# Path integral control under McKean-Vlasov dynamics

Timothy Bennett\*<sup>†</sup>

April 29, 2024

#### Abstract

We investigate the complexities of the McKean–Vlasov optimal control problem, exploring its various formulations such as the strong and weak formulations, as well as both Markovian and non-Markovian setups within financial markets. Furthermore, we examine scenarios where the law governing the control process impacts the dynamics of options. By conceptualizing controls as probability measures on a fitting canonical space with filtrations, we unlock the potential to devise classical measurable selection methods, conditioning strategies, and concatenation arguments within this innovative framework. These tools enable us to establish the dynamic programming principle under a wide range of conditions.

# **Introduction:**

The Black-Scholes model stands as the quintessential example of financial models, embodying key principles that have influenced subsequent developments. Its widespread adoption reflects the common adjustments and trade-offs necessary to translate theoretical concepts into practical tools for financial analysis and decision-making. Let us dive deep into the core principles of this model. The main aim of creating the Black-Scholes model is to evaluate and manage options, operating on the premise that the volatility of the underlying asset remains constant (Fournié et al., 1997). Building on this assumption, it provides an analytical formula for calculating the value of a European option, which relies on the volatility parameter of the system. However,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author, tdb1923@jagmail.southalabama.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of South Alabama, Mobile, AL, 36688, United States.

the model's main drawback arises from the fact that in actuality, volatility fluctuates, and the market does not necessarily conform to the values predicted by this model (Pramanik, 2020).

However, practitioners in financial markets have widely embraced the Black-Scholes model, albeit with some modifications that deviate from the original theoretical expectations. These adjustments include anticipating negotiations for transactions in terms of implicit volatility rather than option prices, implementing theoretical delta hedging as recommended by the model, and recognizing that the implicit volatility tends to be higher for options further from the money and closer to maturity (Pramanik, 2021c; Pramanik and Polansky, 2021, 2023). Consequently, the Black-Scholes model retains significant practical utility, although its role differs from the primary hypotheses outlined in the original theory. While one might assume that the compromises and adaptations made in utilizing the Black-Scholes model are unique to this particular context, we argue that they offer broader insights applicable across various fields beyond finance.

So far in our initial discussion, we overlooked the inclusion of control theory. Control theory encompasses two primary forms: open loop and closed loop control. In open loop control, the decision of the policymaker remains uninfluenced by the state variable's information. Conversely, closed loop control entails the policymaker's decision being contingent upon all available state variable information (Pramanik, 2024a). Integrating control variables is crucial in understanding the dynamics of financial markets. For instance, consider an entrepreneur aiming to maximize long-term profits, assuming control over advertising expenditure. If they increase spending on commercials presently, they may afford a celebrity endorsement, thereby boosting sales probability in subsequent periods (Pramanik and Polansky, 2020). Conversely, heightened sales in the current period provide more funds for future commercial investment. This exemplifies closed loop control within financial markets. Furthermore, all of theses financial models are not always linear stochastic differential equations (SDEs) in practice. Therefore, the importance of non-linear SDEs come into place. One such SDE is McKean-Vlasov (McKean Jr, 1966) system. The next section gives an overview of it.

### **Overview of McKean-Vlasov SDEs:**

McKean-Vlasov type SDEs are commonly known as nonlinear SDEs, with *non-linear* highlighting the potential dependence of coefficients on the solutions' marginal distributions rather than the state variable itself. The distinctive aspect of these coefficients, even when deterministic, leads to non-local feedback effects, precluding the conventional Markov property (Carmona and Delarue, 2015). Incorporating the marginal distribution into the system's state could restore the Markov property but at the expense of significantly increasing the complexity by introducing a probability measure, rendering the system infinite-dimensional. While analyzing the infinitesimal generator can utilize tools developed for infinite-dimensional differential operators, conventional differential calculus, even in infinite dimensions, struggles to ensure the second component of the state process matches the statistical distribution of the first component (Carmona and Delarue, 2015). Nevertheless, pathwise analysis complexity remains manageable and can be effectively addressed using standard stochastic calculus tools. This points towards devising a wholly probabilistic approach to address optimal control in these nonlinear systems.

The optimal control of systems governed by McKean-Vlasov SDEs appears to be a relatively novel challenge, curiously overlooked in stochastic control literature. Typically, solving a McKean-Vlasov SDE involves employing a fixed-point argument: Initially, a set of potential marginal distribution candidates is established, and then the resulting standard SDE is solved, with the fixed-point argument entailing the requirement that the solution's marginal distributions match the initially chosen ones. Introducing stochastic control introduces an additional layer of optimization atop this fixed-point process. This formulation shares many similarities with the mean-field game (MFG) problem, as initially proposed by Lasry and Lions (2007). In Carmona et al. (2013), the similarities and disparities between these two problems are identified and discussed. It is notably emphasized that optimizing first and subsequently seeking a fixed point yields the solution to a mean-field game problem, while first determining the fixed point and then optimizing leads to the resolution of the optimal control for McKean-Vlasov SDEs (Polansky and Pramanik, 2021; Pramanik, 2024b). The solutions to both problems describe equilibrium states of large populations whose interactions and objectives follow mean-field patterns. The nuances between these equilibrium concepts are subtle and hinge on the optimization component's formulation within the equilibrium model. Linear quadratic models are presented in Carmona et al. (2013) to offer illustrative examples of these distinctions.

As McKean-Vlasov SDEs exhibit non-Markovian dynamics, it is logical to tackle optimization through a tailored version of the Pontryagin stochastic maximum principle, rather than forcing fit with the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman (HJB) framework. The stochastic Pontryagin method hinges on introducing and manipulating adjoint processes, which are solutions to adjoint backward stochastic differential equations (BS-

DEs). These equations involve partial derivatives of the Hamiltonian function concerning the state variable (Carmona and Delarue, 2015). However, in the context of McKean-Vlasov SDEs, the marginal distributions of solutions serve as full-fledged variables of the Hamiltonian function, necessitating differentiation in the pursuit of critical points. This discrepancy likely contributes to the impasse in existing literature. Until now, only dynamics contingent on moments of the marginal distributions have been explored, where differentiability with respect to the measure can be achieved through conventional calculus chain rules (Carmona and Delarue, 2015). The right notation of differentiability was introduced in Lasry and Lions (2006a) and Lasry and Lions (2006b).

### **Pontryagin principle and Path integral control:**

The stochastic Pontryagin principle stands as a potent tool, yet its illuminating insights often necessitate restrictive assumptions on the models. For instance, findings in Carmona and Delarue (2015) lean on a set of technical prerequisites that confine the scope of models to those characterized by coefficients primarily linear in state, control, and measure variables, alongside costs that exhibit convexity concerning the state and control variables. While these conditions may seem limiting, they are typical in applications of the stochastic Pontryagin principle to control problems. Notably, assuming the convexity of the control space is done for simplicity's sake. More extensive spaces could be accommodated, albeit at the expense of employing spike variation techniques and introducing an additional adjoint equation. However, Carmona and Delarue (2015) opted against pursuing this broader generality, as it would entail added complexity in notation and technicalities, potentially obscuring the core focus of their research, especially without specific application-driven motivation (Pramanik, 2022a,b, 2023b,c).

The essential aspect of the stochastic Pontryagin principle involves seeking within the control set for a potential minimizer of the Hamiltonian (often referred to as satisfying the Isaacs condition), while the complementary aspect suggests incorporating the minimizer's formula into both the forward equation governing dynamics and the adjoint backward equation describing adjoint processes (Hua et al., 2019; Pramanik, 2016, 2021b). The inclusion of the minimizer in these equations establishes a significant interconnection between the forward and backward equations, effectively reducing the control problem solution to that of a forward-backward stochastic differential equation (FBSDE). Applying this approach to the current scenario entails in-

vestigating FBSDEs where the marginal distributions of solutions emerge in the coefficients of the equations. Carmona and Delarue (2015) term these equations "mean-field FBSDEs" or "FBSDEs of McKean-Vlasov type," asserting that their study had not been undertaken prior to their research. They noted that while a general existence result was proposed in Carmona and Delarue (2013), one of the assumptions therein prevents its application to the linear quadratic model.

A specific variant of the stochastic Pontryagin principle, such as the Feynman-type path integral method introduced by Pramanik (2020), can be utilized and further developed by Pramanik and Polansky (2024a) to obtain an analytical solution for the system in question (Pramanik and Polansky, 2024b). When the state variable is high-dimensional and the system dynamics are nonlinear, as seen in Merton-Garman-Hamiltonian SDEs, numerical construction of an HJB equation becomes extremely difficult. The Feynman-type path integral approach effectively overcomes this challenge of dimensionality and provides a localized analytical solution. To employ this approach, we first establish a stochastic Lagrangian for each continuous time point within the interval  $s \in [0, t]$ , where t > 0. Subsequently, we divide this entire time span into n equal-length subintervals and define a Riemann measure corresponding to the state variable for each subinterval. After constructing a Euclidean action function, we obtain a Schroedinger-type equation via Wick rotation. By enforcing the first-order conditions with respect to both the state and control variables, we determine the solution of the system. This methodology holds potential application in cancer research (Dasgupta et al., 2023; Hertweck et al., 2023; Kakkat et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2023; Vikramdeo et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2024).

#### **Further impact:**

We gain insight into the challenge of optimal control for mean-field stochastic differential equations, also known as McKean–Vlasov stochastic differential equations in academic literature. This problem presents a stochastic control scenario where the state process is driven by SDEs with coefficients influenced by the present time, the trajectories of the state process, and its distribution (or conditional distribution in cases involving shared noise). Likewise, the reward functionals can be influenced by the distribution of the state process (Pramanik, 2023a).

A common limitation of the aforementioned results is their general requirement for some Markovian property of the system or its distribution, along with strong regularity assumptions on the coefficients and reward functions under consideration. This might come as a surprise to those familiar with the classical stochastic Pontryagin principle (Pramanik, 2021a). In stochastic control problems, it is feasible to utilize measurable selection arguments to derive the stochastic Pontryagin principle without necessitating more than mild measurability assumptions (Pramanik and Maity, 2024). Typically, two crucial elements are needed to establish the dynamic programming principle: first, ensuring the stability of controls concerning conditioning and concatenation, and second, the measurability of the associated value function. Measurable selection arguments offer a framework to validate the conditioning, concatenation, and measurability requirements of the associated value function without relying on strong assumptions. Through the concept of relaxed control, interpreting a control as a probability measure on a canonical space, and leveraging martingale problem theory, researchers have demonstrated a stochastic Pontryagin principle using straightforward and transparent reasoning (Djete et al., 2022). In the future, we aim to explore scenarios where the drift and diffusion components, as well as the reward functions in option markets, can depend on the joint conditional distribution of the state process path and the closed-loop control.

## References

- Carmona, R. and Delarue, F. (2013). Mean field forward-backward stochastic differential equations. *Electronic Communications in Probability*, 18:1–15.
- Carmona, R. and Delarue, F. (2015). Forward–backward stochastic differential equations and controlled mckean–vlasov dynamics. *The Annals of Probability*, pages 2647–2700.
- Carmona, R., Delarue, F., and Lachapelle, A. (2013). Control of mckean–vlasov dynamics versus mean field games. *Mathematics and Financial Economics*, 7:131–166.
- Dasgupta, S., Acharya, S., Khan, M. A., Pramanik, P., Marbut, S. M., Yunus, F., Galeas, J. N., Singh, S., Singh, A. P., and Dasgupta, S. (2023). Frequent loss of cacnalc, a calcium voltage-gated channel subunit is associated with lung adenocarcinoma progression and poor prognosis. *Cancer Research*, 83(7\_Supplement):3318–3318.

- Djete, M. F., Possamaï, D., and Tan, X. (2022). Mckean–vlasov optimal control: the dynamic programming principle. *The Annals of Probability*, 50(2):791–833.
- Fournié, E., Lasry, J.-M., and Lions, P.-L. (1997). Some nonlinear methods for studying far-from-the-money contingent claims. *Publ. Newton Inst*, pages 115–45.
- Hertweck, K. L., Vikramdeo, K. S., Galeas, J. N., Marbut, S. M., Pramanik, P., Yunus, F., Singh, S., Singh, A. P., and Dasgupta, S. (2023). Clinicopathological significance of unraveling mitochondrial pathway alterations in non-small-cell lung cancer. *The FASEB Journal*, 37(7):e23018.
- Hua, L., Polansky, A., and Pramanik, P. (2019). Assessing bivariate tail non-exchangeable dependence. *Statistics & Probability Letters*, 155:108556.
- Kakkat, S., Pramanik, P., Singh, S., Singh, A. P., Sarkar, C., and Chakroborty, D. (2023). Cardiovascular complications in patients with prostate cancer: Potential molecular connections. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(8):6984.
- Khan, M. A., Acharya, S., Anand, S., Sameeta, F., Pramanik, P., Keel, C., Singh, S., Carter, J. E., Dasgupta, S., and Singh, A. P. (2023). Myb exhibits racially disparate expression, clinicopathologic association, and predictive potential for biochemical recurrence in prostate cancer. *Iscience*, 26(12).
- Khan, M. A., Acharya, S., Kreitz, N., Anand, S., Sameeta, F., Pramanik, P., Keel, C., Singh, S., Carter, J., Dasgupta, S., et al. (2024). Mp60-05 myb exhibits racially disparate expression and clinicopathologic association and is a promising predictor of biochemical recurrence in prostate cancer. *The Journal of Urology*, 211(5S):e1000.
- Lasry, J.-M. and Lions, P.-L. (2006a). Jeux à champ moyen. i-le cas stationnaire. *Comptes Rendus Mathématique*, 343(9):619-625.
- Lasry, J.-M. and Lions, P.-L. (2006b). Jeux à champ moyen. ii–horizon fini et contrôle optimal. *Comptes Rendus. Mathématique*, 343(10):679–684.
- Lasry, J.-M. and Lions, P.-L. (2007). Mean field games. Japanese journal of mathematics, 2(1):229–260.

- McKean Jr, H. P. (1966). A class of markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 56(6):1907–1911.
- Polansky, A. M. and Pramanik, P. (2021). A motif building process for simulating random networks. *Computational Statistics & Data Analysis*, 162:107263.

Pramanik, P. (2016). Tail non-exchangeability. Northern Illinois University.

- Pramanik, P. (2020). Optimization of market stochastic dynamics. In *SN Operations Research Forum*, volume 1, page 31. Springer.
- Pramanik, P. (2021a). Consensus as a nash equilibrium of a stochastic differential game. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05183*.
- Pramanik, P. (2021b). Effects of water currents on fish migration through a feynman-type path integral approach under 8/3 liouville-like quantum gravity surfaces. *Theory in Biosciences*, 140(2):205–223.
- Pramanik, P. (2021c). *Optimization of dynamic objective functions using path integrals*. PhD thesis, Northern Illinois University.
- Pramanik, P. (2022a). On lock-down control of a pandemic model. arXiv preprint arXiv:2206.04248.
- Pramanik, P. (2022b). Stochastic control of a sir model with non-linear incidence rate through euclidean path integral. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.13733*.
- Pramanik, P. (2023a). Optimal lock-down intensity: A stochastic pandemic control approach of path integral. *Computational and Mathematical Biophysics*, 11(1):20230110.

Pramanik, P. (2023b). Path integral control in infectious disease modeling. arXiv preprint arXiv:2311.02113.

- Pramanik, P. (2023c). Path integral control of a stochastic multi-risk sir pandemic model. *Theory in Bio-sciences*, 142(2):107–142.
- Pramanik, P. (2024a). Dependence on tail copula. J, 7(2):127–152.

- Pramanik, P. (2024b). Estimation of optimal lock-down and vaccination rate of a stochastic sir model: A mathematical approach. *European Journal of Statistics*, 4:3–3.
- Pramanik, P. and Maity, A. K. (2024). Bayes factor of zero inflated models under jeffereys prior. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2401.03649.
- Pramanik, P. and Polansky, A. M. (2020). Motivation to run in one-day cricket. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.11099*.
- Pramanik, P. and Polansky, A. M. (2021). Optimal estimation of brownian penalized regression coefficients. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.02291*.
- Pramanik, P. and Polansky, A. M. (2023). Scoring a goal optimally in a soccer game under liouville-like quantum gravity action. In *Operations Research Forum*, volume 4, page 66. Springer.
- Pramanik, P. and Polansky, A. M. (2024a). Optimization of a dynamic profit function using euclidean path integral. *SN Business & Economics*, 4(1):8.
- Pramanik, P. and Polansky, A. M. (2024b). Semicooperation under curved strategy spacetime. *The Journal of Mathematical Sociology*, 48(2):172–206.
- Vikramdeo, K. S., Anand, S., Sudan, S. K., Pramanik, P., Singh, S., Godwin, A. K., Singh, A. P., and Dasgupta, S. (2023). Profiling mitochondrial dna mutations in tumors and circulating extracellular vesicles of triple-negative breast cancer patients for potential biomarker development. *FASEB BioAdvances*, 5(10):412.