# A NOTE ON THE MAXIMAL OPERATOR ON BANACH FUNCTION SPACES

ANDREI K. LERNER

ABSTRACT. In this note we answer positively to two conjectures proposed by Nieraeth [13] about the maximal operator on rescaled Banach function spaces. We also obtain a new criterion saying when the maximal operator bounded on a Banach function space X is also bounded on the associate space X'.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Denote by X' its associate space. Next, for p > 0 denote by  $X^p$  the space with finite semi-norm

$$||f||_{X^p} := ||f|^{1/p}||_X^p.$$

Let  $s > r \ge 1$ . Assume that X is r-convex and s-concave. Define the (r, s)-rescaled Banach function space of X as

$$X_{r,s} := \left[ \left[ (X^r)' \right]^{\left(\frac{s}{r}\right)'} \right]'.$$

This space was introduced in a recent work by Nieraeth [13]. The factorization formula (see [13, Cor. 2.12])

$$X = (X_{r,s})^{\frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{s}} \cdot L^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

makes the space  $X_{r,s}$  an important tool in the extrapolation theory for general Banach function spaces (see [13] and also [12]).

Let M be the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator defined by

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_Q |f|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the point x.

In [13, Conjectures 2.38, 2.39] the following conjectures were stated.

<sup>2020</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 42B25, 46E30.

Key words and phrases. Banach function spaces, maximal operator,  $A_p$  weights. The author was supported by ISF grant no. 1035/21.

**Conjecture 1.1.** Let  $s > r \ge 1$  and let X be an r-convex and sconcave Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Suppose that M is bounded on  $\lceil (X^r)' \rceil^{(\frac{s}{r})'}$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$ ;
- (ii) M is bounded on  $X^r$ .

**Conjecture 1.2.** Let  $s > r \ge 1$  and let X be an r-convex and s-concave Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$  and on  $(X_{r,s})'$ ;
- (ii) M is bounded on  $X^r$  and  $(X')^{s'}$ .

Observe that actually both above conjectures are formulated in [13] in a more general setting of quasi-Banach function spaces and abstract maximal operators. We restrict ourselves to Banach function spaces and the most standard maximal operator. Note also that the implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) were shown in [13] for both conjectures and, hence, the question is about the converse implications (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

In this note we show that both Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 are true. A useful tool in our proofs will be a new criterion about the interplay between the boundedness of M on X and X', which is perhaps of some independent interest. This criterion is formulated in terms of the local maximal operator  $m_{\lambda}$  acting on measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by

$$m_{\lambda}f(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} (f\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|), \quad \lambda \in (0,1),$$

where  $f^*$  stands for the standard non-increasing rearrangement of f, and the supremum is taken over all cubes  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  containing the point x.

Given a Banach function space X, we associate with it the function  $\varphi_X$  defined by

$$\varphi_X(\lambda) := \inf_{\|f\|_X = 1} \|m_\lambda f\|_X, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1).$$

Observe that since  $m_{\lambda}f \geq |f|$  a.e. (see, e.g., [8, Lemma 6]), we have  $\varphi_X(\lambda) \geq 1$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Also, it is immediate that the function  $\varphi_X(\lambda)$  is non-increasing.

We have the following result.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that the maximal operator M is bounded on X. Then

- (i) the function  $\varphi_{X'}(\lambda)$  is unbounded;
- (ii) if there exists  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\varphi_X(\lambda_0) > 1$ , then M is bounded on X'.

An immediate consequence of Theorem 1.3 is the following criterion.

**Theorem 1.4.** Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that the maximal operator M is bounded on X. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) M is bounded on X';
- (ii) the function  $\varphi_X(\lambda)$  is unbounded;
- (iii) there exists  $\lambda_0 \in (0,1)$  such that  $\varphi_X(\lambda_0) > 1$ .

Indeed, observe that the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) follows by taking X' instead of X in Theorem 1.3 and taking into account that, by the Lorentz–Luxembourg theorem, X'' = X. Next, (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial. Finally, (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) is contained in item (ii) of Theorem 1.3.

The equivalence (ii)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) in Theorem 1.4 can be written in the following form.

**Corollary 1.5.** Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that the maximal operator M is bounded on X. Then either  $\varphi_X(\lambda)$  is unbounded or  $\varphi_X(\lambda) \equiv 1$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

The function  $\varphi_X$  is especially useful when dealing with scaled spaces  $X^p$ . Indeed, using that  $(|f|^r)^*(t) = f^*(t)^r, r > 0$ , we obtain

$$\varphi_{X^p}(\lambda) = \inf_{\||f|^{1/p}\|_X = 1} \|m_\lambda(|f|^{1/p})\|_X^p = \varphi_X(\lambda)^p, \quad p > 0.$$

Thus, if  $\varphi_{X^{p_0}}$  is unbounded for some  $p_0 > 0$ , then  $\varphi_{X^p}$  is unbounded for all p > 0. This fact combined with the above results will be crucial in proving Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2.

In order to prove Conjecture 1.2, we will also essentially use the notion of  $A_p$ -regularity of Banach function spaces. This notion was considered by Rutsky [15, 16].

The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 contains some preliminaries. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.3. Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Section 4. We will also give an alternative proof, based on the function  $\varphi_X$ , of a recent result by Lorist and Nieraeth [12] about the boundedness of M on X and X'.

# 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Banach function spaces. Let  $L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  denote the space of measurable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . A vector space  $X \subseteq L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  equipped with a norm  $\|\cdot\|_X$  is called a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  if it satisfies the following properties:

• *Ideal property:* If  $f \in X$  and  $g \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  with  $|g| \leq |f|$ , then  $g \in X$  and  $||g||_X \leq ||f||_X$ .

- Fatou property: If  $0 \le f_j \uparrow f$  for  $\{f_j\}$  in X and  $\sup_j ||f_j||_X < \infty$ , then  $f \in X$  and  $||f||_X = \sup_j ||f_j||_X$ .
- Saturation property: For every measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  of positive measure, there exists a measurable subset  $F \subseteq E$  of positive measure such that  $\chi_F \in X$ .

We refer to a recent survey by Lorist and Nieraeth [11] about (quasi)-Banach function spaces, where, in particular, one can find a discussion about the above choice of axioms.

The following statement is an equivalent formulation of the Fatou property (see, e.g., [11, Lemma 3.5]).

**Proposition 2.1.** Let X be a Banach function space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then for every sequence  $f_j \in X$ ,

$$\|\liminf_{j\to\infty} f_j\|_X \le \liminf_{j\to\infty} \|f_j\|_X.$$

The next statement is also well known.

**Proposition 2.2.** Let X be a Banach function space on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that M is bounded on X. Then  $\chi_Q \in X$  for every cube  $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .

*Proof.* Fix a cube Q. By saturation property, there is a set  $F \subseteq Q$  of positive measure such that  $\chi_F \in X$ . Since M is bounded on X,

$$\frac{|F|}{|Q|} \|\chi_Q\|_X \le \|M\chi_F\|_X \le c \|\chi_F\|_X,$$

which implies  $\|\chi_Q\|_X < \infty$ .

Given a Banach function space X, we define the associate space (also called the Köthe dual) X' as the space of all  $f \in L^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$||f||_{X'} := \sup_{||g||_X \le 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |fg| < \infty.$$

By the Lorentz–Luxembourg theorem (see [17, Th. 71.1]), we have X'' = X with equal norms.

Let X be a Banach function space, and let  $1 \le p, q \le \infty$ . We say that X is p-convex if

$$\|(|f|^p + |g|^p)^{1/p}\|_X \le (\|f\|_X^p + \|g\|_X^p)^{1/p}, \quad f, g \in X,$$

and we say that X is q-concave if

$$(||f||_X^q + ||g||_X^q)^{1/q} \le ||(|f|^q + |g|^q)^{1/q}||_X, \quad f, g \in X.$$

2.2.  $A_p$  weights. By a weight we mean a non-negative locally integrable function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Given a weight w and a measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , denote  $w(E) := \int_E w$  and  $\langle w \rangle_E := \frac{1}{|E|} \int_w$ .

Recall that a weight w satisfies the  $A_1$  condition if

$$[w]_{A_1} := \left\| \frac{Mw}{w} \right\|_{L^{\infty}} < \infty;$$

a weight w satisfies the  $A_p, 1 , condition if$ 

$$[w]_{A_p} := \sup_{Q} \langle w \rangle_Q \langle w^{-p'/p} \rangle_Q^{p/p'} < \infty;$$

a weight w satisfies the  $A_{\infty}$  condition if

$$[w]_{A_{\infty}} := \sup_{Q} \frac{\int_{Q} M(w\chi_{Q})}{w(Q)} < \infty.$$

It was shown in [6] that if  $w \in A_{\infty}$ , then for  $r := 1 + \frac{1}{c_n[w]_{A_{\infty}}}$  and for every cube Q,

$$\left(\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q}w^{r}\right)^{1/r} \leq 2\frac{1}{|Q|}\int_{Q}w.$$

From this, by Hölder's inequality we obtain that for every cube Q and any measurable subset  $E \subset Q$ ,

(2.1) 
$$w(E) \le 2\left(\frac{|E|}{|Q|}\right)^{\delta} w(Q),$$

where  $\delta := \frac{1}{r'} = \frac{1}{1+c_n[w]_{A_{\infty}}}$ .

2.3.  $A_p$ -regular Banach function spaces. Let X be a Banach function space, and let  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ . We say that X is  $A_p$ -regular if there exist  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in X$  there is an  $A_p$  weight  $w \geq |f|$  a.e. with  $[w]_{A_p} \leq C_1$  and  $||w||_X \leq C_2 ||f||_X$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** A Banach function space X is  $A_1$ -regular if and only if M is bounded on X.

*Proof.* Indeed, one direction is trivial, namely, if X is  $A_1$ -regular, then

$$||Mf||_X \le ||Mw||_X \le C_1 ||w||_X \le C_1 C_2 ||f||_X.$$

Conversely, if M is bounded on X, then there exists r > 1 depending only on  $||M||_{X\to X}$  such that  $M_r$  is also bounded on X, where  $M_r f :=$  $M(|f|^r)^{1/r}$  (see, e.g., [10] for the proof of this result). Combining this with the well known fact that  $M_r f \in A_1$  [2] with the  $A_1$ -constant depending only on r and n, we obtain that X is  $A_1$ -regular.  $\Box$ 

The following result is an abridged version of the characterization obtained by Rutsky [15, Th. 2].

**Theorem 2.4** ([15]). Let X be a Banach function space, and let 1 . The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) both  $X^{1/p}$  and  $(X^{1/p})'$  are  $A_1$ -regular;
- (ii) X' is  $A_p$ -regular.

A difficult part of this result is the implication  $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ . We outline a slightly different proof for the sake of completeness. Essentially this is contained in the following result by Rubio de Francia [14, Section 3].

**Theorem 2.5** ([14]). Let X be a Banach function space, and let  $p \in (1,\infty)$ . Assume that T is a linear operator bounded on  $X^{1/p}(\ell^p)$ , namely, there exists C > 0 such that for every sequence  $\{f_i\}$ ,

(2.2) 
$$\| (\sum_{j} |Tf_{j}|^{p})^{1/p} \|_{X^{1/p}} \leq C \| (\sum_{j} |f_{j}|^{p})^{1/p} \|_{X^{1/p}}.$$

Then for every  $f \in X'$ , which is positive almost everywhere, there exists a function  $w \ge f$  such that  $||w||_{X'} \le 2||f||_{X'}$  and T is bounded on  $L^p(w)$ with the operator norm  $||T||_{L^p(w)\to L^p(w)}$  depending only on C in (2.2).

Now observe that by Proposition 2.3, condition (i) of Theorem 2.4 is equivalent to M being bounded on  $X^{1/p}$  and on  $(X^{1/p})'$ . In turn, by [13, Th. 4.9], this implies that (2.2) holds for every standard Calderón–Zygmund operator T. It remains to choose any nondegenerate Calderón–Zygmund operator T, namely, an operator T for which  $||T||_{L^p(w)\to L^p(w)} < \infty$  implies  $w \in A_p$ , and an application of Theorem 2.5 completes the proof.

The following result was also obtained by Rutsky [16, Prop. 7].

**Proposition 2.6** ([16]). Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that X is  $A_p$ -regular for some  $1 \leq p < \infty$ . Suppose that there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that M is bounded on  $X^{\delta}$ . Then M is bounded on X.

We sketch the proof of this statement. By the well known property of the  $A_p$  weights [1], if  $w \in A_p$ , then there exist  $\alpha, \beta > 0$  depending only on  $[w]_{A_p}$  and p such that for every cube Q,

$$\alpha |Q| < |\{x \in Q : w(x) > \beta \langle w \rangle_Q\}|.$$

From this, by Chebyshev's inequality, for every  $\delta > 0$ ,

$$Mw(x) \le C_{\delta,\alpha,\beta}M_{\delta}w(x).$$

Hence, taking  $f \in X$  and the corresponding  $w \in A_p$  from the definition of the  $A_p$ -regularity, we obtain

$$||Mf||_{X} \leq ||Mw||_{X} \leq C ||M_{\delta}w||_{X} = C ||M(w^{\delta})||_{X^{\delta}}^{1/\delta} \leq C ||w||_{X} \leq C ||f||_{X},$$

which proves the result.

2.4. Rearrangements and the maximal operator  $m_{\lambda}$ . Denote by  $S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of measurable functions f on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that

$$\mu_f(\alpha) := |\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |f(x)| > \alpha\}| < \infty$$

for any  $\alpha > 0$ . Recall that for  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the non-increasing rearrangement  $f^*$  is defined by

$$f^*(t) := \inf\{\alpha > 0 : \mu_f(\alpha) \le t\}, \quad t > 0.$$

It can be easily seen from the definition of the rearrangement that for every measurable function f and any cube Q,

$$(f\chi_Q)^*(\lambda|Q|) > \alpha \Leftrightarrow |Q \cap \{|f| > \alpha\}| > \lambda|Q|, \quad \alpha > 0, \lambda \in (0,1).$$

From this we have that

(2.3) 
$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : m_\lambda f(x) > \alpha\} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : M\chi_{\{|f| > \alpha\}}(x) > \lambda\},\$$

and, for every measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

(2.4) 
$$m_{\lambda}(\chi_E)(x) = \chi_{\{M\chi_E > \lambda\}}(x).$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

We start with part (i) of Theorem 1.3, and we will show that it even holds under a weaker assumption, namely, instead of assuming that Mis bounded on X (which, by Proposition 2.3, says that X is  $A_1$ -regular) it suffices to assume the  $A_{\infty}$ -regularity of X. This is a simple corollary of the following lemma.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $w \in A_{\infty}$ . Then for all  $f \in L^1(w)$  and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ,

(3.1) 
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f| w \le 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (m_{\lambda} f) w,$$

where  $\delta := \frac{1}{1+c_n[w]_{A_{\infty}}}$ .

*Proof.* Observe that (3.1) is equivalent to the same inequality but with  $f = \chi_E$ , where E is an arbitrary measurable set of finite measure. Indeed, assume that (3.1) is true for  $f = \chi_E$ . By (2.4), this means that

(3.2) 
$$w(E) \le 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} w\{x : M\chi_E > \lambda\}$$

Taking here  $E := \{x : |f| > \alpha\}$  and applying (2.3), we obtain

$$w(\{x: |f| > \alpha\}) \le 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} w(\{x: m_{\lambda} f > \alpha\}),$$

which, in turn, implies (3.1) by integrating over  $\alpha \in (0, \infty)$  (in order to ensure that the set  $\{x : |f| > \alpha\}$  is of finite measure, one can

assume first that f is compactly supported and then use the monotone convergence theorem).

Hence, it suffices to prove (3.2). Let  $M^d$  denote the dyadic maximal operator. By the Calderón–Zygmund decomposition, the set  $\{x : M^d \chi_E > \lambda\}$  can be written as the union of pairwise disjoint cubes  $Q_j$  satisfying

$$\lambda < \frac{|Q_j \cap E|}{|Q_j|} \le 2^n \lambda.$$

From this, by (2.1),

$$w(Q_j \cap E) \le 2^{1+n\delta} \lambda^{\delta} w(Q_j) \le 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} w(Q_j).$$

Summing up this inequality yields (3.2), and therefore the proof is complete.

Proof of Theorem 1.3, part (i). We will show that if X is  $A_{\infty}$ -regular, then there exist  $C, \delta > 0$  such that  $\varphi_{X'}(\lambda) \geq C\lambda^{-\delta}$  for all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ .

Given  $g \in X$ , take an  $A_{\infty}$  weight w such that  $|g| \leq w$  a.e., where  $[w]_{A_{\infty}} \leq C_1$  and  $||w||_X \leq C_2 ||g||_X$ . By Lemma 3.1, there exists  $\delta > 0$  (one can take  $\delta := \frac{1}{1+c_nC_1}$ ) such that

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |fg| &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|w \leq 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (m_{\lambda} f)w \\ &\leq 2^{n+1} \lambda^{\delta} \|m_{\lambda} f\|_{X'} \|w\|_X \leq 2^{n+1} C_2 \lambda^{\delta} \|m_{\lambda} f\|_{X'} \|g\|_X. \end{split}$$

From this, taking the supremum over all  $g \in X$  with  $||g||_X = 1$  yields

$$||f||_{X'} \le 2^{n+1} C_2 \lambda^{\delta} ||m_{\lambda}f||_{X'}.$$

Hence,  $\varphi_{X'}(\lambda) \ge \frac{1}{2^{n+1}C_2}\lambda^{-\delta}$ , and the proof is complete.

Turn to part (ii) of Theorem 1.3. This part is a simple combination of several known results, which we will describe below.

Define the Fefferman–Stein sharp function  $f^{\#}$  by

$$f^{\#}(x) := \sup_{Q \ni x} \frac{1}{|Q|} \int_{Q} |f - \langle f \rangle_{Q}|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all cubes Q containing the point x. It was proved by Fefferman and Stein [4] that for every p > 1 and for all  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,

$$||f||_{L^p} \le C_{n,p} ||f^{\#}||_{L^p}$$

Having this result in mind, we say that a Banach function space X has the Fefferman–Stein property if there exists C > 0 such that

$$||f||_X \le C ||f^{\#}||_X$$

for all  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ .

The following characterization was obtained in [9, Cor. 4.3].

**Theorem 3.2** ([9]). Let X be a Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and assume that M is bounded on X. Then M is bounded on X' if and only if X has the Fefferman–Stein property.

Further, we will use the following pointwise estimate obtained in [7, Th. 2].

**Theorem 3.3** ([7]). For any locally integrable function f and for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ ,

$$m_{\lambda}(Mf)(x) \le C_{n,\lambda}f^{\#}(x) + Mf(x)$$

Now, the second part of Theorem 1.3, modulo some technicalities, is just a combination of two above results.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3, part (ii).* The proof is almost identical to the proof of a similar result proved in [9, Th. 4.1].

Our goal is to show that if  $\varphi_X(\lambda_0) > 1$  for some  $\lambda_0 \in (0, 1)$ , then X has the Fefferman–Stein property. Thus, by Theorem 3.2, we would obtain that M is bounded on X'.

Since  $(|f|)^{\#}(x) \leq 2f^{\#}(x)$  (see, e.g., [5, p. 155]), we will assume that  $f \geq 0$ . By Theorem 3.3,

$$\varphi_X(\lambda_0) \|Mf\|_X \le \|m_{\lambda_0}(Mf)\|_X \le C_{n,\lambda_0} \|f^{\#}\|_X + \|Mf\|_X.$$

Assuming that  $f \in X$ , and using that M is bounded on X, we obtain that  $||Mf||_X < \infty$ . Therefore, by the above estimate,

(3.3) 
$$||f||_X \le ||Mf||_X \le \frac{C_{n,\lambda_0}}{\varphi_X(\lambda_0) - 1} ||f^{\#}||_X.$$

Now, in order to show that X has the Fefferman–Stein property, it remains to extend (3.3) from  $f \in X$  to  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume first that  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}$ . We will use the fact proved in [9, Lemma 4.5] and saying that there is a sequence  $\{f_j\}$  of bounded and compactly supported functions such that  $f_j \to f$  a.e. and  $(f_j)^{\#}(x) \leq c_n f^{\#}(x)$ .

Observe that, by Proposition 2.2, each  $f_j$  belongs to X. Therefore, by (3.3),

$$||f_j||_X \le C ||(f_j)^{\#}||_X \le C' ||f^{\#}||_X.$$

From this, by Proposition 2.1,

$$||f||_X \le C' ||f^{\#}||_X.$$

It remains to extend this estimate from  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}$  to  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For  $f \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and N > 0 define  $f_N := \min(f, N)$ . Then

 $f_N \in S_0(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^{\infty}$ . Using that  $(f_N)^{\#}(x) \leq \frac{3}{2}f^{\#}(x)$  (see [5, p. 155]) and applying the previous estimate, we obtain

$$||f_N||_X \le C ||f^{\#}||_X.$$

Applying again Proposition 2.1 proves the Fefferman–Stein property of X, and therefore the proof is complete.

# 4. Proof of Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2

We start with the following statement which collects some standard properties related to the boundedness of M on a Banach function space X.

**Proposition 4.1.** Let X be a Banach function space, and assume that M is bounded on X. Then

- (i) M is bounded on  $X^r$  for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ ;
- (ii) there exists r > 1 such that M is bounded on  $X^r$ ;
- (iii) M is bounded on  $(X')^r$  for all  $r \in (0, 1)$ .

*Proof.* Observe that M is bounded on  $X^r$  if and only if  $M_r$  is bounded on X. Therefore, part (i) follows trivially by Hölder's inequality. In turn, part (ii) is just a reformulation of the result [10] saying that if Mis bounded on X, then there exists r > 1 depending only on  $||M||_{X\to X}$ such that  $M_r$  is also bounded on X.

Part (iii) is an immediate consequence of the Fefferman–Stein inequality [3] saying that for all locally integrable f, g and for all p > 1,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p |g| dx \le C_{n,p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f|^p (Mg) dx.$$

From this

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} (Mf)^p |g| dx \le C_{n,p} |||f|^p ||_{X'} ||Mg||_X \le C |||f|^p ||_{X'} ||g||_X.$$

Hence, by duality, for all p > 1,

$$||(Mf)^p||_{X'} \le C |||f|^p||_{X'},$$

which finishes the proof.

As we mentioned in the Introduction, the implications (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) are known for both Conjectures 1.1 and 1.2. For the sake of the completeness we give different proofs based on Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Conjecture 1.1. Observe that since X is r-convex and s-concave, the space  $X_{r,s}$  is a Banach function space (see [13]). Therefore, by the Lorentz–Luxembourg theorem,  $\left[(X^r)'\right]^{(\frac{s}{r})'} = X'_{r,s}$ .

Let us start with the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$ , by Theorem 1.3, the function  $\varphi_{\left[(X^r)'\right]^{(\frac{s}{r})'}}$  is unbounded. Hence,

 $\varphi_{(X^r)'}$  is unbounded as well. Next, since M is bounded on  $[(X^r)']^{(\frac{s}{r})'}$ , by the first part of Proposition 4.1, M is bounded on  $(X^r)'$ . This along with unboundedness of  $\varphi_{(X^r)'}$  implies, by Theorem 1.4, that M is bounded on  $(X^r)'' = X^r$ .

Turn to (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since M is bounded on  $X^r$ , by Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi_{(X^r)'}$  is unbounded. Hence,  $\varphi_{[(X^r)']}(\tilde{x})'$  is unbounded as well. This coupled with the boundedness of M on  $[(X^r)'](\tilde{x})'$  implies, by Theorem 1.4, that M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$ .

Proof of Conjecture 1.2. Let us start with the implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). In the previous proof we showed that if M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$  and  $X'_{r,s}$ , then M is bounded on  $X^r$ . Using the fact that  $X'_{r,s} = (X')_{s',r'}$ [13, Pr. 2.14], in a similar way we obtain that M is bounded on  $(X')^{s'}$ .

Turn to (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Since M is bounded on  $(X')^{s'}$ , by the first part of Proposition 4.1, M is bounded on X'. Hence, by Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi_X$ is unbounded, and so  $\varphi_{X^r}$  is unbounded as well. This coupled with the boundedness of M on  $X^r$  implies, by Theorem 1.4, that M is bounded on  $(X^r)'$ . In a similar way we obtain that M is bounded on  $[(X')^{s'}]'$ .

Setting  $Y := [(X^r)']^{(\frac{s}{r})'}$  and  $q := (\frac{s}{r})'$ , we obtain that  $Y^{1/q}$  and  $(Y^{1/q})'$  are  $A_1$ -regular. Therefore, by Theorem 2.4,  $Y' = X_{r,s}$  is  $A_q$ -regular. Further, it was shown in the proof of [13, Pr. 2.14] that  $X^{\theta}_{r,s} = [(X')^{s'}]'$  for  $\theta := \frac{1}{(r'/s')'}$ . Hence, M is bounded on  $X^{\theta}_{r,s}$ , which, along with the  $A_q$ -regularity of  $X_{r,s}$ , proves, by Proposition 2.6, that M is bounded on  $X_{r,s}$ . By symmetry, using that  $X'_{r,s} = (X')_{s',r'}$  and applying the same argument, we obtain that M is bounded on  $X'_{r,s}$ , and, therefore, the proof is complete.

In order to further illustrate the method based on Theorem 1.3, we give an alternative proof of the following recent result of Lorist and Nieraeth [12].

**Theorem 4.2** ([12]). Let  $r^* \in (1, \infty)$  and let X be an  $r^*$ -convex Banach function space over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then the following are equivalent:

- (i) We have  $M: X \to X$  and  $M: X' \to X'$ ;
- (ii) There is an  $r_0 \in (1, r^*]$  so that for all  $r \in (1, r_0)$  we have
  - $M: X^r \to X^r, \quad M: (X^r)' \to (X^r)';$
- (iii) There is an  $r \in (1, r^*]$  so that  $M : (X^r)' \to (X^r)'$ .

Proof. We start with (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). By a combination of the first two parts of Proposition 4.1, there is an  $r_0 \in (1, r^*]$  so that for all  $r \in (1, r_0)$ we have  $M : X^r \to X^r$ . Next, by Theorem 1.3, the function  $\varphi_X$  is unbounded. Hence,  $\varphi_{X^r}$  is unbounded as well. Therefore, applying Theorem 1.4, we have  $M : (X^r)' \to (X^r)'$ . Next, the implication (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial.

Turn to (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). By Theorem 1.3,  $\varphi_{X^r}$  is unbounded. Hence,  $\varphi_X$  is unbounded as well. Further, by the third part of Proposition 4.1, M:  $(X^r)' \rightarrow (X^r)'$  implies  $M : X \rightarrow X$ . It remains to apply Theorem 1.4 in order to conclude that  $M : X' \rightarrow X'$ .

# References

- R.R. Coifman and C. Fefferman, Weighted norm inequalities for maximal functions and singular integrals, Studia Math. 51 (1974), 241–250.
- [2] R.R. Coifman and R. Rochberg, Another characterization of BMO, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1980), no. 2, 249–254.
- [3] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, Some maximal inequalities, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 107–115.
- [4] C. Fefferman and E.M. Stein, H<sup>p</sup> spaces of several variables, Acta Math. 129 (1972), no. 3-4, 137–193.
- [5] L. Grafakos, Modern Fourier analysis. Third edition. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 250. Springer, New York, 2014. xvi+624 pp.
- [6] T. Hytönen and C. Pérez, Sharp weighted bounds involving A<sub>∞</sub>, Anal. PDE 6 (2013), no. 4, 777–818.
- [7] A.K. Lerner, On pointwise estimates for maximal and singular integral operators, Studia Math. 138 (2000), no. 3, 285–291.
- [8] A.K. Lerner, On some pointwise inequalities, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 289 (2004), no. 1, 248–259.
- [9] A.K. Lerner, Some remarks on the Fefferman-Stein inequality, J. Anal. Math. 112 (2010), 329–349.
- [10] A.K. Lerner and S. Ombrosi, A boundedness criterion for general maximal operators, Publ. Mat. 54 (2010), no. 1, 53–71.
- [11] E. Lorist and Z. Nieraeth, Banach function spaces done right, Indag. Math. (N.S.) 35 (2024), no. 2, 247–268.
- [12] E. Lorist and Z. Nieraeth, Extrapolation of compactness on Banach function spaces, to appear in J. Fourier Anal. Appl., arXiv: 2306.11449
- [13] Z. Nieraeth, Extrapolation in general quasi-Banach function spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 285 (2023), no. 10, Paper No. 110130, 109 pp.
- [14] J.L. Rubio de Francia, Linear operators in Banach lattices and weighted L<sup>2</sup> inequalities, Math. Nachr. 133 (1987), 197–209.
- [15] D.V. Rutsky, Remarks on A<sub>p</sub>-regular lattices of measurable functions, (Russian) Algebra i Analiz 27 (2015), no. 5, 153–169; translation in St. Petersburg Math. J. 27 (2016), no. 5, 813–823.
- [16] D.V. Rutsky, Corrigendum to "A<sub>1</sub>-regularity and boundedness of Calderón-Zygmund operators" with some remarks (Studia Math. 221 (2014), 231–247), Studia Math. 248 (2019), no. 3, 217–231.

[17] A.C. Zaanen. Integration. North-Holland Publishing Co., Amsterdam; Interscience Publishers John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1967.

(A.K. Lerner) Department of Mathematics, Bar-Ilan University, 5290002 Ramat Gan, Israel

Email address: lernera@math.biu.ac.il