Stabilizing perpendicular magnetic anisotropy with strong exchange bias in PtMn/Co by magneto-ionics

Beatrice Bednarz,^{1, a} Maria-Andromachi Syskaki,^{1, 2} Rohit Pachat,³ Leon Prädel,⁴ Martin Wortmann⁵, Timo Kuschel⁵, Shimpei Ono⁶, Mathias Kläui,¹ Liza Herrera Diez,³ and Gerhard Jakob^{1, b}

¹⁾ Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany

²⁾ Singulus Technologies AG, Hanauer Landstraße 103, 63796 Kahl am Main, Germany

⁴⁾ Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany

⁵⁾ Faculty of Physics, Bielefeld University, Universitätsstraße 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

⁶⁾ Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 240-0196, Japan

ABSTRACT

Electric field control of magnetic properties offers a broad and promising toolbox for enabling ultra-low power electronics. A key challenge with high technological relevance is to master the interplay between the magnetic anisotropy of a ferromagnet and the exchange coupling to an adjacent antiferromagnet. Here, we demonstrate that magneto-ionic gating can be used to achieve a very stable out-of-plane (OOP) oriented magnetization with strong exchange bias in samples with as-deposited preferred inplane (IP) magnetization. We show that the perpendicular interfacial anisotropy can be increased by a factor of 2.2 in the stack Ta/Pt/PtMn/Co/HfO₂ by applying -2.5 V gate voltage over 3 nm HfO₂, causing a reorientation of the magnetization from IP into OOP with a strong OOP exchange bias of more than 50 mT. Comparing two thicknesses of PtMn, we identify a notable trade-off: while thicker PtMn yields a significantly larger exchange bias, it also results in a slower response to ionic liquid gating. These results pave the way for post-deposition electrical tailoring of magnetic anisotropy and exchange bias in samples requiring significant exchange bias.

In view of the climate crisis and the rapidly growing consumption of information power and communication technology, more energy-efficient data storage and processing is needed.^{1,2} In that respect, voltage control of magnetic properties is one of the central approaches with high potential for ultralow-power data storage as well as energyefficient hardware for dissipative and neuromorphic computing.^{3–8} Particularly large magneto-electric effects of more than 7000 fJ/Vm can be obtained with magneto-ionics, using the electric field induced motion of mobile ions.⁹ Magneto-ionics was shown allow for low-power switching to of magnetization¹⁰, manipulation of the exchange bias (EB)^{11,12} and the Dzyaloshinskii–Moriya interaction¹³ as well as the control of domain wall nucleation and motion^{14,15}.

The EB is fundamental to many technological applications. It describes the pinning of the magnetization of a ferromagnetic (FM) material by the uncompensated magnetic moments of an adjacent antiferromagnet (AFM), causing a shift of the magnetization curve along the magnetic field direction. Its applications range from pinning the reference layer in spin valves for magnetic memories, sensors, and spintronic devices¹⁶, to replacing the auxiliary magnetic field and allowing for field-free switching of magnetization¹⁷, to imprinting domain patterns for magnetophoretic applications¹⁸. So far, research on the control of the EB focused on the manipulation of the EB in samples with a fixed magnetization direction.^{5,11,12,19,20} This was achieved by transfer of different ions, e.g. O²¹, H¹², Li²², as well as N²³. Different material systems

³⁾ Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 10 Boulevard Thomas Gobert, 91120 Palaiseau, France

^{a)} Electronic mail: bbednarz@uni-mainz.de

^{b)} Electronic mail: jakob@uni-mainz.de

were shown to allow for the manipulation of the EB ranging from systems with the AFM on top¹⁹ or below¹¹ the FM and with insulating¹¹ as well as metallic AFMs¹⁹. However, so far, a demonstration of the possibility to rotate the magnetization from an in-plane (IP) to an OOP state while retaining a strong EB is still missing. This would be highly desirable e.g. to program 3D magnetization sensors for which part of the sensor needs to have IP magnetization and another part OOP magnetization.

In this paper, we investigated the effect of magnetoionic gating on the EB between platinummanganese (PtMn) and cobalt (Co) thin films. We applied the ionic liquid gating technique, enabling large-area gating with simple sample fabrication and applicability to a wide range of materials. In the as-grown state the system exhibits IP magnetization. By gating, we demonstrate the rotation of the magnetization into a non-volatile state with OOP magnetization and OOP EB. Notably, this OOP state is the energetically favorable one as soon as it is reached. To better understand the influence of the AFM layer thickness, we compared two stacks with different PtMn thicknesses. We show that there is a trade-off between the strength of the EB in the final state and the speed of the gating process. In thinner (8 nm) samples the magnetization can be rotated faster while thicker (20 nm) samples show a stronger EB.

We have studied magnetic stacks with the composition Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn (t)/Co (0.9 nm)/HfO₂ (3 nm) deposited on thermally oxidized Si/SiO₂ substrates, with two thicknesses of PtMn (t = 8 and 20 nm) (Fig. 1a). Co was chosen as the FM material because it can have strong perpendicular magnetic anisotropy (PMA). PtMn, a metallic AFM, is commonly used in the industry because of its ability to provide a strong EB with high thermal stability.^{24,25} The seed layers Ta and Pt provide a smooth surface and matching lattice constant for an optimized growth of PtMn. Co was capped with HfO₂, which serves as a donor for mobile oxygen species and allows for high ionmobility. To ensure very high sample quality, the stacks were sputtered in an industrial Singulus Rotaris magnetron sputtering tool at room



FIG. 1. (a) Sample stack. The white spheres represent the oxygen ions which can be moved by applying a gate voltage across the HfO₂. The arrow between the Co and the PtMn represents the EB coupling between the two layers. (b) SQUID measurements of the sample with 8 nm PtMn after annealing in an OOP magnetic field of 800 mT for 2 h at 300°C. The SQUID measurements were performed along the IP (green) and OOP (blue) sample direction to confirm the fully IP magnetization of the Co. The inset shows a zoom into the center of the SQUID loops.

temperature. As an isolating layer, HfO_2 was sputtered using RF voltages. The further layers of the stack are metallic and sputtered by DC voltages. To move the mobile oxygen species inside the HfO_2 , a droplet of the ionic liquid 1-ethyl-3methylimidazolium-

bis(trifluormethylsulfonyl)imide ($[EMIM]^+$ $[TFSI]^-$) was added on the sample surface, and a glass plate coated with indium tin oxide (ITO) was placed on top. The gate voltage was then applied from the ITO electrode across the ionic liquid and HfO₂ to the metallic layers at the bottom of the material stack, which were contacted by wire bonding (Fig. 1a). In this way, a sample area of approximately 5x5 mm² was gated.

In the as-deposited state, the samples show full IP magnetization for both 8 nm and 20 nm PtMn (SM Fig. S3). We aim to explore whether it is possible to reach OOP magnetization with OOP EB through ionic-liquid gating. Therefore, the samples were annealed in an OOP magnetic field of 800 mT at 300 °C for 2 h, to establish OOP EB. Annealing the samples leaves the magnetization IP, while the coercivity of the IP magnetization curve increases significantly (Fig. 1b). The lateral crystal orientation of PtMn (111) is not visibly affected by the annealing, as confirmed by x-ray diffraction (SM Fig. S1).

The gate voltages were applied using a Keithley 2400. For every gating step, the voltage was successively increased in steps of 0.1 V. The magnetization curves were measured via the anomalous Hall effect (AHE) at room temperature and the ordinary Hall component was subtracted for each curve (see supplementary material SM2). We use the slope of the anomalous Hall resistance curve at zero remanent magnetization as a qualitative measure of the extent to which the magnetization curve is dominated by magnetic easy- or hard-axis behavior.²⁶

We will first discuss the samples with the thinner PtMn layer of 8 nm which show a faster reaction to the gating. To establish a defined initial state, first, a positive gate voltage of 3.5 V was applied. This positive voltage induces an upward drag on the oxygen species inside the HfO₂ layer. The Co is set to a state of minimum oxygen concentration. As shown in Fig. 2a, the magnetization remains IP after this initialization step, with only minor changes in the magnetization curve. Subsequently, negative voltages were applied. In agreement with previous reports²⁷, we observe a progressive transformation toward a square-shaped magnetization loop. Since the AHE probes the OOP magnetization, this demonstrates that the magnetization rotates from the IP to the OOP direction. All AHE curves are shifted toward positive magnetic field values revealing the OOP EB.

The OOP magnetization, which is reached by applying negative gate voltages, is very stable over time (SM Fig. S5). By applying positive gate voltages, the magnetization can be rotated back toward the IP direction. However, this IP state is no longer stable in time. Within a few hours, the sample evolves back to the OOP state (Fig. 2b). Additionally, it is not possible in this material system to bring the sample to an IP state by overoxidation. Instead, at -2.9 V, we observe a decrease in the total Hall resistance, while the slope continues to increase. This demonstrates the stabilization of the OOP state with EB in an initially IP sample by ionic liquid gating.

Fig. 2c shows the evolution of the EB shift and coercivity in comparison to the slope of the AHE loop during the different gating steps. The coercivity



FIG. 2. Evolution of magnetic properties by gating in the sample with 8 nm PtMn. (a) AHE curves from the first gating cycle. The initialization gate voltage of 3.5 V was applied for 5 minutes. All further gate voltages were applied for 2 minutes. (b) Time evolution of the AHE curve after the magnetization was brought back toward an IP state by applying the last gate voltage of 3.5 V. (c) Overview over slope at zero remanent magnetization, coercivity and EB shift of the AHE loops. (d) Evolution of the total anisotropy, demagnetization, and interfacial energy per volume, obtained from SQUID measurements at 300 K (SM Fig. 3). The initialization voltage of 3.5 V was applied for 10 minutes, all further voltages for 5 minutes each.

of the AHE loops increases when the magnetization rotates from IP toward OOP. When positive voltages applied subsequently, the magnetization are direction is no longer stable in time, and the AHE curve is drifting during the measurement. The resulting coercivities therefore have a large error. The EB shift initially decreases slightly when a negative voltage is applied. Then, it stabilizes and reaches a relatively constant value of (8.2 ± 0.8) mT. This stabilization of the EB shift was observed in several nominally identical samples (SM Fig. S6). In the annealed state, the EB shift fluctuates. However, by performing the first gating cycle, it stabilizes for all samples to an identical value within the error margin. This indicates a varying amount of oxygen ions at the Co-PtMn interface in the annealed state, which are removed by the positive gate voltage.



FIG. 3. Evolution of magnetic properties by gating in the sample with 20 nm PtMn. (a) AHE curves from the first gating cycle. All gate voltages were applied for 2 minutes. (b) Time evolution of the AHE loop after the magnetization was brought back toward an IP state by applying 3.7 V. (c) Overview over slope, coercivity and EB shift of the AHE loops.

To better understand the underlying magnetic changes in this system, we performed magnetization measurements in a superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) (SM Fig. 3). From the IP and OOP SQUID loops, we obtained the magnetic anisotropy energies per volume after different gating steps (Fig. 2d). In this material system, we consider the interfacial anisotropy and the demagnetization energy as the most important contributions. The total anisotropy energy was obtained from the area between the OOP and IP magnetization curves (Eq. 1). The demagnetization energy was calculated using its proportionality to the squared saturation magnetization $M_{\rm S}^2$ (Eq. 2).²⁸ Considering the demagnetization energy, which favors IP magnetization, and the interfacial anisotropy energy, which favors OOP magnetization, as the most important energy contributions, we can calculate the interfacial anisotropy energy using Eq. 3. The magnetization M and all anisotropies were calculated using the nominal thickness of Co.

$$K_{\text{total}} = \frac{1}{\mu_0} \int_{shift}^{\mu_0 H_{max}} (\mu_0 M_{OOP} - \mu_0 M_{IP}) d(\mu_0 H)$$
(1)

$$K_{\rm demag} = \frac{1}{2}\mu_0 M_S^2 \tag{2}$$

$$K_{\text{interface}} = K_{total} - K_{demag}$$
 (3)

In Fig. 2d, the total anisotropy energy (purple) shows a clear trend of becoming more negative (toward IP magnetization) when applying positive voltages and becoming more positive (toward OOP magnetization) when applying negative voltages. This supports the presumed underlying mechanism of oxygen ion migration. The total anisotropy energy reaches its maximum positive value (maximum

PMA) at -2.5 V. This is explained by the strong increase of the interfacial anisotropy (red), of a factor of 2.2, after the application of -2.5 V. This, in turn, can be explained by the additional oxygen at the Co-HfO₂ interface. It allows for a stronger hybridization between the Co-3*d* and the O-2*p* orbitals, which is known to cause interfacial PMA.²⁹ However, after the application of -2.9 V, the interfacial energy drops significantly, suggesting a movement of the oxygen ions away from their maximum PMA position.

The demagnetization energy (blue) remains quite constant until the application of -2.5 V, with just a small increase, in accordance with the observations from the AHE effect measurements. However, at -2.9 V, it drops sharply, just like the interfacial anisotropy energy. For the demagnetization energy, this is clearly related to the reduction of the total magnetic moment caused by the overoxidation of the Co. The influence of this overoxidation can be described as a change in the average magnetization or in the Co layer thickness, which, however, does not alter the qualitative results (SM Fig. 4). After applying a positive voltage of 3.5 V, the demagnetization energy recovers again. This leads to the conclusion, that overoxidizing the Co does not only lead to a decrease in the magnetic moment, but also to a decrease in the interfacial PMA. This PMA cannot be fully recovered by a positive voltage. From the results on the AHE measurements, we can, however, assume that over time the oxygen ions will diffuse back to the optimum positions leading to the maximum PMA.



FIG. 4. XPS spectrum of the sample with 8 nm PtMn, measured at (a) the Co 2p-edge and (b) the Mn 2p-edge in the state after the annealing in an OOP magnetic field and after applying -2.7 V for 5 minutes, respectively. The Co^{+II} and Mn^0 peaks show a multiplet splitting. Details on the experiment and the fitting procedure are given in supplementary material SM7.

So far, we have shown that we can establish a stable OOP magnetization with OOP EB in a sample with initial IP magnetization by ionic-liquid gating. However, for applications, a much larger EB is required. Therefore, we also investigated a sample with thicker PtMn of 20 nm (Fig. 3). Equivalently to the stack with 8 nm PtMn, the magnetization of the sample is initially IP and stays IP after applying +3.5 V (Fig. 3a). As expected, the AHE loop evolves toward a square-shaped magnetization loop by negative gating. A positive voltage initially brings the magnetization back toward an IP state, which however evolves back toward the OOP direction over time (Fig. 3b).

Notably, the EB shift stabilizes to a value above 50 mT in this sample, which is more than 5 times greater than in the thinner sample. This however entails a longer required gating procedure to establish good PMA. In the initial gating cycle, the magnetization loop shows the progressive transformation toward a square shape. However, the coercivity and the slope remain low compared to those of the thinner sample (Fig. 3c). This changes only after performing the full first gating cycle and then waiting four days (Fig. 3b). Most likely, this gives the oxygen ions time to diffuse into the energetically favored lattice positions where they can hybridize with the Co atoms, resulting in increased interfacial PMA. Presumably, this lower speed of the process is caused by a higher degree of crystallinity of Co as it is grown on thicker and

5

therefore more crystalline PtMn. A higher degree of crystallinity is known to slow down oxygen diffusion.³⁰

To verify whether the magnetic changes are due to hybridization with oxygen species, we performed Xphotoelectron spectroscopy ray (XPS) measurements (Fig. 4). Fig. 4a shows the XPS spectra at the Co 2p-edge in the annealed state (top) and after applying a gate voltage of -2.7 V (bottom). The annealed state shows pure metallic Co⁰ (blue peaks). By gating, a clear Co^{+II} signal arises (orange peaks), confirming the partial oxidation of the Co. From SQUID measurements we know that for the oxidized sample, around half of the magnetization is lost in the oxidized state. The strong reduction of the Co⁰ peak intensity in the oxidized sample is most likely due to the surface sensitivity of XPS, indicating a stronger oxidation in the upper layers of the Co compared to the lower ones.

The oxidation of the interface between Co and PtMn is very important to retain a strong EB. Therefore, its oxidation was probed by measuring XPS at the Mn 2p-edge (Fig. 4b). Overall, the signal-to-noise ratio is worse, since the Mn atoms are lying further down below the sample surface. In the annealed state, the sample consists mainly of metallic Mn⁰ (green peaks). However, after applying the negative gate voltage, also the Mn shows a clear signal of oxidation (magenta peaks). Some oxygen therefore

reached the Co-PtMn interface. This is likely the reason why the EB initially drops with negative gating. However, the AHE data revealed that the EB stabilizes at a value larger than zero, even in an overoxidized state. This indicates that only the most favorable sites next to Mn become oxidized. Then, at the applied voltages, no further accumulation of oxygen ions at the Co-PtMn interface takes place, so that a large EB can remain.

In summary, we achieved the stabilization of a magnetic state with OOP magnetization and OOP EB in a sample with initial IP magnetization by ionic liquid gating. In the stack Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn $(8 \text{ nm})/\text{Co} (0.9 \text{ nm})/\text{HfO}_2 (3 \text{ nm})$, we showed that by applying a gate voltage of -2.7 V the magnetization could be reoriented to OOP, with an exchange bias SQUID shift of (8.2 ± 0.8) mT. and XPS measurements confirmed that the changes are due to oxygen migration in the sample. As the oxygen ions reach the Co-HfO₂ interface, the interfacial anisotropy increases. However, at large negative voltages of more than -2.7 V both the demagnetization energy and the interfacial anisotropy energy decrease due to overoxidation of the Co. Subsequent positive voltages lead to a reorientation of the magnetization back toward the initial IP state. However, this state is volatile and evolves back toward the OOP state over time, demonstrating that the OOP state becomes the energetically favorable state as soon as it is first reached. Some of the oxygen ions also reach the bottom interface of the Co after negative gating, visible in a partial oxidation of the Mn atoms in PtMn. Notably, this oxidation does not lead to a loss of EB, just to an initial reduction of EB which then saturates.

The sample with the thicker PtMn film of 20 nm shows a slower reaction to the gating. However, in the final state, we found fully shifted magnetization loops with a strong EB of more than 50 mT. These results contribute to the advancement of easier and better device fabrication for energy-efficient data storage and processing as well as sensor applications.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL (S)

See the supplementary material for more detailed information on measurements and data analysis.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 860060 "Magnetism and the effect of Electric Field" (MagnEFi), as well as from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) – TRR 173/2-268565370 Spin+X (Projects A01 and B02). This work was partly founded by JSPS KAKENHI Grand Number JP21H05016. We thank the Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research for access to their XPS tool.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data supporting the findings of this study can be obtained from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

REFERENCES

¹ International Energy Agency, "Digitalization and Energy," 188 (2017).

² L. Belkhir, and A. Elmeligi, "Assessing ICT global emissions footprint: Trends to 2040 & recommendations," J. Clean. Prod. **177**, 448–463

(2018).

³ M. Weisheit, S. Fähler, A. Marty, Y. Souche, C. Poinsignon, and D. Givord, "Electric Field-Induced Modification of Magnetism in Thin-Film Ferromagnets," Science **315**(5810), 349–351 (2007).

⁴ F. Matsukura, Y. Tokura, and H. Ohno, "Control of magnetism by electric fields," Nat. Nanotechnol. **10**(3), 209–220 (2015).

 ⁵ C. Song, B. Cui, F. Li, X. Zhou, and F. Pan, "Recent progress in voltage control of magnetism: Materials, mechanisms, and performance," Prog. Mater. Sci. 87, 33–82 (2017).

⁶ A. Molinari, H. Hahn, and R. Kruk, "Voltage-Control of Magnetism in All-Solid-State and Solid/Liquid Magnetoelectric Composites," Adv. Mater. **31**(26), 1806662 (2019).

⁷ J. Grollier, D. Querlioz, K.Y. Camsari, K. Everschor-Sitte, S. Fukami, and M.D. Stiles, "Neuromorphic spintronics," Nat. Electron. **3**(7), 360–370 (2020).
⁸ B. Dieny, I.L. Prejbeanu, K. Garello, P. Gambardella, P. Freitas, R. Lehndorff, W. Raberg, U. Ebels, S.O. Demokritov, J. Akerman, A. Deac, P. Pirro, C. Adelmann, A. Anane, A.V. Chumak, A. Hirohata, S. Mangin, S.O. Valenzuela, M.C. Onbaşlı, M. d'Aquino, G. Prenat, G. Finocchio, L. Lopez-Diaz,

R. Chantrell, O. Chubykalo-Fesenko, and P. Bortolotti, "Opportunities and challenges for spintronics in the microelectronics industry," Nat. Electron. **3**(8), 446–459 (2020).

⁹ M. Ameziane, R. Mansell, V. Havu, P. Rinke, and S. van Dijken, "Lithium-Ion Battery Technology for Voltage Control of Perpendicular Magnetization," Adv. Funct. Mater. **32**(29), 2113118 (2022).
¹⁰ U. Bauer, L. Yao, A.J. Tan, P. Agrawal, S. Emori, H.L. Tuller, S. van Dijken, and G.S.D. Beach, "Magneto-ionic control of interfacial magnetism," Nat. Mater. **14**(2), 174–181 (2015).

¹¹ J. Zehner, D. Wolf, M.U. Hasan, M. Huang, D. Bono, K. Nielsch, K. Leistner, and G.S.D. Beach, "Magnetoionic control of perpendicular exchange bias," Phys. Rev. Mater. 5(6), L061401 (2021).
 ¹² M.U. Hasan, A.E. Kossak, and G.S.D. Beach, "Large exchange bias enhancement and control of ferromagnetic energy landscape by solid-state hydrogen gating," Nat. Commun. 14(1), 8510 (2023).

¹³ L. Herrera Diez, Y.T. Liu, D.A. Gilbert, M.
Belmeguenai, J. Vogel, S. Pizzini, E. Martinez, A.
Lamperti, J.B. Mohammedi, A. Laborieux, Y.
Roussigné, A.J. Grutter, E. Arenholtz, P.
Quarterman, B. Maranville, S. Ono, M.S.E. Hadri, R.
Tolley, E.E. Fullerton, L. Sanchez-Tejerina, A.
Stashkevich, S.M. Chérif, A.D. Kent, D. Querlioz, J.
Langer, B. Ocker, and D. Ravelosona, "Nonvolatile
Ionic Modification of the Dzyaloshinskii-Moriya
Interaction," Phys. Rev. Appl. **12**(3), 034005 (2019).
¹⁴ J. Zehner, I. Soldatov, S. Schneider, R. Heller, N.B.
Khojasteh, S. Schiemenz, S. Fähler, K. Nielsch, R.
Schäfer, and K. Leistner, "Voltage-Controlled

Deblocking of Magnetization Reversal in Thin Films by Tunable Domain Wall Interactions and Pinning Sites," Adv. Electron. Mater. **6**(11), 2000406 (2020).

¹⁵ C. Balan, J. Peña Garcia, A. Fassatoui, J. Vogel, D. de S. Chaves, M. Bonfim, J.-P. Rueff, L. Ranno, and S. Pizzini, "Tuning the Dynamics of Chiral Domain Walls of Ferrimagnetic Films by Magnetoionic Effects," Phys. Rev. Appl. **18**(3), 034065 (2022).
 ¹⁶ J. Nogués, and I.K. Schuller, "Exchange bias," J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **192**(2), 203–232 (1999).
 ¹⁷ A. van den Brink, G. Vermijs, A. Solignac, J. Koo, J.T. Kohlhepp, H.J.M. Swagten, and B. Koopmans,

"Field-free magnetization reversal by spin-Hall effect and exchange bias," Nat. Commun. **7**(1), 10854 (2016).

¹⁸ A. Ehresmann, D. Lengemann, T. Weis, A. Albrecht, J. Langfahl-Klabes, F. Göllner, and D. Engel, "Asymmetric Magnetization Reversal of Stripe-Patterned Exchange Bias Layer Systems for Controlled Magnetic Particle Transport," Adv. Mater. **23**(46), 5568–5573 (2011).

¹⁹ Y. Wang, X. Zhou, C. Song, Y. Yan, S. Zhou, G. Wang, C. Chen, F. Zeng, and F. Pan, "Electrical Control of the Exchange Spring in Antiferromagnetic Metals," Adv. Mater. **27**(20),

3196–3201 (2015). ²⁰ M. Wang, M. Li, Y. Lu, X. Xu, and Y. Jiang, "Electric field controlled perpendicular exchange

bias in Ta/Pt/Co/IrMn/Pt heterostructure," Appl. Phys. Lett. **123**(12), 122405 (2023).

²¹ J. Zehner, R. Huhnstock, S. Oswald, U. Wolff, I. Soldatov, A. Ehresmann, K. Nielsch, D. Holzinger, and K. Leistner, "Nonvolatile Electric Control of Exchange Bias by a Redox Transformation of the Ferromagnetic Layer," Adv. Electron. Mater. **5**(6), 1900296 (2019).

²² Z. Mustafa, D. Pravarthana, B. Wang, H. Yang, and R.-W. Li, "Manipulation of Exchange Bias Effect via All-Solid-State Li -Ion Redox Capacitor with Antiferromagnetic Electrode," Phys. Rev. Appl. **14**(1), 014062 (2020).

²³ C.J. Jensen, A. Quintana, P. Quarterman, A.J. Grutter, P.P. Balakrishnan, H. Zhang, A.V. Davydov, X. Zhang, and K. Liu, "Nitrogen-Based Magnetoionic Manipulation of Exchange Bias in CoFe/MnN Heterostructures," ACS Nano **17**(7), 6745–6753 (2023).

²⁴ G.W. Anderson, Y. Huai, and M. Pakala, "Spinvalve thermal stability: The effect of different antiferromagnets," J. Appl. Phys. **87**(9), 5726–5728 (2000).

²⁵ Y.K. Kim, S.-R. Lee, S.A. Song, G.-S. Park, H.S. Yang, and K.-I. Min, "Magnetoresistance and interlayer diffusion in PtMn spin valves upon postdeposition annealing," J. Appl. Phys. **89**(11), 6907–6909 (2001).

²⁶ B. Dieny, and M. Chshiev, "Perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at transition metal/oxide interfaces and applications," Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**(2), 025008 (2017).

²⁷ R. Pachat, D. Ourdani, J.W. van der Jagt, M.-A.
Syskaki, A. Di Pietro, Y. Roussigné, S. Ono, M.S.
Gabor, M. Chérif, G. Durin, J. Langer, M.

Belmeguenai, D. Ravelosona, and L.H. Diez, "Multiple Magnetoionic Regimes in

Ta/Co20Fe60B20/HfO2," Phys. Rev. Appl. **15**(6), 064055 (2021).

²⁸ S. Blundell, "Magnetism in Condensed Matter,"OUP Oxford (2001).

²⁹ H.X. Yang, M. Chshiev, B. Dieny, J.H. Lee, A. Manchon, and K.H. Shin, "First-principles investigation of the very large perpendicular magnetic anisotropy at Fe | MgO and Co | MgO interfaces," Phys. Rev. B **84**(5), 054401 (2011).

³⁰ R. Pachat, D. Ourdani, M.-A. Syskaki, A. Lamperti, S. Roy, S. Chen, A.D. Pietro, L. Largeau, R. Juge, M. Massouras, C. Balan, J.W. van der Jagt, G. Agnus, Y. Roussigné, M. Gabor, S.M. Chérif, G. Durin, S. Ono, J. Langer, D. Querlioz, D. Ravelosona, M.

Belmeguenai, and L. Herrera Diez, "Magneto-Ionics in Annealed W/CoFeB/HfO2 Thin Films," Adv. Mater. Interfaces **9**(36), 2200690 (2022).

Supplementary Material (SM)

Stabilizing perpendicular magnetic anisotropy with strong exchange bias in PtMn/Co by magneto-ionics

Beatrice Bednarz,^{1, a} Maria-Andromachi Syskaki,^{1, 2} Rohit Pachat,³ Leon Prädel,⁴ Martin Wortmann⁵, Timo Kuschel⁵, Shimpei Ono⁶, Mathias Kläui,¹ Liza Herrera Diez,³ and Gerhard Jakob^{1, b}

¹⁾ Institute of Physics, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Staudingerweg 7, 55128 Mainz, Germany

²⁾ Singulus Technologies AG, Hanauer Landstraße 103, 63796 Kahl am Main, Germany

³⁾ Centre de Nanosciences et de Nanotechnologies, CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, 10 Boulevard Thomas Gobert, 91120 Palaiseau, France

⁴⁾ Max Planck Institute for Polymer Research, Ackermannweg 10, 55128 Mainz, Germany

⁵⁾ Faculty of Physics, Bielefeld University, Universitätsstraße 25, 33615 Bielefeld, Germany

⁶⁾ Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, Yokosuka, Kanagawa 240-0196, Japan



SM1. X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements to examine the crystallographic structure

FIG. S1. XRD patterns of the samples Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn (t)/Co (0.9 nm)/HfO₂ (3 nm) (a) comparing samples with the thicknesses t = 8 nm and 20 nm PtMn after annealing in an out-of-plane (OOP) magnetic field of 800 mT for 2 h at 300°C. (b) Influence of the annealing in the OOP magnetic field and subsequent gating with -2.7 V on the crystal structure for the sample with t = 8 nm PtMn. The red line in both figures shows the reference position of 40.2° for PtMn(111)¹. The peak at 69.2° is the peak of the Si substrate. The sharp lines at 61.7° and 65.9° are resulting from the substrate and residual contributions of copper K_{β} and tungsten L_{α} radiation to the incoming beam.

The structural characterization of the samples was done by XRD, measured in a Bruker D8 X-ray diffractometer. All spectra show the PtMn (111) peak at 40.2° with a shoulder on its left, corresponding to the Pt (111) peak. Fig. S1a shows that in both samples, with 8 nm as well as 20 nm PtMn, the PtMn grows in (111) orientation. The PtMn peak in the sample with 20 nm PtMn is larger and the center matches better with the theory position of the PtMn (111) peak, indicating less strain in the sample. Fig. S1b shows that the annealing in an OOP magnetic field of 800 mT for 2 h at 300°C, as well as gating at -2.7 V does not visibly influence the crystal structure of the PtMn. The peak at 69.2° corresponds to the Si (004) substrate peak. The peaks at its left edge are the corresponding satellite peaks, observed because the beam monochromatization by the Goebel mirror is incomplete.

^{b)} Electronic mail: jakob@uni-mainz.de



SM2. Hall measurements in a superconducting magnet cryostat to determine the OHE

FIG. S2. Hall measurements in a superconducting magnet cryostat, measured to determine the ordinary Hall effect (OHE) from the high field slope. From (a) a slope of $m = (3.3 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-3} \Omega/T$ was obtained for the sample with 8 nm PtMn after saturation. From (c) a slope of $m = (3.9 \pm 0.3) \cdot 10^{-3} \Omega/T$ was obtained for the sample with 20 nm PtMn. (b) To confirm, that the magnetization curve measured in the superconducting magnet cryostat (SC) is identical to the one from the electromagnet (EM), the loops were plotted on top of each other.

The anomalous Hall effect (AHE) curves discussed in the main text were measured at room temperature in an electromagnet. As the magnetic field strength, which can be reached with the electromagnet, is not large enough to saturate all magnetization curves, the ordinary Hall component was estimated from AHE measurements using a superconducting magnet (Fig. S2). The resulting ordinary Hall component was subtracted from all AHE measurements obtained with the electromagnet.

To estimate the ordinary Hall effect (OHE), magnetization curves were obtained by measuring the transverse resistivity with an applied current of 1 mA in a superconducting magnet cryostat at T=290 K and up to magnetic field values of 8 T. The samples were connected by wire bonding the corners of the unpatterned samples (size of 5 mm × 10 mm). 2 ms long pulses of +1 mA and -1 mA were applied with a Keithley 6221 current source along the sample diagonal. The transverse Hall voltage was measured with a Keithley 2182A nanovoltmeter in Delta mode and was averaged out for 100 data points to remove noise due to temperature fluctuation and thermoelectric contributions. For every magnetic field value this procedure was repeated, and the Hall voltage measured for currents applied along both diagonals of the sample ($R_{\text{Hall,dir1}}$ and $R_{\text{Hall,dir2}}$). The van der Pauw-method was used to eliminate the longitudinal component in the Hall signal. For that purpose, the following formula was used at every field step:

$$R_{\text{Hall,corr}} = \frac{R_{\text{Hall,dir1}} + R_{\text{Hall,dir2}}}{2} \tag{1}$$

The resulting magnetization curves are plotted in Fig. S2. The slope was determined by fitting the data between 8 T and 4.5 T, as well as between -8 T and -4.5 T. For sample MASA697 with 8 nm PtMn, two samples were measured, one after the initial annealing and one after applying -2.7 V. In this case, the obtained slopes of the two samples were averaged, and the error was calculated as the standard deviation. For sample MASA699 with 20 nm PtMn, only one sample was measured, so only the two slopes at positive and negative magnetic field values were averaged. The error was determined as half of the difference between the two slopes.

Figure S2a shows that the slopes obtained for the sample with 8 nm PtMn, in the annealed state and after applying -2.7 V, are very similar, so assuming a constant OHE for all gating steps seems reasonable. Additionally, Figure S2b shows that the same Hall resistances were obtained with the superconducting magnet cryostat (SC) and the electromagnet (EM). Therefore, the slopes obtained from the SC measurements at high fields were subtracted from all measurements performed with the EM. For time

and capacity reasons, it was not possible to perform all measurements in the superconducting magnet cryostat.



SM3. SQUID measurements to characterize the magnetization in different states

FIG. S3. IP and OOP SQUID measurements of the samples Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn (t)/Co (0.9 nm)/HfO₂ (3 nm), with 8 nm (a-f) and 20 nm PtMn (g-i), respectively. The measurements were done in the as-deposited state, after annealing in an OOP magnetic field of 800 mT for 2 h at 300°C and after different gating steps. The first positive initialization voltage was applied for 10 minutes, all other voltages for 5 minutes, respectively. The insets show the zoom into the center of the magnetization curves. All curves were measured up to magnetic fields of ± 4 T.

Superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID) measurements were performed using the Quantum Design MPMS-XL5 SQUID Magnetometer to analyze the initial magnetic state and the changes due to gating. Samples with both 8 and 20 nm PtMn were investigated. Before applying negative gate voltages, both samples show an in-plane (IP) magnetization (Fig. S3a-c and g-h). The small jump at the center of the OOP magnetization loop of the sample with 8 nm PtMn after the application of 3.5 V indicates a small canting in the magnetization (Fig. S3c). After negative gating of -2.5 V, a remanent moment is observed in both IP as well as OOP direction (Fig. S3d and i). When applying -2.9 V, the magnetiz moment per area decreases (Fig. S3e), as was also observed in the AHE loop. The OOP magnetization loop remains a magnetic easy axis loop, while the IP magnetization loop changes slightly towards a magnetic hard axis loop. Subsequent gating with a positive voltage of 3.5 V brings the magnetization back towards the IP direction (Fig. S3f).

For each magnetization curve, the data points with low fit quality <0.7 were removed. The deviations from an ideal point dipole were corrected with a geometry factor, and a small offset in the magnetic field values caused by magnetic field lines trapped in the superconducting magnet was corrected. Then the diamagnetic contribution was subtracted from the data by subtracting the slope obtained from the first 3 data points.



SM4. Anisotropies calculated from the SQUID data

FIG. S4. Evolution of the total anisotropy, demagnetization and interfacial energy per area, obtained from SQUID measurements, in the sample with 8 nm PtMn. The measurements were taken at 300 K and the energies were calculated under the assumption of constant magnetization and changing Co thickness (SM Fig. 3). The initialization voltage of 3.5 V was applied for 10 minutes, all further voltages for 5 minutes each.

To investigate the influence of the gating on the magnetic anisotropy, the changes in the total anisotropy energy, demagnetization energy and interfacial anisotropy energy were examined. To calculate the demagnetization energy from the SQUID loops the saturation magnetization M_5 is required. It is defined as the total magnetic moment per magnetic volume. However, from the XPS measurements we know that the upper layers of the Co are getting oxidized by gating, likely forming an oxygen gradient inside the sample. Therefore, the two limiting cases have to be considered by calculating the average magnetization in the Co layer using the nominal Co thickness, as well as by assuming that the magnetization remains constant, and oxidation purely reduces the Co thickness. In Fig. 3 in the main text, the changes of anisotropy with gating were shown for the case of fixed Co thickness and changing average magnetization. In Fig. S4 the second case is shown, assuming a constant magnetization. Both figures show the same qualitative behavior.

The anisotropies were calculated from the SQUID loops shown in Fig. S3. To obtain the total anisotropy energy, the integrals of the IP and OOP magnetization curves were calculated between the center of the curve, corresponding to its shift, and 2 T. The integrals from the positive and negative side of the curve were averaged and the difference used as the error. Then, the obtained integral from the IP magnetization curve was subtracted from the integral of the OOP curve.

The error on the total anisotropy energy was calculated by error propagation, considering the errors on the integrals of the IP and OOP magnetization curves, as well as the error of the data points close to the zero crossing of the magnetization. This last error was estimated using the sample with 8 nm PtMn after applying -2.5 V (Fig. S3d). In that sample, the zero crossing of the magnetization was very close to ± 1 T. By leaving out these datapoints and calculation the change of the total anisotropy energy, an error of 0.05 MJ/m³ was obtained.

The error on the demagnetization energy was found by error propagation from the error on $M_{s}t$. For some of the measurements with a magnetic hard axis loop in the OOP direction, the obtained values for the maximum magnetic moment per area differed between the corresponding IP and OOP measurements. In these cases, the $M_{s}t$ from the IP magnetization curves was chosen because of the better data quality and reliability of the easy axis magnetization curves. For the error on $M_{s}t$, half of the difference between $M_{s}t$ obtained from the IP and OOP SQUID loops was used.

For the interfacial anisotropy energy, the error was obtained by error propagation considering the errors on the demagnetization and the total anisotropy energy.



SM 5: Stability of the state with OOP magnetization

FIG. S5. Stability check of the OOP state of the sample Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn (8)/Co (0.9 nm)/HfO₂ (3 nm) after applying -2.9 V (1st gating cycle).

Fig. S5 shows the stability of the magnetic state after the application of -2.9 V. No change is visible over 17 h waiting time. The AHE data was measured at room temperature in an electromagnet. For all measurements in the electromagnet, we used the lock-in technique at 625 Hz with the tensormeter RTM2-501 from the HZDR Innovation GmbH. The datapoints at every field step were averaged and the OHE, obtained from the measurements in the superconducting magnet cryostat, subtracted. The error bars, obtained from averaging the data points at every magnetic field step, are smaller than the marker size.

SM 6: Reproducibility of the AHE measurements



FIG. S6. Overview over the slope, longitudinal resistance R_L , shift and coercivity, obtained from the AHE measurements of 3 nominally identical samples of Ta (5 nm)/Pt (3 nm)/PtMn (8 nm)/Co (0.9 nm)/HfO₂ (3 nm) with the labels MASA697 D, E and F. All samples were cut from the same wafer and annealed in the same run. The sample shown in the main text is MASA697 E. The grey box in (d) marks the datapoints which showed a significant drift during the measurement because of the evolution of the magnetic state back towards the OOP direction. The sample MASA697 F was not significantly influenced by this drift because the measurement speed was largely increased for that sample. The voltages on the x-axis represent the successively applied gating voltages and lines only serve as a guide to the eye.

To check the reproducibility of the results measured via the AHE in the electromagnet, the results on three nominally identical samples were compared. The samples show qualitatively the same behavior.

The slope at zero remanence magnetization was determined as the average between the slope at the first and second zero-magnetization-crossing of the magnetization curve. The difference between the two values is given as the error bar. It is a measure for the asymmetry of the magnetization curve. In all samples, the slope shows a strong increase with negative gate voltages and a subsequent decrease with positive gate voltages. However, the samples show differences in the speed, in which they reach their maximum PMA state. For the green curve, the slope still increases from -2.7 V to -2.9 V, although we know from the SQUID measurements on this sample, that the total magnetic moment per area decreases after the application of -2.9 V. For the blue curve, the slope decreases at -2.9 V, so the decrease in the total magnetic moment has a larger influence on the slope than the increase in PMA. However, after the application of +3.0 V, when the magnetic moment partly recovered, the slope reaches a value larger than that for -2.7 V. This indicates that the speed in which the magnetic moment and the magnetic anisotropies change can slightly vary between the samples. The overall behavior remains unchanged in all three samples.

The plotted longitudinal resistance R_{L} is the average longitudinal resistance at every gating step. The deviation of the datapoints at every gating step was used as the error. It is however smaller than the marker size. The longitudinal resistance shows an increase with a negative gate voltage, as expected from oxidation. With positive gate voltage, the resistance decreases again.

The error on the shift and coercivity was estimated to be 2.38 mT by investigating the shift of samples in the as deposited state. In that state, no exchange bias was set yet, so no shift should be present. Additionally, the error caused by the drift of the magnetization back towards the OOP state was added. The affected datapoints are the last two datapoints for the samples MASA697 D and E, after the application of 3.3 V and 3.5 V. The error was estimated as 4 mT and 5 mT, respectively, for the coercivity, and 1 mT for the shift. Before measuring the sample MASA697 F, the measurement program was updated, and the measurement speed significantly increased from 42 minutes to 11 minutes per measurement. Therefore, the data of MASA697 F was not significantly influenced by the slow drift anymore.

SM 7: X-ray photoemission spectroscopy (XPS) information

XPS measurements were performed using a Kratos Axis Ultra DLD imaging photoelectron spectrometer. Measurements were carried out in the instrument's hybrid mode with a monochromated Al K α source (1486.7 eV) operating at 10 mA emission current and 15 kV voltage bias in an UHV chamber with a base pressure of approximately 1x10⁻¹⁰ mbar. The source line width is approximately 1.0 eV FWHM, as calibrated with the Ag 3d_{5/2} line. The analysis area was 700 µm × 300 µm (i.e., X-ray spot size). The data was collected using a hemispherical analyzer at an angle of 0° to the surface normal. The takeoff angle was kept fixed along the surface normal in all experiments. Survey spectra were measured at a pass energy of 80 eV and the elemental spectra at 20 eV.

The analysis of the obtained spectra was done using the software 'casaXPS'. For the charge compensation the Pt 4f peak was aligned to its theory value, as Pt does not undergo oxidation. The background was subtracted for all Co spectra using the Spline Tougaard function². For the Mn spectra, a linear background was subtracted because the background was rising towards smaller binding energies. All oxide peaks, as well as the satellite peaks, were fitted with the Gaussian/Lorentzian product formula 'GL(30)'. The asymmetric metallic peaks were fitted with a Lorentzian asymmetric lineshape 'LA(0.8,8,100)'. The theoretical peak positions, including multiplet splitting and satellites, were taken from the study by Biesinger et al.³

REFERENCES

¹ P. Villars, and L.D. Calvert, *Pearson's Handbook of Crystallographic Data for Intermetallic Phases* (1985).

² Casa Software Ltd, "Peak Fitting in XPS," (2006).

³ M.C. Biesinger, B.P. Payne, A.P. Grosvenor, L.W.M. Lau, A.R. Gerson, and R.S.C. Smart, "Resolving surface chemical states in XPS analysis of first row transition metals, oxides and hydroxides: Cr, Mn, Fe, Co and Ni," Appl. Surf. Sci. **257**(7), 2717–2730 (2011).