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#### Abstract

In reaction-diffusion models of annihilation reactions in low dimensions, single-particle dynamics provides a bottleneck for reactions, leading to an anomalously slow approach to the empty state. Here, we construct a reaction model with a reciprocal bottleneck on particle dynamics where singleparticle motion conserves the center of mass. We show that such a constrained reaction-diffusion dynamics does not approach an empty state but freezes at late times in a state with fragmented particle clusters, and that the late-time dynamics and asymptotic density are universal. Our setup thus constitutes a minimal model for the fragmentation of a one-dimensional lattice into independent particle clusters, and we provide exact results for the final density in the large-reaction rate limit. We suggest that the universal reaction dynamics could be observable in experiments with cold atoms or in the Auger recombination of exciton gases.


Reaction-diffusion models describe a plethora of biological, chemical, and physical systems [1, 2], ranging from population dynamics [3], colloid clustering [4, 5], and reactions in polymer melts [6], to models of the early universe [7], spin dynamics [8], and exciton recombination in semiconductors $[9,10]$. In their simplest form, they describe the stochastic annihilation $A+A \xrightarrow{\lambda} \emptyset$ of two reactants $A$ with a rate $\lambda$. At late times, the average reactant density $\rho_{t}$ approaches the empty state with a mean-field decay $\rho_{t} \sim 1 /(\lambda t)$, which is universal in the sense that it does not depend on the initial reactant number. This universality is pushed even further if the reactants are allowed to diffuse in dimensions $d \leq 2$ [2]. Here, local reactions create depletion zones, which must be closed by diffusion before further annihilations can take place. The late-time decay of the density is then limited by the diffusion time scale across a depletion zone, resulting in a slower power-law decay $\rho_{t} \sim 1 / \sqrt{D t}$ that depends on the diffusion constant $D$ but not on the reaction rate $\lambda$ [11]. Modifications of the particle dynamics-for example, for sub- or superdiffusive dynamics [12-14], or to quantum random walks [15]-result in different powerlaw decays to the final empty state. Fundamentally, the single-particle dynamics acts as a bottleneck for particle reactions.

In this Letter, we study how the late-time dynamics of reaction-diffusion systems changes when the singleparticle dynamics is constrained by conservation laws. To this end, we introduce a model where particle motion is tied to that of its neighbours by imposing center-ofmass conservation, which creates a reciprocal bottleneck for particle dynamics at low densities. We find that the interplay between reactions and constrained dynamics renders mixing inefficient as the density decreases, and the system fragments into independent regions at late times, which leads to a nonzero final density as opposed to an empty state. In particular, both the late-time dynamics and the asymptotic density are universal. This
is distinct from simple diffusion dynamics where, as discussed, transport limits reactions but reactions do not impact transport. The constrained kinetics, without the inclusion of reactions, has been recently discussed as a model for fracton dynamics [16, 17]. Additionally, constrained kinetics lead to the breakdown of thermalization in quantum systems, due to the fragmentation of Hilbert space [18-21]. It has been experimentally realized with ultracold quantum gases in disorder potentials [22] and in optical lattices [23]. Here, the combination with annihilation reactions provides a simple model where the correlated dynamics freezes out at late times.

In detail, we consider correlated hopping steps on a one-dimensional lattice, where pairs of adjacent particles hop one lattice site apart with rate $\Gamma$. This is illustrated in Fig. 1(a), where particles at the adjacent sites 1 and 2 simultaneously hop outward to sites 0 and 3 , marked in gray. We also allow the reverse inward hopping step with equal rate [Fig. 1(b)]. Annihilation reactions remove a pair of particles on the same lattice site with rate $\lambda$ [Fig. 1(c)]. We simulate the time evolution of this model on a periodic lattice of length $L$ using the Gillespie algorithm [24], where the initial particle number at each site is Poisson-distributed with mean $\rho_{0}$ and we use $L=10^{6}$


FIG. 1. Sketch of events in our model. Black boxes represent particles, gray boxes locations after an update. Pairs of particles can either (a) hop outwards from adjacent sites with rate $\Gamma$, (b) execute the reverse inward hop with equal rate, or (c) annihilate at the same lattice site with rate $\lambda$.
unless stated otherwise. For each iteration, the Gillespie algorithm determines the exponentially distributed time to the next event from the total rate of possible correlated hopping and annihilation steps, decides on a random annihilation or hopping step, and updates the state accordingly. To efficiently keep track of the possible correlated hopping and reaction steps between iterations, we modify a bookkeeping algorithm-introduced in Ref. [25] to simulate bosonic reaction-diffusion systems-to include the constrained dynamics [26]. We stop the time evolution when a final state is reached, i.e., a state for which the density remains constant at all later times.

Figure 2(a) shows the time evolution of the average density $\rho_{t}$ as a function of the scaling variable $\lambda t$ for different initial densities $\rho_{0}$ and ratios of reaction to hopping rates $\lambda / \Gamma$. The top inset illustrates a typical Poissondistributed initial configuration. The early-time evolution shows a scaling collapse to the $\Gamma$-independent meanfield result $\rho_{t}=\rho_{0} /\left(1+\rho_{0} \lambda t\right)$ (dashed red line), indicating a reaction-dominated regime where correlated particle motion does not significantly affect the density. In the absence of correlated hopping, $\Gamma=0$, the final state is the parity projection of the initial Poisson-distributed state (middle inset), described by a Bernoulli distribution with mean $\rho=e^{-\rho_{0}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \rho_{0}^{2 n} /(2 n)!=\frac{1}{2}\left(1-e^{-2 \rho_{0}}\right)$, which is approximately equal to $1 / 2$ for sufficiently large $\rho_{0}$ (green dashed line). For large reaction rates, $\lambda \gg \Gamma$, this state is reached as a transient to the asymptotic final state, after which the correlated particle dynamics mixes the state and enables a further reduction in the density. However, unlike for simple diffusion dynamics, as the particle density decreases the state fragments into separate regions, which hinders the transport of multiple particles to equal lattice sites. Eventually, no further annihilations are possible and the density arrests at a nonzero value $\rho_{\infty}$ (dashed orange line and bottom inset). A main result of our work is that for high enough initial densities $\rho_{0}$, this final density is approximately independent of $\rho_{0}$, $\lambda$, and $\Gamma$.

Note that whether a configuration is maximally fragmented and cannot decay to a state with smaller particle number is determined by the presence of a small number of short particle sequences. Indeed, in order to check if a state is final, we first verify that there is at most one particle per lattice site, i.e., that no annihilation can take place in the next step. In this case, we check for groups of particles that can react after one correlated hopping step; these must include at least one of the sequences $\square$, —— or where a black (white) box indicates an occupied (empty) site. If these sequences are also absent, we successively check for the smallest sequences that can lead to annihilation after two (■\】, ■ป】), three
 ———— hopping steps. Longer sequences are constructed from shorter ones by correlated hopping steps. This process terminates at five hops, since all possible


FIG. 2. (a) Time evolution of the particle density $\rho_{t}$ for various initial densities $\rho_{0}$ and ratios $\lambda / \Gamma$. The density does not decrease to zero at late times but arrests at a finite value. Top inset: Particles in the initial state are Poisson distributed at each lattice site with average particle number $\rho_{0}$. Middle inset: In the absence of particle dynamics the final state is the parity-projection of the initial distribution. This state is reached as a transient for $\lambda \gg \Gamma$ (green dashed line). Bottom inset: Final state for the constrained dynamics. In this state, correlated hopping steps are possible but do not lead to reactions. (b) Same data as (a) as a function of $\Gamma t$, which showcases the transport-limited dynamics at late times. (c) Final density $\rho_{\infty}$ for $\rho_{0}=2$ as a function of $\lambda / \Gamma$, averaged over 50 runs. The variance is too small to be visible.
five-hop sequences already contain a smaller subsequence that can react in fewer steps. Thus, a state is final if and only if it does not contain any of the ten particle sequences depicted above.

To demonstrate that the late-time dynamics is universal and determined by the correlated hopping, we show in Fig. 2(b) the scaling collapse of the density onto a single curve that depends on the dimensionless time $\Gamma t$. This is shown in more detail in Fig. 2(c), which shows the final density $\rho_{\infty}$ as a function of the ratio $\lambda / \Gamma$ for $\rho_{0}=2$. In the limit of fast reactions, $\lambda \gg \Gamma$, the final density


FIG. 3. Illustration of the instantaneous-reaction dynamics for $L=50$. Black (white) boxes indicate occupied (empty) lattice sites and time evolves from the top to the bottom. Shown are the configurations after each update.
arrests at a universal value $\rho_{\infty}=0.1923(2)$, which is indicated by the dashed orange line. In this limit, there is a clear separation between the initial reaction-limited dynamics, which first relaxes to the transient state, and the transport-limited dynamics that evolve to the final state. At finite reaction rates, there are small corrections to this result, as the presence of multiply occupied sites aids mixing, giving a smaller final density [Fig. 2(c)].

The limiting case of instant reactions, $\lambda / \Gamma \rightarrow \infty$, gives analytical insight into the final fragmented state and we will study it in the remainder of this Letter. Figure 3 shows a typical time evolution of an initial state, one event at a time from top to bottom. For instant reactions, there is at most one particle per lattice site and particles no longer move past their neighbors, which restricts transport and leads to faster fragmentation into independent regions. For generality, we consider initial configurations drawn from a Bernoulli distribution with density $\rho \in[0,1]$, which includes the parity projection of the previous Poisson initial condition, for which $\rho \leq 1 / 2$. Figure 4 shows the average final density $\rho_{\infty}$ for different initial densities $\rho$ as obtained from numerical simulation (blue points). For small $\rho$, the randomly placed particles are unlikely to be close enough to react, so the initial and final densities are approximately equal (green dashed line). For larger initial densities, reactions take place and the final density is smaller than $\rho$. The non-monotonous behaviour at larger $\rho$, with local maxima and minima, indicates that fragmentation inhibits reactions for large initial densities.

To further illustrate the importance of fragmentation in the evolution of the system, we include in Fig. 4 the final density for a small system of size $L=13$ (orange line), obtained by exact computation of the evolution matrix. This result agrees with the simulation of the extended system up to three decimal places. In particular, for $\rho=1 / 2$ we find $\rho_{\infty}=0.19290 \ldots$, in agreement with the result for extended system size. This indicates that small values of $L$ are good approximations of infinite lattices, which can be thought as ensembles of smaller lattices separated due to fragmentation. The average final


FIG. 4. Average final density $\rho_{\infty}$ with instantaneous reactions for Bernoulli-distributed initial states with density $\rho$. Numerical results (blue points) are averaged over 50 samples with $L=10^{5}$; the variance is too small to be displayed. The exact final density for a system of size $L=13$ (orange line, Eq. (1)) agrees well with the numerics, illustrates fragmentation. For small initial densities, no reactions take place and final and initial densities are equal (green dashed line). The first corrections due to reactions are captured by a linked cluster expansion (black dashed line, Eq. (3)). The final density is maximal for $\rho=1 / 2$, beyond which the dense occupation hinders mixing. The limit $\rho=1$ is exactly determined by a recursion argument (gray dotted line, Eq. (4)]).
density in Fig. 4 is obtained by evaluating

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\infty}=\frac{1}{L} \sum_{i, j=1}^{2^{L}} n_{i} U_{i \leftarrow j} \rho^{n_{j}}(1-\rho)^{L-n_{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i, j$ label the $2^{L}$ possible states that have at most one particle per site, $U_{i \leftarrow j}$ is the total time-evolution matrix from a state $j$ at time zero to a state $i$ at infinite time, and $\rho^{n_{j}}(1-\rho)^{L-n_{j}}$ is the probability of an initial state $j$ with $n_{j}$ particles. The time-evolution operator $U$ is expressed in terms of the single-step transition matrix $T_{i \leftarrow j}$ that gives the probability of transitioning from state $j$ to state $i$. We define $U=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} T^{2 k}$, where the factor 2 ensures the limit is well-defined in the presence of eigenvalues -1 that would otherwise cause the powers of $T$ to oscillate indefinitely. Such eigenvalues are associated with states containing the oscillatory sequence $\square \square \square \square$, which does not change the particle number. From Eq. (1), the average final density $\rho_{\infty}$ is a polynomial of order $L$ in the initial density $\rho$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\infty}=\sum_{m=0}^{L} c_{m}^{(L)} \rho^{m} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the coefficients $c_{m}^{(L)}$, we consider the approximated values obtained using $U \rightarrow T^{2 k}$ with finite $k$ in Eq. (1), which at large $k$ differs from the exact value by an exponentially small $k$-dependent transient. Assuming the coefficients $c_{m}^{(L)}$ are rational numbers, their continued fraction expansion will then consist of the $k$ independent expansion of the exact coefficient followed
by a $k$-dependent part. In our numerical calculations, the onset of the $k$-dependent part is marked by an anomalously large expansion term that grows exponentially with $k$, which allows us to obtain the exact value for $c_{m}^{(L)}$ without explicitly computing the limit $k \rightarrow \infty$. Numerically, the main hurdle for obtaining the coefficients $c_{m}^{(L)}$ is the exponential growth of the number of states with system size. We mitigate it by making use of the translation and mirror symmetries of our problem, which asymptotically reduces the number of states by a factor of $2 L$ [27].

For small and large initial densities, we are able to obtain analytical results for the final density of an infinite system. Considering first the small-density limit, it is apparent from Eq. (1) that only initial configurations with particle number $n_{j} \leq m$ contribute to the coefficient $c_{m}^{(L)}$. This implies that the expansion of the final density $\rho_{\infty}$ in powers of $\rho$ takes the form of a linked cluster expansion. Moreover, as a consequence of the constrained particle mobility, the coefficients $c_{m}^{(L)}$ do not change above a critical system size $L_{m}=3 m$ where the lattice becomes effectively infinite, i.e., the evolution of any $m$-particle arrangement is unchanged if placed in a larger lattice. The value $3 m$ corresponds to the maximum distance $m$ particles can be separated while still interacting with all neighbors. An explicit calculation as described above for $L=21$ gives the first seven coefficients

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho_{\infty}= & \rho-14 \rho^{3}+51 \rho^{4}-\frac{214679}{4035} \rho^{5}-\left(214+\frac{1}{7+\frac{1}{2+\ldots}}\right) \rho^{6} \\
& +\left(1046+\frac{1}{2+\frac{1}{4+\ldots}}\right) \rho^{7}+O\left(\rho^{8}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where we abbreviate the fractions for $c_{6}$ and $c_{7}$ as they contain over 100 terms. The linked cluster expansion up to this order is shown in Fig. 4 as a dashed black line.

In the opposite limit of a completely filled initial state, $\rho=1$, an analytical value for $\rho_{\infty}$ (Fig. 4, green line) follows from the observation that empty intervals of size four or longer separate regions that do not interact, as none of the ten subsequences discussed above that lead to a reaction contains four adjacent empty sites. For a completely filled initial state, this leads to a recursive relation for the average final density. Indeed, consider an isolated interval of $L$ consecutively filled sites and denote the average particle number in its final state by $n_{L}$. By inspection, we find $n_{0}=0, n_{1}=1, n_{2}=2$, and $n_{3}=1$. A possible evolution of a longer interval with $L=14$ is illustrated on the right part of Fig. 3, where longer intervals fragment into smaller ones by annihilation reactions. An interval of length $L$ contains $L-3$ configurations with four consecutively occupied sites (■) that can annihilate by either an inward or outward hopping step [Figs. 1(a) and (b)], resulting in two smaller intervals of lengths $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$ with $L_{1}+L_{2}=L-4$. Such an update is shown in the second line of Fig. 3, where a filled interval of length $L=14$ fragments in two shorter inter-
vals of size $L_{1}=7$ and $L_{2}=3$. In addition, there are two endpoint configurations ( $\square, \square$ ) that can annihilate by an outward hop, reducing $L$ by three units and leaving one disconnected particle that does not participate in further annihilations. Such an update is shown in the third line of Fig. 3, where a correlated hopping step takes place at the right boundary of an interval of length $L=7$, leaving a filled interval of length $L=4$ and a single disconnected particle. Thus, an interval of length $L$ has $2(L-3)+2=2(L-2)$ equally probable decay events, which gives the following recursion relation for the average particle number

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{L}=\frac{1}{L-2}\left[\sum_{L_{1}+L_{2}=L-4}\left(n_{L_{1}}+n_{L_{2}}\right)+n_{L-3}+1\right] \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

A completely filled state in a periodic lattice of size $L$ always annihilates into a stretch of length $L-4$, so that $\rho_{\infty}=n_{L-4} / L$. Numerically solving the recursion (4), we obtain $\lim _{L \rightarrow \infty} n_{L-4} / L \approx 0.1807$, consistent with the numerical result $\rho_{\infty}=0.1806(1)$ in Fig. 4. We have checked equation (4) agrees with the exact value from Eq. (1) for all $L \leq 13$.

In conclusion, we have introduced and discussed a lattice model with constrained dynamics and annihilation reactions that displays universal behavior in its approach to the final state. This final state is not empty, and we have studied the limit of instantaneous reactions to obtain exact results for the final density of finite and infinite lattices. The fragmentation of states into independent regions implies that larger lattices can be considered as ensembles of smaller ones, which allows to connect finite and infinite size lattices with a cluster expansion and obtain exact recursion relations for the completely filled initial state. Possible experimental platforms to realize our proposed dynamics are atoms in optical lattices and excitons in layered van der Waals structures. For the former, both anomalous constrained diffusion [15] and annihilation processes in the form of inelastic particle losses [28] have been realized. For the latter, two-body annihilation reactions correspond to Auger processes [29]. Moreover, anomalous subdiffusion has been observed for interlayer excitons [30], for which it is caused by a strong repulsive dipole interaction. Here, however, the anomalous dynamics competes with regular diffusion induced by phonon scattering, but the relative strength can be tuned with the exciton density and the dielectric environment [30].
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