

A CHARACTERISATION OF SEMIGROUPS WITH ONLY COUNTABLY MANY SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS WITH \mathbb{Z}

ASHLEY CLAYTON, CATHERINE REILLY, AND NIK RUŠKUC

ABSTRACT. Let \mathbb{Z} be the additive (semi)group of integers. We prove that for a finite semigroup S the direct product $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subdirect products (up to isomorphism) if and only if S is regular. As a corollary we show that $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ has only countably many subsemigroups (up to isomorphism) if and only if S is completely regular.

1. INTRODUCTION

For two semigroups S and T , a subsemigroup $U \leq S \times T$ of their direct product is called a *subdirect product* if it projects onto both S and T , i.e. if $\{s: (s, t) \in U \text{ for some } t\} = S$ and $\{t: (s, t) \in U \text{ for some } s \in S\} = T$. Subdirect products are one of the fundamental concepts in general algebra (e.g. see [4, Section II.8 ff.]), and have been extensively used in combinatorial group theory (for examples see [1, 2, 3, 9, 13]), with more recent work in combinatorial semigroup theory [5, 6, 7] and elsewhere [8, 12].

Let \mathbb{N} denote the additive semigroup of positive integers. In [7, Theorem E] an intriguing link is established between the number of subdirect products inside $\mathbb{N} \times S$, where S is finite, and algebraic properties of S . Specifically, it is shown that the following are equivalent: (i) $\mathbb{N} \times S$ contains only countably many subdirect products; (ii) $\mathbb{N} \times S$ contains only countably many non-isomorphic subdirect products; and (iii) for every $s \in S$ there exists $t \in S$ such that at least one of $st = s$ or $ts = s$ holds.

The main result in this paper concerns the same situation, but with the (semi)group \mathbb{Z} of additive integers replacing \mathbb{N} , and featuring one of the fundamental semigroup-theoretic properties.

Main Theorem. *The following are equivalent for a finite semigroup S :*

- (i) S is regular;
- (ii) $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subdirect products;
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subdirect products up to isomorphism.

Recall that a semigroup S is said to be *regular* if each $x \in S$ is *regular*, which means that $xyx = x$ for some $y \in S$. This y can in fact be chosen to also satisfy $yxy = y$, in which case it is called a *generalised inverse* of x . For background on regularity see [11, Section 3.4] or any other standard monograph on semigroup theory.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 20M10, 20M05, 20M17.

Key words and phrases. Direct product, subdirect product, subsemigroup, regular semigroup, completely regular semigroup.

It is perhaps curious to contrast the above results with the situation in groups. Due to the equivalence between subdirect products in $G \times H$ and fiber products (Goursat's Lemma, [10, Theorem 5.5.1]), if G and H are finitely generated groups with only countably many subgroups, then $G \times H$ also has only countably many subdirect products (and even subgroups). Fleisher's Lemma [4, Lemma IV.10.1] vastly extends the scope of Goursat's Lemma to arbitrary congruence permutable varieties, and so the previous observation holds for many algebraic structures beyond groups, e.g. rings, associative and Lie algebras, loops, etc., but not for semigroups.

The brunt of the paper is devoted to the proof of the Main Theorem. Specifically:

- (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is obvious.
- (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is proved in Section 3: it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.1 which asserts that, when S is finite and regular, every subdirect product in $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ is finitely generated.
- (iii) \Rightarrow (i) is proved in Section 4, by considering an arbitrary finite non-regular S and constructing an uncountable family of pairwise non-isomorphic subdirect products of $\mathbb{Z} \times S$.

As a corollary of the Main Theorem we show that $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ has only countably many subsemigroups (up to isomorphism) if and only if S is completely regular, i.e. a union of groups (Corollary 5.1).

2. PRELIMINARIES

The paper does not require much background in semigroup theory. However, we will make extensive use of Green's \mathcal{J} -relation, which in a natural way reflects the ideal structure of a semigroup. We review the basic definitions and properties that we require, and for a more systematic account refer the reader to any standard textbook on semigroup theory, such as [11].

Let S be a semigroup, and denote by S^1 the semigroup S with an identity element adjoined to it if S does not already have one. For elements $x, y \in S$ we say that $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$ if the ideal generated by x is contained within that generated by y . This is equivalent to $u_1 y u_2 = x$ for some $u_1, u_2 \in S^1$. The relation $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is reflexive and transitive, but not necessarily symmetric, i.e. it is a pre-order. Associated to the pre-order $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ is the equivalence \mathcal{J} defined by $x \mathcal{J} y$ if and only if $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$ and $y \leq_{\mathcal{J}} x$. The equivalence class of an element $x \in S$ is called the \mathcal{J} -class of x and is denoted by J_x . The pre-order $\leq_{\mathcal{J}}$ also induces a partial order on the set S/\mathcal{J} of \mathcal{J} -classes via $J_x \leq J_y$ if and only if $x \leq_{\mathcal{J}} y$.

We will not require other Green's equivalences, but we will use some facts about \mathcal{J} -classes on a finite semigroup S , which follow because it is equal to Green's equivalence \mathcal{D} in this case [11, Proposition 2.1.4]. Specifically, assuming S is finite, we have:

- (J1) Any \mathcal{J} -class of S either consists entirely of regular elements, or else entirely of non-regular elements [11, Proposition 2.3.1]. (Thus we will talk of *regular* and *non-regular* \mathcal{J} -classes.)
- (J2) A regular \mathcal{J} -class contains an idempotent [11, Proposition 2.3.2].

- (J3) For a regular \mathcal{J} -class J and any $x, y \in J$ there exist $u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2 \in J$ such that $u_1 x u_2 = y$ and $v_1 y v_2 = x$ [11, Propositions 2.3.2, 2.3.3].
- (J4) If J is a non-regular \mathcal{J} -class and if $x, y \in J$ then $J_{xy} < J$ [11, Theorem 3.1.6].
- (J5) S has a unique minimal ideal, which is a regular \mathcal{J} -class [11, Proposition 3.1.4, Theorem 3.3.2].

3. REGULAR S

As explained in the Introduction, the pathway to establishing the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) in the Main Theorem, is via the following:

Theorem 3.1. *If S is a finite regular semigroup then every subdirect product in $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ is finitely generated.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is the content of the remainder of this section. We proceed via a series of lemmas. Throughout, S is assumed to be finite and regular, and T is a subdirect product in $\mathbb{Z} \times S$. For $x \in S$ we let

$$T_x := \{n \in \mathbb{Z} : (n, x) \in T\}.$$

Notice that $T_x \neq \emptyset$ because T is subdirect, and that $T = \bigcup_{x \in S} T_x$. For sets A, B we write $A \subseteq_{\text{cf}} B$ to mean $A \subseteq B$ and $|B \setminus A| < \infty$. We use \mathbb{N}_0 to denote the set of non-negative integers.

Lemma 3.2. *If $e \in S$ is an idempotent then T_e is a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z} , and hence precisely one of the following holds:*

- (i) $T_e = \{0\}$;
- (ii) $T_e = d\mathbb{Z}$ for some $d > 0$;
- (iii) $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$ for some $d > 0$;
- (iv) $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} -d\mathbb{N}_0$ for some $d > 0$.

In particular, T_e is finitely generated.

Proof. For $m, n \in T_e$ we have $(m, e), (n, e) \in T$, hence

$$T \ni (m, e)(n, e) = (m + n, e^2) = (m + n, e),$$

which implies $m + n \in T_e$, and therefore T_e is indeed a subsemigroup of \mathbb{Z} . That one of (i)–(iv) holds now follows from well known facts about subsemigroups of \mathbb{Z} . Indeed, if T_e contains both positive and negative numbers then T_e is in fact a *subgroup* of \mathbb{Z} , and so $T_e = d\mathbb{Z}$ for $d := \gcd(T_e)$. If T_e contains no negative numbers, but does contain some positive numbers, then T_e is in fact a non-trivial subsemigroup of \mathbb{N}_0 , and it is well known that $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$ with $d := \gcd(T_e)$; see [14, Proposition 2.2]. The case where T_e contains some negative numbers but no positive numbers is dual, and we get $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} -d\mathbb{N}_0$. Finally, when T_e contains neither positive nor negative numbers then $T_e = \{0\}$. That T_e is finitely generated in each of the four alternatives is straightforward; see [15] or [14, Theorem 2.7] for the cases (iii), (iv). \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $x \in S$ be arbitrary, let $y \in S$ be any generalised inverse of x , and let $e := xy$. Then there exists $r \in T_x$ such that one of the following holds:*

- (i) $T_e = \{0\}$ and $T_x = \{r\}$;
- (ii) $T_e = d\mathbb{Z}$ ($d > 0$) and $T_x = r + d\mathbb{Z}$;

- (iii) $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$ ($d > 0$) and $T_x \subseteq_{\text{cf}} r + d\mathbb{N}_0$;
- (iv) $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} -d\mathbb{N}_0$ ($d > 0$) and $T_x \subseteq_{\text{cf}} r - d\mathbb{N}_0$.

Proof. As we already observed, $T_x \neq \emptyset$, and hence there exists some $r \in T_x$. From $ex = xyx = x$ we have $T_e + T_x \subseteq T_x$. In particular,

$$r + T_e = T_e + r \subseteq T_x. \quad (1)$$

From $xy = e$ it follows that $T_x + T_y \subseteq T_e$. In particular, fixing any $s \in T_y$, we have

$$s + T_x = T_x + s \subseteq T_e. \quad (2)$$

Now we examine in turn each of the cases (i)–(iv) arising from Lemma 3.2.

- (i) If $T_e = \{0\}$, then $|T_x| = 1$ by (2), i.e. $T_x = \{r\}$.
- (ii) Suppose $T_e = d\mathbb{Z}$. Then (1) implies $r + d\mathbb{Z} \subseteq T_x$. For the reverse inclusion, let $r_1 \in T_x$. By (2) we have $s + r, s + r_1 \in T_e = d\mathbb{Z}$, and hence $s + r \equiv 0 \equiv s + r_1 \pmod{d}$. Therefore $r \equiv r_1 \pmod{d}$, and so $r_1 \in r + d\mathbb{Z}$, as required.
- (iii) Suppose $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$. Note that (2) implies that T_x is bounded below. So, in this case we will take $r := \min(T_x)$. Now, $T_x \subseteq r + d\mathbb{N}_0$ is proved in exactly the same way as in (ii). From $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$ it follows that $r + T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} r + d\mathbb{N}_0$, which, combined with (1), implies $T_x \subseteq_{\text{cf}} r + d\mathbb{N}_0$, as required.
- (iv) This is dual to (iii). □

Lemma 3.4. *With x and e as in Lemma 3.3, the set $T_x \setminus (r + T_e)$ is finite.*

Proof. We examine each of the cases (i)–(iv) from Lemma 3.2, together with the matching case from Lemma 3.3. For (i) and (ii) we have $T_x \setminus (r + T_e) = \emptyset$, for (iii)

$$T_x \setminus (r + T_e) \subseteq (r + d\mathbb{N}_0) \setminus (r + T_e) \subseteq r + (d\mathbb{N}_0 \setminus T_e),$$

which is finite because $T_e \subseteq_{\text{cf}} d\mathbb{N}_0$, and (iv) is dual. □

Lemma 3.5. *For every $x \in S$ there exists a finite set $A \subseteq T$ such that $T_x \times \{x\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 there exists a finite set $B \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$ such that $T_e = \langle B \rangle$. As $T_e \times \{e\} \cong T_e$, we have $T_e \times \{e\} = \langle B \times \{e\} \rangle$. Let $F := T_x \setminus (r + T_e)$, which is a finite set by Lemma 3.4. Then $T_x = F \cup (r + T_e)$, and hence

$$\begin{aligned} T_x \times \{x\} &= F \times \{x\} \cup (T_e \times \{e\}) \cdot (r, x) \subseteq \langle \{(r, x)\} \cup (F \times \{x\}) \cup (T_e \times \{e\}) \rangle \\ &= \langle \{(r, x)\} \cup (F \times \{x\}) \cup (B \times \{e\}) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Since the set $\{(r, x)\} \cup (F \times \{x\}) \cup (B \times \{e\})$ is finite, the lemma is proved. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. The theorem follows immediately from $T = \bigcup_{x \in S} T_x$, finiteness of S , and the fact that each T_x is contained in a finitely generated subsemigroup by Lemma 3.5. □

Corollary 3.6. *If S is a finite regular semigroup, then $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subdirect products.*

Proof. The semigroup $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ is countable, and each subdirect product contained in it is generated by a finite set by Theorem 3.1. \square

4. NON-REGULAR S

This section is entirely devoted to proving the following:

Proposition 4.1. *If S is a finite non-regular semigroup then $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic subdirect products.*

Proof. Recall the natural partial order on the set S/\mathcal{J} of \mathcal{J} -classes of S introduced in Section 2. Let K be a minimal non-regular \mathcal{J} -class of S . By (J5), K is not the minimal \mathcal{J} -class of S , i.e. the set

$$I := \{x \in S : J_x < K\}$$

is non-empty. It is easy to see that I is an ideal of S . By the choice of K , all elements of I are regular. Next let

$$L := S \setminus (I \cup K).$$

Note that L may or may not be empty, may contain regular and non-regular elements, and that it is a union of \mathcal{J} -classes. In this way, we have decomposed S into the disjoint union

$$S = L \dot{\cup} K \dot{\cup} I.$$

For any set $M \subseteq \mathbb{N}_0$ with $0 \in M$, let

$$P_M := (\{0\} \times L) \cup (M \times K) \cup (\mathbb{Z} \times I).$$

We will prove the proposition by showing the following:

- (1) P_M is a subdirect product of \mathbb{Z} and S ; and
- (2) If $P_{M_1} \cong P_{M_2}$ then $M_1 = M_2$.

Since for the remainder of the proof we will be simultaneously working with the \mathcal{J} relations on different semigroups, we will distinguish them by means of superscripts. Specifically, for a semigroup U , we write \mathcal{J}^U for the \mathcal{J} relation on U , and J_u^U for the \mathcal{J}^U -class of $u \in U$.

(1) To prove that $P_M \leq \mathbb{Z} \times S$, let $\alpha, \beta \in P_M$. We split our considerations into cases depending on which constituent part of P_M each of α, β belongs to.

Case 1: at least one of α or β is an element of $\mathbb{Z} \times I$. Then $\alpha\beta \in \mathbb{Z} \times I$, since I is an ideal of S .

Case 2: $\alpha, \beta \in \{0\} \times L$. Then $\alpha\beta \in \{0\} \times S \subseteq P_M$.

Case 3: $\alpha, \beta \in M \times K$. Suppose $\alpha = (m_1, k_1)$, $\beta = (m_2, k_2)$. Since K is a non-regular \mathcal{J}^S -class, we have $J_{k_1 k_2}^S < K$ by (J4), i.e. $k_1 k_2 \in I$. Therefore

$$\alpha\beta = (m_1 + m_2, k_1 k_2) \in \mathbb{Z} \times I \subseteq P_M.$$

Case 4: one of α or β belongs to $\{0\} \times L$, and the other to $M \times K$. Let us assume that $\alpha = (0, l) \in \{0\} \times L$ and $\beta = (m, k) \in M \times K$; the other case is symmetrical. Then $\alpha\beta = (m, lk)$. Note that $J_{lk}^S \leq J_k^S = K$, and hence $lk \in K \cup I$. If $lk \in K$ then $(m, lk) \in M \times K \subseteq P_M$, while if $lk \in I$ then $(m, lk) \in \mathbb{Z} \times I \subseteq P_M$.

Hence indeed $P_M \leq \mathbb{Z} \times S$, and it remains to show that P_M is subdirect. Every integer appears as the first coordinate of some pair of P_M , because $\mathbb{Z} \times I \subseteq P_M$. Similarly, every element of S appears as the second coordinate of some pair of P_M , because S is the disjoint union of L , K , and I . This completes the proof of (1).

(2) We begin by characterising the \mathcal{J}^{P_M} -classes:

Claim 1. For $(a, x), (b, y) \in P_M$ we have

$$(a, x)\mathcal{J}^{P_M}(b, y) \iff x\mathcal{J}^S y \text{ and } (a = b \text{ or } x, y \in I).$$

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Suppose $(a, x)\mathcal{J}^{P_M}(b, y)$. Identifying P_M^1 with $P_M \cup \{(0, 1)\}$, where 1 denotes the identity element of S^1 , we can write

$$(c_1, z_1)(a, x)(c_2, z_2) = (b, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (d_1, u_1)(b, y)(d_2, u_2) = (a, x),$$

with $(c_1, z_1), (c_2, z_2), (d_1, u_1), (d_2, u_2) \in P_M^1$. Equating the second components we obtain $x\mathcal{J}^S y$. If $a = b$ there is nothing further to prove. Otherwise, suppose without loss that $a > b$. Then from $c_1 + a + c_2 = b$ we have that at least one of c_1 or c_2 is negative. Suppose without loss that $c_1 < 0$. This means that $z_1 \in I$. Since I is an ideal, it follows that $y = z_1 a z_2 \in I$. Finally, $x\mathcal{J}^S y$ now implies that $x \in I$ as well.

(\Leftarrow) Since $x\mathcal{J}^S y$ we can write $z_1 x z_2 = y$ and $u_1 y u_2 = x$ with $z_1, z_2, u_1, u_2 \in S^1$. First suppose $a = b$. Note that $(0, z_1), (0, z_2), (0, u_1), (0, u_2) \in P_M^1$, and that

$$(0, z_1)(a, x)(0, z_2) = (b, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (0, u_1)(b, y)(0, u_2) = (a, x),$$

implying $(a, x)\mathcal{J}^{P_M}(b, y)$. Now suppose that $x, y \in I$. Recall that this means that $J_x^S = J_y^S < K$. Since K is a minimal non-regular \mathcal{J}^S -class it follows that J_x^S is a regular \mathcal{J}^S -class. By (J3) we have that z_1, z_2, u_1, u_2 can be chosen to be in J_x^S as well, which in turn implies that $(b - a, z_1), (0, z_2), (a - b, u_1), (0, u_2) \in P_M$. Now we have

$$(b - a, z_1)(a, x)(0, z_2) = (b, y) \quad \text{and} \quad (a - b, u_1)(b, y)(0, u_2) = (a, x).$$

and thus $(a, x)\mathcal{J}^{P_M}(b, y)$, completing the proof of the claim. \square

Now suppose that $\phi : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ is an isomorphism, where for brevity we write $P_i := P_{M_i}$. We proceed via a sequence of claims, in which we analyse how ϕ maps elements of P_1 of different forms.

Claim 2. $\phi(\{0\} \times S) = \{0\} \times S$.

Proof. $\{0\} \times S$ is precisely the set of elements of finite order in both P_1 and P_2 . \square

Claim 3. $\phi(\mathbb{Z} \times I) = \mathbb{Z} \times I$.

Proof. By Claim 1, $\mathbb{Z} \times I$ is precisely the set of elements whose \mathcal{J} -classes are infinite in both P_{M_1} and P_{M_2} . \square

Claim 4. $\phi((M_1 \setminus \{0\}) \times K) = (M_2 \setminus \{0\}) \times K$.

Proof. Claim 3 implies that

$$\phi((\{0\} \times L) \cup (M_1 \times K)) = (\{0\} \times L) \cup (M_2 \times K).$$

But, for $i = 1, 2$, the set of elements of infinite order in $(\{0\} \times L) \cup (M_i \times K)$ is precisely $(M_i \setminus \{0\}) \times K$. \square

Claim 5. For every $x \in I$ and every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\phi(k, x) = (\epsilon k, x') \quad \text{for some } \epsilon = \pm 1, x' \in I.$$

Proof. Let $x \in I$ be fixed. We will analyse the effect of ϕ on the \mathcal{J}^{P_1} -class of $(0, x)$, which, by Claim 1, is equal to $\mathbb{Z} \times J_x^S$. Certainly, by Claims 2, 3, we have

$$\forall y \in J_x^S: \exists y' \in I: \phi(0, y) = (0, y'). \quad (3)$$

Since $J_x^S \subseteq I$ and I consists solely of regular elements, it follows by (J2) that J_x^S must contain an idempotent e . By (3) we have $\phi(0, e) = (0, e')$ for some idempotent $e' \in I$. Now suppose that $\phi(1, e) = (a, e'')$, where $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $e'' \in I$. Consider an arbitrary $y \in J_x^S$ and $k > 0$. Write $y = uev$ with $u, v \in J_x^S$, which can be done by (J3). By (3) we have

$$\phi(0, u) = (0, u'), \quad \phi(0, v) = (0, v') \quad \text{for some } u', v' \in I.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(k, y) &= \phi((0, u)(k, e)(0, v)) = \phi((0, u)(1, e)^k(0, v)) = \phi(0, u)(\phi(1, e))^k \phi(0, v) \\ &= (0, u')(a, e'')^k(0, v') = (0, u')(ak, (e'')^k)(0, v') = (ak, y'), \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $y' := u'(e'')^k v'$. If $k < 0$, a similar reasoning proceeding from $\phi(-1, e)$ instead of $\phi(1, e)$, yields

$$\phi(k, y) = (bk, y''), \quad (5)$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $y'' \in I$.

Now, (3), (4), (5) entirely describe the effect of ϕ on the \mathcal{J}^{P_1} -class $\mathbb{Z} \times J_x^S$. By Claim 1, its image must be of the form $\mathbb{Z} \times J_z^S$ for some $z \in I$. Hence, looking at the first components of the right-hand sides in (3), (4), (5) we must see all integers. This can happen only if $\{a, b\} = \{\pm 1\}$. The claim follows by setting $y = x$ in (3), (4), (5), and setting ϵ to be a or b depending on whether $k \geq 0$ or $k < 0$. \square

Claim 6. For every $m \in M_1$ and every $x \in K$ we have

$$\phi(m, x) = (m, x') \quad \text{for some } x' \in S.$$

Proof. Suppose $\phi(m, x) = (a, x')$. By choice of K , we have $x^2 \in I$. Therefore $\phi(2m, x^2) = (2m, x'')$ for some $x'' \in I$ by Claim 5. Now we have

$$(2m, x'') = \phi(2m, x^2) = \phi((m, x)^2) = (\phi(m, x))^2 = (a, x')^2 = (2a, (x')^2),$$

from which $a = m$, as claimed. \square

Claims 4 and 6 together give $M_1 = M_2$, completing the proof of (2), and of the proposition. \square

5. CONCLUSION

In proving that there are countably many subdirect products in $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ when S is regular in Section 3, the assumption that the subsemigroup T is a subdirect product was only used to establish that all the sets T_x are non-empty. One may therefore wonder whether perhaps a stronger property is also satisfied, namely that $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ has only countably many *subsemigroups*. This, however, is not true. For if S is a regular semigroup with a non-regular subsemigroup S_0 , then, by our Main Theorem, there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic subdirect products in $\mathbb{Z} \times S_0$, and they are all, of course, subsemigroups of $\mathbb{Z} \times S$.

In fact, we can give a characterisation for when $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ has only countably many subsemigroups. To state it, we need the notion of semigroups that are *unions of groups* (a.k.a. *completely regular semigroups*). These are semigroups in which every element belongs to a subgroup; for more details see [11, Section 4.1]. Certainly, every union of groups is a regular semigroup.

Corollary 5.1. *The following are equivalent for a finite semigroup S :*

- (i) S is completely regular;
- (ii) $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subsemigroups;
- (iii) $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ contains only countably many subsemigroups up to isomorphism.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Suppose S is completely regular. It is again sufficient to prove that every subsemigroup U of $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ is finitely generated. Let Z' and S' be the projections of U to \mathbb{Z} and S respectively. Then $Z' \leq \mathbb{Z}$, $S' \leq S$, and U is a subdirect product in $Z' \times S'$. We consider different options for Z' . If $Z' = \{0\}$ then U is finite. If Z' is a non-trivial subgroup of \mathbb{Z} then it is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , and hence U is finitely generated by Theorem 3.1. Suppose now that $Z' \leq \mathbb{N}_0$. If $0 \notin Z'$ then in fact $Z' \leq \mathbb{N}$, and hence U is finitely generated by the proof [7, Theorem D, (iii) \Rightarrow (i)]. If $0 \in Z'$ then $U = U_0 \cup U_1$, where $U_0 := U \cap (\{0\} \times S)$ and $U_1 = U \cap (\mathbb{N} \times S)$. But U_0 is finite, and U_1 is finitely generated by the above argument, and hence U itself is finitely generated. Finally, if $\mathbb{Z} \leq \mathbb{N}_0$ then the assertion follows from the previous case and $-\mathbb{N}_0 \cong \mathbb{N}_0$.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) This is obvious.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) We prove the contrapositive. Suppose S is not completely regular. Let $s \in S$ be an element of S that does not lie in a subgroup of S . This means that $s \notin \{s^k : k \geq 2\}$, and hence the monogenic subsemigroup $\langle s \rangle \leq S$ is not regular. Therefore, the Main Theorem gives that there are uncountably many pairwise non-isomorphic subdirect products in $\mathbb{Z} \times \langle s \rangle$. All of them are subsemigroups of $\mathbb{Z} \times S$, and the proof is complete. \square

Putting side by side Corollary 5.1 and [7, Theorem D] we obtain the following curious fact:

Corollary 5.2. *Let S be a finite semigroup. Then $\mathbb{N} \times S$ has only countably many subsemigroups (up to isomorphism) if and only if $\mathbb{Z} \times S$ has only countably many subsemigroups (up to isomorphism).* \square

The analogous statement for subdirect products instead of subsemigroups is not true: compare again the Main Theorem and [7, Theorem E].

Based on our findings in this paper, as well as those of [7], we ask the following questions:

- Is it true that if S is a finite regular semigroup, then there are only countably many subdirect products in any $G \times S$, where G is a finitely generated abelian group.
- Characterise all finite semigroups S with the property that for every finitely generated commutative semigroup C there are only countably many subdirect products in $C \times S$.
- Let U be the bicyclic monoid or the free monogenic inverse monoid. Describe all finite semigroups S such that there are only countably many subdirect products in $U \times S$.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Baumslag, J.E. Roseblade, Subgroups of direct products of free groups, *J. London Math. Soc.* 30 (1984), 44–52.
- [2] M.R. Bridson, J. Howie, C.F. Miller III, H. Short, On the finite presentation of subdirect products and the nature of residually free groups, *Amer. J. Math.* 135 (2013), 891–933.
- [3] M.R. Bridson, C.F. Miller III, Structure and finiteness properties of subdirect products of groups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* 98 (2009), 631–651.
- [4] S. Burris, H.P. Sankappanavar, *A Course in Universal Algebra*, Springer, 1981.
- [5] A. Clayton, On finitary properties for fiber products of free semigroups and free monoids, *Semigroup Forum* 101 (2020), 326–357.
- [6] A. Clayton, C. Reilly, N. Ruškuc, On the number of subdirect products involving semigroups of integers and natural numbers, preprint arXiv:2311.04994.
- [7] A. Clayton, N. Ruškuc, On the number of subsemigroups of direct products involving the free monogenic semigroup, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 109 (2020), 24–35.
- [8] W. DeMeo, P. Mayr, N. Ruškuc, Bounded homomorphisms and finitely generated fiber products of lattices, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* 30 (2020), 693–710.
- [9] F.J. Grunewald, On some groups which cannot be finitely presented, *J. London Math. Soc.* 17 (1978), 427–436.
- [10] M. Hall Jr., *The theory of groups*, Chelsea, 1976.
- [11] J.M. Howie, *Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory*, Clarendon Press, 1995.
- [12] P. Mayr, N. Ruškuc, Generating subdirect products, *J. London Math. Soc.* 100 (2019), 404–424.
- [13] K.A. Mihailova, *The occurrence problem for direct products of group* (*Mat. Sb. (N.S.)* 70, 1966, 241–251).
- [14] J.C. Rosales, P.A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical semigroups*, *Dev. Math.* 20, Springer, New York, 2009.
- [15] W.Y. Sit, M.K. Siu, On the subsemigroups of N , *Math. Mag.* 48 (1975), 225–227.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS, ST ANDREWS, SCOTLAND, UK

Email address: `ac323@st-andrews.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EAST ANGLIA, NORWICH NR4 7TJ, ENGLAND, UK

Email address: `C.Reilly@uea.ac.uk`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF ST ANDREWS, ST ANDREWS, SCOTLAND, UK

Email address: `nr1@st-andrews.ac.uk`