and open-source software libraries simplify the practical application of such tools. Mean field models assume material properties (e.g., densities, viscosities, compliances, mobilities, surface tensions, etc.) a priori, which can be a drawback for various PDMS wettingrelated questions (e.g. adaptation or cloaking). Also, the individual molecular behavior of PDMS molecules is inaccessible.

VI. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We wish to thank H.-J. Butt, Z. Cai, S. Karpitschka, F. Schmid, and P. Stephan for stimulating and helpful discussions. L.H. thanks T. Boeddeker and K. Sporbeck for feedback on the manuscript. This research was motivated by the DFG Priority Programme 2171. Financial support came from the DFG Emmy Noether Programme No. 460056461 (L.H.), the EPSRC National Fellowship in Fluid Dynamics Grant No. EP-X028410-1 (A.N.), the European Union's HORIZON research and innovation program under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No. 101062409 and the Academy of Finland grant agreement No. 13347247 (W.S.Y.W), the US National Science Foundation through CBET grant 2326933 (J.T.P.), and the Max Planck Center for Complex Fluid Dynamics - University of Twente (D.V.).

VII. AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

- A. K. Bhowmick, Current Topics in Elastomers Research (CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL, 2008).
- [2] L. G. Griffith, Polymeric Biomaterials, Acta Mater. 48, 263 (2000).
- [3] R. Yoda, Elastomers for Biomedical Applications, J. Biomater. Sci. Polym. Ed. 9, 561 (1998).
- [4] J. A. Lim, F. Liu, S. Ferdous, M. Muthukumar, and A. L. Briseno, Polymer Semiconductor Crystals, Materials Today 13, 14 (2010).
- [5] N. C. Greenham and R. H. Friend, Semiconductor Device Physics of Conjugated Polymers, in *Solid State Physics*, Vol. 49, edited by H. Ehrenreich and F. Spaepen (Academic Press, 1996) pp. 1–149.
- [6] B. S. Lalia, V. Kochkodan, R. Hashaikeh, and N. Hilal, A Review on Membrane Fabrication: Structure, Properties and Performance Relationship, Desalination **326**, 77 (2013).
- [7] U. Eduok, O. Faye, and J. Szpunar, Recent Developments and Applications of Protective Silicone Coatings: A Review of PDMS Functional Materials, Prog. Org. Coat. 111, 124 (2017).
- [8] K. Golovin, A. Dhyani, M. D. Thouless, and A. Tuteja, Low–Interfacial Toughness Materials for Effective Large-Scale Deicing, Science 364, 371 (2019).
- [9] P. Hu, Q. Xie, C. Ma, and G. Zhang, Silicone-Based Fouling-Release Coatings for Marine Antifouling, Lang-

muir 36, 2170 (2020).

- [10] D. C. Duffy, J. C. McDonald, O. J. A. Schueller, and G. M. Whitesides, Rapid Prototyping of Microfluidic Systems in Poly(dimethylsiloxane), Anal. Chem. 70, 4974 (1998).
- [11] M. Maitz, Applications of Synthetic Polymers in Clinical Medicine, Biosurface and Biotribology 1, 161 (2015).
- [12] Sta Apolónia, Bragança, Portugal, andrews.va.souza@alunos.ipb.pt, A. Victor, J. Ribeiro, Campus Sta Apolónia;Bragança;Portugal; jribeiro@ipb.pt, F. F. Araújo, and CEFET/RJ Campus Angra dos Reis;Rio de Janeiro;Brasil; fernando.araujo@cefet-rj.br, Study of Pdms Characterization and Its Applications in Biomedicine: A Review, JMEB 4, 1 (2019).
- [13] H.-H. Moretto, M. Schulze, and G. Wagner, Silicones, in Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, edited by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA (Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim, Germany, 2000) p. 682.
- [14] M. Butts, J. Cella, C. D. Wood, G. Gillette, R. Kerboua, J. Leman, L. Lewis, S. Rubinsztajn, F. Schattenmann, J. Stein, D. Wicht, S. Rajaraman, and J. Wengrovius, Silicones, in *Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology* (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2002).
- [15] H. U. Chung, A. Y. Rwei, A. Hourlier-Fargette, S. Xu, K. Lee, E. C. Dunne, Z. Xie, C. Liu, A. Carlini, D. H. Kim, D. Ryu, E. Kulikova, J. Cao, I. C. Odland, K. B. Fields, B. Hopkins, A. Banks, C. Ogle, D. Grande, J. B. Park, J. Kim, M. Irie, H. Jang, J. Lee, Y. Park, J. Kim, H. H. Jo, H. Hahm, R. Avila, Y. Xu, M. Namkoong, J. W. Kwak, E. Suen, M. A. Paulus, R. J. Kim, B. V. Parsons, K. A. Human, S. S. Kim, M. Patel, W. Reuther, H. S. Kim, S. H. Lee, J. D. Leedle, Y. Yun, S. Rigali, T. Son, I. Jung, H. Arafa, V. R. Soundararajan, A. Ollech, A. Shukla, A. Bradley, M. Schau, C. M. Rand, L. E. Marsillio, Z. L. Harris, Y. Huang, A. Hamvas, A. S. Paller, D. E. Weese-Mayer, J. Y. Lee, and J. A. Rogers, Skin-Interfaced Biosensors for Advanced Wireless Physiological Monitoring in Neonatal and Pediatric Intensive-Care Units, Nat. Med. 26, 418 (2020).
- [16] S. Kim, B. Lee, J. T. Reeder, S. H. Seo, S.-U. Lee, A. Hourlier-Fargette, J. Shin, Y. Sekine, H. Jeong, Y. S. Oh, A. J. Aranyosi, S. P. Lee, J. B. Model, G. Lee, M.-H. Seo, S. S. Kwak, S. Jo, G. Park, S. Han, I. Park, H.-I. Jung, R. Ghaffari, J. Koo, P. V. Braun, and J. A. Rogers, Soft, Skin-Interfaced Microfluidic Systems with Integrated Immunoassays, Fluorometric Sensors, and Impedance Measurement Capabilities, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **117**, 27906 (2020).
- [17] Y. Xia and G. M. Whitesides, Soft Lithography, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 550 (1998).
- [18] V. Ozbolat, M. Dey, B. Ayan, A. Povilianskas, M. C. Demirel, and I. T. Ozbolat, 3D Printing of PDMS Improves Its Mechanical and Cell Adhesion Properties, ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng. 4, 682 (2018).
- [19] G. G. Morbioli, N. C. Speller, and A. M. Stockton, A Practical Guide to Rapid-Prototyping of PDMS-Based Microfluidic Devices: A Tutorial, Anal. Chim. Acta 1135, 150 (2020).
- [20] J. Klein, D. Perahia, and S. Warburg, Forces Between Polymer-Bearing Surfaces Undergoing Shear, Nature 352, 143 (1991).

- [21] J. Klein, Shear, Friction, and Lubrication Forces Between Polymer-Bearing Surfaces, Annu. Rev. Mater. Sci. 26, 581 (1996).
- [22] T. Kreer, Polymer-Brush Lubrication: A Review of Recent Theoretical Advances, Soft Matter 12, 3479 (2016).
- [23] J. Klein, Repair or Replacement-A Joint Perspective, Science **323**, 47 (2009).
- [24] B. R. Solomon, K. S. Khalil, and K. K. Varanasi, Drag Reduction using Lubricant-Impregnated Surfaces in Viscous Laminar Flow, Langmuir **30**, 10970 (2014).
- [25] T. Lee, E. Charrault, and C. Neto, Interfacial Slip on Rough, Patterned and Soft Surfaces: A Review of Experiments and Simulations, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 210, 21 (2014).
- [26] S. B. Subramanyam, K. Rykaczewski, and K. K. Varanasi, Ice Adhesion on Lubricant-Impregnated Textured Surfaces, Langmuir 29, 13414 (2013).
- [27] P. Kim, T.-S. Wong, J. Alvarenga, M. J. Kreder, W. E. Adorno-Martinez, and J. Aizenberg, Liquid-Infused Nanostructured Surfaces with Extreme Anti-Ice and Anti-Frost Performance, ACS Nano 6, 6569 (2012).
- [28] W. S. Y. Wong, K. I. Hegner, V. Donadei, L. Hauer, A. Naga, and D. Vollmer, Capillary Balancing: Designing Frost-Resistant Lubricant-Infused Surfaces, Nano Lett. 20, 8508 (2020).
- [29] S. Amini, S. Kolle, L. Petrone, O. Ahanotu, S. Sunny, C. N. Sutanto, S. Hoon, L. Cohen, J. C. Weaver, J. Aizenberg, N. Vogel, and A. Miserez, Preventing Mussel Adhesion Using Lubricant-Infused Materials, Science 357, 668 (2017).
- [30] A. K. Epstein, T.-S. Wong, R. A. Belisle, E. M. Boggs, and J. Aizenberg, Liquid-infused structured surfaces with exceptional anti-biofouling performance, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **109**, 13182 (2012).
- [31] D. C. Leslie, A. Waterhouse, J. B. Berthet, T. M. Valentin, A. L. Watters, A. Jain, P. Kim, B. D. Hatton, A. Nedder, K. Donovan, E. H. Super, C. Howell, C. P. Johnson, T. L. Vu, D. E. Bolgen, S. Rifai, A. R. Hansen, M. Aizenberg, M. Super, J. Aizenberg, and D. E. Ingber, A Bioinspired Omniphobic Surface Coating on Medical Devices Prevents Thrombosis and Biofouling, Nat. Biotechnol. **32**, 1134 (2014).
- [32] J. Chen, C. Howell, C. A. Haller, M. S. Patel, P. Ayala, K. A. Moravec, E. Dai, L. Liu, I. Sotiri, M. Aizenberg, J. Aizenberg, and E. L. Chaikof, An Immobilized Liquid Interface Prevents Device Associated Bacterial Infection in Vivo, Biomaterials **113**, 80 (2017).
- [33] N. Lavielle, D. Asker, and B. D. Hatton, Lubrication Dynamics of Swollen Silicones to Limit Long Term Fouling and Microbial Biofilms, Soft Matter 17, 936 (2021).
- [34] A. Armugam, S. P. Teong, D. S. W. Lim, S. P. Chan, G. Yi, D. S. Yew, C. W. Beh, and Y. Zhang, Broad spectrum antimicrobial PDMS-based biomaterial for catheter fabrication, Biomater Res 25, 33 (2021).
- [35] M. Sokuler, G. K. Auernhammer, M. Roth, C. Liu, E. Bonacurrso, and H.-J. Butt, The Softer the Better: Fast Condensation on Soft Surfaces, Langmuir 26, 1544 (2010).
- [36] R. Xiao, N. Miljkovic, R. Enright, and E. N. Wang, Immersion Condensation on Oil-Infused Heterogeneous Surfaces for Enhanced Heat Transfer, Sci. Rep. 3, 1988 (2013).
- [37] H. J. Cho, D. J. Preston, Y. Zhu, and E. N. Wang, Nanoengineered Materials for Liquid–Vapour Phase-Change

Heat Transfer, Nat. Rev. Mater. 2, 16092 (2017).

- [38] J. N. Lee, X. Jiang, D. Ryan, and G. M. Whitesides, Compatibility of Mammalian Cells on Surfaces of Poly(dimethylsiloxane), Langmuir 20, 11684 (2004).
- [39] I. Sotiri, A. Tajik, Y. Lai, C. T. Zhang, Y. Kovalenko, C. R. Nemr, H. Ledoux, J. Alvarenga, E. Johnson, H. S. Patanwala, J. V. I. Timonen, Y. Hu, J. Aizenberg, and C. Howell, Tunability of liquid-infused silicone materials for biointerfaces, Biointerphases 13, 06D401 (2018).
- [40] M. J. Owen, Silicone Surface Fundamentals, Macromol. Rapid Commun. 42, 2000360 (2021).
- [41] H.-J. Butt, R. Berger, W. Steffen, D. Vollmer, and S. A. L. Weber, Adaptive Wetting—Adaptation in Wetting, Langmuir 34, 11292 (2018).
- [42] D. Daniel, M. Vuckovac, M. Backholm, M. Latikka, R. Karyappa, X. Q. Koh, J. V. I. Timonen, N. Tomczak, and R. H. A. Ras, Probing surface wetting across multiple force, length and time scales, Commun. Phys. 6, 152 (2023).
- [43] S. Hartmann, J. Diekmann, D. Greve, and U. Thiele, Drops on polymer brushes: Advances in thin-film modeling of adaptive substrates, Langmuir 40, 4001.
- [44] W. S. Y. Wong, L. Hauer, A. Naga, A. Kaltbeitzel, P. Baumli, R. Berger, M. D'Acunzi, D. Vollmer, and H.-J. Butt, Adaptive Wetting of Polydimethylsiloxane, Langmuir 36, 7236 (2020).
- [45] S. Schubotz, C. Honnigfort, S. Nazari, A. Fery, J.-U. Sommer, P. Uhlmann, B. Braunschweig, and G. K. Auernhammer, Memory Effects in Polymer Brushes Showing Co-Nonsolvency Effects, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 294, 102442 (2021).
- [46] X. Li, S. Silge, A. Saal, G. Kircher, K. Koynov, R. Berger, and H.-J. Butt, Adaptation of a Styrene–Acrylic Acid Copolymer Surface to Water, Langmuir **37**, 1571 (2021).
- [47] J. A. Kleingartner, H. Lee, M. F. Rubner, G. H. McKinley, and R. E. Cohen, Exploring the Kinetics of Switchable Polymer Surfaces with Dynamic Tensiometry, Soft Matter 9, 6080 (2013).
- [48] V. Bergeron and D. Langevin, Monolayer Spreading of Polydimethylsiloxane Oil on Surfactant Solutions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 76, 3152 (1996).
- [49] L. Mahadevan, M. Adda-Bedia, and Y. Pomeau, Four-Phase Merging in Sessile Compound Drops, J. Fluid Mech. 451, 411 (2002).
- [50] M. J. Kreder, D. Daniel, A. Tetreault, Z. Cao, B. Lemaire, J. V. I. Timonen, and J. Aizenberg, Film Dynamics and Lubricant Depletion by Droplets Moving on Lubricated Surfaces, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031053 (2018).
- [51] M. V. Berry, Simple Fluids Near Rigid Solids: Statistical Mechanics of Density and Contact Angle, J. Phys. A: Math. Nucl. Gen. 7, 231 (1974).
- [52] A. R. Herring and J. R. Henderson, Simulation Study of the Disjoining Pressure Profile Through a Three-Phase Contact Line, J. Chem. Phys. **132**, 084702 (2010).
- [53] A. Carré, J.-C. Gastel, and M. E. R. Shanahan, Viscoelastic Effects in the Spreading of Liquids, Nature 379, 432 (1996).
- [54] C. Clanet and D. Quéré, Onset of Menisci, J. Fluid Mech. 460, 131 (2002).
- [55] S. J. Park, J. B. Bostwick, V. D. Andrade, and J. H. Je, Self-Spreading of the Wetting Ridge During Stick-Slip on a Viscoelastic Surface, Soft Matter 13, 8331 (2017).

- [56] J. Bico, E. Reyssat, and B. Roman, Elastocapillarity: When Surface Tension Deforms Elastic Solids, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 50, 629 (2018).
- [57] A. Lafuma and D. Quéré, Slippery Pre-Suffused Surfaces, EPL 96, 56001 (2011).
- [58] T.-S. Wong, S. H. Kang, S. K. Y. Tang, E. J. Smythe, B. D. Hatton, A. Grinthal, and J. Aizenberg, Bioinspired Self-Repairing Slippery Surfaces with Pressure-Stable Omniphobicity, Nature 477, 443 (2011).
- [59] S. T. Milner, T. A. Witten, and M. E. Cates, Theory of the Grafted Polymer Brush, Macromolecules 21, 2610 (1988).
- [60] J. V. Buddingh, A. Hozumi, and G. Liu, Liquid and Liquid-Like Surfaces/Coatings That Readily Slide Fluids, Progress in Polymer Science 123, 101468 (2021).
- [61] D. Daniel, J. V. I. Timonen, R. Li, S. J. Velling, M. J. Kreder, A. Tetreault, and J. Aizenberg, Origins of Extreme Liquid Repellency on Structured, Flat, and Lubricated Hydrophobic Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **120**, 244503 (2018).
- [62] L. Chen, S. Huang, R. H. A. Ras, and X. Tian, Omniphobic Liquid-Like Surfaces, Nat. Rev. Chem. 7, 123 (2023).
- [63] H.-H. Tran, D. Lee, and D. Riassetto, Wetting Ridges on Slippery Liquid-Infused Porous Surfaces, Rep. Prog. Phys. 86, 066601 (2023).
- [64] L. Hauer, Wetting Mechanisms on Silicone Surfaces with Liquid, Frozen, and Vaporous Water, Ph.D. thesis, Technische Universität Darmstadt (2023).
- [65] J. E. Mark, Conformations and Spatial Configurations of Inorganic Polymers, Macromolecules 11, 627 (1978).
- [66] F. Weinhold and R. West, The Nature of the Silicon–Oxygen Bond, Organometallics 30, 5815 (2011).
- [67] A. Colas, Silicones: Preparation, Properties and Performance, Dow Corning Life Sci. (2005).
- [68] A. Volkov, Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), in *Encyclopedia of Membranes*, edited by E. Drioli and L. Giorno (Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2015) pp. 1–2.
- [69] J. N. Lee, C. Park, and G. M. Whitesides, Solvent Compatibility of Poly(dimethylsiloxane)-Based Microfluidic Devices, Anal. Chem. 75, 6544 (2003).
- [70] W. Noll, in *Chemistry and Technology of Silicones* (Academic Press. Inc. (London) LTD., 24/28 Oval Road, London NW1, 1968) 1st ed., p. 8.
- [71] Y. Tamai, H. Tanaka, and K. Nakanishi, Molecular Simulation of Permeation of Small Penetrants through Membranes. 2. Solubilities, Macromolecules 28, 2544 (1995).
- [72] J. Watson and M. Baron, The Behaviour of Water in Poly(dimethylsiloxane), J. Membr. Sci. 110, 47 (1996).
- [73] S. J. Harley, E. A. Glascoe, and R. S. Maxwell, Thermodynamic Study on Dynamic Water Vapor Sorption in Sylgard-184, J. Phys. Chem. B 116, 14183 (2012).
- [74] Y. Tamai, H. Tanaka, and K. Nakanishi, Molecular Simulation of Permeation of Small Penetrants through Membranes. 1. Diffusion Coefficients, Macromolecules 27, 4498 (1994).
- [75] A. Mata, A. J. Fleischman, and S. Roy, Characterization of Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) Properties for Biomedical Micro/Nanosystems, Biomed. Microdevices 7, 281 (2005).
- [76] K. Haubert, T. Drier, and D. Beebe, PDMS Bonding by Means of a Portable, Low-Cost Corona System, Lab Chip 6, 1548 (2006).

- [77] H. T. Kim and O. C. Jeong, PDMS Surface Modification Using Atmospheric Pressure Plasma, Microelectron. Eng. Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Micro- and Nano-Engineering (MNE), 88, 2281 (2011).
- [78] W. Qiu, X. Sun, C. Wu, K. Hjort, and Z. Wu, A Contact Angle Study of the Interaction between Embedded Amphiphilic Molecules and the PDMS Matrix in an Aqueous Environment, Micromachines 5, 515 (2014).
- [79] R. Seghir and S. Arscott, Extended PDMS stiffness range for flexible systems, Sens. Actuators A: Phys. 230, 33 (2015).
- [80] B. Ruben, M. Elisa, L. Leandro, M. Victor, G. Gloria, S. Marina, S. Mian K, R. Pandiyan, and L. Nadhira, Oxygen Plasma Treatments of Polydimethylsiloxane Surfaces: Effect of the Atomic Oxygen on Capillary Flow in the Microchannels, Micro Nano Lett. **12**, 754 (2017).
- [81] B.-m. Zhang Newby and M. K. Chaudhury, Effect of Interfacial Slippage on Viscoelastic Adhesion, Langmuir 13, 1805 (1997).
- [82] M. Hénot, A. Chennevière, E. Drockenmuller, L. Léger, and F. Restagno, Comparison of the Slip of a PDMS Melt on Weakly Adsorbing Surfaces Measured by a New Photobleaching-Based Technique, Macromolecules 50, 5592 (2017).
- [83] X. Yu, F. Chen, C.-H. Lam, and O. K. C. Tsui, Thermal-Induced Slippage of Soft Solid Films, Phys. Rev. E 99, 010501 (2019).
- [84] L. R. J. Scarratt, L. Zhu, and C. Neto, How Slippery are SLIPS? Measuring Effective Slip on Lubricated Surfaces with Colloidal Probe Atmoc Force Microscopy, Langmuir 35, 2976 (2019).
- [85] H. F. Bohn and W. Federle, Insect Aquaplaning: Nepenthes Pitcher Plants Capture Prey with the Peristome, a Fully Wettable Water-Lubricated Anisotropic Surface, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 101, 14138 (2004).
- [86] Gelest, Conventional Silicone Fluids, https://technical.gelest.com/brochures/siliconefluids/conventional-silicone-fluids/.
- [87] A. D. Jenkins, P. Kratochvíl, R. F. T. Stepto, and U. W. Suter, Glossary of Basic Terms in Polymer Science (IU-PACRecommendations 1996), Pure Appl. Chem. 68, 2287 (1996).
- [88] M. J. Owen, Why Silicones Behave Funny, Chemtech 11, 288 (1981).
- [89] J. D. Smith, R. Dhiman, S. Anand, E. Reza-Garduno, R. E. Cohen, G. H. McKinley, and K. K. Varanasi, Droplet Mobility on Lubricant-Impregnated Surfaces, Soft Matter 9, 1772 (2013).
- [90] J. Seiwert, C. Clanet, and D. Quéré, Coating of a Textured Solid, J. Fluid Mech. 669, 55 (2011).
- [91] P. Kim, M. J. Kreder, J. Alvarenga, and J. Aizenberg, Hierarchical or Not? Effect of the Length Scale and Hierarchy of the Surface Roughness on Omniphobicity of Lubricant-Infused Substrates, Nano Lett. 13, 1793 (2013).
- [92] P. Baumli, M. D'Acunzi, K. I. Hegner, A. Naga, W. S. Wong, H.-J. Butt, and D. Vollmer, The Challenge of Lubricant-Replenishment on Lubricant-Impregnated Surfaces, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 287, 102329 (2021).
- [93] P. Baumli, H. Teisala, H. Bauer, D. Garcia-Gonzalez, V. Damle, F. Geyer, M. D'Acunzi, A. Kaltbeitzel, H.-J.

Butt, and D. Vollmer, Flow-Induced Long-Term Stable Slippery Surfaces, Adv. Sci., 1900019 (2019).

- [94] J. S. Wexler, I. Jacobi, and H. A. Stone, Shear-Driven Failure of Liquid-Infused Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 114, 168301 (2015).
- [95] X. Zhou, P. Sudersan, D. Diaz, B. Leibauer, C. Hinduja, F. Darvish, P. Bista, L. Hauer, M. Wagner, W. Steffen, J. Liu, M. Kappl, and H.-J. Butt, Chemically Robust Superhydrophobic Surfaces with a Self-Replenishing Nanoscale Liquid Coating, Droplet, e103 (2024).
- [96] M. Bogdan, M. Hieronim, P. Cezary, and P. Piotr, Hydrosilylation, edited by M. Bogdan and M. Janis, Vol. 1 (Springer Science+Business Media, Berlin).
- [97] S. Mani, P. Cassagnau, M. Bousmina, and P. Chaumont, Cross-Linking Control of PDMS Rubber at High Temperatures Using TEMPO Nitroxide, Macromolecules 42, 8460 (2009).
- [98] W. Chassé, M. Lang, J.-U. Sommer, and K. Saalwächter, Cross-Link Density Estimation of PDMS Networks with Precise Consideration of Networks Defects, Macromolecules 45, 899 (2012).
- [99] M. Adam, D. Lairez, M. Karpasas, and M. Gottlieb, Static and Dynamic Properties of Cross-Linked Poly(dimethylsiloxane) Pregel Clusters, Macromolecules **30**, 5920 (1997).
- [100] B.-I. Ciubotaru, M.-F. Zaltariov, C. Tugui, I.-E. Stoleru, D. Peptanariu, G.-T. Stiubianu, N. Vornicu, and M. Cazacu, Silicones with different crosslinking patterns: Assessment from the perspective of their suitability for biomaterials, Surfaces and Interfaces **32**, 102168 (2022).
- [101] K. Xiong, L. Hou, M. Wu, Y. Huo, W. Mo, Y. Yuan, S. Sun, W. Xu, and E. Wang, From Spin Coating to Doctor Blading: A Systematic Study on the Photovoltaic Performance of an Isoindigo-Based Polymer, Solar Energy Materials and Solar Cells 132, 252 (2015).
- [102] M. A. Butt, Thin-Film Coating Methods: A Successful Marriage of High-Quality and Cost-Effectiveness—A Brief Exploration, Coatings 12, 1115 (2022).
- [103] I. Yilgor, S. Bilgin, M. Isik, and E. Yilgor, Facile Preparation of Superhydrophobic Polymer Surfaces, Polymer 53, 1180 (2012).
- [104] P. J. Flory, in *Principles of Polymer Chemistry* (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, 1953) 1st ed., p. 47.
- [105] F. Chambon and H. H. Winter, Stopping of Crosslinking Reaction in a PDMS Polymer at the Gel Point, Polym. Bull. 13, 499 (1985).
- [106] S. B. Gutekunst, C. Grabosch, A. Kovalev, S. N. Gorb, and C. Selhuber-Unkel, Influence of the PDMS Substrate Stiffness on the Adhesion of Acanthamoeba castellanii, Beilstein J. Nanotechnol. 5, 1393 (2014).
- [107] I. D. Johnston, D. K. McCluskey, C. K. L. Tan, and M. C. Tracey, Mechanical Characterization of Bulk Sylgard 184 for Microfluidics and Microengineering, J. Micromech. Microeng. 24, 035017 (2014).
- [108] R. W. Style, R. Boltyanskiy, B. Allen, K. E. Jensen, H. P. Foote, J. S. Wettlaufer, and E. R. Dufresne, Stiffening Solids with Liquid Inclusions, Nat. Phys. 11, 82 (2015).
- [109] S. Masterton and M. Ahearne, Influence of polydimethylsiloxane substrate stiffness on corneal epithelial cells, R. Soc. open sci. 6, 191796 (2019).

- [110] M. M. Flapper, A. Pandey, S. Karpitschka, and J. H. Snoeijer, Reversal of Solvent Migration in Poroelastic Folds (2022), arxiv:2209.00887 [cond-mat].
- [111] K. E. Jensen, R. Sarfati, R. W. Style, R. Boltyanskiy, A. Chakrabarti, M. K. Chaudhury, and E. R. Dufresne, Wetting and Phase Separation in Soft Adhesion, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **112**, 14490 (2015).
- [112] Z. Cai, A. Skabeev, S. Morozova, and J. T. Pham, Fluid Separation and Network Deformation in Wetting of Soft and Swollen Surfaces, Commun. Mater. 2, 1 (2021).
- [113] A. Hourlier-Fargette, A. Antkowiak, A. Chateauminois, and S. Neukirch, Role of Uncrosslinked Chains in Droplets Dynamics on Silicone Elastomers, Soft Matter 13, 3484 (2017).
- [114] L. Hauer, Z. Cai, A. Skabeev, D. Vollmer, and J. T. Pham, Phase Separation in Wetting Ridges of Sliding Drops on Soft and Swollen Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. 130, 058205 (2023).
- [115] H. Teisala, P. Baumli, S. A. L. Weber, D. Vollmer, and H.-J. Butt, Grafting Silicone at Room Temperature—a Transparent, Scratch-resistant Nonstick Molecular Coating, Langmuir 36, 4416 (2020).
- [116] J. Liu, Y. Sun, X. Zhou, X. Li, M. Kappl, W. Steffen, and H.-J. Butt, One-Step Synthesis of a Durable and Liquid-Repellent Poly(dimethylsiloxane) Coating, Adv. Mater. 33, 2100237 (2021).
- [117] B. Khatir, Z. Azimi Dijvejin, P. Serles, T. Filleter, and K. Golovin, Molecularly Capped Omniphobic Polydimethylsiloxane Brushes with Ultra-Fast Contact Line Dynamics, Small 19, 2301142 (2023).
- [118] P. Rostami, M. A. Hormozi, O. Soltwedel, R. Azizmalayeri, R. Von Klitzing, and G. K. Auernhammer, Dynamic Wetting Properties of Pdms Pseudo-Brushes: Four-Phase Contact Point Dynamics Case, J. Chem. Phys. 158, 194703 (2023).
- [119] A. Abbas, G. G. Wells, G. McHale, K. Sefiane, and D. Orejon, Silicone Oil-Grafted Low-Hysteresis Water-Repellent Surfaces, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 15, 11281 (2023).
- [120] V. M. Litvinov, H. Barthel, and J. Weis, Structure of a PDMS Layer Grafted onto a Silica Surface Studied by Means of DSC and Solid-State NMR, Macromolecules 35, 4356 (2002).
- [121] S. Sakurai, H. Watanabe, and A. Takahara, Preparation and Characterization of Looped Polydimethylsiloxane Brushes, Polym J 46, 117 (2014).
- [122] L. Wang and T. J. McCarthy, Covalently Attached Liquids: Instant Omniphobic Surfaces with Unprecedented Repellency, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 244 (2016).
- [123] S. T. Milner, Polymer Brushes, Science **251**, 905 (1991).
- [124] J. W. Krumpfer and T. J. McCarthy, Rediscovering Silicones: "Unreactive" Silicones React with Inorganic Surfaces, Langmuir 27, 11514 (2011).
- [125] K. Binder and A. Milchev, Polymer Brushes on Flat and Curved Surfaces: How Computer Simulations Can Help to Test Theories and to Interpret Experiments, J. Polym. Sci. B Polym. Phys. 50, 1515 (2012).
- [126] S. Wooh and D. Vollmer, Silicone Brushes: Omniphobic Surfaces with Low Sliding Angles, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 55, 6822 (2016).
- [127] H. Barrio-Zhang, É. Ruiz-Gutiérrez, S. Armstrong, G. McHale, G. G. Wells, and R. Ledesma-Aguilar, Contact-Angle Hysteresis and Contact-Line Friction

on Slippery Liquid-like Surfaces, Langmuir **36**, 15094 (2020).

- [128] J. W. Krumpfer and T. J. McCarthy, Contact Angle Hysteresis: A Different View and a Trivial Recipe for Low Hysteresis Hydrophobic Surfaces, Faraday Discuss. 146, 103 (2010).
- [129] R. W. Style, A. Jagota, C.-Y. Hui, and E. R. Dufresne, Elastocapillarity: Surface Tension and the Mechanics of Soft Solids, Annu. Rev. Condens. Matter Phys. 8, 99 (2017).
- [130] L. Chen, E. Bonaccurso, T. Gambaryan-Roisman, V. Starov, N. Koursari, and Y. Zhao, Static and Dynamic Wetting of Soft Substrates, Curr. Opin. Colloid Interface Sci. **36**, 46 (2018).
- [131] B. Andreotti and J. H. Snoeijer, Statics and Dynamics of Soft Wetting, Annu. Rev. Fluid Mech. 52, 285 (2020).
- [132] S. J. Park, B. M. Weon, J. S. Lee, J. Lee, J. Kim, and J. H. Je, Visualization of Asymmetric Wetting Ridges on Soft Solids with X-Ray Microscopy, Nat. Commun. 5, 4369 (2014).
- [133] R. G. M. Badr, L. Hauer, D. Vollmer, and F. Schmid, Cloaking Transition of Droplets on Lubricated Brushes, J. Phys. Chem. B **126**, 7047 (2022).
- [134] C. Vega-Sánchez and C. Neto, Slightly Depleted Lubricant-Infused Surfaces Are No Longer Slippery, Langmuir 38, 10568 (2022).
- [135] Q. N. Pham, S. Zhang, K. Montazeri, and Y. Won, Droplets on Slippery Lubricant-Infused Porous Surfaces: A Macroscale to Nanoscale Perspective, Langmuir 34, 14439 (2018).
- [136] T. Young, III. An Essay on the Cohesion of Fluids, Phil. Trans. R. Soc. 95, 65 (1805).
- [137] A. Marchand, S. Das, J. H. Snoeijer, and B. Andreotti, Contact Angles on a Soft Solid: From Young's Law to Neumann's Law, Phys. Rev. Lett. **109**, 236101 (2012).
- [138] H. M. Princen, in *Surface and Colloid Science*, Vol. 2, edited by E. Matijevic (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1969) pp. 254–335.
- [139] C. Delaunay, Sur la surface de révolution dont la courbure moyenne est constante., J. Mathématiques Pures Appliquées, 309 (1841).
- [140] M. R. Gunjan, A. Kumar, and R. Raj, Droplets on Lubricant-Infused Surfaces: Combination of Constant Mean Curvature Interfaces with Neumann Triangle Boundary Conditions, Langmuir 36, 2974 (2020).
- [141] F. J. Lopez and A. Ros, Complete Minimal Surfaces with Index One and Stable Constant Mean Curvature Surfaces, Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici 64, 34 (1989).
- [142] W. Meeks and J. Pérez, The Classical Theory of Minimal Surfaces, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 48, 325 (2011).
- [143] C. Semprebon, G. McHale, and H. Kusumaatmaja, Apparent Contact Angle and Contact Angle Hysteresis on Liquid Infused Surfaces, Soft Matter 13, 101 (2016).
- [144] J. N. Israelachvili, in *Intermolecular and Surface Forces* (Academic Press, London, 1991) 2nd ed., pp. 193–195.
- [145] H.-J. Butt and M. Kappl, Surface and Interfacial Forces, 2nd ed. (John Wiley & Sons, Weinheim, Germany, 2018).
- [146] D. Tabor, F. Winterton, and R. H. S. Winterton, The Direct Measurement of Normal and Retarded Van Der Waals Forces, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **312**, 435 (1969).
- [147] L. Bergström, Hamaker Constants of Inorganic Materials, Adv. Colloid Interface Sci. 70, 125 (1997).

- [148] M. Tress, S. Karpitschka, P. Papadopoulos, J. H. Snoeijer, D. Vollmer, and H.-J. Butt, Shape of a Sessile Drop on a Flat Surface Covered with a Liquid Film, Soft Matter 13, 3760 (2017).
- [149] C. Semprebon, M. S. Sadullah, G. McHale, and H. Kusumaatmaja, Apparent Contact Angle of Drops on Liquid Infused Surfaces: Geometric Interpretation, Soft Matter 17, 9553 (2021).
- [150] G. Lester, Contact Angles of Liquids at Deformable Solid Surfaces, J. Colloid Sci. 16, 315 (1961).
- [151] J. Gerber, T. Lendenmann, H. Eghlidi, T. M. Schutzius, and D. Poulikakos, Wetting Transitions in Droplet Drying on Soft Materials, Nat. Commun. 10, 4776 (2019).
- [152] E. R. Jerison, Y. Xu, L. A. Wilen, and E. R. Dufresne, Deformation of an Elastic Substrate by a Three-Phase Contact Line, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 186103 (2011).
- [153] B. Roman and J. Bico, Elasto-Capillarity: Deforming an Elastic Structure with a Liquid Droplet, J. Phys. Condens. Matter 22, 493101 (2010).
- [154] S. Mora, T. Phou, J.-M. Fromental, L. M. Pismen, and Y. Pomeau, Capillarity Driven Instability of a Soft Solid, Phys. Rev. Lett. **105**, 214301 (2010).
- [155] R. W. Style and E. R. Dufresne, Static Wetting on Deformable Substrates, from Liquids to Soft Solids, Soft Matter 8, 7177 (2012).
- [156] P. J. Feibelman, First-Principles Calculations of Stress Induced by Gas Adsorption on Pt(111), Phys. Rev. B 56, 2175 (1997).
- [157] R. Shuttleworth, The Surface Tension of Solids, Proc. Phys. Soc. A 63, 444 (1950).
- [158] B. Andreotti and J. H. Snoeijer, Soft Wetting and the Shuttleworth Effect, at the Crossroads Between Thermodynamics and Mechanics, EPL 113, 66001 (2016).
- [159] J. H. Snoeijer, E. Rolley, and B. Andreotti, Paradox of Contact Angle Selection on Stretched Soft Solids, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 068003 (2018).
- [160] M. van Gorcum, S. Karpitschka, B. Andreotti, and J. H. Snoeijer, Spreading on Viscoelastic Solids: Are Contact Angles Selected by Neumann's Law?, Soft Matter 16, 1306 (2020).
- [161] K. Smith-Mannschott, Q. Xu, S. Heyden, N. Bain, J. H. Snoeijer, E. R. Dufresne, and R. W. Style, Droplets Sit and Slide Anisotropically on Soft, Stretched Substrates, Phys. Rev. Lett. **126**, 158004 (2021).
- [162] W. Zhao, J. Zhou, H. Hu, C. Xu, and Q. Xu, The Role of Crosslinking Density in Surface Stress and Surface Energy of Soft Solids, Soft Matter 18, 507 (2022).
- [163] R. D. Schulman, M. Trejo, T. Salez, E. Raphaël, and K. Dalnoki-Veress, Surface Energy of Strained Amorphous Solids, Nat. Commun. 9, 982 (2018).
- [164] M. Rubinstein and S. Panyukov, Elasticity of Polymer Networks, Macromolecules 35, 6670 (2002).
- [165] H. Liang, Z. Cao, Z. Wang, and A. V. Dobrynin, Surface Stress and Surface Tension in Polymeric Networks, ACS Macro Lett. 7, 116 (2018).
- [166] B. Kim, E. Peterson, and I. Papautsky, Long-term stability of plasma oxidized PDMS surfaces, in 26th Annu. Int. Conf. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. Soc., Vol. 4 (IEEE, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2004) pp. 5013–5016.
- [167] K. Mills, X. Zhu, S. Takayama, and M. Thouless, The Mechanical Properties of a Surface-Modified Layer on Polydimethylsiloxane, J. Mater. Res. 23, 37 (2008).
- [168] M. van Gorcum, B. Andreotti, J. H. Snoeijer, and S. Karpitschka, Dynamic Solid Surface Tension Causes

Droplet Pinning and Depinning, Phys. Rev. Lett. **121**, 208003 (11/16/ 2018).

- [169] F. Léonforte and M. Müller, Statics of Polymer Droplets on Deformable Surfaces, J. Chem. Phys. 135, 214703 (2011).
- [170] U. Thiele and S. Hartmann, Gradient Dynamics Model for Drops Spreading on Polymer Brushes, Eur. Phys. J. Spec. Top. 229, 1819 (2020).
- [171] C. Henkel, J. H. Snoeijer, and U. Thiele, Gradient-Dynamics Model for Liquid Drops on Elastic Substrates, Soft Matter 17, 10359 (2021).
- [172] S. Alexander, Adsorption of Chain Molecules with a Polar Head a Scaling Description, J. Phys. France 38, 983 (1977).
- [173] P. G. de Gennes, Conformations of Polymers Attached to an Interface, Macromolecules 13, 1069 (1980).
- [174] K. J. Regehr, M. Domenech, J. T. Koepsel, K. C. Carver, S. J. Ellison-Zelski, W. L. Murphy, L. A. Schuler, E. T. Alarid, and D. J. Beebe, Biological Implications of Polydimethylsiloxane-Based Microfluidic Cell Culture, Lab Chip 9, 2132 (2009).
- [175] J. D. Glover, C. E. McLaughlin, M. K. McFarland, and J. T. Pham, Extracting Uncrosslinked Material from Low Modulus Sylgard 184 and the Effect on Mechanical Properties, J. Polym. Sci. 58, 343 (2020).
- [176] C. Urata, G. J. Dunderdale, M. W. England, and A. Hozumi, Self-Lubricating Organogels (SLUGS) with Exceptional Syneresis-Induced Anti-Sticking Properties Against Viscous Emulsions and Ices, J. Mater. Chem. A 3, 12626 (2015).
- [177] Z. Cai and J. T. Pham, How Swelling, Cross-Linking, and Aging Affect Drop Pinning on Lubricant-Infused, Low Modulus Elastomers, ACS Appl. Polym. Mater. 4, 3013 (2022).
- [178] G. D. Park, H. Jang, H. E. Jeong, and S. J. Lee, Superslippery Long-Chain Entangled Polydimethylsiloxane Gel with Sustainable Self-Replenishment, Adv Eng Mater 25, 2201530 (2023).
- [179] S. Mizrahi, Syneresis in Food Gels and Its Implications for Food Quality, in *Chemical Deterioration and Physical Instability of Food and Beverages* (Elsevier, 2010) pp. 324–348.
- [180] A. Hourlier-Fargette, J. Dervaux, A. Antkowiak, and S. Neukirch, Extraction of Silicone Uncrosslinked Chains at Air–Water–Polydimethylsiloxane Triple Lines, Langmuir 34, 12244 (2018).
- [181] C. S. Sharma, A. Milionis, A. Naga, C. W. E. Lam, G. Rodriguez, M. F. Del Ponte, V. Negri, H. Raoul, M. D'Acunzi, H.-J. Butt, D. Vollmer, and D. Poulikakos, Enhanced Condensation on Soft Materials through Bulk Lubricant Infusion, Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**, 2109633 (2022).
- [182] F. Barca, T. Caporossi, and S. Rizzo, Silicone Oil: Different Physical Proprieties and Clinical Applications, Biomed. Res. Int. **2014**, 1 (2014).
- [183] H. Teisala, C. Schönecker, A. Kaltbeitzel, W. Steffen, H.-J. Butt, and D. Vollmer, Wetting Over Pre-Existing Liquid Films, Phys. Rev. Fluids 3, 084002 (2018).
- [184] A. Toor, S. Lamb, B. A. Helms, and T. P. Russell, Reconfigurable Microfluidic Droplets Stabilized by Nanoparticle Surfactants, ACS Nano 12, 2365 (2018).
- [185] D. Platikanov and D. Exerowa, Thin Liquid Flms, in Fundamentals of Interface and Colloid Science, Vol. 5 (Elsevier, 2005) pp. 6.1–6.91.

- [186] A. Hadjiiski, S. Tcholakova, I. B. Ivanov, T. D. Gurkov, and E. F. Leonard, Gentle Film Trapping Technique with Application to Drop Entry Measurements, Langmuir 18, 127 (2002).
- [187] M. R. Gunjan, A. Kumar, and R. Raj, Cloaked Droplets on Lubricant-Infused Surfaces: Union of Constant Mean Curvature Interfaces Dictated by Thin-Film Tension, Langmuir 37, 6601 (2021).
- [188] S. Nath, S. F. Ahmadi, and J. B. Boreyko, How ice bridges the gap, Soft Matter 16, 1156 (2020).
- [189] A. Naga, Capillary Interactions in Wetting: Rotation of Particles at Interfaces and Removal of Particles by Drops, Ph.D. thesis, Johannes Gutenberg-Universität Mainz (2021).
- [190] A. Keiser, L. Keiser, C. Clanet, and D. Quéré, Drop Friction on Liquid-Infused Materials, Soft Matter 13, 6981 (2017).
- [191] A. Keiser, P. Baumli, D. Vollmer, and D. Quéré, Universality of Friction Laws on Liquid-Infused Materials, Phys. Rev. Fluids 5, 014005 (2020).
- [192] C. Huh and L. E. Scriven, Hydrodynamic Model of Steady Movement of a Solid/Liquid/Fluid Contact Line, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 35, 85 (1971).
- [193] L. H. Tanner, The Spreading of Silicone Oil Drops on Horizontal Surfaces, J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 12, 1473 (1979).
- [194] K. Li, C. Lv, and X.-Q. Feng, Rapid Droplet Leads the Liquid-Infused Slippery Surfaces More Slippery.
- [195] D. Daniel, J. V. I. Timonen, R. Li, S. J. Velling, and J. Aizenberg, Oleoplaning Droplets on Lubricated Surfaces, Nat. Phys. 13, 1020 (2017).
- [196] H. K. Khattak, S. Karpitschka, J. H. Snoeijer, and K. Dalnoki-Veress, Direct Force Measurement of Microscopic Droplets Pulled Along Soft Surfaces, Nat. Commun. 13, 4436 (2022).
- [197] D. W. Pilat, P. Papadopoulos, D. Schäffel, D. Vollmer, R. Berger, and H.-J. Butt, Dynamic Measurement of the Force Required to Move a Liquid Drop on a Solid Surface, Langmuir 28, 16812 (2012).
- [198] H. Jeon, Y. Chao, and S. Karpitschka, Moving Wetting Ridges on Ultrasoft Gels, Phys. Rev. E 108, 024611 (2023).
- [199] M. Roché, L. Talini, and E. Verneuil, Complexity in Wetting Dynamics (2023), HAL:hal-04278357.
- [200] R. Chasset and P. Thirion, in Proceedings of the Conference on Physics of Non-Crystalline Solids (J A Prins, Ed., North- Holland Publishing Company, Amsterdam, 1965).
- [201] M. T. Ghannam and M. N. Esmail, Rheological Properties of Poly(dimethylsiloxane), Ind. Eng. Chem. Res. 37, 1335 (1998).
- [202] T. J. Petet, H. E. Deal, H. S. Zhao, A. Y. He, C. Tang, and C. A. Lemmon, Rheological Characterization of Poly-Dimethyl Siloxane Formulations with Tunable Viscoelastic Properties, RSC Adv. 11, 35910 (2021).
- [203] A. Batra, C. Cohen, and L. Archer, Stress Relaxation of End-Linked Polydimethylsiloxane Elastomers with Long Pendent Chains, Macromolecules 38, 7174 (2005).
- [204] R. Pericet-Camara, G. K. Auernhammer, K. Koynov, S. Lorenzoni, R. Raiteri, and E. Bonaccurso, Solid-Supported Thin Elastomer Films Deformed by Microdrops, Soft Matter 5, 3611 (2009).
- [205] M. Zhao, J. Dervaux, T. Narita, F. Lequeux, L. Limat, and M. Roché, Geometrical Control of Dissipation Dur-

ing the Spreading of Liquids on Soft Solids, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **115**, 1748 (2018).

- [206] Q. Xu, L. A. Wilen, K. E. Jensen, R. W. Style, and E. R. Dufresne, Viscoelastic and Poroelastic Relaxations of Soft Solid Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **125**, 238002 (2020).
- [207] M. Zhao, F. Lequeux, T. Narita, M. Roché, L. Limat, and J. Dervaux, Growth and Relaxation of a Ridge on a Soft Poroelastic Substrate, Soft Matter 14, 61 (2018).
- [208] A. Kalantarian, R. David, and A. W. Neumann, Methodology for High Accuracy Contact Angle Measurement, Langmuir 25, 14146 (2009).
- [209] Y. Yuan and T. R. Lee, Contact Angle and Wetting Properties, in *Surface Science Techniques*, edited by G. Bracco and B. Holst (Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2013) pp. 3–34.
- [210] S. Karpitschka, S. Das, M. van Gorcum, H. Perrin, B. Andreotti, and J. H. Snoeijer, Droplets Move Over Viscoelastic Substrates by Surfing a Ridge, Nat. Commun. 6, 7891 (2015).
- [211] F. Schellenberger, J. Xie, N. Encinas, A. Hardy, M. Klapper, P. Papadopoulos, H.-J. Butt, and D. Vollmer, Direct Observation of Drops on Slippery Lubricant-Infused Surfaces, Soft Matter 11, 7617 (2015).
- [212] E. Shoji, T. Kaneko, T. Yonemura, M. Kubo, T. Tsukada, and A. Komiya, Measurement of dynamic wetting using phase-shifting imaging ellipsometer: Comparison of pure solvent and nanoparticle suspension on film thickness profile, apparent contact angle, and precursor film length, Exp. Fluids **62**, 206 (2021).
- [213] P. Papadopoulos, D. Vollmer, and H.-J. Butt, Long-Term Repellency of Liquids by Superoleophobic Surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **117**, 046102 (2016).
- [214] Y. Li, C. Diddens, T. Segers, H. Wijshoff, M. Versluis, and D. Lohse, Evaporating Droplets on Oil-Wetted Surfaces: Suppression of the Coffee-Stain Effect, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **117**, 16756 (2020).
- [215] S. Mitra, S. Misra, T. Tran, and S. K. Mitra, Probing Liquid Drop Induced Deformation on Soft Solids Using Dual-Wavelength Reflection Interference Contrast Microscopy, Langmuir 38, 7750 (2022).
- [216] B. Liu, R. Manica, Q. Liu, E. Klaseboer, Z. Xu, and G. Xie, Coalescence of Bubbles with Mobile Interfaces in Water, Phys. Rev. Lett. **122**, 194501 (2019).
- [217] I. U. Vakarelski, K. R. Langley, F. Yang, and S. T. Thoroddsen, Interferometry and Simulation of the Thin Liquid Film between a Free-Rising Bubble and a Glass Substrate, Langmuir 38, 2363 (2022).
- [218] J. De Ruiter, R. Lagraauw, D. Van Den Ende, and F. Mugele, Wettability-Independent Bouncing on Flat Surfaces Mediated by Thin Air Films, Nat. Phys. 11, 48 (2015).
- [219] D. Panchanathan, P. Bourrianne, P. Nicollier, A. Chottratanapituk, K. K. Varanasi, and G. H. McKinley, Levitation of Fizzy Drops, Sci. Adv. 7, eabf0888 (2021).
- [220] D. Beaglehole, Profiles of the precursor of spreading drops of siloxane oil on glass, fused silica, and mica, J. Phys. Chem. **93**, 893 (1989).
- [221] F. Heslot, A. M. Cazabat, and P. Levinson, Dynamics of Wetting of Tiny Drops: Ellipsometric Study of the Late Stages of Spreading, Phys. Rev. Lett. 62, 1286 (1989).

- [222] F. Heslot, A. M. Cazabat, P. Levinson, and N. Fraysse, Experiments on Wetting on the Scale of Nanometers: Influence of the Surface Energy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 65, 599 (1990).
- [223] S. Villette, M. Valignat, A. Cazabat, F. Schabert, and A. Kalachev, Ultrathin liquid films. Ellipsometric study and AFM preliminary investigations, Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications 236, 123 (1997).
- [224] R. Lhermerout and K. Davitt, Contact Angle Dynamics on Pseudo-Brushes: Effects of Polymer Chain Length and Wetting Liquid, Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects 566, 148 (2019).
- [225] D. R. Darby, Z. Cai, C. R. Mason, and J. T. Pham, Modulus and adhesion of Sylgard 184, Solaris, and Ecoflex 00-30 silicone elastomers with varied mixing ratios, J of Applied Polymer Sci 139, e52412 (2022).
- [226] L. Dorogin and B. N. J. Persson, Contact mechanics for polydimethylsiloxane: From liquid to solid, Soft Matter 14, 1142 (2018).
- [227] K. R. Shull, Contact Mechanics and the Adhesion of Soft Solids, Mater. Sci. Eng. R Rep. 36, 1 (2002).
- [228] J. Bowen, D. Cheneler, J. W. Andrews, A. R. Avery, Z. Zhang, M. C. L. Ward, and M. J. Adams, Application of Colloid Probe Atomic Force Microscopy to the Adhesion of Thin Films of Viscous and Viscoelastic Silicone Fluids, Langmuir 27, 11489 (2011).
- [229] J. D. Glover, X. Yang, R. Long, and J. T. Pham, Creasing in Microscale, Soft Static Friction, Nat. Commun 14, 2362 (2023).
- [230] Z. Wang, A. A. Volinsky, and N. D. Gallant, Nanoindentation Study of Polydimethylsiloxane Elastic Modulus Using B Erkovich and Flat Punch Tips, J of Applied Polymer Sci 132, app.41384 (2015).
- [231] Y. Hu, X. Chen, G. M. Whitesides, J. J. Vlassak, and Z. Suo, Indentation of Polydimethylsiloxane Submerged in Organic Solvents, J. Mater. Res. 26, 785 (2011).
- [232] S. A. Rahat, K. Chaudhuri, and J. T. Pham, Capillary Detachment of a Microparticle from a Liquid–Liquid Interface, Soft Matter 19, 6247 (2023).
- [233] S. Peppou-Chapman, C. Vega-Sánchez, and C. Neto, Detection of Nanobubbles on Lubricant-Infused Surfaces Using AFM Meniscus Force Measurements, Langmuir 38, 10234 (2022).
- [234] S. Peppou-Chapman and C. Neto, Mapping Depletion of Lubricant Films on Antibiofouling Wrinkled Slippery Surfaces, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10, 33669 (2018).
- [235] N. Gao, F. Geyer, D. W. Pilat, S. Wooh, D. Vollmer, H.-J. Butt, and R. Berger, How Drops Start Sliding Over Solid Surfaces, Nat. Phys. 14, 191 (2018).
- [236] M. Beitollahpoor, M. Farzam, and N. S. Pesika, Determination of the Sliding Angle of Water Drops on Surfaces from Friction Force Measurements, Langmuir 38, 2132 (2022).
- [237] C. Hinduja, A. Laroche, S. Shumaly, Y. Wang, D. Vollmer, H.-J. Butt, and R. Berger, Scanning Drop Friction Force Microscopy, Langmuir 38, 14635 (2022).
- [238] D. Frenkel and B. Smit, Understanding Molecular Simulation: From Algorithms to Applications, 2nd ed. (San Diego: Elsevier Science & Technology, 2001).
- [239] T. Krüger, H. Kusumaatmaja, A. Kuzmin, O. Shardt, G. Silva, and E. M. Viggen, *The Lattice Boltzmann Method: Principles and Practice*, Graduate Texts in Physics (Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2017).

- [240] R. Haghani Hassan Abadi, A. Fakhari, and M. H. Rahimian, Numerical Simulation of Three-Component Multiphase Flows at High Density and Viscosity Ratios Using Lattice Boltzmann Methods, Phys. Rev. E 97, 033312 (2018).
- [241] A. Mazloomi M, S. S. Chikatamarla, and I. V. Karlin, Entropic Lattice Boltzmann Method for Multiphase Flows, Phys. Rev. Lett. **114**, 174502 (2015).
- [242] M. S. Sadullah, C. Semprebon, and H. Kusumaatmaja, Drop Dynamics on Liquid-Infused Surfaces: The Role of the Lubricant Ridge, Langmuir 34, 8112 (2018).
- [243] E. H. V. Brummelen, M. S. Roudbari, G. Şimşek, and K. G. V. D. Zee, 8. Binary-fluid-solid interaction based on the Navier–Stokes–Cahn–Hilliard Equations, in *Fluid-Structure Interaction*, edited by S. Frei, B. Holm, T. Richter, T. Wick, and H. Yang (De Gruyter, 2017) pp. 283–328.
- [244] S. Aland and D. Mokbel, A Unified Numerical Model for Wetting of Soft Substrates, Int. J. Numer. Methods Eng. 122, 903 (2021).
- [245] C. Henkel, M. H. Essink, T. Hoang, G. J. Van Zwieten, E. H. Van Brummelen, U. Thiele, and J. H. Snoeijer, Soft Wetting with (a)symmetric Shuttleworth Effect, Proc. R. Soc. A. 478, 20220132 (2022).
- [246] M. Rivetti, V. Bertin, T. Salez, C.-Y. Hui, C. Linne, M. Arutkin, H. Wu, E. Raphaël, and O. Bäumchen, Elastocapillary Levelling of Thin Viscous Films on Soft Substrates, Phys. Rev. Fluids 2, 094001 (2017).
- [247] A. Pandey, B. Andreotti, S. Karpitschka, G. J. van Zwieten, E. H. van Brummelen, and J. H. Snoeijer, On the Singular Nature of the Elastocapillary Ridge, Phys. Rev. X 10, 031067 (2020), arxiv:2003.09823 [cond-mat].
- [248] M. M. Flapper, A. Pandey, M. H. Essink, E. H. Van Brummelen, S. Karpitschka, and J. H. Snoeijer, Reversal of Solvent Migration in Poroelastic Folds, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 228201 (2023).
- [249] S. Gupta, B. Bhatt, M. Sharma, and K. Khare, Numerical and Experimental Investigation of Static Wetting Morphologies of Aqueous Drops on Lubricated Slippery Surfaces Using a Quasi-Static Approach, Soft Matter 19, 1164 (2023).
- [250] P. Baumli, L. Hauer, E. Lorusso, A. S. Aghili, K. I. Hegner, M. D'Acunzi, J. S. Gutmann, B. Dünweg, and D. Vollmer, Linear Shrinkage of Hydrogel Coatings Exposed to Flow: Interplay Between Dissolution of Water and Advective Transport, Soft Matter 18, 365 (2022).
- [251] A. Islam and Y. Lian, Numerical study of drop impact on slippery lubricated surfaces, Phys. Fluids 35, 032115 (2023).
- [252] J. C. Slattery, Advanced Transport Phenomena, 1st ed. (Cambridge University Press, New York, USA, 1999).
- [253] D. J. Acheson, *Elementary Fluid Dynamics*, 1st ed. (Oxford University Press, 1990).
- [254] R. F. Probstein, Physicochemical Hydrodynamics: An Introduction, 2nd ed. (Wiley, 2005).
- [255] S. M. Allen and J. W. Cahn, Coherent and Incoherent Equilibria in Iron-Rich Iron-Aluminum Alloys, Acta Metallurgica 23, 1017 (1975).
- [256] J. W. Cahn and J. E. Hilliard, Free Energy of a Nonuniform System. I. Interfacial Free Energy, J. Chem. Phys. 28, 258 (1958).
- [257] A. B. Li, L. Miroshnik, B. D. Rummel, G. Balakrishnan, S. M. Han, and T. Sinno, A Unified Theory of Free Energy Functionals and Applications to Diffusion, Proc.

Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119, e2203399119 (2022).

- [258] A. Einstein, Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen, Ann. Phys. 322, 549 (1905).
- [259] K. Eriksson, Computational Differential Equations, 1st ed. (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1996).
- [260] J. H. Ferziger and M. Peric, Computational Methods for Fluid Dynamics, third rev ed. (Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 2002).
- [261] C. Hirsch, Numerical Computation of Internal and External Flows: Fundamentals of Computational Fluid

Dynamics, 2nd ed. (Elsevier/Butterworth-Heinemann, Oxford Burlington, MA, 2007).

- [262] C. Hirt, A. Amsden, and J. Cook, An arbitrary Lagrangian-Eulerian computing method for all flow speeds, J. Comput. Phys. 14, 227 (1974).
- [263] C. Hirt and B. Nichols, Volume of fluid (VOF) method for the dynamics of free boundaries, J. Comput. Phys. 39, 201 (1981).
- [264] S. Osher and J. A. Sethian, Fronts Propagating with Curvature-Dependent Speed: Algorithms Based on Hamilton-Jacobi Formulations, J. Comput. Phys. 79, 12 (1988).