

ON THE SEMIGROUP INJECTIVE MONOID ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE MONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ WITH A THREE ELEMENT FAMILY \mathcal{F}^3 OF INDUCTIVE NONEMPTY SUBSETS OF ω

OLEG GUTIK AND MARKO SERIVKA

ABSTRACT. We describe injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ with a three element family \mathcal{F}^3 of inductive nonempty subsets of ω . Also, we show that the monoid $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ of all injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

1. INTRODUCTION, MOTIVATION AND MAIN DEFINITIONS

We shall follow the terminology of [1, 2, 13]. By ω we denote the set of all non-negative integers and by \mathbb{N} the set of all positive integers.

Let $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ be the family of all subsets of ω . For any $F \in \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and any integer n we put $n + F = \{n + k : k \in F\}$ if $F \neq \emptyset$ and $n + \emptyset = \emptyset$. A subfamily $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is called ω -closed if $F_1 \cap (-n + F_2) \in \mathcal{F}$ for all $n \in \omega$ and $F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}$. For any $a \in \omega$ we denote $[a] = \{x \in \omega : x \geq a\}$.

A subset A of ω is said to be *inductive*, if $i \in A$ implies $i + 1 \in A$. Obvious, that \emptyset is an inductive subset of ω .

Remark 1.1 ([5]). (1) By Lemma 6 from [4] nonempty subset $F \subseteq \omega$ is inductive in ω if and only $(-1 + F) \cap F = F$.
 (2) Since the set ω with the usual order is well-ordered, for any nonempty inductive subset F in ω there exists nonnegative integer $n_F \in \omega$ such that $[n_F] = F$.
 (3) Statement (2) implies that the intersection of an arbitrary finite family of nonempty inductive subsets in ω is a nonempty inductive subset of ω .

For an arbitrary semigroup S any homomorphism $\alpha : S \rightarrow S$ is called an *endomorphism* of S . If the semigroup has the identity element 1_S then the endomorphism α of S such that $(1_S)\alpha = 1_S$ is said to be a *monoid endomorphism* of S . A bijective endomorphism of S is called an *automorphism*.

A semigroup S is called *inverse* if for any element $x \in S$ there exists a unique $x^{-1} \in S$ such that $xx^{-1}x = x$ and $x^{-1}xx^{-1} = x^{-1}$. The element x^{-1} is called the *inverse* of $x \in S$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then the function $\text{inv} : S \rightarrow S$ which assigns to every element x of S its inverse element x^{-1} is called the *inversion*.

If S is a semigroup, then we shall denote the subset of all idempotents in S by $E(S)$. If S is an inverse semigroup, then $E(S)$ is closed under multiplication and we shall refer to $E(S)$ as a *band* (or the *band of* S). Then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on $E(S)$: $e \preceq f$ if and only if $ef = fe = e$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on $E(S)$. A *semilattice* is a commutative semigroup of idempotents.

If S is an inverse semigroup then the semigroup operation on S determines the following partial order \preceq on S : $s \preceq t$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(S)$ such that $s = te$. This order is called the *natural partial order* on S [17].

Date: April 22, 2024.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 20M18; Secondary 20M10, 20M20.

Key words and phrases. Bicyclic monoid, inverse semigroup, bicyclic extension, endomorphism, semigroup of endomorphisms, multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

The *bicyclic monoid* $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is the semigroup with the identity 1 generated by two elements p and q subjected only to the condition $pq = 1$. The semigroup operation on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is determined as follows:

$$q^k p^l \cdot q^m p^n = q^{k+m-\min\{l,m\}} p^{l+n-\min\{l,m\}}.$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a bisimple (and hence simple) combinatorial E -unitary inverse semigroup and every non-trivial congruence on $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ is a group congruence [1].

On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega = \omega \times \omega$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(1) \quad (i_1, j_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

It is well known that the bicyclic monoid $\mathcal{C}(p, q)$ to the semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω is isomorphic by the mapping $\mathfrak{h}: \mathcal{C}(p, q) \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega, q^k p^l \mapsto (k, l)$ (see: [1, Section 1.12] or [15, Exercise IV.1.11(ii)]).

Next we shall describe the construction which is introduced in [4].

Let \mathcal{F} be an ω -closed subfamily of $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$. On the set $\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}$ we define the semigroup operation “ \cdot ” in the following way

$$(2) \quad (i_1, j_1, F_1) \cdot (i_2, j_2, F_2) = \begin{cases} (i_1 - j_1 + i_2, j_2, (j_1 - i_2 + F_1) \cap F_2), & \text{if } j_1 \leq i_2; \\ (i_1, j_1 - i_2 + j_2, F_1 \cap (i_2 - j_1 + F_2)), & \text{if } j_1 \geq i_2. \end{cases}$$

In [4] is proved that if the family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ is ω -closed then $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$ is a semigroup. Moreover, if an ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ contains the empty set \emptyset then the set $\mathbf{I} = \{(i, j, \emptyset) : i, j \in \omega\}$ is an ideal of the semigroup $(\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot)$. For any ω -closed family $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(\omega)$ the following semigroup

$$\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot) / \mathbf{I}, & \text{if } \emptyset \in \mathcal{F}; \\ (\mathbf{B}_\omega \times \mathcal{F}, \cdot), & \text{if } \emptyset \notin \mathcal{F} \end{cases}$$

is defined in [4]. The semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ generalizes the bicyclic monoid and the countable semigroup of matrix units. In [4] it is proved that $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is a combinatorial inverse semigroup and Green’s relations, the natural partial order on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and its set of idempotents are described. Here, the criteria when the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is simple, 0-simple, bisimple, 0-bisimple, or it has the identity, are given. In particular in [4] it is proved that the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the semigroup of $\omega \times \omega$ -matrix units if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a singleton set and the empty set, and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic monoid if and only if \mathcal{F} consists of a non-empty inductive subset of ω .

Group congruences on the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when an ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω are studied in [5]. It is proven that a congruence \mathfrak{C} on $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is a group congruence if and only if its restriction on a subsemigroup of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$, which is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup, is not the identity relation. Also in [5], all non-trivial homomorphic retracts and isomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ are described. In [6] it is proved that an injective endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is the identity transformation if and only if ε has three distinct fixed points, which is equivalent to existence non-idempotent element $(i, j, [p]) \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ such that $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon = (i, j, [p])$.

In [3, 14] the algebraic structure of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ is established in the case when ω -closed family \mathcal{F} consists of atomic subsets of ω . The structure of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, for the family \mathcal{F}_n which is generated by the initial interval $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ of ω , is studied in [8]. The semigroup of endomorphisms of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is described in [7, 16].

It is well-known that every automorphism of the bicyclic monoid \mathbf{B}_ω is the identity self-map of \mathbf{B}_ω [1], and hence the group $\mathbf{Aut}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$ of automorphisms of \mathbf{B}_ω is trivial. In [12] it is proved that the semigroups $\mathbf{End}(\mathbf{B}_\omega)$ of the endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup \mathbf{B}_ω is isomorphic to the semidirect products $(\omega, +) \rtimes_\varphi (\omega, *)$, where $+$ and $*$ are the usual addition and the usual multiplication on the set of non-negative integers ω .

In the paper [9] injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω are studied. Here the authors describe the elements of the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F})$ of all injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^\mathcal{F}$, and show that Green’s relations

\mathcal{R} , \mathcal{L} , \mathcal{H} , \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{J} on $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ coincide with the relation of equality. In [10, 11] the semigroup $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of all monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is studied.

Later we assume that \mathcal{F}^3 is a family of inductive nonempty subsets of ω which consists of three sets. By Proposition 1 of [5] for any ω -closed family \mathcal{F} of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ there exists an ω -closed family \mathcal{F}^* of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ such that $[0] \in \mathcal{F}^*$ and the semigroups $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^*}$ are isomorphic. Hence without loss of generality we may assume that the family \mathcal{F} contains the set $[0]$, i.e., $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$. Later in the paper we denote $\mathcal{F}_{0,1} = \{[0], [1]\}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1,2} = \{[1], [2]\}$ as subfamilies of \mathcal{F}^3 .

In this paper we describe injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Also, we show that the monoid $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$ of all injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ is isomorphic to the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

2. ON INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE MONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ WHICH INDUCE INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF ITS SUBMONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$

If \mathcal{F} is an arbitrary ω -closed family \mathcal{F} of inductive subsets in $\mathcal{P}(\omega)$ and $[s] \in \mathcal{F}$ for some $s \in \omega$ then

$$B_\omega^{\{[s]\}} = \{(i, j, [s]) : i, j \in \omega\}$$

is a subsemigroup of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ [5] and by Proposition 3 of [4] the semigroup $B_\omega^{\{[s]\}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup.

Later we need the following theorem from [6].

Theorem 2.1 ([6, Theorem 2]). *Let \mathcal{F} be an ω -closed family of inductive nonempty subsets of ω , which contains at least two sets. Then for an injective monoid endomorphism ε of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) ε is the identity map;
- (ii) there exists a nonidempotent element $(i, j, [p]) \in B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ such that $(i, j, [p])\varepsilon = (i, j, [p])$;
- (iii) the map ε has at least three fixed points.

Let $\mathcal{F}^2 = \{[0], [1]\}$. For an arbitrary positive integer k and any $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ we define the transformation $\alpha_{k,p}$ of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\alpha_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\alpha_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$. Also, for an arbitrary positive integer $k \geq 2$ and any $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ we define the transformation $\beta_{k,p}$ of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$ in the following way

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\beta_{k,p} &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\beta_{k,p} &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

The following theorem is proved in [9].

Theorem 2.2 ([9, Theorem 1]). *Let $\mathcal{F}^2 = \{[0], [1]\}$ and ε be an injective monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^2}$. Then either there exist a positive integer k and $p \in \{0, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{k,p}$ or there exist a positive integer $k \geq 2$ and $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\varepsilon = \beta_{k,p}$.*

Example 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$. Fix an arbitrary positive integer k . We define the transformation $\alpha_{[k]}$ of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ in the following way

$$(i, j, [p])\alpha_{[k]} = \begin{cases} (ki, kj, [p]), & \text{if } p \in \{0, 1\}; \\ (k(i+1)-1, k(j+1)-1, [2]), & \text{if } p = 2, \end{cases}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$. It is obvious that $\alpha_{[k]}$ is an injective transformation of the monoid $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$.

Lemma 2.4. *For an arbitrary positive integer k the transformation $\alpha_{[k]}: \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3} \rightarrow \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$.*

Proof. It is obvious that in the case when $k = 1$ the map $\alpha_{[k]}$ is the identity transformation of the monoid $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, i.e., $\alpha_{[k]}$ is an automorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, and hence later without loss of generality we may assume that $k \geq 2$.

By Lemma 2 of [9] the restrictions of the map $\alpha_{[k]}$ onto the subsemigroups $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ are injective monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ and $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}}$, respectively. Hence it is complete to show that the map $\alpha_{[k]}$ preserves the semigroup operation in the following two cases

$$(i_0, j_0, [0]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [2]) \quad \text{and} \quad (i_2, j_2, [2]) \cdot (i_0, j_0, [0]).$$

Then we get that

$$\begin{aligned} ((i_0, j_0, [0]) \cdot (i_2, j_2, [2]))\alpha_{[k]} &= \begin{cases} (i_0 - j_0 + i_2, j_2, (j_0 - i_2 + [0]) \cap [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 < i_2; \\ (i_0, j_2, [0] \cap [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 = i_2; \\ (i_0, j_0 - i_2 + j_2, [0] \cap (-1 + [2]))\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 = i_2 + 1; \\ (i_0, j_0 - i_2 + j_2, [0] \cap (i_2 - j_0 + [2]))\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 \geq i_2 + 2 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_0 - j_0 + i_2, j_2, [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 < i_2; \\ (i_0, j_2, [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 = i_2; \\ (i_0, j_2 + 1, [1])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 = i_2 + 1; \\ (i_0, j_0 - i_2 + j_2, [0])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_0 \geq i_2 + 2 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_0 - j_0 + i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 < i_2; \\ (k(i_0 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 = i_2; \\ (ki_0, k(j_2 + 1), [1]), & \text{if } j_0 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_0, k(j_0 - i_2 + j_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_0 \geq i_2 + 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (i_0, j_0, [0])\alpha_{[k]} \cdot (i_2, j_2, [2])\alpha_{[k]} &= (ki_0, kj_0, [0]) \cdot (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]) \\ &= \begin{cases} (ki_0 - kj_0 + k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, (kj_0 - (k(i_2 + 1) - 1) + [0]) \cap [2]), & \text{if } kj_0 < k(i_2 + 1) - 1; \\ (ki_0, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [0] \cap [2]), & \text{if } kj_0 = k(i_2 + 1) - 1; \\ (ki_0, kj_0 - (k(i_2 + 1) - 1) + k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [0] \cap (k(i_2 + 1) - 1 - kj_0 + [2])), & \text{if } kj_0 > k(i_2 + 1) - 1 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_0 - j_0 + i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 < i_2 + 1 - 1/k; \\ (ki_0, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 = i_2 + 1 - 1/k; \\ (ki_0, k(j_0 - i_2 + j_2), [0] \cap (k(i_2 + 1) - 1 - kj_0 + [2])), & \text{if } j_0 > i_2 + 1 - 1/k; \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_0 - j_0 + i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 < i_2; \\ (k(i_0 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_0 = i_2; \\ (ki_0, k(j_2 + 1), [1]), & \text{if } j_0 = i_2 + 1; \\ (ki_0, k(j_0 - i_2 + j_2), [0]), & \text{if } j_0 \geq i_2 + 2, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

because $k \geq 2$ and the equality $j_0 = i_2 + 1 - 1/k$ is impossible; and

$$\begin{aligned} ((i_2, j_2, [2]) \cdot (i_0, j_0, [0]))\alpha_{[k]} &= \begin{cases} (i_2 - j_2 + i_0, j_0, (j_2 - i_0 + [2]) \cap [0])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 < i_0; \\ (i_2, j_0, [2] \cap [0])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 = i_0; \\ (i_2, j_2 - i_0 + j_0, [2] \cap (i_0 - j_2 + [0]))\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 > i_0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (i_2 - j_2 + i_0, j_0, [0])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 + 2 \leq i_0; \\ (i_2 + 1, j_0, [1])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 + 1 = i_0; \\ (i_2, j_0, [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 = i_0; \\ (i_2, j_2 - i_0 + j_0, [2])\alpha_{[k]}, & \text{if } j_2 > i_0 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \begin{cases} (k(i_2 - j_2 + i_0), kj_0, [0]), & \text{if } j_2 + 2 \leq i_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1), kj_0, [1]), & \text{if } j_2 + 1 = i_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_0 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 = i_0; \\ (k(i + 1) - 1_2, k(j_2 - i_0 + j_0 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 > i_0, \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (i_2, j_2, [2])\alpha_{[k]} \cdot (i_0, j_0, [0])\alpha_{[k]} &= (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1, [2]) \cdot (ki_0, kj_0, [0]) \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_2 + 1) - 1 - (k(j_2 + 1) - 1) + ki_0, kj_0, (k(j_2 + 1) - 1 - ki_0 + [2]) \cap [0]), & \text{if } k(j_2 + 1) - 1 < ki_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, kj_0, [2] \cap [0]), & \text{if } k(j_2 + 1) - 1 = ki_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 + 1) - 1 - ki_0 + kj_0, [2] \cap (ki_0 - (k(j_2 + 1) - 1) + [0])), & \text{if } k(j_2 + 1) - 1 > ki_0 \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_2 - j_2 + i_0), kj_0, (k(j_2 + 1) - 1 - ki_0 + [2])), & \text{if } j_2 + 1 < i_0 + 1/k; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, kj_0, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 + 1 = i_0 + 1/k; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_2 - i_0 + j_0 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 + 1 > i_0 + 1/k \end{cases} \\ &= \begin{cases} (k(i_2 - j_2 + i_0), kj_0, [0]), & \text{if } j_2 + 2 \leq i_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1), kj_0, (k(j_2 + 1) - 1 - ki_0 + [2])), & \text{if } j_2 + 1 = i_0; \\ (k(i_2 + 1) - 1, k(j_0 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 = i_0; \\ (k(i + 1) - 1_2, k(j_2 - i_0 + j_0 + 1) - 1, [2]), & \text{if } j_2 > i_0, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

because $k \geq 2$ and the equality $j_2 + 1 = i_0 + 1/k$ is impossible. This completes the proof of the lemma. \square

Proposition 2.5. *Let ε be an injective monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that*

$$(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [0]), \quad (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [1]), \quad \text{and} \quad (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[2]\}}.$$

Then there exists a positive integer k such that $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$.

Proof. If $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [2])$ then by Theorem 2.1 we get that ε is the identity map of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, and hence $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$ for $k = 1$.

Later we assume that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \neq (0, 0, [2])$. By Lemma 2 of [9] the restrictions of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$. The above arguments, the assumptions of the proposition, and Theorem 2.2 imply that there exists a positive integer k such that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\varepsilon &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\varepsilon &= (ki, kj, [1]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$. Again, by Lemma 2 of [9] the restrictions of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{1,2}}$. This, the above arguments, and Theorem 2.2 imply that there exists a positive integer $s \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that

$$(i, j, [2])\varepsilon = (ki + s, kj + s, [1]),$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

We claim that $s = k - 1$. Indeed, the semigroup operation of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ implies that

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [0] \cap (-1 + [2])) = (1, 1, [0] \cap ([1])) = (1, 1, [1]).$$

Since ε is an endomorphism of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k, k, [1]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
&= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\
&= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\
&= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (s, s, [2]) = \\
&= (k, k - s + s, [0] \cap (s - k + [2])) = \\
&= (k, k, [0] \cap [s - k + 2]),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\max\{0, s - k + 2\} = 1$. Then $s - k + 2 = 1$, and hence $s = k - 1$. \square

Proposition 2.6. *Let ε be an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. If $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [0])$ and $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [1])$, then $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$ for some positive integer k .*

Proof. Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$. Since $(0, 0, [0])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [0])$ and $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (0, 0, [1])$, Theorem 2.2 implies that there exists a positive integer k such that $(i, j, [0])\varepsilon = (ki, kj, [0])$ and $(i, j, [1])\varepsilon = (ki, kj, [1])$ for all $i, j \in \omega$. Since $(0, 0, [2])$ is an idempotent of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, Proposition 1.4.21(2) of [13] implies so is $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon$. By Lemma 2 of [4] there exists $s \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (s, s, [1])$. The inequalities $(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1])$ and Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k, k, [1]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (s, s, [1]) \preceq \\
&\preceq (0, 0, [1]) = (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the endomorphism ε is an injective map, Lemma 5 of [4] implies that $0 < s < k$. The semigroup operation of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ implies that

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [0] \cap (-1 + [2])) = (1, 1, [0] \cap ([1])) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

and hence we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k, k, [1]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
&= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\
&= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\
&= (s, s, [1]) \cdot (k, k, [0]) = \\
&= (s - s + k, k, (s - k + [1]) \cap [0]) = \\
&= (k, k, [0]),
\end{aligned}$$

because $s < k$. The obtained contradiction implies that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \notin \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$. Since $(0, 0, [2])$ is an idempotent of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$, Proposition 1.4.21(2) of [13] and Lemma 2 of [4] imply that there exists $t \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [0])$. The semigroup operation of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ implies that

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [0] \cap (-1 + [2])) = (1, 1, [0] \cap ([1])) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

and by Theorem 2.2 we get that there exist a positive integer k such that $(i, j, [0])\varepsilon = (ki, kj, [0])$ and $(i, j, [1])\varepsilon = (ki, kj, [1])$ for all $i, j \in \omega$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
(k, k, [1]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
&= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\
&= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\
&= (t, t, [0]) \cdot (k, k, [0]) = \\
&= (\max\{t, k\}, \max\{t, k\}, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}},
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \notin \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$.

The above argument imply that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[2]\}}$. Next we apply Proposition 2.5. \square

Proposition 2.7. *For an arbitrary injective monoid endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exist no a positive integer k and $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that the restriction $\varepsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}}$ of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ coincides with the endomorphism $\alpha_{k,p}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that exist a positive integer k and $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $\varepsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}} = \alpha_{k,p}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\varepsilon &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\varepsilon &= (p + ki, p + kj, [1]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[2]\}}$. By the choice of the integer p and by the description of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) we get that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [2])$. The semigroup operation of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ implies that

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

and hence we have that

$$(k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [2]) = (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p + k, p + k, [1]).$$

The structure of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Proposition 3 in [5]) implies that

$$(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]).$$

Hence by Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] we have that

$$(p + k, p + k, [1]) = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (t, t, [2]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [1]).$$

The above arguments and Lemma 5 of [4] imply that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. Then the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (p + k, p + k, [1]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [2]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [2]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [0] \cap (t - k + [2])), & \text{if } k > t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

imply that $t - k = -1$ and $k = k + p$. The last equality contradicts the assumption.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$. Then by the choice of the integer p and by the structure of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) we obtain that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [1])$. Since

$$(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]),$$

by Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] we have that

$$(p + k, p + k, [1]) = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (t, t, [1]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [1]).$$

The above arguments and Lemma 5 of [4] imply that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. These inequalities and the injectivity of the map ε imply that $p < t < k + p$. Then the equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

imply that

$$\begin{aligned} (p + k, p + k, [1]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [1]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [1]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [0]), & \text{if } k > t, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and hence $t = k + p$, a contradiction.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$. Then by the choice of the integer p and the description of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) we get that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [0])$. Since

$$(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]).$$

by Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] we have that

$$(p + k, p + k, [1]) = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (t, t, [0]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [1]).$$

The above arguments and Lemma 5 of [4] imply that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. Since

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

we obtain that

$$(p + k, p + k, [1]) = (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [0]) = (\max\{k, t\}, \max\{k, t\}, [0]),$$

a contradiction.

The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the proposition. \square

Proposition 2.8. *For any an injective monoid endomorphism ε of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ there exist no a positive integer $k \geq 2$ and $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ such that the restriction $\varepsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}}$ of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ coincides with the endomorphism $\beta_{k,p}$ of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that exist a positive integer k and $p \in \{1, \dots, k - 1\}$ such that $\varepsilon|_{\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}} = \beta_{k,p}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (i, j, [0])\varepsilon &= (ki, kj, [0]), \\ (i, j, [1])\varepsilon &= (p + ki, p + kj, [0]), \end{aligned}$$

for all $i, j \in \omega$.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[2]\}}$. Then by the choice of the integer p and the description of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) we obtain that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [2])$. Since

$$(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1]).$$

by Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] we have that

$$(p + k, p + k, [1]) = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (t, t, [2]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [1]).$$

The above arguments and Lemma 5 of [4] imply that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. The semigroup operation of $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ implies that

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

and hence we have that

$$(k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [2]) = (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p + k, p + k, [0]).$$

Then the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (p + k, p + k, [0]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [2]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [2]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [0] \cap (t - k + [2])), & \text{if } k > t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

imply that $k = k + p$, and hence $p = 0$. A contradiction.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_\omega^{\{[1]\}}$. The choice of the integer p and the structure of the natural partial order on $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) imply that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [1])$. Similar as in the previous case we get that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. Then the equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

implies that

$$(k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [1]) = (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p + k, p + k, [0]),$$

and hence the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} (p + k, p + k, [0]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [1]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [1]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [0]), & \text{if } k > t \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

imply that $k = k + p$, and hence $p = 0$. A contradiction.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in B_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$. The choice of the integer p and the structure of the natural partial order on $E(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ (see Lemma 5 of [4] or Proposition 3 in [5]) imply that there exists a positive integer t such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [0])$. Similar as in the previous case we get that $p \leq t \leq k + p$. Then the equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

implies that

$$(k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [0]) = (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p + k, p + k, [0]).$$

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (p + k, p + k, [0]) &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [0]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [0]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [0]), & \text{if } k > t. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

If $k = k + p$ then $p = 0$, which contradicts the assumption of the proposition. If $t = p + k$ then

$$(1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p + k, p + k, [0]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon,$$

which contradicts the injectivity of the map ε .

The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the proposition. \square

The following theorem summarises the main result of this section and it follows from Lemma 2.4 and Propositions 2.5–2.8.

Theorem 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$ and ε be an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. If the restriction $\varepsilon|_{B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}}$ of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is an injective monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$, then $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$ for some positive integer k .*

3. ON INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE MONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ WHICH DO NOT INDUCE INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF ITS SUBMONOID $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$. Then there exists no an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that the restriction $\varepsilon|_{B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}}$ of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is not a monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ such that the restriction $\varepsilon|_{B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}}$ of the map ε onto the subsemigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$ of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is not a monoid endomorphism of $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}$. By Proposition 3 of [4], for any $n = 0, 1, 2$ the semigroup $B_\omega^{\{[n]\}}$ is isomorphic to the bicyclic semigroup. By Proposition 4 of [5] we have that $(i, j, [0])\varepsilon \in B_\omega^{\{[0]\}}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$, because ε is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Moreover, by Theorem 1 from [12] there exists a positive integer k such that $(i, j, [0])\varepsilon = (ki, kj, [0])$ for all $i, j \in \omega$. Again, Proposition 4 of [5] implies that for any $n \in \{1, 2\}$ there exists $m_n \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ such that $(i, j, [n])\varepsilon \in B_\omega^{\{[m_n]\}}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$. The above arguments and Theorem 2.2 imply that $(i, j, [1])\varepsilon \in B_\omega^{\{[2]\}}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$.

We remark that the assumption that

$$(i, j, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_{0,1}}, \quad \text{for all } i, j \in \omega,$$

contradicts the equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]).$$

Indeed, by Proposition 1.4.21(2) of [13], $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon$ is an idempotent of $\mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}_3}$. If $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [0])$ for some $t \in \omega$ (see Lemma 2 in [4]), then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon &= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\ &= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\ &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [0]) = \\ &= (\max\{k, t\}, \max\{k, t\}, [0]) \in \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\{[0]\}}. \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the condition that $(i, j, [1])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\{[2]\}}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$. If $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [1])$ for some $t \in \omega$ (see Lemma 2 in [4]), then we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon &= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\ &= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\ &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [1]) = \\ &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [1]), & \text{if } t \geq k; \\ (k, k, [0]), & \text{if } t < k. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This contradicts the condition that $(i, j, [1])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\{[2]\}}$ for all $i, j \in \omega$.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \in \mathbf{B}_{\omega}^{\{[2]\}}$. By Lemma 2 from [4] there exists $t \in \omega$ such that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [2])$. Since $(0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1])$, Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] implies that $(0, 0, [2])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon$, and hence $t \neq 0$.

Suppose that $(0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [2])$ for some $p \in \omega$. Since

$$(1, 0, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [0]) = ((1, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [0])) = (1, 1, [1]),$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon &= ((1, 0, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [0]))\varepsilon = \\ &= (1, 0, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 1, [0])\varepsilon = \\ &= (k, 0, [0]) \cdot (p, p, [2]) \cdot (0, k, [0]) = \\ &= (k + p, p, [2]) \cdot (0, k, [0]) = \\ &= (k + p, k + p, [2]). \end{aligned}$$

Put $(0, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (x, y, [2])$. By Proposition 1.4.21 from [13] and Lemma 4 of [4] we get that

$$\begin{aligned} (1, 0, [1])\varepsilon &= ((0, 1, [1])^{-1})\varepsilon = \\ &= ((0, 1, [1])\varepsilon)^{-1} = \\ &= (x, y, [2])^{-1} = \\ &= (y, x, [2]). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} (p, p, [2]) &= (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = ((0, 1, [1]) \cdot (1, 0, [1]))\varepsilon = \\ &= (0, 1, [1])\varepsilon \cdot (1, 0, [1])\varepsilon = \\ &= (x, y, [2]) \cdot (y, x, [2]) = \\ &= (x, x, [2]) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (k+p, k+p, [2]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
 &= ((1, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, 1, [1]))\varepsilon = \\
 &= (1, 0, [1])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
 &= (y, x, [2]) \cdot (x, y, [2]) = \\
 &= (y, y, [2]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence by the definition of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ we get that

$$(0, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (p, k+p, [2]) \quad \text{and} \quad (1, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (k+p, p, [2]).$$

Then for any $i, j \in \omega$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (i, j, [1])\varepsilon &= ((i, 0, [1]) \cdot (0, j, [1]))\varepsilon = \\
 &= ((1, 0, [1])^i \cdot (0, 1, [1])^j)\varepsilon = \\
 &= ((1, 0, [1])\varepsilon)^i \cdot ((0, 1, [1])\varepsilon)^j = \\
 &= (k+p, p, [2])^i \cdot (p, k+p, [2])^j = \\
 &= (ki+p, p, [2]) \cdot (p, kj+p, [2]) = \\
 &= (ki+p, kj+p, [2]).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $(1, 1, [0]) \preceq (0, 0, [1])$ in $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$, by Proposition 1.4.21(6) from [13] we have that

$$(k, k, [0]) = (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [2]).$$

Then Lemma 5 of [4] implies that $k \geq 2$. Also, the inequalities

$$(1, 1, [1]) \preceq (0, 0, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1])$$

in $E(\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ and Proposition 1.4.21(6) of [13] imply that

$$(k+p, k+p, [2]) = (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon \preceq (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = (t, t, [2]) \preceq (0, 0, [1])\varepsilon = (p, p, [2]).$$

By Lemma 5 of [4] we get that $p \leq t \leq k+p$. Since ε is an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $\mathbf{B}_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ we conclude that $p < t < k+p$.

The equality

$$(1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]) = (1, 1, [1]).$$

implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (k+p, k+p, [2]) &= (1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = \\
 &= ((1, 1, [0]) \cdot (0, 0, [2]))\varepsilon = \\
 &= (1, 1, [0])\varepsilon \cdot (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon = \\
 &= (k, k, [0]) \cdot (t, t, [2]) = \\
 &= \begin{cases} (t, t, [2]), & \text{if } k \leq t; \\ (k, k, [1]), & \text{if } k = t+1; \\ (k, k, [0]), & \text{if } k \geq t+2. \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $k \leq t$ and $k+p = t$. The last equality implies that

$$(1, 1, [1])\varepsilon = (k+p, k+p, [2]) = (0, 0, [2])\varepsilon,$$

which contradicts the injectivity of the map ε .

The obtained contradictions imply the statement of the theorem. □

4. ON THE MONOID OF ALL INJECTIVE ENDOMORPHISMS OF THE SEMIGROUP $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$

Theorems 2.9 and 3.1 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$ and ε be an injective monoid endomorphism of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$. Then $\varepsilon = \alpha_{[k]}$ for some positive integer k .*

By (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) we denote the multiplicative semigroup of positive integers.

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\mathcal{F}^3 = \{[0], [1], [2]\}$. Then the monoid $\mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3})$ of all injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}^3}$ is isomorphic to (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) .*

Proof. Fix arbitrary injective endomorphisms ε_1 and ε_2 of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$. By Theorem 4.1 there exist positive integers k_1 and k_2 such that $\varepsilon_1 = \alpha_{[k_1]}$ and $\varepsilon_2 = \alpha_{[k_2]}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} ((i, j, [0])\alpha_{[k_1]})\alpha_{[k_2]} &= (k_1 i, k_1 j, [0])\alpha_{[k_2]} = (k_2 k_1 i, k_2 k_1 j, [0]) = (i, j, [0])\alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]}; \\ ((i, j, [1])\alpha_{[k_1]})\alpha_{[k_2]} &= (k_1 i, k_1 j, [1])\alpha_{[k_2]} = (k_2 k_1 i, k_2 k_1 j, [1]) = (i, j, [1])\alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]}; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((i, j, [2])\alpha_{[k_1]})\alpha_{[k_2]} &= (k_1(i+1)-1, k_1(j+1)-1, [2])\alpha_{[k_2]} = \\ &= (k_2(k_1(i+1)-1+1)-1, k_2(k_1(j+1)-1+1)-1, [2]) = \\ &= (k_2 k_1(i+1)-1, k_2 k_1(j+1)-1, [2]) = \\ &= (i, j, [2])\alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]}, \end{aligned}$$

for any $i, j \in \omega$. Hence we obtain that $\alpha_{[k_1]}\alpha_{[k_2]} = \alpha_{[k_1 \cdot k_2]}$. It is obvious that the mapping $\mathbf{i}: (\mathbb{N}, \cdot) \rightarrow \mathbf{End}_*^1(B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}})$, $k \mapsto \alpha_{[k]}$, is an injective homomorphism and by Theorem 4.1 it is surjective. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1961. [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [1](#)
- [2] A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. II., Amer. Math. Soc. Surveys 7, Providence, R.I., 1967. [1](#)
- [3] O. Gutik and O. Lysetska, *On the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F} of atomic subsets of ω* , Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 34–50. [1](#)
- [4] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On some generalization of the bicyclic monoid*, Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 5–19 (in Ukrainian). [1](#), [1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [5] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On group congruences on the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ and its homomorphic retracts in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of inductive non-empty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **91** (2021), 5–27 (in Ukrainian). [1.1](#), [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#)
- [6] O. Gutik and M. Mykhalenych, *On automorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ in the case when the family \mathcal{F} consists of nonempty inductive subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **93** (2022), 54–65 (in Ukrainian). [1](#), [2](#), [2.1](#)
- [7] O. V. Gutik and O. B. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup of injective endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$ which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of initial finite intervals of ω* , Mat. Metody Fiz.-Mekh. Polya **65** (2022), no. 1–2, 42–57. [1](#)
- [8] O. V. Gutik and O. B. Popadiuk, *On the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}_n}$, which is generated by the family \mathcal{F}_n of finite bounded intervals of ω* , Carpathian Math. Publ. **15** (2023), no. 2, 331–355. [1](#)
- [9] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of injective monoid endomorphisms of the monoid $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **94** (2022), 32–55. [1](#), [2](#), [2.2](#), [2](#), [2](#)
- [10] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of non-injective monoid endomorphisms of the semigroup $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-element family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **95** (2023) (to appear). [1](#)
- [11] O. Gutik and I. Pozdniakova, *On the semigroup of endomorphisms of the monoid $B_\omega^{\mathcal{F}}$ with the two-elements family \mathcal{F} of inductive nonempty subsets of ω* , Preprint (in preparation). [1](#)
- [12] O. Gutik, O. Prokhorenkova, and D. Sekh, *On endomorphisms of the bicyclic semigroup and the extended bicyclic semigroup*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **92** (2021) 5–16 (in Ukrainian). [1](#), [3](#)
- [13] M. Lawson, *Inverse semigroups. The theory of partial symmetries*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998. [1](#), [2](#), [2](#), [2](#), [3](#)

- [14] O. Lysetska, *On feebly compact topologies on the semigroup $B_{\omega}^{\mathcal{F}^1}$* , Visnyk Lviv. Univ. Ser. Mech.-Mat. **90** (2020), 48–56. [1](#)
- [15] M. Petrich, *Inverse semigroups*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1984. [1](#)
- [16] O. Popadiuk, *On endomorphisms of the inverse semigroup of convex order isomorphisms of the set ω of a bounded rank which are generated by Rees congruences*, Visn. L'viv. Univ., Ser. Mekh.-Mat. **93** (2022), 34–41. [1](#)
- [17] V. V. Wagner, *Generalized groups*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **84** (1952), 1119–1122 (in Russian). [1](#)

IVAN FRANKO NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF LVIV, UNIVERSYTETSKA 1, LVIV, 79000, UKRAINE

Email address: oleg.gutik@lnu.edu.ua, marko.serivka@lnu.edu.ua