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#### Abstract

Surfaces with concentric $K$-contours and parallel $K$-contours in Euclidean 3 -space are defined. Crucial examples are presented and characterization of them are given.


## 1. Introduction

The contours of the Gaussian curvature function $K$ on the graph surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the Euclidean 3 -space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3} ; x, y, z\right)$ map to concentric circles on the $x y$-plane by orthogonal projection, so it would be permissible to say that the surface (1.1) has weak symmetry in some sense. We will refer to this property by saying a surface has concentric $K$-contours. We can immediately note that helicoidal surfaces have the same property. (Here a helicoidal surface is, by definition, a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ which is invariant under a one-parameter group of rigid screw motions; it is a generalization of both surfaces of revolution and right helicoids. A helicoidal surface is also called a generalized helicoid (cf. [1])). We also found that the surface called a monkey saddle has the same property. (See Section 22.2 in [2], where the monkey saddle appears as an example for which the converse of Gauss' Theorema Egregium does not hold.) In view of these circumstances, simple questions come to mind:
(i) Are there any surfaces with concentric $K$-contours other than 1.1), helicoidal surfaces or the monkey saddle?
(ii) Can we find all surfaces with concentric $K$-contours?

The authors searched the literature, but failed to find research on this.
One of our purposes is to provide a family of examples, denoted by $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ in this paper, which includes both 1.1 and the monkey saddle. Another purpose is to give a partial answer to the question (ii). In fact, under a certain assumption, any surface with concentric $K$-contours must be a surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ or a helicoidal surface (Theorem 2.4).

On the other hand, it has been an interesting problem to understand how much the behavior of the Gauss map determines the surface. For instance, Kenmotsu [4] showed a representation theorem for an arbitrary surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ in terms of the Gauss map and the mean curvature function of the surface. In addition to this, Hoffman, Osserman and Schoen [3] proved that for a complete oriented surface of constant mean curvature in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, if its Gauss image lies in some open hemisphere,

[^0]then it is a plane; if the Gauss image lies in a closed hemisphere, then it is a plane or a right circular cylinder. In this paper, we will show that a behavior of the Gauss map, called semi-rotational equivariance, characterizes the surfaces $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ (Theorem 2.5 .

This paper also reports on the case where concentric circles are replaced by parallel straight lines. We say that a surface has parallel $K$-contours if the contours of the Gaussian curvature function $K$ produce parallel straight lines on a plane by orthogonal projection.

We refer to standard textbooks [1], [5], [6], etc, for fundamental facts about surface theory.

## 2. Surfaces with concentric $K$-contours

Throughout this paper, we shall use the following notation and assumption: $M$ denotes a connected, smooth 2-manifold and $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ a smooth immersion. $K$ denotes the Gaussian curvature function on $M$. We set $M_{k}:=\{p \in M \mid K(p)=k\}$ for a real number $k$, and consider the family $\mathcal{C}:=\left\{M_{k}\right\}_{k \in \mathbb{R}}$. It is always assumed that $M$ has no open subset where $\operatorname{grad} K=0$ because we wish to study the case where $\mathcal{C}$ is formed by a family of curves.

Definition 2.1. We say that $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ has concentric $K$-contours if there exists a plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that the orthogonal projection $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow P$ maps $\mathcal{C}$ to a family of concentric circles on $P$.

It is obvious that helicoidal surfaces have concentric $K$-contours.
2.1. A non-helicoidal example. Let $m$ be an integer not equal to 0,1 , and let $c$ be a non-zero real number. Consider a graph surface

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}(z)=\left(\operatorname{Re} z, \operatorname{Im} z, c \operatorname{Re}\left(z^{m}\right)\right)=\left(x, y, \frac{c}{2}\left\{(x+i y)^{m}+(x-i y)^{m}\right\}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $z=x+i y$. Note that $\boldsymbol{x}_{-1,1}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}_{3,1}$ coincide with the surface 1.1) and the monkey saddle, respectively. In terms of the polar coordinates $z=r e^{i \theta}, \boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}(r, \theta)=\left(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, c r^{m} \cos m \theta\right) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

See Figures 1 and 2. The first and second fundamental forms I, II and a unit normal $\boldsymbol{n}$ are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{I}=\left(1+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-2} \cos ^{2} m \theta\right) d r^{2}+2\left(-c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-1} \cos m \theta \sin m \theta\right) d r d \theta \\
&+\left(r^{2}+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m} \sin ^{2} m \theta\right) d \theta^{2} \\
& \boldsymbol{n}(r, \theta)=\frac{1}{r \sqrt{1+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-2}}}\left(-c m r^{m} \cos (m-1) \theta, c m r^{m} \sin (m-1) \theta, r\right),  \tag{2.3}\\
& \text { II }= \frac{c m(m-1)}{r \sqrt{1+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-2}}}\left\{r^{m-1} \cos m \theta d r^{2}-2 r^{m} \sin m \theta d r d \theta-r^{m+1} \cos m \theta d \theta^{2}\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

From these, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=K(r)=-\frac{c^{2} m^{2}(m-1)^{2} r^{2 m-4}}{\left(1+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-2}\right)^{2}}  \tag{2.4}\\
& H=H(r, \theta)=-\frac{c^{3} m^{3}(m-1) r^{3 m-4} \cos m \theta}{2\left(1+c^{2} m^{2} r^{2 m-2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \\
& 2
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 1. The surfaces $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ (left) and their projections to the $x y$-plane (right) with positive integers $m$. Each surface is grayscaled by its Gaussian curvature.

It follows directly from (2.4) that $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ has concentric $K$-contours with respect to the $x y$-plane. Note that the first fundamental form I does not have rotational symmetry but the Gaussian curvature $K$ does.

Remark 2.2. (1) It follows from (2.1) that $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ is an entire graph over the $x y$-plane if $m$ is a positive integer. In particular, $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ is a hyperbolic paraboloid if $m=2$ and a monkey saddle if $m=3$. In the case where $m$ is a negative integer, $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ is a graph punctured at the origin.
(2) Although $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ can be defined for $m=0,1$ or $c=0$, it is a plane hence has constant Gaussian curvature zero. Therefore we exclude the case $m=0,1$ and the case $c=0$.

It follows from (2.2) that $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ can be defined even if $m$ is a non-integer as a multi-valued graph over $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{(0,0)\}$ or a surface defined on the universal cover. See Figure 3. From now on, we assume that the number $m$ for $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ does not have to be an integer, that is, $m \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0,1\}$.

$c=1, m=-1$


$$
c=1, m=-2
$$

Figure 2. The surfaces $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ (left) and their projections to the $x y$-plane (right) with negative integers $m$. Each surface is grayscaled by its Gaussian curvature.
2.2. Semi-rotational equivariance. We also call the unit normal (2.3) the Gauss map of $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ according to custom. One can see from 2.3 that

$$
\boldsymbol{n}(r, \theta+\alpha)=\mathcal{R}_{(1-m) \alpha} \circ \boldsymbol{n}(r, \theta)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{(1-m) \alpha}$ denotes the rotation of angle $(1-m) \alpha$ with respect to the $z$-axis. Focusing on this property, we give the following definition:

Definition 2.3. A surface $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is said to have semi-rotational Gauss map if there exist a straight line $l \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, a plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, and a 1-parameter group $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ of diffeomorphisms of $M$ such that
(1) $l$ is orthogonal to $P$,
(2) $\pi \circ \boldsymbol{x} \circ \phi_{t}=R_{t} \circ \pi \circ \boldsymbol{x}$, and
(3) $\boldsymbol{n} \circ \phi_{t}=\mathcal{R}_{k t} \circ \boldsymbol{n}$ for some constant $k$
with a suitable choice of orientations of $l$ and $P$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow P$ is orthogonal projection, $R_{t}$ denotes a rotation on $P$ of angle $t$ with the center $P \cap l$, and $\mathcal{R}_{k t}$ denotes a rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of angle $k t$ with respect to the axis $l$.

$c=1, m=1 / 2$


$$
c=1, m=-1 / 2
$$

Figure 3. The surfaces $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ (left) and their projections to the $x y$-plane (right) with non integers $m$. Each surface is gray-scaled by its Gaussian curvature.

Note that a helicoidal surface has semi-rotational Gauss map with $k=1$, which should be said to have rotational Gauss map. So we shall use the term 'strictly semi-rotational' in the sense of 'semi-rotational but not rotational'.

### 2.3. Characterizations of the surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with concentric $K$-contours. If the area element $d A$ is invariant along each $K$-contour, then $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a helicoidal surface or locally congruent to a surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ for some $m, c$.

Theorem 2.5. Let a surface $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ have semi-rotational Gauss map. Then $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a helicoidal surface or locally congruent to a surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ for some m, .

Corollary 2.6. Let a surface $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ have strictly semi-rotational Gauss map. Then $\boldsymbol{x}$ is locally congruent to a surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ for some $m, c$.

Before proving the theorems above, we write down formulas for the area element $d A$, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the unit normal field $\boldsymbol{n}$ for a surface $\boldsymbol{x}(r, \theta)=$

$$
\begin{align*}
&(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, F(r, \theta)) \\
& d A=\Delta d r \wedge d \theta  \tag{2.5}\\
& \boldsymbol{n}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(F_{\theta} \sin \theta-r F_{r} \cos \theta,-r F_{r} \sin \theta-F_{\theta} \cos \theta, r\right)  \tag{2.6}\\
& K=\frac{1}{\Delta^{4}}\left\{r^{2} F_{r r}\left(r F_{r}+F_{\theta \theta}\right)-\left(F_{\theta}-r F_{r \theta}\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{2.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\sqrt{r^{2}+r^{2} F_{r}^{2}+F_{\theta}^{2}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.4. Considering a rigid motion in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we may assume that the plane $P$ is the $x y$-plane and $K$-contours draw concentric circles with the center $(0,0)$ in the $x y$-plane. $\boldsymbol{x}$ is at least locally re-parameterized as $\boldsymbol{x}(r, \theta)=$ $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, F(r, \theta))$. The function $\Delta$ is of one variable $r$ because of (2.5) and the assumption of invariance of $d A$. It follows from (2.8) that $r^{2} F_{r}^{2}+F_{\theta}^{2}$ is also a function of one variable $r$. Therefore, there exist functions $\alpha=\alpha(r), \beta=\beta(r, \theta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r F_{r}=\alpha \cos \beta, F_{\theta}=\alpha \sin \beta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiating (2.9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
r^{2} F_{r r} & =\left(r \alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right) \cos \beta-r \alpha \beta_{r} \sin \beta,  \tag{2.10}\\
r\left(F_{r}\right)_{\theta} & =-\alpha \sin \beta \cdot \beta_{\theta},  \tag{2.11}\\
\left(F_{\theta}\right)_{r} & =\alpha^{\prime} \sin \beta+\alpha \cos \beta \cdot \beta_{r},  \tag{2.12}\\
F_{\theta \theta} & =\alpha \cos \beta \cdot \beta_{\theta} . \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from 2.11, 2.12 that the equality $\left(F_{r}\right)_{\theta}=\left(F_{\theta}\right)_{r}$ turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\beta_{\theta}}{r}+\beta_{r} \frac{\cos \beta}{\sin \beta}=-\frac{\alpha^{\prime}}{\alpha} . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the right side of 2.14 is of one variable $r$, so the left side is as well. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}\left(\frac{\beta_{\theta}}{r}+\beta_{r} \frac{\cos \beta}{\sin \beta}\right)=0 \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, using $2.10-2.13$, we can rewrite 2.7 as

$$
K=\frac{\alpha\left(1+\beta_{\theta}\right)\left(r \alpha^{\prime}-\alpha\right)}{\Delta^{4}}
$$

Here, $K$ must be a non-constant function of one variable $r$ by the assumption of concentric $K$-contours. It implies that $\beta_{\theta}$ is a function of one variable $r$. Therefore, we may set $\beta_{\theta}=\phi(r)$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\phi(r) \cdot \theta+\psi(r) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $\phi(r), \psi(r)$. It follows from 2.15 with 2.16 that

$$
\phi^{\prime}(r)\left\{\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta-\phi(r) \cdot \theta\right\}+\psi^{\prime}(r) \phi(r)=0
$$

This implies that (i) $\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta-\phi(r) \cdot \theta$ is independent of $\theta$ or (ii) $\phi^{\prime}(r)=0$. In the case (i), by differentiating $\frac{1}{2} \sin 2 \beta-\phi(r) \cdot \theta$ by $\theta$, we have $(\cos 2 \beta-1) \phi(r)=0$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=n \pi \text { for some integer } n \text { or } \beta=\psi(r) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case (ii), the function $\phi$ is constant and $\psi^{\prime} \phi=0$. Therefore $(\phi, \psi)=(k, l)$ for some constants $k, l$ or $(\phi, \psi)=(0, \psi(r))$; in other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=k \theta+l \text { or } \beta=\psi(r) . \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the condition $\sqrt{2.18}$ includes the condition (2.17), we continue to discuss under the condition (2.18).

In the case where $\beta=k \theta+l$, the equation 2.14 reduces to $\alpha^{\prime}=-k \alpha / r$. Hence we have $\alpha=C r^{-k}$ for some constant $C$. It follows from 2.9) that $F=C_{1} r^{k} \cos (k \theta+$ $l)+C_{2}$ for some constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$. Thus the surface $\boldsymbol{x}$ is congruent to $\boldsymbol{x}_{k, C_{1}}$.

In the case where $\beta=\psi(r)$, the equation 2.14 reduces to $\psi^{\prime} \cot \psi=\alpha^{\prime} / \alpha$. It is solved as $\alpha \sin \psi=C$ for some constant $C$. The system of equations 2.9 turns out to be

$$
r F_{r}=\alpha(r) \cos (\psi(r)), \quad F_{\theta}=C
$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$
F=C \theta+\int \frac{\alpha(r)}{r} \cos (\psi(r)) d r=C \theta+A(r)
$$

for some function $A(r)$. Thus the surface $\boldsymbol{x}$ is helicoidal.
Proof of Theorem 2.5. Considering a rigid motion in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, we may assume that the plane $P$ is the $x y$-plane and the line $l$ is the $z$-axis. The surface $\boldsymbol{x}$ is at least locally re-parameterized as $\boldsymbol{x}(r, \theta)=(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, F(r, \theta))$. The Gauss map 2.6) is

$$
\boldsymbol{n}=\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
F_{\theta} \sin \theta-r F_{r} \cos \theta \\
-r F_{r} \sin \theta-F_{\theta} \cos \theta \\
r
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta & 0 \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \frac{1}{\Delta}\left(\begin{array}{c}
-r F_{r} \\
-F_{\theta} \\
r
\end{array}\right)
$$

in the column-vector form. Since $\boldsymbol{n}$ is semi-rotational,
(i) the vector-valued function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(-r F_{r},-F_{\theta}, r\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is of one variable $r$, or
(ii) there exist $m \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi_{1}=\phi_{1}(r), \psi=\psi(r)$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{\Delta}\left(-r F_{r},-F_{\theta}, r\right)=\left(\phi_{1}(r) \cos m \theta, \phi_{1}(r) \sin m \theta, \psi(r)\right) .
$$

In the case (i), each component of 2.19 is of one variable $r$. Hence, $\Delta, F_{r}$ and $F_{\theta}$ are functions of one variable $r$. This implies that $F$ must be of the form $F=a \theta+\psi(r)$ for a constant $a$ and a function $\psi(r)$. Therefore $\boldsymbol{x}(r, \theta)=$ $(r \cos \theta, r \sin \theta, a \theta+\psi(r))$, that is, $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a helicoidal surface.

In the case (ii), the third component of 2.19 is of one variable $r$. Hence, $\Delta$ is a function of one variable $r$. Setting $-\phi_{1}(r) \cdot \Delta=\varphi(r)$, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
F_{r}=\frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \cos m \theta  \tag{2.20}\\
F_{\theta}=\varphi(r) \sin m \theta
\end{array}\right.
$$

Thus the equality $\left(F_{r}\right)_{\theta}=\left(F_{\theta}\right)_{r}$ turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
-m \frac{\varphi(r)}{r} \sin m \theta=\varphi^{\prime}(r) \sin m \theta \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $m=0$, the system of equations 2.20 turns out to be $F_{r}=$ $\varphi(r) / r, F_{\theta}=0$. Therefore, $F=F(r)$. This implies that $\boldsymbol{x}$ is a rotational surface.

In the case where $m \neq 0$, the equation 2.21 leads to $-m \frac{\varphi(r)}{r}=\varphi^{\prime}(r)$. Therefore, $\varphi(r)=C r^{-m}(C$ is a constant.) Then the solution to the system of equations 2.20 is

$$
F(r, \theta)=C_{1} r^{-m} \cos m \theta+C_{2} \quad\left(C_{1}, C_{2} \text { are constants }\right)
$$

Thus $\boldsymbol{x}$ is congruent to $\boldsymbol{x}_{-m, C_{1}}$.

## 3. Surfaces with parallel $K$-contours

We shall discuss here using the same notations and assumption as in Section 2 .
Definition 3.1. We say that a surface $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ has parallel $K$-contours if there exists a plane in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ such that the orthogonal projection $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow P$ maps $\mathcal{C}$ to a family of parallel straight lines on $P$.
3.1. An example. Let $k, c$ be non-zero real numbers. Consider a graph surface of

$$
z=c e^{k x} \cos k y
$$

that is,

$$
\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}(x, y)=\left(x, y, c e^{k x} \cos k y\right)
$$

See Figure 4. The first and second fundamental forms I, II and a unit normal $\boldsymbol{n}$


Figure 4. The surface $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$ (left) and its projection to the $x y$ plane (right) for $c=1$ and $k=1$. The surface is gray-scaled by its Gaussian curvature.
are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{I}=\left(1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x} \cos ^{2} k y\right) d x^{2}-2 c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x} \cos k y \sin k y d x d y \\
&+\left(1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x} \sin ^{2} k y\right) d y^{2} \\
& \boldsymbol{n}(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x}}}\left(-c k e^{k x} \cos k y, c k e^{k x} \sin k y, 1\right) \\
& \mathbf{I I}= \frac{c k^{2} e^{k x}}{\sqrt{1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x}}}\left(\cos k y d x^{2}-2 \sin k y d x d y-\cos k y d y^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From these, the Gaussian curvature $K$ and the mean curvature $H$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=K(x)=-\frac{c^{2} k^{4} e^{2 k x}}{\left(1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x}\right)^{2}}  \tag{3.1}\\
& H=H(x, y)=-\frac{c^{3} k^{4} e^{3 k x} \cos k y}{2\left(1+c^{2} k^{2} e^{2 k x}\right)^{3 / 2}}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows directly from (3.1) that $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$ has parallel $K$-contours.
3.2. Characterizations of the surface $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$. An assertion similar to Theorem 2.4 holds for surfaces with parallel $K$-contours:
Theorem 3.2. Let $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with parallel $K$-contours. If the area element $d A$ is invariant along each $K$-contour, then $\boldsymbol{x}$ is locally congruent to a surface $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$ for some $k, c$.

We omit the proof because it is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.4 by discussing about a graph surface $(x, y, F(x, y))$.

As well as a surface $\boldsymbol{x}_{m, c}$ in Section 2, the Gauss map $\boldsymbol{n}$ of a surface $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$ satisfies the following property:

$$
\boldsymbol{n}(x, y+\alpha)=\mathcal{R}_{-k \alpha} \circ \boldsymbol{n}(x, y)
$$

where $\mathcal{R}_{-k \alpha}$ denotes the rotation of angle $-k \alpha$ with respect to the $z$-axis. Focusing on this property, we give the following definition:

Definition 3.3. An immersed surface $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is said to have quasi-rotational Gauss map if there exist a straight line $l \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, a plane $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$, a vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ parallel to $P$, and a 1-parameter group $\left\{\phi_{t}\right\}$ of diffeomorphisms of $M$ such that
(1) $l$ is orthogonal to $P$,
(2) $\pi \circ \boldsymbol{x} \circ \phi_{t}=T_{t \boldsymbol{v}} \circ \pi \circ \boldsymbol{x}$, and
(3) $\boldsymbol{n} \circ \phi_{t}=\mathcal{R}_{k t} \circ \boldsymbol{n}$ for some constant $k$
with a suitable choice of orientations of $l$ and $P$, where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow P$ is the orthogonal projection, $T_{t \boldsymbol{v}}$ denotes a parallel translation on $P$ of the translation vector $t \boldsymbol{v}$, and $\mathcal{R}_{k t}$ denotes a rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ of angle $k t$ with respect to the axis $l$.

Note that a cylindrical surface has quasi-rotational Gauss map with $k=0$, however it should be said to have parallel Gauss map. So we shall use the term 'strictly quasi-rotational' in the sense of 'quasi-rotational but not parallel'.

An assertion similar to Corollary 2.6 holds for surfaces with strictly quasirotational Gauss map.

Theorem 3.4. Let $\boldsymbol{x}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be a surface with strictly quasi-rotational Gauss map. Then $\boldsymbol{x}$ is locally congruent to a surface $\boldsymbol{p}_{k, c}$ for some $k, c$.

We omit the proof because it is quite similar to that of Theorem 2.5 by discussing about a graph surface $(x, y, F(x, y))$.
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