

# When does a bent concatenation not belong to the completed Maiorana-McFarland class?

Sadmir Kudin\*, Enes Pasalic†, Alexandr Polujan‡, and Fengrong Zhang§

\*University of Primorska, FAMNIT & IAM, Glagoljaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia, sadmir.kudin@iam.upr.si

†University of Primorska, FAMNIT & IAM, Glagoljaška 8, 6000 Koper, Slovenia, enes.pasalic6@gmail.com

‡Otto von Guericke University Magdeburg, Universitätsplatz 2, 39106 Magdeburg, Germany, alexandr.polujan@gmail.com

§School of Cyber Engineering, Xidian University, Xi'an 710071, P.R. China, zhfl203@163.com

**Abstract**—Every Boolean bent function  $f$  can be written either as a concatenation  $f = f_1 || f_2$  of two complementary semi-bent functions  $f_1, f_2$ ; or as a concatenation  $f = f_1 || f_2 || f_3 || f_4$  of four Boolean functions  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$ , all of which are simultaneously bent, semi-bent, or 5-valued spectra-functions. In this context, it is essential to ask: When does a bent concatenation  $f$  (not) belong to the completed Maiorana-McFarland class  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ ? In this article, we answer this question completely by providing a full characterization of the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces for the concatenation of the form  $f = f_1 || f_2$  and  $f = f_1 || f_2 || f_3 || f_4$ , which allows us to specify the necessary and sufficient conditions so that  $f$  is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ . Based on these conditions, we propose several explicit design methods of specifying bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  in the special case when  $f = g || h || g || (h + 1)$ , where  $g$  and  $h$  are bent functions.

## I. PRELIMINARIES

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  be the vector space of all  $n$ -tuples  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ , where  $x_i \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . For  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , the usual scalar product over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  is defined as  $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ . By  $0_n$  we denote the all-zero vector of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Every Boolean function  $f: \mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  can be uniquely represented by its associated algebraic normal form (ANF) in the form  $f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} \lambda_u (\prod_{i=1}^n x_i^{u_i})$ , where  $x_i, \lambda_u \in \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . The algebraic degree of  $f$ , denoted by  $\deg(f)$ , is equal to the maximum Hamming weight of  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  for which  $\lambda_u \neq 0$ .

The *first-order derivative* of a function  $f$  in the direction  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is given by  $D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)$ . Derivatives of higher orders are defined recursively, i.e., the  *$k$ -th order derivative* of a function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  is defined by  $D_V f(x) = D_{a_k} D_{a_{k-1}} \dots D_{a_1} f(x) = D_{a_k} (D_{a_{k-1}} \dots D_{a_1} f)(x)$ , where  $V = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k \rangle$  is a vector subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  spanned by elements  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Note that if  $a_1, \dots, a_k \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  are linearly dependent, then  $D_{a_k} D_{a_{k-1}} \dots D_{a_1} f = 0$ . The *Walsh-Hadamard transform* of  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  at any point  $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is defined  $W_f(\omega) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) \oplus \omega \cdot x}$ . A function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , for even  $n$ , is called *bent* if  $|W_f(u)| = 2^{\frac{n}{2}}$ , for all  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Its unique *dual* function  $f^*$  is defined as  $W_f(u) = 2^{\frac{n}{2}} (-1)^{f^*(u)}$ , which is also bent. Two Boolean functions  $f, f' \in \mathcal{B}_n$  are called *extended-affine equivalent*, if there exists an affine permutation  $A$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  and affine function  $l \in \mathcal{B}_n$ , such that  $f \circ A + l = f'$ . It is well known, that extended-affine (EA) equivalence preserves the bent property.

The *completed Maiorana-McFarland class*  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  [6] is the set of  $n$ -variable ( $n = 2m$ ) Boolean bent functions, which are EA-equivalent to the functions of the form

$$f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y) + g(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^m, \quad (1)$$

where  $\pi$  is a permutation on  $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ , and  $g$  is an arbitrary Boolean function on  $\mathbb{F}_2^m$ . It is well-known from Dillon's thesis [3] that a bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  iff there exists a vector space  $V$  of dimension  $m$ , such that  $D_a D_b f = 0$  for all  $a, b \in V$ . This characterization motivates the following definition:

**Definition 1.** [11] Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be a Boolean function. We call a vector subspace  $V$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$ , if we have that  $D_a D_b f = 0$ , for any  $a, b \in V$ .

Further, we will investigate  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of the Boolean functions of the form  $f = f_1 || f_2$  or  $f = f_1 || f_2 || f_3 || f_4$ , which are defined as follows. We define the concatenation  $f_1 || f_2 : \mathbb{F}_2^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  of the two functions as:

$$f_1 || f_2(z, z_{n+1}) = f_1(z) + z_{n+1}(f_1(z) + f_2(z)), \quad (2)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n, z_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ ,

that is,  $f_1 || f_2(z, 0) = f_1(z)$ , and  $f_1 || f_2(z, 1) = f_2(z)$ .

For  $i = 1, \dots, 4$ , let  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$ . The formula for the concatenation  $f = f_1 || f_2 || f_3 || f_4 \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  of the four functions is given by:

$$f(z, z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) = f_1(z) + z_{n+1}z_{n+2}(f_1 + f_2 + f_3 + f_4)(z) + z_{n+1}(f_1 + f_2)(z) + z_{n+2}(f_1 + f_3)(z), \quad (3)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and  $z_{n+1}, z_{n+2} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , that is,  $f(z, 0, 0) = f_1(z)$ ,  $f(z, 1, 0) = f_2(z)$ ,  $f(z, 0, 1) = f_3(z)$  and  $f(z, 1, 1) = f_4(z)$ . Throughout this article, we will call bent functions of the form (2) and (3) *bent concatenations*.

The main aim of this article is to develop further a theory of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces for bent concatenations initially analyzed in [11] and recently considered in [9]. For a more detailed treatment of bent functions we refer to [2], [7], and for their designs outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  to [8], [10]. The rest of the paper is organized in the following way. In Sections II and III, we provide a full characterization of the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces for the concatenation of the form  $f = f_1 || f_2$  and

$f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$ , respectively. Consequently, we specify the necessary and sufficient conditions so that  $f$  is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ . Based on these conditions, we propose in Section IV several explicit design methods of specifying bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  in the special case when  $f = g||h||g||(h+1)$ .

## II. CONCATENATION OF TWO FUNCTIONS

Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . From Eq. (2), we deduce that the second-order derivative of the concatenation  $f = f_1||f_2 : \mathbb{F}_2^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , with respect to  $(a, 0)$  and  $(b, 0)$  has the following form

$$D_{(a,0)}D_{(b,0)}f = D_{(a,0)}D_{(b,0)}f_1||f_2 = D_aD_b f_1||D_aD_b f_2. \quad (4)$$

Similarly, from Eq. (2), the second-order derivative of  $f = f_1||f_2$  w.r.t.  $(a, 0)$  and  $(b, 1)$ , at the point  $(z, z_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n+1}$ , can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(a,0)}D_{(b,1)}f &= D_{(b,1)}(D_a f_1||D_a f_2) = g_1||g_2, \text{ where} \\ g_1(z) &= D_a f_1(z) + D_a f_2(z+b) \text{ and} \\ g_2(z) &= D_a f_2(z) + D_a f_1(z+b), \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since  $D_{(a,a_{n+1})}D_{(b,b_{n+1})}f = D_{(b,b_{n+1})}D_{(a,a_{n+1})}f = D_{(a+b,a_{n+1}+b_{n+1})}D_{(b,b_{n+1})}f$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and  $a_{n+1}, b_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , the rest of the cases can also be computed with (4) and (5). Using these expressions, we relate  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of  $f$  to  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  as follows:

**Theorem 2.** Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and let  $k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . The function  $f = f_1||f_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  has no  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces if and only if the following conditions hold:

- The functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  do not share a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace;
- For every vector  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and every  $k$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , there is  $a \in V$  such that

$$D_a f_1(z) + D_a f_2(z+u) \neq 0, \text{ for some } z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n. \quad (6)$$

*Proof.* (Sketch) Assume that  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$ , with  $\dim(W) = k+1$ . Consider the projection  $P : W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  given by  $P(z, z_{n+1}) = z_{n+1}$ , for all  $(z, z_{n+1}) \in W$ , where  $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and  $z_{n+1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ . Then,  $\dim(\ker(P)) \geq k$  (by rank-nullity theorem). If  $\dim(\ker(P)) = k+1$ , then Eq. (4) implies that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  share a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Similarly, when  $\dim(\ker(P)) = k$ , define  $V$  through  $\{(v, 0) : v \in V\} = \ker(P)$ . Then, taking  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be such that  $(u, 1) \in W \setminus \ker(P)$ , by Eqs. (4) and (5) one deduces Eq. (6). In the other direction, it can be shown that assuming that  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  do not share a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace leads to a contradiction.  $\square$

Using the fact that a bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_t$  is in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if it has a  $t/2$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, from Theorem 2 we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 3.** Let  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{B}_n$ ,  $n = 2k+1$ , be Boolean functions such that  $f = f_1||f_2 \in \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$  is a bent function. Then, the function  $f$  is outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if the following conditions hold:

- The functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  do not share a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace;
- For every vector  $u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and every  $k$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of both  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ , there is  $a \in V$  such that  $D_a f_1(z) + D_a f_2(z+u) \neq 0$ , for some  $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ .

It is well-known that in the above concatenation  $f = f_1||f_2$ , the function  $f$  is bent if and only if  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are disjoint spectra semi-bent functions; see [14, Theorem 6]. In particular, when  $f_i : \mathbb{F}_2^{2k+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  are represented in the form  $f_i(x, y) = x \cdot \phi_i(y) + h_i(y)$ , for  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ , where  $\phi_i : \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$  and  $h_i : \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , then the properties of  $\phi_i$  are essential in defining disjoint spectra semi-bent functions  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

**Theorem 4.** Let  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  defined as  $f_i(x, y) = x \cdot \pi_i(y) + h_i(y)$ , with  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$  and  $h_i$  are arbitrary Boolean functions on  $\mathbb{F}_2^k$ . Then, the concatenation  $f = f_1||f_2$  is a bent function on  $\mathbb{F}_2^{2k+2}$  if and only if  $\text{im}(\pi_1) \cap \text{im}(\pi_2) = \emptyset$  and  $\pi_i$  are injective mappings.

*Proof.* Notice that  $f = f_1||f_2 : \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1} \times \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  is the function defined by  $f(x, y) = x \cdot \pi(y, y_{k+1}) + h(y, y_{k+1})$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$  and  $y_{k+1} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , where  $\pi$  is defined by  $\pi(y, 0) = \pi_1(y)$  and  $\pi(y, 1) = \pi_2(y)$ , and similarly  $h(y, 0) = h_1(y)$  and  $h(y, 1) = h_2(y)$ , for all  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$ . We know that  $f$  is bent if and only if  $\pi$  is a permutation, and  $\pi$  is a permutation if and only if  $\text{im}(\pi_1) \cap \text{im}(\pi_2) = \emptyset$  and  $\pi_1$  and  $\pi_2$  are injective mappings.  $\square$

However, it turns out that  $f = f_1||f_2 \in \mathcal{M}^\#$  since  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  share an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of maximal dimension.

**Remark 5.** Any construction method employing the functions  $f_i(x, y) = x \cdot \phi_i(y) + h_i(y)$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{F}_2^k$  (consequently  $\phi_i : \mathbb{F}_2^k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^{k+1}$ ), will only provide a function  $f$  which belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ . This is due to Corollary 3 and the fact that  $\mathbb{F}_2^{k+1} \times \{0_k\}$  is a canonical  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of dimension  $k+1$  which is shared by  $f_1$  and  $f_2$ .

## III. CONCATENATION OF FOUR FUNCTIONS

Similarly as in the case of two functions concatenation, we derive the following formulas for the second-order derivatives of  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$  (where  $f_i$  are suitable bent, semi-bent or five-valued spectra functions) if  $f$  is bent [1]. For a function  $h : \mathbb{F}_2^m \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  and  $r \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$  by  $h^r$ , we denote the translation of  $h$  by  $r$ , that is  $h^r(x) = h(x+r)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^m$ . In the following formulas,  $a$  and  $b$  are two arbitrary elements from  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , not necessarily different.

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(a,0,0)}D_{(b,0,0)}f &= D_{(a,0,0)}D_{(b,0,0)}(f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4) \\ &= D_aD_b f_1||D_aD_b f_2||D_aD_b f_3||D_aD_b f_4 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(a,1,0)}D_{(b,0,0)}f &= (D_b f_1 + D_b f_2^a)|| \\ &= (D_b f_1 + D_b f_2^a)^a || (D_b f_3 + D_b f_4^a) || (D_b f_3 + D_b f_4^a)^a \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(a,0,1)}D_{(b,0,0)}f &= (D_b f_1 + D_b f_3^a)|| \\ &= (D_b f_2 + D_b f_4^a) || (D_b f_1 + D_b f_3^a)^a || (D_b f_2 + D_b f_4^a)^a \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} D_{(a,1,1)}D_{(b,0,0)}f &= (D_b f_1 + D_b f_4^a)|| \\ &= (D_b f_2 + D_b f_3^a) || (D_b f_2 + D_b f_3^a)^a || (D_b f_1 + D_b f_4^a)^a \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{(a,0,1)}D_{(b,1,0)}f &= (f_1 + f_2^b + f_3^a + f_4^{a+b})|| \\
& (f_1 + f_2^b + f_3^a + f_4^{a+b})^b || (f_1 + f_2^b + f_3^a + f_4^{a+b})^a || \quad (11) \\
& (f_1 + f_2^b + f_3^a + f_4^{a+b})^{a+b}.
\end{aligned}$$

Compared to Proposition V.2 in [9], the result below gives the most general structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of varying dimension for a 4-concatenation of not necessarily bent functions.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4: \mathbb{F}_2^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  be the concatenation of arbitrary Boolean functions  $f_1, \dots, f_4 \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and let  $W$  be a  $(k+2)$ -dimensional subspace of  $\mathbb{F}_2^{n+2}$ ,  $k \in \{0, \dots, n\}$ . Then,  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$  if and only if  $W$  has one of the following forms:

- a)  $W = V \times \{(0,0)\}$ , where  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is a common  $(k+2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ .
- b)  $W = \langle V \times \{(0,0)\}, (a,1,0) \rangle$ , where  $V$  is a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is such that

$$D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^a = D_v f_3 + D_v f_4^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

- c)  $W = \langle V \times \{(0,0)\}, (a,0,1) \rangle$ , where  $V$  is a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is such that

$$D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a = D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

- d)  $W = \langle V \times \{(0,0)\}, (a,1,1) \rangle$ , where  $V$  is a common  $(k+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ , and  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is such that

$$D_v f_1 + D_v f_4^a = D_v f_2 + D_v f_3^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V.$$

- e)  $W = \langle V \times \{(0,0)\}, (a,0,1), (b,1,0) \rangle$ , where  $V$  is a common  $k$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ , and  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  are such that  $D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a = D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^b = D_v f_3 + D_v f_4^b = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ , and  $f_1(x) + f_2(x+b) + f_3(x+a) + f_4(x+a+b) = 0$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ .

*Proof.* (Sketch) Assume first that  $W$  is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$ . Let  $P: W \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2^2$  be the projection on the last two coordinates, i.e.,  $P((w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})) = (w_{n+1}, w_{n+2})$ , for all  $(w_1, \dots, w_{n+1}, w_{n+2}) \in W$ . There are 5 subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_2^2$ , and depending on which subspace  $\text{im}(P)$  is equal to, we obtain the five corresponding forms a) - e) of the subspace  $W$ . The proof follows by applying Eqs. (7) - (11). The other direction is proved similarly.  $\square$

**Remark 7.** Proposition V.2 in [9] specifies the structure of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of maximal dimension  $m+1$  for  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$ , where both  $f$  and  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_{2m}$  are bent and additionally at least one  $f_i$  admits the canonical  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace  $U = \mathbb{F}_2^m \times \{0_m\}$ . Thus, it is a special case of Theorem 6.

From Theorem 6, we obtain the following full characterization of the class inclusion of  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$  in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class in terms of properties of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ .

**Corollary 8.** Let  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4: \mathbb{F}_2^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$  be the concatenation of  $f_1, \dots, f_4 \in \mathcal{B}_n$  and assume that  $f$  is bent;

thus  $f_i$  are bent, semi-bent or five-valued spectra functions. Then,  $f$  is outside of the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if the following conditions hold:

- a) The functions  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  do not share a common  $(n/2+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace;
- b) There are no common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  such that there is an element  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  for which

$$\begin{aligned}
D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^a &= D_v f_3 + D_v f_4^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V, \text{ or} \\
D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a &= D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V, \text{ or} \\
D_v f_1 + D_v f_4^a &= D_v f_2 + D_v f_3^a = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V. \quad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

- c) There are no common  $(n/2-1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  such that there are elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  (not necessarily different), for which

$$\begin{aligned}
D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a &= D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^b \\
&= D_v f_3 + D_v f_4^b = 0, \text{ for all } v \in V, \text{ and} \\
f_1(x) + f_2(x+b) + f_3(x+a) \\
&+ f_4(x+a+b) = 0, \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* The result follows directly from Theorem 6, by setting  $k+2 = n/2+1$ , and the fact that a bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  is in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if it has an  $(n/2+1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace.  $\square$

Notice that when  $f_i$  are bent in Corollary 8, then the item a) is automatically satisfied since none of the functions  $f_i$  admits an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of dimension  $n/2+1$ . The condition in b) was recently deduced in [9, Corollary V.11] for a special case when  $f_i$  are bent functions on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  that share an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of maximal dimension  $n/2$ .

**Open Problem 9.** Is the condition c) in Corollary 8 independent of conditions a), b)? Particularly, the existence of bent functions  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2^{n+2}$  in  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , where all  $f_i \in \mathcal{B}_n$  are bent and outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , is hard to establish.

Notice that, when  $f = f_1||f_1||f_1||f_1+1$  so that  $f(x, y_1, y_2) = f_1(x) + y_1 y_2$ , where  $f_1$  is a bent function on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , it was deduced [13] that  $f$  is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  if and only if  $f_1$  is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ . This result also follows from Theorem 10 below, as we show in the next section.

#### IV. AN APPLICATION: DESIGNING BENT FUNCTIONS OUTSIDE $\mathcal{M}^\#$ OF THE FORM $g||h||g||h+1$

The concatenation  $f = g||h||g||h+1$  (where  $g$  and  $h$  are bent) is interesting in terms of the class inclusion, as the dual bent condition is automatically satisfied. Recall that when  $f_i$  are all bent, then  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$  is bent if and only if  $f_1^* + f_2^* + f_3^* + f_4^* = 1$ ; see [4]. The analysis of structural properties of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces presented in the previous section turns out to be useful when considering certain special cases of bent 4-concatenation.

A. The necessary and sufficient condition for  $f = g||h||g|(h+1)$  to be outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$

**Theorem 10.** Let  $h$  and  $g$  be two arbitrary bent functions in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ . Then, the function  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4: \mathbb{F}_2^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , where  $f_1 = f_3 = g$  and  $f_2 = f_4 + 1 = h$  is a bent function in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if the functions  $g$  and  $h$  have a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, thus  $g, h \in \mathcal{M}^\#$ .

*Proof.* We compute  $f_1^* + f_2^* + f_3^* + f_4^* = g^* + h^* + g^* + h^* + 1 = 1$ , hence  $f$  is a bent function. Let  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $g$  and  $h$ . Then,  $V$  is also a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$  and  $D_v f_1 + D_v f_3 = D_v g + D_v g = 0$ ,  $D_v f_2 + D_v f_4 = D_v h + D_v h = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ . Setting  $a = 0_n$  in the item b) of Corollary 8, we deduce that  $f$  is a bent function in  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ .

Assume now that  $g$  and  $h$  do not have a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, and that  $f \in \mathcal{M}^\#$ . Then, the cases a) and b) in Corollary 8 hold, hence it has to be the case c) that fails. That is, there is a common  $(n/2 - 1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace  $V \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  of  $f_1, \dots, f_4$ , (i.e. of  $g$  and  $h$ ) such that there are elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  (not necessarily different), for which

$$\begin{aligned} D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a &= D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^b = \\ D_v f_3 + D_v f_4^b &= 0, \text{ for all } v \in V, \text{ and} \\ f_1(x) + f_2(x+b) + f_3(x+a) + f_4(x+a+b) &= 0, \\ \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n. \end{aligned}$$

From  $D_v f_1 + D_v f_3^a = 0$ , we get  $D_v g + D_v g^a = D_a D_v g = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ . Similarly,  $D_v f_2 + D_v f_4^a = 0$  implies  $D_v h + D_v h^a = D_a D_v h = 0$ , for all  $v \in V$ . This implies that  $a$  has to be in  $V$ , otherwise  $\langle V, a \rangle$  would be a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $g$  and  $h$ . Setting  $v = a$  in  $D_v f_1 + D_v f_2^b = 0$ , we get

$$g(x) + g(x+a) + h(x+b) + h(x+a+b) = 0, \quad (14)$$

for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ .

On the other hand, from  $f_1(x) + f_2(x+b) + f_3(x+a) + f_4(x+a+b) = 0$  we have  $g(x) + h(x+b) + g(x+a) + h(x+a+b) + 1 = 0$ , that is  $g(x) + g(x+a) + h(x+b) + h(x+a+b) = 1$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ . However, this is in contradiction with Eq. (14). We conclude that  $f$  is a bent function outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class.  $\square$

**Remark 11.** Notice that Theorem 10 answers negatively Open Problem 9 when a bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  is represented as  $f = g||h||g|h+1$ .

However, Theorem 10 provides a very flexible method of constructing bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  for  $n \geq 10$ .

**Corollary 12.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be any bent function outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , with  $n \geq 8$ , and  $h$  be any bent function on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Then, the bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  defined as  $f = g||h||g|h+1$  is outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class.

*Proof.* By Theorem 10,  $f \in \mathcal{M}^\#$  if and only if  $g$  and  $h$  share a common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. But since  $g$

is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  it does not admit any  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, and therefore it cannot share with  $h$  regardless of  $h$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  or not. Thus,  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  is outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ .  $\square$

Another important consequence of Theorem 10 is the following result which also sheds more light on the existence of bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , for the special case when  $n = 8$ .

**Corollary 13.** Let  $g \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be an arbitrary bent function  $n \geq 6$ . Then, there exists a bent function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class such that  $g(x) = f(x, 0, 0)$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $h$  be a bent function in  $n$  variables with a unique  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace  $V$ ; see [9] for their existence. Since  $g$  is bent, thus not affine, there exist are two elements  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that  $D_a D_b g \neq 0$ . Let  $A$  be any affine permutation of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that  $A^{-1}(\{a, b\}) \subset V$ . Define  $h' = h \circ A$ . Then, by construction  $g$  and  $h'$  do not share an  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Therefore, by Theorem 10, the function  $f = g||h'||g|(h'+1)$  is a bent function outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class, and the result follows.  $\square$

Note that certain design methods of constructing 8-variable bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  using bent functions  $f_1, \dots, f_4 \in \mathcal{M}^\#$  were considered in [9], but Corollary 13 confirms this fact theoretically and thus excludes the case that bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  originate from the 4-concatenation of semi-bent or five-valued spectra functions only. Moreover, it is always possible to find more than one permutation  $A$  (from the proof of Corollary 13). It means that for  $n \geq 6$ , the number of bent functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  in  $n+2$  variables is always strictly greater than the number of all bent functions in  $n$  variables.

**Theorem 14.** Let  $n, k$  be two integers such that  $k < n/2 - 1$ . Let  $g, h$  be two bent functions in  $\mathcal{B}_n$  whose  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of maximal dimension  $k$  are mutually non-intersecting. Assume that for any subspace  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{F}_2^n$  with  $\dim(\Lambda) = k - 1$ , there exists  $a \in \Lambda$  such that  $D_a g \neq D_a h$ . Then,  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4: \mathbb{F}_2^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , where  $f_1 = f_3 = g$  and  $f_2 = f_4 + 1 = h$ , is a bent function whose  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces have dimension  $< k + 1$ .

*Proof.* (Sketch) By assumption, we have that  $W = \langle V \times \{(0, 0)\}, (a, i_1, i_2) \rangle$  is not an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$ , where  $V$  is a  $k$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $g$  (resp.  $h$ ),  $(i_1, i_2) \in \mathbb{F}_2^2$ . Thus, let  $\Delta$  be a common  $(k - 1)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $g$  and  $h$ . Set  $W = \langle \Delta \times \{(0, 0)\}, (a, i_1, i_2), (b, i_3, i_4) \rangle$ , where  $(i_1, i_2), (i_3, i_4) \in \mathbb{F}_2^2$  and  $(i_1, i_2) \neq (i_3, i_4)$ . Then, there are two cases to be considered, namely 1)  $a \notin \Delta$  or  $b \notin \Delta$  and 2)  $a, b \in \Delta$ . It can be shown that the vector space  $W$ , with  $\dim(W) = k + 1$ , is not an  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $f$ . The result follows then from Theorem 6.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** Let  $n$  be an even integer. Let  $\pi$  be a permutation such that  $g = x \cdot \pi(y)$  has only one  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Let  $A$  be an invertible matrix on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that  $I + A$  is also an invertible matrix on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Let  $h = g \circ A$ . Then, the function  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4: \mathbb{F}_2^{n+2} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$ , where  $f_1 = f_3 = g$  and  $f_2 = f_4 + 1 = h$ , is a bent function outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ .



*Proof.* Since  $g$  has only one  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, we have that  $g$  and  $h$  have no common  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Since  $I + A$  is also an invertible matrix on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , we have  $g + h$  is also a bent function, that is, for any nonzero vector  $a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  we have  $D_a g \neq D_a h$ . From Theorem 14, we have the maximal dimension of  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces of  $f$  is  $< n/2 + 1$ , thus  $f \notin \mathcal{M}^\#$ .  $\square$

#### B. A special case of relating $g$ and $h$ in a linear manner

The following result, obtained in [5], provides two secondary constructions of bent functions in  $n+2$  variables from bent functions in  $n$  variables. Notice that a version of the result is also stated as Theorem 45 in [12].

**Theorem 16.** [5] *Let  $g$  be a bent function in  $n$  variables. Then, the functions  $f$  and  $f'$  in  $(n+2)$ -variables defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} f(z, z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) &= g(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i z_{n+1} + z_{n+1} z_{n+2}, \\ f'(z, z_{n+1}, z_{n+2}) &= g(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i (z_{n+1} + z_{n+2}) \\ &\quad + z_{n+1} z_{n+2}, \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

for all  $z \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  and  $z_{n+1}, z_{n+2} \in \mathbb{F}_2$ , are bent functions for all  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{F}_2$ .

Nevertheless, these methods fall under the concatenation framework given by  $f = g||h||g|(h+1)$  and the class inclusion of these functions is then easily determined.

**Proposition 17.** *Let  $g$  be a bent function in  $n$  variables. Let  $f$  and  $f'$  be the bent functions in  $(n+2)$ -variables defined in Eq. (15). Then, the functions  $f$  and  $f'$  are in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class if and only if the function  $g$  is in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class.*

*Proof.* Note that  $f$  and  $f'$  are extended affine equivalent, hence it is enough to investigate the class inclusion for one of them; therefore, we will prove the result for  $f$ . By looking at  $f(z, 0, 0)$ ,  $f(z, 1, 0)$ ,  $f(z, 0, 1)$  and  $f(z, 1, 1)$ , we see that  $f = f_1||f_2||f_3||f_4$ , where  $f_1(z) = f_3(z) = g(z)$ ,  $f_2(z) = f_4(z) + 1 = g(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i$ . Since the functions  $g(z)$  and  $g(z) + \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i z_i$  have the same  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces, the result follows from Theorem 10.  $\square$

Consequently, we provide an alternative proof of existence of cubic bents functions outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  for all  $n \geq 10$ .

**Corollary 18.** *Cubic bent functions on  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  exist for all  $n \geq 10$ .*

*Proof.* In Theorem 16, take  $g \in \mathcal{B}_{10}$  as  $h_3^{10}$  or  $h_4^{10}$  from [11, Table 4], which are both outside  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ .  $\square$

#### C. Applying suitable affine transforms

The class inclusion properties are substantially affected by applying suitable affine transformations to bent functions used in 4-bent concatenation.

**Theorem 19.** *Let  $g \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be a bent function,  $n \geq 6$ , in the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class, and let  $q \in \mathcal{B}_n$  be a bent function with a*

*unique  $n/2$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Then, there exist two linear permutations  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that for  $h = q \circ A$  and  $h' = q \circ B$  in  $\mathcal{B}_n$ , the function  $f \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  defined by  $f = g||h||g|(h+1)$  is a bent function inside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class, and the function  $f' \in \mathcal{B}_{n+2}$  defined by  $f' = g||h'||g|(h'+1)$  is a bent function outside the  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  class.*

*Proof.* Let  $a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  be two elements such that  $D_a D_b g \neq 0$  (we know such two elements exist, otherwise  $g$  would be affine), and let  $V$  be the unique  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $g$ . Let  $B$  be any linear isomorphism such that  $\{a, b\} \subset B^{-1}(V)$ . The subspace  $B^{-1}(V)$  is the unique  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of  $q \circ B$ . Since  $\{a, b\} \subset B^{-1}(V)$  and  $D_a D_b g \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $g$  and  $q \circ B$  do not share an  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace. Setting  $h' = q \circ B$ , from Theorem 10, we deduce that  $f' = g||h'||g|(h'+1) \notin \mathcal{M}^\#$ . Notice that  $h'$  also admits a unique  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace as  $h$  does.

On the other hand, since  $g \in \mathcal{M}^\#$ , it has at least one  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace, denote it by  $W$ . Let  $A$  be any linear isomorphism such that  $A(W) = V$ , and set  $h = q \circ A$ . Then,  $W$  is an  $(n/2)$ -dimensional  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspace of both  $g$  and  $h$ . By Theorem 10, we have that  $f = g||h||g|(h+1) \in \mathcal{M}^\#$ .  $\square$

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments, which helped to improve the presentation of the results.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] A. Canteaut and P. Charpin, "Decomposing bent functions," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 49, no. 8, pp. 2004–2019, 2003. p. 2.
- [2] C. Carlet and S. Mesnager, "Four decades of research on bent functions," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 78, no. 1, pp. 5–50, Jan 2016. p. 1.
- [3] J. F. Dillon, "Elementary Hadamard difference sets," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Maryland, 1974. p. 1.
- [4] S. Hodžić, E. Pasalic, and Y. Wei, "A general framework for secondary constructions of bent and plateaued functions," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 88, no. 10, pp. 2007–2035, Oct 2020. p. 3.
- [5] E. P. Korsakova, "Graph classification for quadratic bent functions in 6 variables," *Diskretn. Anal. Issled. Oper.*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 45–57, 2013. p. 5.
- [6] R. L. McFarland, "A family of difference sets in non-cyclic groups," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 1–10, 1973. p. 1.
- [7] S. Mesnager, *Bent Functions: Fundamentals and Results*, 1st ed. Springer Cham, 2016. p. 1.
- [8] E. Pasalic, A. Bapić, F. Zhang, and Y. Wei, "Explicit infinite families of bent functions outside the completed Maiorana-McFarland class," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 91, no. 7, pp. 2365–2393, Jul 2023. p. 1.
- [9] E. Pasalic, A. Polujan, S. Kudin, and F. Zhang, "Design and analysis of bent functions using  $\mathcal{M}$ -subspaces," *To appear in IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, pp. 1–1, 2024. pp. 1, 3, and 4.
- [10] A. Polujan, E. Pasalic, S. Kudin, and F. Zhang, "Bent functions satisfying the dual bent condition and permutations with the  $(\mathcal{A}_m)$  property," *Submitted*, 2023. p. 1.
- [11] A. Polujan and A. Pott, "Cubic bent functions outside the completed Maiorana-McFarland class," *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, vol. 88, no. 9, pp. 1701–1722, Sep 2020. pp. 1 and 5.
- [12] N. Tokareva, *Bent Functions. Results and Applications to Cryptography*, 1st ed. Academic Press, 2015. p. 5.

- [13] F. Zhang, E. Pasalic, A. Bapić, and B. Wang, “[Constructions of several special classes of cubic bent functions outside the completed Maiorana-McFarland class](#),” *Information and Computation*, p. 105149, 2024. p. 3.
- [14] Y. Zheng and X.-M. Zhang, “[On plateaued functions](#),” *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 3, pp. 1215–1223, 2001. p. 2.