

Quantum Field Theory of Black Hole Perturbations with Backreaction

III. Spherically symmetric 2nd order Maxwell sector

J. Neuser^{1*}; T. Thiemann^{1†}

¹ Institute for Quantum Gravity, FAU Erlangen – Nürnberg,
Staudtstr. 7, 91058 Erlangen, Germany

May 1, 2024

Abstract

In this paper we extend reduced phase space approach to black hole perturbation theory to Maxwell matter. We expand the resulting reduced Hamiltonian to second order in the graviton and photon perturbations and find that the corresponding equations of motion match the ones derived in the literature. Accordingly our approach reproduces previous results at second order. Its real virtue lies in the fact that it extends to any order in perturbation theory in a manifestly gauge invariant fashion.

1 Introduction

In [1] a reduced phase space approach to treat perturbation theory of theories with respect to highly symmetric “background” solutions of the classical field equations in the presence of gauge redundancies was proposed. An application of high interest concerns General Relativity (GR) and its black hole solutions where the symmetry group \mathcal{S} corresponds to spherical or axi-symmetry and the gauge group is the spacetime diffeomorphism group \mathcal{G} of which \mathcal{S} is a tiny subgroup.

The idea of [1] is to split the degrees of freedom into four sets as follows: The canonical pairs naturally split into two sets coined “symmetric” and “non-symmetric” where the symmetric part is invariant under the action of \mathcal{S} . We then perform a second split of the canonical pairs coined “gauge” and “true” where the symmetric and non-symmetric constraints (C, Z) respectively are solved for chosen symmetric and non-symmetric gauge momenta (p, y) respectively. The conjugate symmetric and non-symmetric gauge configuration variables (q, x) respectively are then subjected to suitable gauge conditions G . The left over canonical pairs then consist of true (i.e. observable) symmetric and non-symmetric canonical pairs $(Q, P), (X, Y)$ respectively. Their dynamics is driven by the reduced Hamiltonian $H = H(Q, P, X, Y)$ which is obtained as follows: One solves the gauge stability conditions $S := \{C(f) + Z(g) + B(f, g), G\} = 0$ for the symmetric and non-symmetric smearing functions (f, g) respectively where B is a possible boundary term (if there is a boundary) that ensures that the Poisson bracket is well defined. Then for any function F on the reduced or “true” phase space with coordinates (P, Q, X, Y) one requires $S := \{C(f) + Z(g) + B(f, g), F\}_* = 0$ where the notation means that after computing the Poisson bracket we evaluate on the joint solutions q_*, x_* of $G = 0$, the solutions (p^*, y^*) of $C = Z = 0$ and the solutions f_*, g_* of $S = 0$. This ensures that the equations of motion for F driven by $C(f) + Z(g) + B(f, g)$ restricted to the reduced phase space are the same as that of H .

In this way one can rigorously and non-perturbatively remove all gauge redundancy at the classical level. The caveat is that for sufficiently complicated systems these steps cannot be carried out explicitly because

*jonas.neuser@fau.de

†thomas.thiemann@gravity.fau.de

one needs to solve PDE's and non-linear algebraic equations. This is the point at which perturbation theory comes into play: We consider X, Y as first order and Q, P as zeroth order fields and expand the non-perturbative but implicit expression H in powers of X, Y . Now the function H by construction depends in manageable and explicit form on Q, P, X, Y, p^*, y^* and it is only due to the intricate dependence of p^*, y^* on Q, P, X, Y that H is not explicitly known. It turns out that the expressions p^*, y^* however can themselves be expanded into powers of X, Y and solved for by an iterative scheme where only ODE's and linear algebraic equations have to be solved. Therefore H can be explicitly computed perturbatively to any order in the true degrees of freedom and no higher order notions of gauge invariance ever have to be invented.

In this way, the reduced system can be treated by the usual methods of perturbative Quantum Field Theory where H is truncated at the desired perturbative order prior to quantisation. For instance the second order contribution can be used to select Fock representations while the higher order terms define the interactions. This has the attractive feature that interaction or backreaction between the true background Q, P and true perturbative degrees of freedom X, Y is faced squarely, at least in a perturbative fashion.

The application of [1] to black hole physics was outlined in [2]. The framework is designed to potentially shed new light on the questions of backreaction, the mechanism of Hawking radiation, black hole evaporation, singularity resolution and the corresponding information paradox beyond the usual semiclassical approximation in the sense that this is a proper quantum gravity framework where the observable part of the metric, encoded among the degrees of freedom Q, P, X, Y is an operator valued distribution rather than a classical field.

As argued in [2] a particularly useful gauge condition G is the so called Gullstrand-Painlevé gauge (GPG) since 1. it corresponds to a foliation of spacetime by equal proper time hypersurfaces of free falling observers which therefore come as close as possible to define a locally inertial system, 2. the coordinate system is regular across the horizon, 3. the intrinsic three metric is exactly flat and 4. the spacetime metric is asymptotically flat. In our first concrete application of [3] we considered the pure vacuum (i.e. no matter) sector of the theory for spherical symmetry and expanded the reduced Hamiltonian H to second order in X, Y . In that case the degrees of freedom (Q, P) are absent in the sense that they reduce to the black hole mass M considered as an integration constant while X, Y describe tracefree (with respect to the sphere metric) axial (or odd) and polar (or even) gravitational field polarisations. We found that the Hamiltonian equations of motion agree with the second order gauge invariant results found previously in the literature. This confirms the validity of our method whose real virtue lies of course in the ability to unambiguously provide the higher order contributions to H .

In the present paper we extend [3] by Maxwell matter also in the GPG. Besides gravitational waves covered by [3] electromagnetic waves provide another important messenger of black hole radiation for astrophysical observation. For black holes we have access to the region close to the event horizon [4] and by the usual semi-classical argument the non-vanishing Hawking temperature of the black hole suggests that black holes emit Hawking radiation. Electromagnetic radiation is an important component of the emitted spectrum [5] both by primary and secondary (via particle-antiparticle annihilation) effects.

The problem of the Maxwell field coupled to gravity has been studied in the literature before. For the spherically symmetric background we obtain the charged black hole solution, the Reissner Nordström solution. Zerill first studied the perturbation theory on the level of the equations of motion [6]. The Hamiltonian theory was first considered by [7, 8, 9] in Schwarzschild coordinates. A rigorous treatment can also be found in the book by Chandrasekhar [10].

We demonstrate that we recover the results in the literature from our formalism. In contrast to Moncrief we study the Hamiltonian formulation in the Gullstrand-Painlevé coordinates. Therefore, there is no divergence in the degrees of freedom across the horizons. In the restriction to the spherically symmetric background we recover the Reissner Nordström solution. For the perturbations we obtain a physical Hamiltonian describing the dynamics of the gravitational and electromagnetic degrees of freedom. The equations of motion for the perturbations match the results found in the literature.

The outline of this manuscript is as follows. In section 2 we present the Hamiltonian framework of Einstein – Maxwell theory. Its exact solutions are derived in section 3 in presence of exact spherical symmetry. In section 4 we consider linear perturbations of the exactly symmetric background. In section 5 we perform

the symplectic reduction and derive the reduced Hamiltonian for the observable degrees of freedom. Finally, in Section 6 we compare our findings with the ones obtained by Chandrasekhar [10] in the Lagrangian formulation. In the appendix we give explicit formulas for some boundary terms that are discussed in the main text.

2 Hamiltonian Formulation of Einstein – Maxwell Theory

The objective of this manuscript is the extension of [3] to include electromagnetic radiation. We use the notation of [3] for the gravitational degrees of freedom and implement the electromagnetic field with the vector potential.

As in the previous paper we work in the Hamiltonian formulation of general relativity. Thus, we study the problem in the ADM approach. We split the metric according to

$$ds^2 = -(N^2 - m_{\mu\nu}N^\mu N^\nu) dt^2 + 2m_{\mu\nu}N^\mu dt d\mathbf{x}^\nu + m_{\mu\nu} d\mathbf{x}^\mu d\mathbf{x}^\nu, \quad (2.1)$$

where N is the lapse function, N^μ is the shift vector and $m_{\mu\nu}$ is the induced metric on the hypersurfaces of the foliation.

As matter content we consider electromagnetic radiation. We introduce it in the form of a vector potential, i.e. a one-form A . For the Hamiltonian formulation we split the vector potential according to $A = A_0 dt + A_\mu d\mathbf{x}^\mu$. Classically, we describe the dynamics using the Einstein-Hilbert-Maxwell Lagrangian.

The starting point for the model is the full Hamiltonian of the system. It is obtained via a Legendre transformation from the Lagrangian formulation. As in the case for pure gravity this transformation is singular. The Dirac algorithm shows that the quantities A_0 , N and N^μ enter the Hamiltonian theory as Lagrange multipliers. Next to the momentum $W^{\mu\nu}$ conjugate to $m_{\mu\nu}$ we also have the electric field E^μ which is conjugate to A_μ .

Compared to the pure gravity case, the Hamiltonian has a similar structure. It is a linear combination of modified diffeomorphism and Hamiltonian constraints. Furthermore, we obtain the Gauß constraint from the electromagnetic field. Explicitly, we have

$$H = \int_\sigma d\Sigma (NV_0 + N^\mu V_\mu + A_0 V_G), \quad (2.2)$$

where A_0 , N and N^μ enter as Lagrange multipliers for the constraints V_0 , V_μ and V_G . It will be sufficient to consider one asymptotic end so that we can focus on the case $\sigma = \mathbb{R}_+ \times S^2$. There is a new contribution to the Hamiltonian constraint V_0 :

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \left(m_{\mu\rho} m_{\nu\sigma} - \frac{1}{2} m_{\mu\nu} m_{\rho\sigma} \right) W^{\mu\nu} W^{\rho\sigma} - \sqrt{m} R(m) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{g^2}{\sqrt{m}} m_{\mu\nu} E^\mu E^\nu + \frac{\sqrt{m}}{2g^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\sqrt{m} := \sqrt{\det(m)}$ and g is the coupling constant for the electromagnetic field. We introduced the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu} := \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$ and the Ricci scalar $R(m)$ of the three metric m . The diffeomorphism constraint also gets a new contribution:

$$V_\mu = -2m_{\mu\rho} D_\nu W^{\nu\rho} + F_{\mu\nu} E^\nu - A_\mu V_G \quad (2.4)$$

Finally, the Gauß constraint V_G is defined as

$$V_G = \partial_\mu E^\mu \quad (2.5)$$

The conjugate variables $m_{\mu\nu}$ and $W^{\mu\nu}$ as well as A_μ and E^μ satisfy the Poisson brackets

$$\{m_{\mu\nu}(t, \mathbf{x}), W^{\rho\sigma}(t, \mathbf{y})\} = \delta_{(\mu}^\rho \delta_{\nu)}^\sigma \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}), \quad \{A_\nu(t, \mathbf{x}), E^\mu(t, \mathbf{y})\} = \delta_\nu^\mu \delta(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \quad (2.6)$$

From here on our analysis parallels exactly that of [3].

3 Spherical Symmetric Background Solution

The parametrization of the spherical symmetric background is unchanged. We use radial $x^3 = r$ and angular $x^1 = \theta$, $x^2 = \varphi$ coordinates and denote the metric on S^2 by Ω_{AB} , $A, B = 1, 2$ with $\det(\Omega) = \sin^2(\theta)$. We use

$$m_{33} = e^{2\mu}, \quad m_{3A} = 0, \quad m_{AB} = e^{2\lambda}\Omega_{AB}, \quad (3.1)$$

with two degrees of freedom μ and λ . The conjugate momentum is defined as

$$W^{33} = \sqrt{\Omega} \frac{\pi_\mu}{2} e^{-2\mu}, \quad W^{3A} = 0, \quad W^{AB} = \sqrt{\Omega} \frac{\pi_\lambda}{4} e^{-2\lambda} \Omega^{AB}. \quad (3.2)$$

As in the previous paper, we work in the Gullstrand-Painlevé gauge. It is characterized by setting $\mu = 0$ and $\lambda = \log(r)$. In this gauge the spherically symmetric degrees of freedom are regular at the horizon.

The electromagnetic degrees of freedom are also reduced to spherical symmetric ones. Since there are no spherically symmetric vector fields on the sphere S^2 , the only non-vanishing component of the vector potential is A_3 . It is an arbitrary function of Gullstrand – Painlevé time τ and r . Similarly the only non-vanishing component of the electric field is E^3 .

Consider first the Gauß constraint V_G . It reduces to $\partial_r E^3 = 0$. Therefore, E^3 is equal to a constant with respect to the radial coordinate. We set $E^3 = \sqrt{\Omega} \xi$. Another simplification comes from the fact that the constraints only depend on the vector potential A_μ through the field strength tensor $F_{\mu\nu}$. However, $F_{\mu\nu}$ vanishes in spherical symmetry due to its anti-symmetry. In other words, the Hamiltonian is independent of A_3 . This implies through the Hamiltonian equations of motion for E^3 that ξ is time-independent. As we will see, ξ is related to the electric charge.

Since the field strength tensor is independent of A_3 we can freely set it to zero without modifying the equations of motion. For reasons of consistency $A_3 = 0$ needs to be preserved under time evolution. This can be achieved by appropriately choosing the Lagrange multiplier field A_0 .

Inserting the gravitational and electromagnetic degrees of freedom into the constraints we obtain a structure for the zeroth order symmetric constraints very similar to the vacuum case. Following the notation of [3], ${}^{(0)}C_v$ gets modified by a new term proportional to the square of the electric charge ξ :

$${}^{(0)}C_v = \frac{4\pi}{8r^2} (\pi_\mu^2 - 2\pi_\mu \pi_\lambda) + 4\pi \frac{g^2}{2r^2} \xi^2, \quad (3.3)$$

$${}^{(0)}C_h = 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{r} \pi_\lambda - \pi'_\mu \right). \quad (3.4)$$

The plan is to solve these equations for π_μ and π_λ . The method is very similar to the vacuum case. First, we solve ${}^{(0)}C_h$ for π_λ . It is unchanged and reads

$$\pi_\lambda = r \pi'_\mu, \quad (3.5)$$

This can be used in the equation for ${}^{(0)}C_v$ to obtain a differential equation for π_μ :

$$\pi_\mu^2 - 2r\pi_\mu \pi'_\mu - 4r^2 \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{g^2 \xi^2}{r} \right) = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

For the solution of the equation we multiply by an integrating factor and rewrite it as a total derivative in the following way

$$\frac{d}{dr} \left[\frac{\pi_\mu^2}{r} + 4 \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{r} \right] = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

In this form the solution is straight forward and we obtain

$$\pi_\mu^2 = 16rr_s - 4g^2 \xi^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where r_s is an integration constant which as before is the Schwarzschild radius. As in the vacuum case we can obtain the solution for π_λ from the Hamiltonian constraint $^{(0)}C_v$:

$$\pi_\lambda = \frac{1}{2\pi_\mu}(\pi_\mu^2 + 4g^2\xi^2) = \frac{16rr_s}{2\pi_\mu}. \quad (3.9)$$

These formulas provide the zeroth order solutions $\pi_\mu^{(0)}$, $\pi_\lambda^{(0)}$ of the symmetric constraints. In the following we will iteratively construct the solution up to second order of the symmetric constraints.

4 Perturbations of Einstein – Maxwell Theory

We follow the notation of our companion paper [3] and expand the non-symmetric ($l > 0$ modes) into spherical tensor harmonics

$$m_{33} = 1 + \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{x}_{lm}^v L_{lm} \quad (4.1)$$

$$m_{3A} = 0 + \sum_{l \geq 1, m, I} \mathbf{x}_{lm}^I [L_{I,lm}]_A \quad (4.2)$$

$$m_{AB} = r^2 \Omega_{AB} + \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{x}_{lm}^h \Omega_{AB} + \sum_{l \geq 2, m, I} \mathbf{x}_{lm}^I [L_{I,lm}]_{AB} \quad (4.3)$$

$$W^{33} = \sqrt{\Omega} \left(\frac{\pi_\mu}{2} + \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{y}_{lm}^v L_{lm} \right) \quad (4.4)$$

$$W^{3A} = \sqrt{\Omega} \left(0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 1, m, I} \mathbf{y}_{lm}^I L_{I,lm}^A \right) \quad (4.5)$$

$$W^{AB} = \sqrt{\Omega} \left(\frac{\pi_\lambda}{4r^2} \Omega^{AB} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{y}_{lm}^h \Omega^{AB} + \sum_{l \geq 2, m, I} \mathbf{y}_{lm}^I L_{I,lm}^{AB} \right) \quad (4.6)$$

The label I takes the values e, o for the even and odd harmonics respectively. See [3] for the details. We assume the perturbations $\delta W^{\mu\nu}, \delta m_{\mu\nu}$ to follow certain fall-off conditions at infinity. For completeness we recall them here:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta m_{33} &\sim \delta m_{33}^+ r^{-1} + \delta m_{33}^- r^{-2} \\ \delta m_{3A} &\sim \delta m_{3A}^+ + \delta m_{3A}^- r^{-1} \\ \delta m_{AB} &\sim \delta m_{AB}^+ r + \delta m_{AB}^- \\ \delta W^{33} &\sim \delta W_-^{33} + \delta W_+^{33} r^{-1} \\ \delta W^{3A} &\sim \delta W_-^{3A} r^{-1} + \delta W_+^{3A} r^{-2} \\ \delta W^{AB} &\sim \delta W_-^{AB} r^{-2} + \delta W_+^{AB} r^{-3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

The quantities $\delta W_\pm^{\mu\nu}, \delta m_\mu^\pm$ on the right hand side are independent of the radial coordinate r but still have angular dependence through l, m . The sub-/superscript \pm stands for the behaviour of the variables under parity transformations. Details on this and the relation to the notion of parity in the rest of the manuscript can be found in [3].

Similarly to the gravitational degrees of freedom we expand the perturbed vector potential A_μ and the

perturbed electric field E^μ into tensor harmonics . We use the convention

$$A_3 = \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{x}_M^{lm} L_{lm} \quad (4.8)$$

$$A_B = \sum_{l \geq 1, m, I} \mathbf{x}_M^{I, lm} [L_{I, lm}]_B \quad (4.9)$$

$$E^3 = \sqrt{\Omega} \left(\xi + \sum_{l \geq 1, m} \mathbf{y}_{lm}^M L_{lm} \right) \quad (4.10)$$

$$E^B = \sqrt{\Omega} \sum_{l \geq 1, m, I} \mathbf{y}_{I, lm}^M L_{I, lm}^B \quad (4.11)$$

The sub- or superscript M denotes “Maxwell” degrees of freedom and x, y as before denote non-symmetric gauge degrees of freedom while X, Y denote non-symmetric true degrees of freedom.

We choose the fall-off conditions of the electromagnetic perturbations as

$$\begin{aligned} \delta A_3 &\sim \delta A_3^+ r^{-1} + \delta A_3^- r^{-2} \\ \delta A_B &\sim \delta A_B^+ + \delta A_B^- r^{-1} \\ \delta E^3 &\sim \delta E_-^3 + \delta E_+^3 r^{-1} \\ \delta E^B &\sim \delta E_-^B r^{-1} + \delta E_B^+ r^{-2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.12)$$

As in the gravitational case the variables δA_μ^\pm and δE_\pm^μ are constants with respect to r but are still allowed to vary with l, m . The sub-/superscript \pm stands again for the parity of these perturbations.

In the next step we expand the Hamiltonian, diffeomorphism and Gauß constraints to second order and extract the symmetric and non-symmetric contributions.

4.1 Perturbations and exact solutions of the Gauß constraint

Before studying the diffeomorphism and the Hamiltonian constraints we consider the Gauß constraint. Similarly to the spherically symmetric background it simplifies the analysis to first solve this constraint. It only has a first order correction which is given by

$$({}^{(1)}V_G)_{lm} = \sqrt{\Omega} ((\mathbf{y}_{lm}^M)' - \sqrt{l(l+1)} \mathbf{Y}_{e, lm}^M) \quad (4.13)$$

The gauge degrees of freedom are $\mathbf{x}_M, \mathbf{y}^M$ while the true degrees of freedom are X_M, Y^M . Hence, we solve the Gauß constraint for \mathbf{y}^M and have

$$\mathbf{y}_{lm}^M = \sqrt{l(l+1)} \int \mathbf{Y}_{e, lm}^M dr . \quad (4.14)$$

We fix the gauge of the electromagnetic field by setting $\mathbf{x}_M^{lm} = 0$. Since there are no higher order corrections to the Gauß constraint we have solved $V_G = 0$ to all orders.

4.2 Second order perturbations of spatial diffeomorphism and Hamiltonian constraints

As in the vacuum case we only need the first order, automatically non-symmetric, and second order symmetric constraints in order to compute the reduced Hamiltonian to second order.

The first order non-symmetric constraints are

$$^{(1)}Z_{lm}^h = -2\partial_r \mathbf{y}_v + \sqrt{l(l+1)} \mathbf{y}_e + 2r \mathbf{y}_h - \partial_r \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^v - \frac{1}{2} \pi_\mu \partial_r \mathbf{x}^v + \frac{\pi_\lambda}{2r^2} \sqrt{l(l+1)} \mathbf{x}^e + \frac{\pi_\lambda}{2r^2} \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \quad (4.15)$$

$$^{(1)}Z_{lm}^e = \sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)} \left(r^2 \mathbf{Y}_e + \frac{\pi_\lambda}{4r^2} \mathbf{X}^e \right) - \partial_r (r^2 \mathbf{y}_e + \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^e) + \sqrt{l(l+1)} \left(\frac{\pi_\mu}{2} \mathbf{x}^v - r^2 \mathbf{y}_h \right) - \xi \partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^e \quad (4.16)$$

$$^{(1)}Z_{lm}^o = \sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)} \left(r^2 \mathbf{Y}_o + \frac{\pi_\lambda}{4r^2} \mathbf{X}^o \right) - \partial_r (r^2 \mathbf{y}_o + \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^o) - \xi \partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^o \quad (4.17)$$

$$^{(1)}Z_{lm}^v = \frac{1}{2r^2} (\pi_\mu - \pi_\lambda) \mathbf{y}_v - \left(2r \partial_r + l(l+1) + 2 - 2\frac{r_s}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}^v - \frac{1}{2} \pi_\mu \mathbf{y}_h - \frac{1}{r^2} \sqrt{\frac{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)}{2}} \mathbf{X}^e \\ + 2 \left(\partial_r^2 - \frac{1}{r} \partial_r - \frac{(l+2)(l-1)}{2r^2} - \frac{r_s}{r^3} \right) \mathbf{x}^h + 2\sqrt{l(l+1)} \left(\partial_r + \frac{1}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}^e + \frac{g^2}{r^2} \xi \sqrt{l(l+1)} \int \mathbf{Y}_{lm}^M d\tilde{r} \quad (4.18)$$

The second order symmetric constraints are given by

$$^{(2)}C_h = -\mathbf{x}^o \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{y}_o + \mathbf{Y}_o \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{X}^o + \mathbf{y}_v \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^v - 2\partial_r (\mathbf{x}^v \cdot \mathbf{y}_v) - \mathbf{x}^e \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{y}_e + \mathbf{Y}_e \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{X}_e + \mathbf{y}_h \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \\ + \mathbf{Y}_e^M \cdot (\mathbf{X}_M^e)' + \mathbf{Y}_o^M \cdot (\mathbf{X}_M^o)' \quad (4.19)$$

$$^{(2)}C_v = \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}_o \cdot \mathbf{y}_o + \frac{1}{2r^2} \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^o \cdot \mathbf{y}_o + \frac{1}{r^2} \mathbf{x}^o \cdot \left(4r \partial_r - 3 + \frac{l(l+1)}{2} + 2\frac{r_s}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}^o + r^2 \mathbf{Y}_o \cdot \mathbf{Y}_o \\ - \frac{1}{2r^2} (\pi_\mu - \pi_\lambda) \mathbf{Y}_o \cdot \mathbf{X}^o - \frac{1}{r^4} \mathbf{X}^o \cdot \left(r^2 \partial_r^2 - 4r \partial_r + \frac{7}{2} + \frac{r_s^2}{g^2 \xi^2 - 4rr_s} \right) \mathbf{X}^o - \frac{3}{4r^2} \partial_r \mathbf{X}^o \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{X}^o \\ - \frac{1}{r^3} \sqrt{\frac{(l+2)(l-1)}{2}} \mathbf{x}^o \cdot (r \partial_r - 2) \mathbf{X}^o \\ + \frac{1}{2r^2} \mathbf{y}_v \cdot \mathbf{y}_v + \frac{1}{4r^2} (3\pi_\mu - \pi_\lambda) \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \mathbf{y}_v + \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \left(3r \partial_r + 1 + \frac{r_s}{r} - \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{4r^2} \right) \mathbf{x}^v + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{y}_e \cdot \mathbf{y}_e + \frac{1}{2r^2} \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^e \cdot \mathbf{y}_e \\ + \frac{1}{r^2} \mathbf{x}^e \cdot \left(4r \partial_r - 3 + 2\frac{r_s}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}^e - \frac{1}{2r^4} \left(4 \left(1 - \frac{r_s}{r} \right) \mathbf{x}^h \cdot \mathbf{x}^h - 4r \mathbf{x}^h \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h + r^2 \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \right) \\ + r^2 \mathbf{Y}_e \cdot \mathbf{Y}_e - \frac{1}{2r^2} (\pi_\mu - \pi_\lambda) \mathbf{X}^e \cdot \mathbf{Y}_e - \frac{1}{r^4} \mathbf{X}^e \cdot \left(r^2 \partial_r^2 - 4r \partial_r + \frac{7}{2} + \frac{r_s^2}{g^2 \xi^2 - 4rr_s} \right) \mathbf{X}^e \\ - \frac{3}{4r^2} \partial_r \mathbf{X}^e \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{X}^e - \mathbf{y}_h \cdot \mathbf{y}_v - \frac{\pi_\mu}{2r^4} \mathbf{y}_v \cdot \mathbf{x}^h - \frac{1}{4} \pi_\mu \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \mathbf{y}_h - \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^v \\ - \frac{1}{r^2} \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \left(r^2 \partial_r^2 \mathbf{x}^h - r \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h + \frac{l(l+1)+2}{2} \mathbf{x}^h + 3\frac{r_s}{r} \mathbf{x}^h - \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{2r^2} \mathbf{x}^h \right) - \sqrt{l(l+1)} \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \partial_r \mathbf{x}^e \\ - \frac{1}{2r^2} \sqrt{\frac{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)}{2}} \mathbf{x}^v \cdot \mathbf{X}^e - \sqrt{l(l+1)} \frac{1}{r} \mathbf{x}^e \cdot (-\mathbf{x}^v + r \partial_r \mathbf{x}^v) \\ + \frac{1}{r^3} \sqrt{l(l+1)} \mathbf{x}^e \cdot (2\mathbf{x}^h - r \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h) + \sqrt{\frac{(l+2)(l-1)}{2}} \frac{1}{r^3} \mathbf{x}^e \cdot (2\mathbf{X}^e - r \partial_r \mathbf{X}^e) \\ + \frac{g^2}{2r^2} \left[\left(2\mathbf{x}^o \cdot \mathbf{Y}_o^M + \sqrt{l(l+1)} \left(\mathbf{x}^v - \frac{2}{r^2} \mathbf{x}^h \right) \cdot \int \mathbf{Y}_e^M d\tilde{r} + 2\mathbf{x}^e \cdot \mathbf{Y}_e^M \right) \xi \right. \\ \left. + l(l+1) \int \mathbf{Y}_e^M d\tilde{r} \cdot \int \mathbf{Y}_e^M d\tilde{r} + r^2 (\mathbf{Y}_e^M \cdot \mathbf{Y}_e^M + \mathbf{Y}_o^M \cdot \mathbf{Y}_o^M) \right] \\ + \frac{1}{2g^2 r^2} [l(l+1) \mathbf{X}_M^o \cdot \mathbf{X}_M^o + r^2 (\mathbf{X}_M^o' \cdot \mathbf{X}_M^o' + \mathbf{X}_M^e' \cdot \mathbf{X}_M^e')] \quad (4.21)$$

5 Reduced phase space formulation

We are now fully prepared to analyse the constraints and to obtain the physical Hamiltonian. The procedure is similar to the vacuum case with some changes of the transformations due to the electromagnetic field. As before we start with the analysis of the second order constraints. After that, we use the first order constraints to obtain the reduced Hamiltonian. For the odd parity first order constraints we follow the

programme and solve the constraint Z^o for the gauge degrees of freedom $\mathbf{x}^o, \mathbf{y}_o$. For the even parity we proceed differently. In an intermediate step we solve the constraints for $\mathbf{x}^h, \mathbf{y}^e$ and \mathbf{Y}^e in the gauge $\mathbf{x}^e = 0$, $\mathbf{X}^e = 0$ and $p_2 = 0$, where p_2 is a new variable that we will introduce. The reason for this is that for this setup the calculation is easier. In the end of the section we show that this is equivalent to solving the first order constraints in the other gauge ($\mathbf{x}^v = \mathbf{x}^h = \mathbf{x}^e = 0$) and keeping \mathbf{X}^e and \mathbf{Y}_e as true degrees of freedom. As in our companion paper [3] the final description drastically simplifies after performing suitable canonical transformations.

5.1 Solution of the second order constraints

We solve the second order Hamiltonian and diffeomorphism constraints exactly the same way as in the vacuum case. We assume that the first order constraints are solved and we split the symmetric momenta into the background and the contributions second order in the perturbations: $\pi_\mu^{(0)} + \pi_\mu^{(2)}$ and $\pi_\lambda^{(0)} + \pi_\lambda^{(2)}$. Then, the symmetric constraints to second order are

$$C_v \sim \frac{4\pi}{4r^2} \left(\pi_\mu^{(0)} \pi_\mu^{(2)} - \pi_\mu^{(0)} \pi_\lambda^{(2)} - \pi_\mu^{(2)} \pi_\lambda^{(0)} \right) + {}^{(2)}C_v = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

$$C_h \sim 4\pi \left(\frac{1}{r} \pi_\lambda^{(2)} - (\pi_\mu^{(2)})' + {}^{(2)}C_h \right) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

The terms ${}^{(2)}C_v$ and ${}^{(2)}C_h$ are the contributions to the constraints which are of second order in the perturbations X, Y . They will be studied in detail later after we solved the first order constraints and are given by inserting into (4.19) the first order solutions $y^\alpha(1)$ to the first order constraint equations for y^α , $\alpha = v, h, e, o$ in terms of X, Y . They do not depend on $\pi_\mu^{(2)}, \pi_\lambda^{(2)}$ and $\pi_\mu^{(1)} = \pi_\lambda^{(1)} = y^\alpha(0) = 0$ by construction.

The structure of the second order constraints is precisely the same as in [3]. Therefore, we can use the results obtained there and have

$$\pi_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{4r}{4\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \int dr \left[\frac{\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{4r} {}^{(2)}C_h + {}^{(2)}C_v \right] \quad (5.3)$$

We use the equation $C_v = 0$ to get the solution for $\pi_\lambda^{(2)}$. It is given by

$$\pi_\lambda^{(2)} = \left(1 - \frac{\pi_\lambda^{(0)}}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \right) \pi_\mu^{(2)} + \frac{4r^2}{4\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}} {}^{(2)}C_v \quad (5.4)$$

For the physical Hamiltonian we need the second order expansion for π_μ . We have

$$\pi_\mu \sim \pi_\mu^{(0)} + \pi_\mu^{(2)} = \pi_\mu^{(0)} \left[1 + \frac{1}{4\pi(4r_s - g^2\xi^2/r)} \int dr \left(\sqrt{\frac{r_s}{r} - \frac{g^2\xi^2}{4r^2}} {}^{(2)}C_h + {}^{(2)}C_v \right) \right] \quad (5.5)$$

5.2 The dipole perturbations ($l = 1$)

We treat the dipole perturbations separately because the spherical tensor harmonics are not defined for $l = 1$. In the previous paper [3] we saw that the constraints can be solved explicitly for the gravitational degrees of freedom. There was no dynamics for the dipole perturbations. In the computation appeared integration constants that we related to viewing the Schwarzschild metric in an accelerated frame of reference.

In the presence of matter such as the electromagnetic field we have true degrees of freedom already for $l = 1$. In fact for our model these degrees of freedom are $\mathbf{X}_M^{e/o}, \mathbf{Y}_{e/o}^M$. Later we expect the physical Hamiltonian to depend on these degrees of freedom and to describe the dynamics of the $l = 1$ modes of the electromagnetic field. Additionally, we anticipate to see some integration constants describing the charged black hole solution in an accelerated frame of reference.

In the following we solve the constraints for the even and odd parity sector separately and derive the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. For the odd parity sector we have one first order constraint, Z^o . The solution of the differential equation in the gauge $\mathbf{x}^o = 0$ is given by

$$\mathbf{y}_o^{1m} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(a_m + \xi \mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m} \right). \quad (5.6)$$

Exactly as in the gravitational case we introduced an integration constant a_m . The solution \mathbf{y}_o^{1m} is now plugged into the formula (5.3) for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. The odd parity contribution for $l = 1$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi \pi_\mu^{(0)}}{r} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l=1, \text{odd}} = \sum_m \int dr \left[N^3 \mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M \mathbf{X}_{o,1m}^M{}' + N \left(\frac{g^2}{2} (\mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M)^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \left((\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m})^2 + \frac{2 + g^2 \xi^2 r^{-2}}{r^2} (\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m})^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. + \frac{a_m^2 + 2\xi a_m \mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m}}{2r^4} \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

We will discuss the physics of the odd $l = 1$ modes of the electromagnetic field later when we have access to the physical Hamiltonian.

For the even parity perturbations we have three first order constraints Z^v, Z^h, Z^e that we solve for $\mathbf{y}_h, \mathbf{y}_v, \mathbf{y}_e$. The true degrees of freedom are the modes $\mathbf{X}_M^{e,1m}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M$ of the electromagnetic field. We start with the solution of $Z^v = 0$ for \mathbf{y}_h . This gives

$$\mathbf{y}_h^{1m} = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi_\lambda}{\pi_\mu} \right) \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} + \frac{2\sqrt{2}g^2\xi}{r^2\pi_\mu} \int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr. \quad (5.8)$$

Then, the solution of $Z^h = 0$ for \mathbf{y}_e gives

$$\mathbf{y}_e^{1m} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(2\partial_r \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} - \frac{2}{r} \left(1 - \frac{\pi_\lambda}{\pi_\mu} \right) \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} \right) - \frac{4g^2\xi}{r\pi_\mu} \int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr \quad (5.9)$$

The last constraint Z^e together with the solutions for \mathbf{y}_h and \mathbf{y}_e leads to a differential equation for \mathbf{y}_v :

$$\sqrt{2}r^2\partial_r^2 \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} + \frac{\sqrt{2}r(6rr_s - g^2\xi^2)}{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2} \partial_r \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} - \frac{2\sqrt{2}g^2\xi^2 rr_s}{(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^2} \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} = s(r), \quad (5.10)$$

with a “source” term $s(r)$ depending on the electromagnetic field:

$$s(r) = \xi \partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^{e,1m} - \frac{4g^2\xi rr_s}{(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^{3/2}} \int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr + \frac{2g^2\xi r}{\sqrt{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}} \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M. \quad (5.11)$$

For an uncharged black hole ($\xi = 0$) the source term vanishes. In the discussion of the solution of the differential equation in the following paragraphs we are not displaying the labels $l = 1, m$.

The differential equation for \mathbf{y}_v is an inhomogeneous second order linear differential equation. The general solution of this equation is given by a general solution of the homogeneous equation and a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. The homogeneous solution is a linear combination $\mathbf{y}_v = C_I \mathbf{y}_v^I + C_{II} \mathbf{y}_v^{II}$ of two independent solutions $\mathbf{y}_v^I, \mathbf{y}_v^{II}$ given by

$$\mathbf{y}_v^I = \frac{1}{\pi_\mu} \quad (5.12)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{II} = 1 - \frac{2g\xi}{\pi_\mu} \arctan\left(\frac{\pi_\mu}{2g\xi}\right) \quad (5.13)$$

We use the information about the homogeneous solution to derive a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. We will use the method of variation of constants. In the computation we consider the constants C_I and C_{II} to be functions of r , i.e.

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{\text{part}}(r) = C_I(r) \mathbf{y}_v^I(r) + C_{II}(r) \mathbf{y}_v^{II}(r) \quad (5.14)$$

We insert this ansatz into the differential equation including the source term $s(r)$. Then, we have the equation

$$\sqrt{2}r^2(C_I''\mathbf{y}_v^I + 2C_I'\mathbf{y}_v^{II} + C_{II}''\mathbf{y}_v^{II} + 2C_{II}'\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}) + \frac{\sqrt{2}r(6rr_s - g^2\xi^2)}{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}(C_I'\mathbf{y}_v^I + C_{II}'\mathbf{y}_v^{II}) = s(r). \quad (5.15)$$

The terms with no derivatives of C_I and C_{II} vanish because \mathbf{y}_v^I and \mathbf{y}_v^{II} are solutions to the homogeneous equation. The above equation is satisfied if C_I and C_{II} satisfy the differential equations

$$C_I'\mathbf{y}_v^I + C_{II}'\mathbf{y}_v^{II} = 0, \quad (5.16)$$

$$\sqrt{2}r^2(C_I'\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} + C_{II}'\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}) = s(r). \quad (5.17)$$

The first is used to replace \mathbf{y}_v^{II} in the second equation

$$\sqrt{2}r^2C_I'\left(\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_v^I}{\mathbf{y}_v^{II}}\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}\right) = s(r) \quad (5.18)$$

Therefore

$$C_I = \int \frac{s(r)}{\sqrt{2}r^2\left(\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_v^I}{\mathbf{y}_v^{II}}\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}\right)} dr. \quad (5.19)$$

Similarly we obtain

$$C_{II} = \int \frac{s(r)}{\sqrt{2}r^2\left(\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_v^{II}}{\mathbf{y}_v^I}\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}\right)} dr. \quad (5.20)$$

Then the particular solution is

$$\mathbf{y}_v^{\text{part}} = \int \frac{s(r)}{\sqrt{2}r^2\left(\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_v^I}{\mathbf{y}_v^{II}}\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}\right)} dr \mathbf{y}_v^I + \int \frac{s(r)}{\sqrt{2}r^2\left(\mathbf{y}_v^{II'} - \frac{\mathbf{y}_v^{II}}{\mathbf{y}_v^I}\mathbf{y}_v^{II'}\right)} dr \mathbf{y}_v^{II}. \quad (5.21)$$

The solution is now inserted into the equation for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{r}\pi_\mu^{(2)}\Big|_{l=1,\text{even}} &= \sum_m \int dr \frac{\pi_\mu}{4r} \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M (\mathbf{X}_M^{e,1m})' + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_e^{1m})^2 - \left(\frac{2\sqrt{2}g^2\xi}{r^2\pi_\mu} \int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr \right) \mathbf{y}_v + \frac{1}{2g^2} [\mathbf{X}_M^{e,1m}]^2 \\ &+ \frac{g^2}{2r^2} \left(2 \left(\int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr \right)^2 + r^2 (\mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M)^2 \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

where we need to replace \mathbf{y}_e and \mathbf{y}_v by the corresponding solutions of the differential equation. It is convenient to remove the integral of \mathbf{Y}_M^e and the derivative of \mathbf{X}_M^e with the following canonical transformation where we introduce new variables A^e and Π_A^e defined as

$$A^{e,1m} = g^2 \int \mathbf{Y}_{e,1m}^M dr, \quad (5.23)$$

$$\Pi_A^{e,1m} = g^{-2} \partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^{e,1m}. \quad (5.24)$$

In the new variables we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{r}\pi_\mu^{(2)}\Big|_{l=1,\text{even}} &= \sum_m \int dr \frac{\pi_\mu}{4r} \Pi_A^{e,1m} A^{e,1m'} + \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{y}_e^{1m})^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi}{r^2\pi_\mu} A^{e,1m} \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} + \frac{g^2}{2} (\Pi_A^{e,1m})^2 \\ &+ \frac{1}{2g^2r^2} (2(A^{e,1m})^2 + r^2(A^{e,1m'})^2). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

5.3 Solution of the first order constraints - odd parity

In the odd parity sector there is one first order constraint which we are now using to eliminate the gauge degrees of freedom \mathbf{x}^o and \mathbf{y}_o . We are left with two pairs of true degrees of freedom. In the electromagnetic sector we have \mathbf{X}_M^o, Y_o^M and in the gravitational sector we have $\mathbf{X}^o, \mathbf{Y}_o$.

The solution of the constraint equation $Z^o = 0$ for \mathbf{y}_o is

$$\mathbf{y}_o^{(1)} = \frac{1}{r^2} \int \left[\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)} \left(r^2 \mathbf{Y}_o + \frac{\pi_\lambda^{(0)}}{4r^2} \mathbf{X}^o \right) - \xi \partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^o \right] dr. \quad (5.26)$$

This is all that needs to be done at first order. The solution for \mathbf{y}_o is now inserted into the formula for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ in equation (5.3). However, the resulting function is still lengthy but can be simplified using canonical transformations. This is the goal of the rest of this subsection.

For the electromagnetic sector the canonical transformation is trivial. However, to unify the notation with the treatment in the even parity sector we redefine $A^o = \mathbf{X}_M^o$ and $\Pi_A^o = \mathbf{Y}_o^M$. In the gravitational sector we define new quantities Q, P which are defined as

$$P := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \partial_r (r^{-2} \mathbf{X}^o) \quad (5.27)$$

$$Q := \sqrt{2} \int dr \left(r^2 \mathbf{Y}_o + \frac{\pi_\lambda^{(0)}}{4r^2} \mathbf{X}^o \right). \quad (5.28)$$

This transformation has the same structure as in the case without any electromagnetic field. The only difference is that now π_λ depends on the background electric charge ξ .

Everything we have developed so far in this section is now inserted into equation (5.3). That is we replace \mathbf{y}_o by its solution $\mathbf{y}_o^{(1)}$ and fix the gauge by setting $\mathbf{x}^o = 0$. We also substitute the variables $\mathbf{X}^o, \mathbf{Y}_o$ and $\mathbf{X}_M^o, \mathbf{Y}_o^M$ by the new quantities Q, P and A_o, Π_A^o respectively. The resulting expression is further simplified using integration by parts. We end up with

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{4r}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l \geq 2, \text{odd}} &= \int dr \frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu^{(0)} (PQ' + \Pi_A^o A^{o'}) + \frac{1}{2} \left(r^2 P^2 + \frac{1}{r^4} (l+2)(l-1) Q^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} (Q')^2 \right) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(g^2 (\Pi_A^o)^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} \left(\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{r^4} + (A^{o'})^2 \right) \right) - \frac{\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}}{r^4} \xi Q A^o \end{aligned} \quad (5.29)$$

The boundary term that we dropped in the computation is given by

$$\int dr \frac{d}{dr} \left(2r^2 P \int P dr + \frac{1}{2} (2r + r_s) \left(\int P dr \right)^2 \right). \quad (5.30)$$

For the physical Hamiltonian that we introduce later we are interested in the limit r to infinity of $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. We will now show that in this limit the boundary term is not contributing. From the fall-off behaviour of the canonical fields in equation (4.12) we deduce that Q grows at most linearly in r and P decays as r^{-2} . Then, the boundary term behaves as r^{-1} . This means that it vanishes in the limit and the boundary term can be dropped.

We implement another canonical transformation to change the factors of r in $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ and to introduce the equivalent of the Regge-Wheeler potential into the Hamiltonian. For this we introduce Q^o and P^o defined by a rescaling of Q and P by r and a shift of P . We have

$$Q = r Q^o \quad (5.31)$$

$$P = \frac{1}{r} \left(P^o - \frac{\pi_\mu}{4r^2} Q^o \right) \quad (5.32)$$

Inserting this into the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{4r}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l \geq 2, \text{odd}} &= \int dr \left[\frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu^{(0)} (P^o(Q^o)' + \Pi_A^o(A^o)') - \frac{\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}}{r^3} \xi Q^o A^o \right. \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left((P^o)^2 + (Q^{o'})^2 + \frac{1}{r^4} (l(l+1)r^2 - 3rr_s + g^2 \xi^2) (Q^o)^2 \right) \\
&\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(g^2 (\Pi_A^o)^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} \left((A^{o'})^2 + \frac{1}{r^4} (l(l+1)r^2 + g^2 \xi^2) (A^o)^2 \right) \right) \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

In the calculation we used integration by parts to simplify the resulting expression. The boundary term that we dropped is given by

$$- \int dr \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{2r^2} \left(r_s - r - \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{4r} \right) (Q^o)^2 \right). \tag{5.34}$$

We showed earlier that Q grows at most linearly in r . Thus, Q^o will approach a constant as r tends to infinity. Then, the boundary term vanishes as r^{-1} in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$.

In view of the results for the even parity degrees of freedom, we introduce the gravitational potential V_{grav}^o , the electromagnetic potential V_{em}^o and the coupling potential V_{Coupl}^o .

$$V_{\text{grav}}^o = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U^o - \frac{3r_s}{2r} W^o \right) \tag{5.35}$$

$$V_{\text{em}}^o = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U^o + \frac{3r_s}{2r} W^o \right) \tag{5.36}$$

$$V_{\text{Coupl}}^o = \frac{g\xi}{r^3} W^o. \tag{5.37}$$

The potentials depend on the quantities W^o and U^o defined as

$$W^o = -1 \tag{5.38}$$

$$U^o = l(l+1) + \frac{3r_s}{2r} + \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{r^2}. \tag{5.39}$$

We use the potentials in the integral for the odd parity variables. Then, we have the first intermediate result for the odd parity sector:

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{4r}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l \geq 2, \text{odd}} &= \int dr \frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu^{(0)} (P^o(Q^o)' + \Pi_A^o(A^o)') \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2} \left((P^o)^2 + g^2 (\Pi_A^o)^2 + (Q^{o'})^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} (A^{o'})^2 + V_{\text{grav}}^o (Q^o)^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} V_{\text{em}}^o (A^o)^2 + \frac{2}{g} \sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} V_{\text{Coupl}}^o Q^o A^o \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

5.4 Solution of the first order constraints - even parity

The even parity sector is significantly more complicated compared to the odd parity. We have to solve three first order constraints. Due to this complexity we employ the computer algebra system Mathematica. It helps with performing the symbolic manipulations necessary to achieve a manageable final result for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. In the course of this subsection we mention the steps for which we used the help of the computer.

The procedure is analogous to the one in the vacuum case. Some modifications are necessary due to the presence of the electromagnetic field. First, we study the first order diffeomorphism constraints $^{(1)}Z_{lm}^h$ and $^{(1)}Z_{lm}^e$. The solutions for \mathbf{y}_e and \mathbf{Y}_e are

$$\mathbf{y}_e^{(1)} = - \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} \left(-2\partial_r(\mathbf{y}_v) + 2r\mathbf{y}_h - \partial_r \pi_\mu^{(0)} \mathbf{x}^v - \frac{1}{2} \pi_\mu^{(0)} \partial_r \mathbf{x}^v + \frac{\pi_\lambda^{(0)}}{2r^2} \partial_r \mathbf{x}^h \right) \tag{5.41}$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)} = - \frac{1}{r^2 \sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}} \left(-\partial_r(r^2 \mathbf{y}_e^{(1)}) + \sqrt{l(l+1)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \pi_\mu^{(0)} \mathbf{x}^v - r^2 \mathbf{y}_h + \xi \alpha \right) \right), \tag{5.42}$$

where we have to substitute $\mathbf{y}_e^{(1)}$ in the solution for $\mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)}$. Here and for the rest of the computation we will work in the gauge $\mathbf{X}^e = \mathbf{x}^e = 0$.

For the analysis it is convenient to introduce some notation. The following combinations of variables will show up multiple times in the computations of this section:

$$n := \frac{1}{2}(l+2)(l-1), \quad (5.43)$$

$$\Delta := 1 - \frac{r_s}{r} + \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{4r^2}, \quad (5.44)$$

$$\Lambda := n + \frac{3r_s}{2r} - \frac{g^2 \xi^2}{2r^2}. \quad (5.45)$$

There is one more constraint left. It is the first order Hamiltonian constraint $^{(1)}Z_{lm}^v$. The plan is to solve this constraint for \mathbf{x}^h . Similar to the vacuum case we use a canonical transformation of the gravitational sector to simplify Z^v . The transformation removes the derivatives of \mathbf{x}^h from the constraints. In addition the transformation helps with putting the final solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ into a more compact form.

The transformation is very similar to the one in the pure gravity case. The difference is that now π_μ also depends on the charge of the black hole ξ . We define new variables q_1, p_1, q_2, p_2 by

$$\mathbf{x}^v = q_1 + Bq_2 + C\partial_r q_2 + Dp_1 \quad (5.46)$$

$$\mathbf{x}^h = q_2 \quad (5.47)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_v = p_1 + G\partial_r q_2 \quad (5.48)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_h = p_2 - Bp_1 + \partial_r[(C - DG)p_1] - \partial_r(Gq_1) + Kq_2 - BG\partial_r q_2, \quad (5.49)$$

where we introduced the functions C, D, G, B and K . They are defined as

$$C := \frac{1}{r} \quad (5.50)$$

$$D := \frac{\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{4r^2(\Delta - 2)} \quad (5.51)$$

$$G := -\frac{\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{4r} \quad (5.52)$$

$$B := -\frac{1}{2r^2(\Delta - 2)} \left(\frac{r_s}{r} - (l(l+1) + 2) \right). \quad (5.53)$$

The function K is longer and given by

$$K = \frac{2}{(\Delta - 2)^2 r^2 \pi_\mu^{(0)}} \left(\frac{2}{r} \partial_r (r^2 \Delta (\Lambda + 2\Delta)) - \Lambda l(l+1) (\Delta^2 - 3\Delta + 2) - 2l(l+1) (2\Delta^2 - 5\Delta + 4) - 4\Delta - \frac{r_s}{r} (\Delta^2 - 4\Delta + 2) \right). \quad (5.54)$$

In the electromagnetic sector it is convenient to remove the integrals of the momentum \mathbf{Y}_e^M . To achieve this we introduce new variables A, Π_A with a canonical transformation. We define

$$A := - \int \mathbf{Y}_e^M dr \quad (5.55)$$

$$\Pi_A := -\partial_r \mathbf{X}_M^e. \quad (5.56)$$

We insert both transformations into the first order Hamiltonian constraint Z^v . We simplify the expression using Mathematica and solve for the variable q_2 . We obtain

$$q_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{r^2 l(l+1) \Lambda} \left[2r^4 (\Lambda + 2\Delta) q_1 - 2r^5 ((\Delta - 2) q_1)' + \sqrt{l(l+1)} g^2 \xi A \right]. \quad (5.57)$$

This completes the solution of the first order constraints. The last step of the computation is to insert the expressions for $q_2^{(1)}$, $\mathbf{y}_e^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)}$ into the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ in equation (5.3). The result will be a function of the true degrees of freedom (q_1, p_1) and (A, Π_A) . Using Mathematica for the computation we obtain a result which is still not in a tractable form. It would be desirable to also write it in terms of potentials similarly to the odd parity sector.

To achieve this goal we apply two additional canonical transformations. The first transformation is scaling the variable q_1 and shifting the variable p_1 by contributions proportional to degrees of freedom of the electromagnetic field (A, Π_A) . The goal of this shift of p_1 is to remove the coupling between the momentum p_1 and the electromagnetic field degrees of freedom (A, Π_A) . The transformation is given by

$$p_1 = \sqrt{\frac{(l+2)(l-1)}{l(l+1)}} \frac{r(\Delta-2)}{\Lambda} \left(P + \frac{\xi}{r\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}} \Pi_A + \Gamma A \right) \quad (5.58)$$

$$q_1 = \sqrt{\frac{l(l+1)}{(l+2)(l-1)}} \frac{\Lambda}{r(\Delta-2)} Q \quad (5.59)$$

$$A = \tilde{A} - \frac{\xi}{r\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}} Q \quad (5.60)$$

$$\Pi_A = \tilde{\Pi}_A + \Gamma Q \quad (5.61)$$

where we define the function Γ as

$$\Gamma = \frac{g^2 \xi}{8\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} r \Lambda (\Delta-2) \pi_\mu^{(0)}} \left(r^{-8} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (16r^9 \Lambda (1-\Delta)) - 8l(l+1) (2\Delta^2 - 11\Delta + 9) \right. \\ \left. + 16\Lambda l(l+1)(1-\Delta) + 16(-4\Delta^2 + \Delta + 3) \right). \quad (5.62)$$

With the help of Mathematica we verify that this transformation successfully scales the solution such that P^2 and $(Q')^2$ appear both with a factor of $1/2$ in the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. As mentioned before all the coupling between the electromagnetic and the gravitational field are removed except for a term of the form QA .

In the solution we still have couplings between position and momenta of the form $q_1 p_1$ and $\tilde{A} \tilde{\Pi}_A$. The next transformation is removing these terms using shifts of the momentum variables $\tilde{\Pi}_A, \tilde{P}$. We define the new quantities Q^e, P^e and A^e, Π_A^e as

$$Q = Q^e \quad (5.63)$$

$$P = P^e + A_{\text{grav}} Q^e \quad (5.64)$$

$$\tilde{\Pi}_A = g^2 \Pi_A^e + A_{\text{em}} \frac{1}{g^2} A^e \quad (5.65)$$

$$\tilde{A} = \frac{1}{g^2} A^e \quad (5.66)$$

In the transformation we introduced two functions, A_{em} and A_{grav} . The first is defined as

$$A_{\text{em}} = -\frac{g^4 \xi^2 \pi_\mu^{(0)}}{8r^4 \Lambda}, \quad (5.67)$$

The second one is more complicated and given by

$$A_{\text{grav}} = \frac{1}{2(l-1)(l+2)r^4 \Lambda (\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3 (\Delta-2)^2} \left[64(l+2)^2(l-1)^2(3+l(l+1)(12+l(l+1)))r^8 r_s^2 + g^8 \xi^8 (-218 + 85l(l+1))rr_s \right. \\ - 5g^{10} \xi^{10} (l+2)(l-1) + 32(l-1)(l+2)r^7 r_s (4(1+l(l+1))(18+5l(l+1))r_s^2 - g^2 \xi^2 (l-1)(l+2)(3+l(l+1)(12+l(l+1)))) \\ + 2g^4 \xi^4 r^3 r_s (4(-934 + 235l(l+1))r_s^2 - g^2 \xi^2 (252 + 5l(l+1)(24+17l(l+1)))) + 2g^6 \xi^6 r^2 ((914 - 285l(l+1))r_s^2 \\ + 2g^2 \xi^2 (8 + 3l(l+1)(2+l(l+1)))) + 8r^5 r_s (48(-31 + 5l(l+1))r_s^4 - 8g^2 \xi^2 (113 + l(l+1)(7 + 32l(l+1)))r_s^2 \\ + g^4 \xi^4 (l+2)(l-1)(82 + 17l(l+1)(6+l(l+1)))) + 4r^6 (48(35 + l(l+1)(-1+3l)(4+3l))r_s^4 \\ - 8g^2 \xi^2 (l-1)(l+2)(1+l(l+1))(69 + 16l(l+1))r_s^2 + g^4 \xi^4 (l+2)^2(l-1)^2(4+l(l+1)(12+l(l+1)))) \\ + 4g^2 \xi^2 r^4 (-8(-469 + 95l(l+1))r_s^4 + g^2 \xi^2 (724 + l(l+1)(184 + 223l(l+1)))r_s^2 \\ \left. - g^4 \xi^4 (l+2)(l-1)(16+l(l+1)(20+3l(l+1)))) \right]. \quad (5.68)$$

Mathematica provides us with the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. We start with the integral in equation (5.3). Then, we insert the solution of the first order constraints and insert all the canonical transformations explained above. The last simplifying step is an integration by parts. The boundary term is recorded in appendix A. For now we ignore this term and present the final expression. The Hamiltonian depends on three potentials; one for the gravitational field V_{grav}^e , one for the electromagnetic field V_{em}^e and one for the coupling term V_{coup}^e .

The potentials are defined analogously to the ones in the odd parity sector:

$$V_{\text{coup}}^e := \frac{g\xi}{r^3} W^e \quad (5.69)$$

$$V_{\text{grav}}^e := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U^e - \frac{3r_s}{2r} W^e \right) \quad (5.70)$$

$$V_{\text{em}}^e := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U^e + \frac{3r_s}{2r} W^e \right). \quad (5.71)$$

The difference is in the definition of the functions W^e and U^e . In the even parity case they are more complicated and defined as

$$W^e := \frac{\Delta}{\Lambda^2} \left(2n + \frac{3r_s}{2r} \right) + \frac{1}{\Lambda} \left(n + \frac{r_s}{2r} \right) \quad (5.72)$$

$$U^e := \left(2n + \frac{3r_s}{2r} \right) W^e + \left(\Lambda - n - \frac{r_s}{2r} \right) - \frac{2n\Delta}{\Lambda} \quad (5.73)$$

In terms of these definitions the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ reads

$$\frac{r}{\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l \geq 2, \text{even}} = \int dr \left[\frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu (P^e (Q^e)' + \Pi A') + \frac{1}{2} ((P^e)^2 + (Q^e')^2 + V_{\text{grav}}^e (Q^e)^2) \right. \quad (5.74)$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{2} \left(g^2 \Pi^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} (A')^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} V_{\text{em}}^e A^2 \right) + \frac{\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}}{g} V_{\text{coup}}^e A Q^e \right] \quad (5.75)$$

We now consider the boundary term in appendix A. For the analysis we use the asymptotic expansion found in equations (4.7) and (4.12). They imply the following asymptotic behaviour of the variables defined in this section:

$$q_1 \sim q_1^0 r^{-1} \quad p_1 \sim p_1^0 \quad q_2 \sim q_2^0 r \quad A \sim A_0 r \quad A^e \sim A_0^e r \quad Q^e \sim Q_0^e. \quad (5.76)$$

The quantities with sub-/superscript 0 are radial constants but are allowed to vary with respect to l, m . Using these definitions the boundary term of $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ behaves as

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (p_1^0)^2 + \frac{3}{2} (q_1^0)^2 + \frac{(l^2 + l + 2)}{(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)} (q_1^0)^2 + 2q_1^0 q_2^0 - \frac{3(l^2 + l + 2)}{2} q_1^0 q_2^0 + \frac{1}{2} (q_2^0)^2 \right. \\ & - (l^2 + l + 2) (q_2^0)^2 + \frac{1}{8} (3l^4 + 6l^3 + 13l^2 + 10l + 16) (q_2^0)^2 + \frac{g^2 (l^2 + l + 2) \xi}{\sqrt{l(l+1)(l+2)(l-1)}} A_0 q_1^0 \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \xi \sqrt{l(l+1)} q_2^0 A_0 - \frac{1}{2} (Q_0^e)^2 + \frac{g^4 \xi^2}{2(l+2)(l-1)} (A_0^e)^2 + 2 \frac{g^2 \xi}{2\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}} A_0^e Q_0^e \right) + O(r^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.77)$$

We observe that the leading contributions vanish like $r^{-1/2}$ in the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$. This shows that the boundary term is not relevant and we are allowed to drop it in the calculation.

In the rest of this series of papers we are interested in working in the Gullstrand-Painlevé gauge. In this gauge we require $\mathbf{x}^v = \mathbf{x}^h = \mathbf{x}^e = 0$ and have $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}^e$ and $\mathbf{X}_M^e, \mathbf{Y}_M^e$ as the true degrees of freedom. In the following we show that it is possible to work in this gauge as well. As it turns out we have the same solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ but now Q^e, P^e will be functions of \mathbf{X}^e and \mathbf{Y}^e instead.

As shown in paper [3] we have to first establish weak gauge invariance of the physical Hamiltonian. This is equivalent to showing that the gauge variant contributions to $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ are a boundary term which vanishes in the limit r to infinity. In this paper we will take a slight variation of this approach which is based on the fact that in both gauges we have $\mathbf{x}^e = 0$. Since in the end we set $\mathbf{x}^e = 0$ anyways we only have to worry about the contributions due to \mathbf{X}^e and p_2 .

The solution of the first order constraints leaving \mathbf{X}^e and p_2 unfixed is

$$q_2^{(1)} = \frac{1}{2l(l+1)\Lambda} \left(\sqrt{2(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)} \mathbf{X}^e + 2((l^2 + l + 2)r^2 - 3rr_s + g^2\xi^2)q_1 \right. \\ \left. + r(4r^2 + 4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)q_1' + 2\sqrt{l(l+1)}g^2\xi A + r^2\pi_\mu^{(0)}p_2 \right) \quad (5.78)$$

$$\mathbf{y}_e^{(1)} = \frac{\sqrt{l(l+1)}\pi_\mu^{(0)}((l^2 + l + 6)r^2 + 3rr_s - g^2\xi^2)}{2r^3(4r^2 + 4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)}q_2^{(1)} + \frac{4\sqrt{l(l+1)}r}{4r^2 + 4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}p_1 + \frac{2(g^2\xi^2 - 6rr_s)}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}r\pi_\mu^{(0)}}q_1 \quad (5.79)$$

$$\mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)} = -\frac{2r}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}}p_2 \\ = \frac{(2r_s(-g^2\xi^2 + 2(l^2 + l + 5)r^2 + 4rr_s) - g^2(l^2 + l + 2)\xi^2r)}{r^3\pi_\mu^{(0)}(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)}\mathbf{X}^e \\ - \frac{8}{2\sqrt{2(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)}r^2(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)} \left[g^6(l^2 + l - 6)\xi^6 \right. \\ \left. - 2g^4l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10)\xi^4r^2 + 4rr_s(22 - 3l(l+1))g^4\xi^4 \right. \\ \left. + 16(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 2)r^3r_sg^2\xi^2 \right. \\ \left. + 16r^2r_s^2(g^2(3l(l+1) - 25)\xi^2 - 2(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 3)r^2 - 4(l^2 + l - 9)rr_s) \right] q_1 \\ + \frac{2\sqrt{2l(l+1)}\Lambda}{\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)}p_1 + \frac{8(l^2 + l + 3)rr_s - 2(l^2 + l + 2)g^2\xi^2}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)\pi_\mu^{(0)}}g^2\xi A \\ - \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2}\Pi_A + \frac{4(2g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 6)r^2 - 9rr_s)}{\sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)}p_2 \quad (5.80)$$

The solutions are then used to define the observable map O which projects the variables A, Π_A and q_1, p_1 onto gauge invariant functions. The first order solutions $q_2^{(1)}, \mathbf{y}_e^{(1)}, \mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)}$ are by definition of first order in the perturbations. Hence, the infinite series in the observable map terminates after the linear order. We define for any function F :

$$O_F = F + \int d\tilde{r} \left[p_2(\tilde{r})\{q_2(\tilde{r}) - q_2^{(1)}(\tilde{r}), F\} + \mathbf{x}^e(\tilde{r})\{\mathbf{y}_e(\tilde{r}) - \mathbf{y}_e^{(1)}(\tilde{r}), F(r)\} + \mathbf{X}^e(\tilde{r})\{\mathbf{Y}_e(\tilde{r}) - \mathbf{Y}_e^{(1)}(\tilde{r}), F\} \right]. \quad (5.81)$$

The gauge invariant extensions of A, Π_A and q_1, p_1 are

$$O_A = A - \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2}\mathbf{X}^e \quad (5.82)$$

$$O_{\Pi_A} = \Pi_A + \frac{8(l^2 + l + 3)rr_s - 2(l^2 + l + 2)g^2\xi^2}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)\pi_\mu^{(0)}}g^2\xi\mathbf{X}^e + \frac{g^2\xi}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}\Lambda}p_2 \quad (5.83)$$

$$O_{q_1} = q_1 + \sqrt{\frac{2l(l+1)}{(l+2)(l-1)}}\frac{2\Lambda}{(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)}\mathbf{X}^e \quad (5.84)$$

$$O_{p_1} = p_1 - \frac{8}{2\sqrt{2(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)}r^2(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)} \left[g^6(l^2 + l - 6)\xi^6 \right. \\ \left. - 2g^4l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10)\xi^4r^2 + 4rr_s(22 - 3l(l+1))g^4\xi^4 \right. \\ \left. + 16(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 2)r^3r_sg^2\xi^2 \right] q_1 \quad (5.85)$$

$$+ 16r^2 r_s^2 \left(g^2(3l(l+1) - 25)\xi^2 - 2(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 3)r^2 - 4(l^2 + l - 9)rr_s \right) \Big] \mathbf{X}^e \\ + \partial_r \left(\frac{r(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)}{2l(l+1)\Lambda} p_2 \right) - \left(\frac{2r^2}{l(l+1)} + \frac{2r^2}{\Lambda} \right) p_2.$$

We are now fully prepared to investigate the gauge-variant contributions to $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$. In formula (5.3) we replace A, Π_A as well as q_1, p_1 by their gauge invariant extensions O_A, O_{π_A} and O_{q_1}, O_{p_1} . The variables $q_2, \mathbf{y}_e, \mathbf{Y}_e$ are replaced by the solutions of the first order constraints. We work in the common gauge $\mathbf{x}^e = 0$. The result is of the form

$$\frac{r}{\pi\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} = \int I(O_{q_1}, O_{p_1}, O_A, O_{\Pi_A}) dr + A_1 + A_2 + A_3, \quad (5.86)$$

where $I(O_{q_1}, O_{p_1}, O_A, O_{\Pi_A})$ is a gauge invariant integrand. A_1, A_2 and A_3 are gauge variant boundary terms and involve \mathbf{X}^e, p_2 and q_2 . The dependence on q_2 of A_1 is due to the fact that we simplified the integral for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ before inserting the solution for q_2 . The A_i are shown explicitly in appendix A. The asymptotics of the boundary term is determined through the asymptotic behaviour of the canonical variables. The leading contributions based on equations (4.7) and (4.12) are

$$q_1 \sim q_1^0 r^{-1}, \quad p_1 \sim p_1^0, \quad O_{q_1} \sim \bar{q}_1^0 r^{-1}, \quad O_{p_1} \sim \bar{p}_1^0, \quad q_2 \sim q_2^0 r, \quad p_2 \sim p_2^0 r^{-2}, \\ \mathbf{X}^e \sim \mathbf{X}_0^e r, \quad A \sim A_0 r, \quad O_A \sim \bar{A}_0 r. \quad (5.87)$$

As before the quantities with sub-/superscript 0 on the right-hand side are independent of r . In this notation the leading order contribution to the boundary term is

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 A_i = \frac{1}{r} \left[\frac{3l^4 + 6l^3 - 5l^2 - 8l + 8}{16} (\mathbf{X}_0^e)^2 - \frac{3}{4} \sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)} \mathbf{X}_0^e \bar{q}_1^0 - \frac{g^2 \xi l(l+1)}{2\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}} \bar{A}_0 \right. \\ \left. + \frac{2}{(l+2)(l-1)} \bar{p}_1^0 p_2^0 - \frac{4}{l(l+1)(l-1)^2(l+2)^2} (p_2^0)^2 + q_2^0 \left(\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)} \mathbf{X}_0^e \right. \right. \\ \left. \left. - \frac{1}{8} (3l(l+1) + 2)(l(l+1)q_2^0 - 4q_1^0) - \frac{1}{2} q_2^0 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{l(l+1)} g^2 \xi A_0 \right) \right] + O(r^{-3/2}) \quad (5.88)$$

This vanishes as r^{-1} in the limit r to infinity and it is justified to simply express Q^e, P^e, A^e, Π_A^e in terms of $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}^e, A, \Pi_A$. The computation will then yield the same solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ as before.

We start with the electromagnetic variables. In the analysis of this chapter we defined A^e, Π_A^e in terms of the gauge invariants O_A, O_{Π_A} using a canonical transformation. To relate to the new gauge we use the explicit formula of the observable map and obtain

$$O_A = A - \frac{\xi}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2} \mathbf{X}^e \quad (5.89)$$

$$O_{\Pi_A} = \Pi_A + \frac{8(l^2 + l + 3)rr_s - 2(l^2 + l + 2)g^2\xi^2}{\sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)}r^2(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)\pi_\mu^{(0)}} g^2\xi \mathbf{X}^e + \frac{g^2\xi}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}\Lambda} p_2, \quad (5.90)$$

where

$$p_2 = \mathbf{y}_h + B\mathbf{y}_v - \partial_r(C\mathbf{y}_v). \quad (5.91)$$

If we express $\mathbf{y}_h, \mathbf{y}_v$ in terms of $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}_e, A, \Pi_A$ we completed the relation of the electromagnetic variables.

For the gravitational perturbations we need to relate Q^e, P^e to $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}_e, A, \Pi_A$. We already have the relation of Q^e, P^e to O_{q_1} and O_{p_1} from the canonical transformation of the main analysis of this chapter. Using the observable map we found

$$O_{q_1} = D\mathbf{y}_v + \sqrt{\frac{2l(l+1)}{(l+2)(l-1)}} \frac{2\Lambda}{4r^2 + 4rr_s - g^2\xi^2} \mathbf{X}^e \quad (5.92)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
O_{p_1} = p_1 - \frac{8}{2\sqrt{2(l+2)(l+1)l(l-1)}r^2(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)} & \left[g^6(l^2 + l - 6)\xi^6 \right. \\
& - 2g^4l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10)\xi^4r^2 + 4rr_s(22 - 3l(l+1))g^4\xi^4 \\
& + 16(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 2)r^3r_sg^2\xi^2 \\
& \left. + 16r^2r_s^2(g^2(3l(l+1) - 25)\xi^2 - 2(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 3)r^2 - 4(l^2 + l - 9)rr_s) \right] \mathbf{X}^e \\
& + \partial_r \left(\frac{r(g^2\xi^2 - 4r^2 - 4rr_s)}{2l(l+1)\Lambda} p_2 \right) - \left(\frac{2r^2}{l(l+1)} + \frac{2r^2}{\Lambda} \right) p_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.93}$$

where p_2 is given by equation (5.91).

The last step is to determine the formulas for expressing \mathbf{y}_v and \mathbf{y}_h in terms of $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}_e, A, \Pi_A$. Let us start with the solution of $Z^v = 0$ for \mathbf{y}_h we have

$$\mathbf{y}_h = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(1 - \frac{\pi_\lambda}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \right) \mathbf{y}_v - \frac{\sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}}{r^2\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \mathbf{X}^e - \frac{2g^2\xi}{r^2\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \sqrt{l(l+1)} A \tag{5.94}$$

The solution of $Z^h = 0$ for \mathbf{y}_e is

$$\mathbf{y}_e = \frac{1}{\sqrt{l(l+1)}} (-2r\mathbf{y}_h + 2\partial_r\mathbf{y}_v) \tag{5.95}$$

In this equation we have to replace \mathbf{y}_h by its solution. The last constraint Z^e gives a differential equation for \mathbf{y}_v :

$$\partial_r(r^2\mathbf{y}_e) - \sqrt{l(l+1)}r^2\mathbf{y}_h - \xi\partial_r\mathbf{X}_M^e + \sqrt{2(l+2)(l-1)} \left(r^2\mathbf{Y}_e + \frac{\pi_\lambda^{(0)}}{4r^2} \mathbf{X}^e \right) = 0, \tag{5.96}$$

where we have to replace \mathbf{y}_e and \mathbf{y}_h by the above equations. Performing the computation and inserting the explicit formulas for $\pi_\mu^{(0)}$ and $\pi_\lambda^{(0)}$ we obtain

$$2r^2\partial_r^2\mathbf{y}_v + \frac{2r(6rr_s - g^2\xi^2)}{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2} + \frac{8(l+2)(l-1)r^2r_s^2 - 2(-4 + 3l(l+1))rr_sg^2\xi^2 + (l+2)(l-1)g^4\xi^4}{(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^2} = s(r), \tag{5.97}$$

with a “source” term $s(r)$ depending on the variables A, Π_A and $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}_e$.

$$\begin{aligned}
s(r) = & -\frac{2\sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}r}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} \mathbf{X}^{e'} + \sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}r^2\mathbf{Y}_e \\
& + \frac{4\sqrt{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}(- (l^2 + l - 2)g^2\xi^2r - 2g^2\xi^2r_s + 4(l^2 + l - 1)r^2r_s + 8rr_s^2)}{r(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3} \mathbf{X}^e \\
& + \sqrt{l(l+1)}\xi\Pi_A - \frac{4\sqrt{l(l+1)}rg^2\xi}{\pi_\mu^{(0)}} A' - \frac{8\sqrt{l(l+1)}g^2\xi((l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2 - 4(l^2 + l - 1)rr_s)}{(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3} A
\end{aligned} \tag{5.98}$$

The differential equation is linear and of second order with with an inhomogeneity given by $s(r)$. The solution of this differential equation consists of the sum of a general solution of the homogeneous equation (which is a linear function of r) and a particular solution of the inhomogeneous equation. A particular solution to this differential equation can be found simply by quadrature. Without writing the solution explicitly, we have succeeded in expressing the quantities Q^e, P^e and A^e, Π_A^e in terms of $\mathbf{X}^e, \mathbf{Y}_e$ and A, Π_A . This shows that the result will be the same in both gauges provided we perform the appropriate canonical transformations.

5.5 Decoupling of the equations

For the even and odd parity sector we reduced the physical Hamiltonian to two coupled scalar field Hamiltonians. The potentials are given by $V_{\text{grav}}^{(e/o)}$, $V_{\text{em}}^{(e/o)}$ and $V_{\text{coup}}^{(e/o)}$. In the following we decouple the oscillators through a “rotation” of the canonical variables.

The analysis is completely analogous for both sectors and we drop the labels e, o in this section. We propose the following canonical transformation

$$\begin{pmatrix} Q^{o/e} \\ A^{e/o} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & \frac{1}{g} \sin \theta \\ -g \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} Q_1^{e/o} \\ Q_2^{e/o} \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} P^{e/o} \\ \Pi_A^{e/o} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \theta & g \sin \theta \\ -\frac{1}{g} \sin \theta & \cos \theta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} P_1^{e/o} \\ P_2^{e/o} \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.99)$$

The parameter θ of the transformation is assumed to be a constant depending only on the constants l , r_s , g and ξ . This transformation gives for the solution of $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ for one of the sectors even or odd:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{r}{\pi \pi_\mu^{(0)}} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \Big|_{l \geq 2} &= \int dr \frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu^{(0)} (P_1 Q'_1 + P_2 Q'_2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \left(P_1^2 + g^2 P_2^2 + (Q'_1)^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} (Q'_2)^2 + V_1 Q_1^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} V_2 Q_2^2 + \frac{2}{g} \sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} V_{12} Q_1 Q_2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.100)$$

with the potentials

$$V_1 := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U - \left(\frac{3r_s}{2r} \cos(2\theta) + \sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} \frac{g\xi}{r} \sin(2\theta) \right) W \right) \quad (5.101)$$

$$V_2 := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U + \left(\frac{3r_s}{2r} \cos(2\theta) + \sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} \frac{g\xi}{r} \sin(2\theta) \right) W \right) \quad (5.102)$$

$$V_{12} := \frac{1}{r^2} \left(\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)} \frac{g\xi}{r} \cos(2\theta) - \frac{3r_s}{2r} \sin(2\theta) \right) W \quad (5.103)$$

The coupling term V_{12} vanishes provided that

$$\cos(2\theta)^2 = \frac{9r_s^2}{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2}, \quad (5.104)$$

$$\sin(2\theta)^2 = \frac{4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2}{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2}. \quad (5.105)$$

For taking the square root we need to be careful with the signs. We require that the transformation reduces to the identity when the electric charge vanishes, i.e. when $\xi = 0$. This can be achieved by using the positive sign for the cosine. The coupling term then only vanishes if we also choose the positive sign for the sine. Thus, θ is implicitly given by the relations

$$\cos(2\theta) = \frac{3r_s}{\sqrt{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2}}, \quad (5.106)$$

$$\sin(2\theta) = \frac{2\sqrt{(l+2)(l-1)}g\xi}{\sqrt{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2}}. \quad (5.107)$$

In summary, we decoupled the scalar fields in the solution for $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ in the even and odd parity sector into two independent ones. The potentials for the oscillators are specified by the value of θ implicitly defined in the above equations. The value of θ simplifies the potentials V_1 and V_2 to

$$V_1 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U - \frac{1}{2r} \sqrt{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2} W \right), \quad (5.108)$$

$$V_2 = \frac{1}{r^2} \left(U + \frac{1}{2r} \sqrt{9r_s^2 + 4(l+2)(l-1)g^2\xi^2} W \right). \quad (5.109)$$

We now have four sets of decoupled scalar fields with potentials $V_1^{e/o}$ and $V_2^{e/o}$.

5.6 Reduced Hamiltonian

The expression for the reduced Hamiltonian is an explicit expression in terms of gravitational variables only. It therefore depends only implicitly on the matter content of the theory through the solution of the constraints (which depend on the matter content) for the gravitational variables. Therefore we can immediately use the results of [2, 3] and just have to use the matter modified solutions of the constraints. We find to second order

$$H = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi C}{\kappa r} \pi_\mu^2 = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\pi}{2\kappa r} \left((\pi_\mu^{(0)})^2 + 2\pi_\mu^{(0)} \pi_\mu^{(2)} \right) \quad (5.110)$$

$$= M + \frac{1}{\kappa} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} dr \frac{1}{4r} \pi_\mu^{(0)(2)} C_h + {}^{(2)}C_v. \quad (5.111)$$

Writing out the contributions explicitly we have

$$H = M + H_{l=1} + \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_{l \geq 2, m, I} \int_{\mathbb{R}^+} dr N^3 P_{lm}^I \partial_r Q_{lm}^I + \frac{N}{2} ((P_{lm}^I)^2 + (\partial_r Q_{lm}^I)^2 + V_I (Q_{lm}^I)^2), \quad (5.112)$$

where $H_{l=1}$ are the contributions due to the dipole perturbations. We restored the labels l and m . I stands for the labels even and odd as well as 1 and 2 from the previous chapter. The potentials V_I are the decoupled potentials and $N = 1$, $N^3 = 4\pi_\mu^{(0)}/r$ are the non-vanishing background lapse and shift functions. The dipole part of the physical Hamiltonian is

$$\begin{aligned} H_{l=1} = & \frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_m \int dr \left[N^3 \mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M \mathbf{X}_{o,1m}^M{}' + N \left(\frac{g^2}{2} (\mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M)^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \left((\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m'})^2 + \frac{2+g^2\xi^2 r^{-2}}{r^2} (\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m})^2 \right) \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{a_m^2 + 2\xi a_m \mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m}}{2r^4} \right) \right] \\ & \sum_m \int dr \left[N^3 \Pi_A^{e,1m} A^{e,1m'} + N \left(\frac{1}{2} (\mathbf{y}_e^{1m})^2 - \frac{2\sqrt{2}\xi}{r^2 \pi_\mu} A^{e,1m} \mathbf{y}_v^{1m} + \frac{g^2}{2} (\Pi_A^{e,1m})^2 \right. \right. \\ & \left. \left. + \frac{1}{2g^2 r^2} (2(A^{e,1m})^2 + r^2 (A^{e,1m'})^2) \right) \right] \end{aligned} \quad (5.113)$$

The first integral is from the odd parity and the second integral from the even parity contributions. We start with the interpretation of the odd parity sector and set the integration constant $a_m = 0$. We obtain the following integral

$$\frac{1}{\kappa} \sum_m \int dr \left[N^3 \mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M \mathbf{X}_{o,1m}^M{}' + N \left(\frac{g^2}{2} (\mathbf{Y}_{o,1m}^M)^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2} \left((\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m'})^2 + \frac{2+g^2\xi^2 r^{-2}}{r^2} (\mathbf{X}_M^{o,1m})^2 \right) \right) \right]. \quad (5.114)$$

This is the same shape as the other Hamiltonians with $l \geq 2$. The potential is given by

$$V_{l=1}^o = \frac{2r^2 + g^2\xi^2}{r^4}, \quad (5.115)$$

which is just the evaluation for $l = 1$ of V_{em}^o .

For the even parity we restrict to the uncharged black hole case. Then, the particular solution of \mathbf{y}_v is absent. If furthermore the homogeneous solution is ignored we can remove \mathbf{y}_v and \mathbf{y}_e in the integral and obtain

$$\sum_m \int dr \left[N^3 \Pi_A^{e,1m} A^{e,1m'} + N \left(\frac{g^2}{2} (\Pi_A^{e,1m})^2 + \frac{1}{2g^2 r^2} (2(A^{e,1m})^2 + r^2 (A^{e,1m'})^2) \right) \right],$$

The potential in this part of the Hamiltonian is

$$V_{l=1}^e \Big|_{\xi=0} = \frac{2}{r^2}. \quad (5.116)$$

It is also obtained by considering V_{lm}^e for the case $\xi = 0$ and $l = 1$.

6 Relation to Lagrangian Formalism:

For a check of consistency we compare our result in the Hamiltonian framework with the Lagrangian formulation discussed in [10]. The Hamiltonian equations of motion need to match the perturbed Lagrangian equations of motion. The part of the physical Hamiltonian which depends on the perturbations is of the form

$$\int dr N^3 P Q' + \frac{N}{2} (P^2 + (Q')^2 + V Q^2). \quad (6.1)$$

In the pure gravity case, we proved that the equations of motion are a wave equation

$$\square Q = V Q, \quad (6.2)$$

where $\square = g^{ab} D_a D_b$ is the Laplace operator for the (τ, r) part of the metric in Gullstrand-Painlevé coordinates. V is the relevant potential of the Hamiltonian formulation.

In [10] Chandrasekhar derives the wave equation for the odd and even parity perturbations. He works in the diagonal Schwarzschild coordinates. In order to match the equations of motion we found, we have to perform a change of coordinates. We are in the fortunate situation that the wave equation in (6.2) is written in a covariant form using the Laplace operator \square . A change of the coordinate system is straight forward simply by expressing the Laplace operator in the correct coordinates. In the Schwarzschild coordinates the metric takes the form $g = \text{diag}(-\Delta, \Delta^{-1})$ where Δ is the function of r_s and ξ defined in the section before. Thus, the wave operator \square in Schwarzschild coordinates is given by

$$\square Q = -\Delta^{-1} \partial_t^2 Q + \partial_r (\Delta \partial_r Q) = \Delta^{-1} (-\partial_t^2 + \partial_{r^*}^2) Q, \quad (6.3)$$

using the tortoise coordinate defined by $\Delta \partial_r = \partial_{r^*}$. The wave equation becomes

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_{r^*}^2) Q = \Delta V Q. \quad (6.4)$$

In his analysis Chandrasekhar finds the wave equations

$$(-\partial_t^2 + \partial_{r^*}^2) Z_i^{(\pm)} = V_i^{(\pm)} Z_i^{(\pm)}. \quad (6.5)$$

In the equation $Z_i^{(\pm)}$ is the master variable for the odd $(-)$ and even $(+)$ parity perturbations. $i = 1, 2$ labels to the two independent master functions. $V_i^{(\pm)}$ are the corresponding potentials.

For the odd parity perturbations Chandrasekhar finds

$$V_i^- = \Delta \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - \frac{q_j}{r^3} \left(1 + \frac{q_i}{(l-1)(l+2)r} \right) \right] \quad (i, j = 1, 2, i \neq j), \quad (6.6)$$

with $q_1 + q_2 = 3r_s$ and $-q_1 q_2 = (l+2)(l-1)g^2 \xi^2$. Plugging the expressions for q_1 and q_2 we find agreement with our odd parity potential V^o .

The even parity potentials are given by

$$V_1^+ = \frac{\Delta}{r^2} \left[U + \frac{1}{2} (q_1 - q_2) W \right] \quad (6.7)$$

$$V_2^+ = \frac{\Delta}{r^2} \left[U - \frac{1}{2} (q_1 - q_2) W \right], \quad (6.8)$$

where q_1 and q_2 are defined as in the odd parity case. Inserting q_1 and q_2 , we match the result of our calculations. This shows that we recover the known equations in the Hamiltonian formulation.

7 Conclusion

This paper extended the analysis of [3] to include first of all Maxwell matter because electromagnetic radiation is one of the most important astrophysical messengers next to neutrinos and gravitational waves. Our formalism delivers the reduced phase space and reduced Hamiltonian perturbatively. At second order the Hamiltonian decouples and splits into effectively four decoupled free scalar field theories with different potentials (i.e. position dependent mass terms). Our results match the results obtained previously in the literature.

The analysis was performed in the Gullstrand-Painlevé gauge. In the companion paper [11] we show how to extend the analysis to generalised gauges at second order. In the future we will extend the formalism to include the other matter species of the standard model, higher orders in the perturbations and most importantly quantum effects.

A Boundary terms of the even parity computations

The boundary for the calculation of the even parity solution of $\pi_\mu^{(2)}$ is given by the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{-3g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 12rr_s}{8r^3}(q'_2)^2 - \frac{(l^2 + l + 2)r - r_s}{r^3}q'_2q_2 + \left(-\frac{g^2\xi^2}{2r^2} + \frac{2r_s}{r} + 2\right)q_1q'_2 - \frac{3\sqrt{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}}{2r^2}p_1q'_2 \\
& - \frac{((l^2 + l + 2)r - r_s)(g^2\xi^2 + 12r^2 - 4rr_s)}{2r^2(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)}q_1q_2 - \frac{g^2\sqrt{l(l+1)}\xi}{2r^3}q_1\tilde{A} \\
& + \frac{2((l^2 + l + 2)r - r_s)\sqrt{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}(-g^2\xi^2 + 12r^2 + 4rr_s)}{r^2(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)^2}p_1q_2 \\
& + \frac{\sqrt{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + 3r))}{r(4r(r_s + r) - g^2\xi^2)}p_1q_1 + \frac{g^2\xi(g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l + 2)r^2 - 3rr_s)}{\sqrt{l}\sqrt{l+1}(-g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)}A^eq_1 \\
& + \left(\frac{3}{2r} - \frac{32r^3}{(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2}\right)(p_1)^2 \\
& - \frac{1}{8r^5(-g^2\xi^2 + 4r^2 + 4rr_s)^2}\left[-g^6l(l+1)\xi^6 + g^4(11l^2 + 11l + 2)\xi^4rr_s + 8l(l+1)r^4(2(l^2 + l + 12)r_s^2 - g^2(l^2 + l + 8)\xi^2) \right. \\
& \quad + 8r^3((6l^2 + 6l + 4)r_s^3 - g^2(l^4 + 2l^3 + 14l^2 + 13l + 2)\xi^2r_s) + r^2(g^4l(l^3 + 2l^2 + 15l + 14)\xi^4 - 8g^2(5l^2 + 5l + 2)\xi^2r_s^2) \\
& \quad \left. - 16(3l^4 + 6l^3 + 13l^2 + 10l + 16)r^6 + 16(2l^4 + 4l^3 + 25l^2 + 23l + 18)r^5r_s\right](q_2)^2 \tag{A.1} \\
& + \frac{1}{2l(l+1)r(-g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)^2}\left[-g^6\xi^6 + 2g^4\xi^4r(2l(l+1)r + 5r_s + r) \right. \\
& \quad - g^2\xi^2r^2(2l(l+1)(4l(l+1) - 9) - 2)r^2 + (31l(l+1) + 14)rr_s + 30r_s^2) \\
& \quad \left. + r^3((l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(3l(l+1) - 4) + 4)r^3 + (l-1)(l+2)(29l(l+1) + 10)r^2r_s + 3(19l(l+1) + 10)rr_s^2 + 27r_s^3)\right](q_1)^2 \\
& + \frac{g^6\xi^4 + 4g^4\xi^2r(r - r_s)}{8r^3(-g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)}(A^e)^2 + \frac{g^6\xi^5 - g^4\xi^3r(2(l^2 + l - 4)r + 3r_s) + 4g^2(l^2 + l - 5)\xi r^3r_s}{\sqrt{l^2 + l - 2}r^2(4r(r_s + r) - g^2\xi^2)(-g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)}Q^eA^e \\
& + \frac{1}{8(l-1)(l+2)r^3(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2(-g^2\xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)}\left[g^{10}(6 - 5l(l+1))\xi^{10} \right. \\
& \quad + g^8(85l(l+1) - 174)\xi^8rr_s + 2g^6\xi^6r^2(2g^2(l(l+1)(3l(l+1) + 7) + 6)\xi^2 - 3(95l(l+1) - 278)r_s^2) \\
& \quad + 64(l-1)(l+2)r^8(g^2(l^2 + l - 2)\xi^2 + (l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 25) - 22)r_s^2) \\
& \quad + 32(l-1)(l+2)r^7r_s(4l(l+1)(5l(l+1) + 23)r_s^2 - g^2(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 23) - 38)\xi^2) \\
& \quad + 4g^4(l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 10) - 20) - 56)\xi^4r^6 \\
& \quad - 32g^2(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(16l(l+1) + 55) - 171) - 30)\xi^2r^6r_s^2 + 192(l(l+1)(9l(l+1) - 8) + 43)r_s^4r^6 \\
& \quad + 4g^2\xi^2r^4(g^4(28 - 3l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 5) - 12))\xi^4 + g^2(l(l+1)(223l(l+1) + 156) + 668)\xi^2r_s^2 - 8(95l(l+1) - 469)r_s^4) \\
& \quad + 8r^5r_s(g^4(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(17l(l+1) + 72) - 198) - 84)\xi^4 - 8g^2(l(l+1)(32l(l+1) - 3) + 121)\xi^2r_s^2 + 48(5l(l+1) - 31)r_s^4) \\
& \quad \left. + 2g^4\xi^4r^3r_s(20(47l(l+1) - 182)r_s^2 - g^2(l(l+1)(85l(l+1) + 128) + 204)\xi^2) \right. \\
& \quad \left. - 256(l^2 + l - 2)^2r^9r_s\right](Q^e)^2
\end{aligned}$$

We also analysed the partial gauge invariance of the physical Hamiltonian in the main text. We argued that the gauge variant contributions are equal to a boundary term. With the help of Mathematica we find

three different contributions A_1, A_2, A_3 . The first one has terms proportional to q_2 and its derivatives:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 = & \left(-\frac{3g^2\xi^2}{4r^3} + \frac{3r_s}{r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \right) (q_2')^2 + \frac{r_s - (l^2 + l + 2)r}{r^3} q_2' q_2 + \left(-\frac{g^2\xi^2}{2r^2} + \frac{2r_s}{r} + 2 \right) q_2' q_1 - \frac{3\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{4r^2} q_2' p_1 \\
& - \frac{\sqrt{(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}}{2\sqrt{2}r^3} q_2 \mathbf{X}^e + - \frac{((l^2 + l + 2)r - r_s)(g^2\xi^2 + 12r^2 - 4rr_s)}{8r^3(r_s + r) - 2g^2\xi^2r^2} q_2 q_1 + \frac{\pi_\mu^{(0)}}{4r} q_2 p_2 \\
& + \frac{2((l^2 + l + 2)r - r_s)\sqrt{4rr_s - g^2\xi^2}(4r(r_s + 3r) - g^2\xi^2)}{r^2(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2} q_2 p_1 - \frac{g^2\sqrt{l(l+1)}\xi}{2r^3} q_2 A \\
& + \left(\frac{64r^4(r_s - (l^2 + l + 2)r)^2}{(4r^2 + 4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^2} + \frac{l(l+1)g^2\xi^2 - l(l+1)r((l^2 + l + 6)r + 3r_s) - 2rr_s}{4r^5} \right) (q_2)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

The terms involving \mathbf{X}^e are captured by A_2 and given by

$$\begin{aligned}
A_2 = & -\frac{1}{r^2} (\mathbf{X}^e \mathbf{X}^{e'}) - \frac{g^2 l(l+1)\xi}{2\sqrt{2}(l+2)(l-1)r^3} \mathbf{X}^e O_A + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}\sqrt{(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)}r^3(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2} \left[g^8(l^2 + l - 10)\xi^8 \right. \\
& - 2g^6\xi^6r(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 2)r + 8l(l+1)r_s - 92r_s - 72r) \\
& + 8g^4\xi^4r^2(2(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 19) - 2)r^2 + (3l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 2) - 272)rr_s + (12l(l+1) - 151)r_s^2) \\
& + 32g^2\xi^2r^3(-4(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 19) - 9)r^2r_s - (3l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 2) - 314)rr_s^2 + 3(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)r^3 - 2(4l(l+1) - 53)r_s^3) \\
& \left. + 128r^4r_s((2l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 19) - 27)r^2r_s + (l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 2) - 114)rr_s^2 - 3(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)r^3 + (2l(l+1) - 27)r_s^3) \right] \mathbf{X}^e O_{q_1} \\
& + \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 2)}r^3(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^{3/2}(4r(r_s + r) - g^2\xi^2)^3} \left[-g^{10}(l^2 + l + 18)\xi^{10} + 8g^8(2l(l+1) + 51)\xi^8rr_s \right. \\
& + 256r^7r_s(g^2(2 - l(l+1)(7l(l+1) - 2))\xi^2 + 4l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 28)r_s^2) \\
& + 32r^3(g^6(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 16) - 87)\xi^6r_s + g^4(8l(l+1) + 486)\xi^4r_s^3) \\
& + 2g^6\xi^6r^2(-g^2(l^2 + l - 4)(l^2 + l + 18)\xi^2 - 24(2l(l+1) + 75)r_s^2) \\
& - 32r^6(-g^4l(l+1)(7l(l+1) - 2)\xi^4 + 8g^2(3l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 27) - 4)\xi^2r_s^2 + 16(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 22) - 117)r_s^4) \\
& + 64r^5(g^4(3l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 26) - 10)\xi^4r_s + 4g^2(2l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 20) - 219)\xi^2r_s^3 + 432r_s^5) \\
& - 16r^4(g^6(l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 25) - 6)\xi^6 + 6g^4(2l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 18) - 199)\xi^4r_s^2 + 16g^2(l^2 + l + 129)\xi^2r_s^4) \\
& \left. + 512l(l+1)(7l(l+1) - 2) - 3)r^8r_s^2 \right] \mathbf{X}^e O_{p_1} \\
& + \frac{1}{2(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)r^5(\pi_\mu^{(0)})^3(g^2\xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^4} \left\{ 16r^6(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^3(-5g^4\xi^4 + 8g^2r(3r + 5r_s)\xi^2 + 16r^2(3r^2 - 6r_s r - 5r_s^2))l^8 \right. \\
& + 64r^6(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^3(-5g^4\xi^4 + 8g^2r(3r + 5r_s)\xi^2 + 16r^2(3r^2 - 6r_s r - 5r_s^2))l^7 \\
& + 4r^2(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^3 \left[-2g^8\xi^8 + g^6r(2r + 29r_s)\xi^6 - 4g^4r^2(56r^2 - 5r_s r + 39r_s^2)\xi^4 + 16g^2r^3(r + r_s)(146r^2 - 39r_s r + 23r_s^2)\xi^2 \right. \\
& \left. + 64r^4(4r^4 - 149r_s r^3 - 51r_s^2r^2 + 9r_s^3r - 5r_s^4) \right] l^6 \\
& - 4r^2(4rr_s - g^2\xi^2)^3 \left[6g^8\xi^8 - 3g^6r(2r + 29r_s)\xi^6 + 4g^4r^2(98r^2 - 15r_s r + 117r_s^2)\xi^4 \right. \\
& \left. - 16g^2r^3(354r^3 + 181r_s r^2 - 48r_s^2r + 69r_s^3)\xi^2 + 64r^4(30r^4 + 363r_s r^3 + 83r_s^2r^2 - 27r_s^3r + 15r_s^4) \right] l^5 \\
& + (g^2\xi^2 - 4rr_s)^2 \left[-g^{12}\xi^{12} + 10g^{10}r(3r_s - 2r)\xi^{10} + 4g^8r^2(350r^2 + 81r_s r - 90r_s^2)\xi^8 \right. \\
& - 16g^6r^3(793r^3 + 1513r_s r^2 + 127r_s^2r - 140r_s^3)\xi^6 - 64g^4r^4(64r^4 - 2582r_s r^3 - 2432r_s^2r^2 - 95r_s^3r + 120r_s^4)\xi^4 \\
& - 256g^2r^5(17r^5 - 83r_s r^4 + 2754r_s^2r^3 + 1725r_s^3r^2 + 33r_s^4r - 54r_s^5)\xi^2 \\
& \left. + 1024r^6r_s(17r^5 - 32r_s r^4 + 965r_s^2r^3 + 456r_s^3r^2 + 4r_s^4r - 10r_s^5) \right] l^4 \\
& + 2(g^2\xi^2 - 4rr_s)^2 \left[-g^{12}\xi^{12} + 10g^{10}r(3r_s - 4r)\xi^{10} + 2g^8r^2(710r^2 + 347r_s r - 180r_s^2)\xi^8 \right. \\
& - 8g^6r^3(1726r^3 + 3011r_s r^2 + 594r_s^2r - 280r_s^3)\xi^6 + 32g^4r^4(434r^4 + 5559r_s r^3 + 4759r_s^2r^2 + 500r_s^3r - 240r_s^4)\xi^4 \\
& - 128g^2r^5(98r^5 + 973r_s r^4 + 5878r_s^2r^3 + 3325r_s^3r^2 + 206r_s^4r - 108r_s^5)\xi^2 \\
& \left. + 512r^6r_s(98r^5 + 513r_s r^4 + 2045r_s^2r^3 + 867r_s^3r^2 + 33r_s^4r - 20r_s^5) \right] l^3 \\
& - (g^2\xi^2 - 4rr_s)^2 \left[-7g^{12}\xi^{12} + 2g^{10}r(71r_s - 190r)\xi^{10} + 40g^8r^2(114r^2 + 230r_s r - 25r_s^2)\xi^8 \right. \\
& + 16g^6r^3(356r^3 - 5468r_s r^2 - 5487r_s^2r + 149r_s^3)\xi^6 + 64g^4r^4(56r^4 - 860r_s r^3 + 9501r_s^2r^2 + 6424r_s^3r + 53r_s^4)\xi^4 \\
& - 256g^2r^5(24r^5 + 74r_s r^4 - 679r_s^2r^3 + 7119r_s^3r^2 + 3687r_s^4r + 95r_s^5)\xi^2 \\
& \left. + 1024r^6r_s(24r^5 + 29r_s r^4 - 186r_s^2r^3 + 1946r_s^3r^2 + 830r_s^4r + 29r_s^5) \right] l^2 \\
& - 4(g^2\xi^2 - 4rr_s)^2 \left[-2g^{12}\xi^{12} + g^{10}r(43r_s - 106r)\xi^{10} + 4g^8r^2(374r^2 + 623r_s r - 85r_s^2)\xi^8 \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& -4g^6r^3(520r^3+6972r_sr^2+5818r_s^2r-289r_s^3)\xi^6+16g^4r^4(328r^4+1956r_sr^3+11870r_s^2r^2+6705r_s^3r-67r_s^4)\xi^4 \\
& -64g^2r^5(80r^5+672r_sr^4+2294r_s^2r^3+8769r_s^3r^2+3804r_s^4r+41r_s^5)\xi^2 \\
& +256r^6r_s(80r^5+342r_sr^4+847r_s^2r^3+2375r_s^3r^2+849r_s^4r+19r_s^5)]l \\
& -4(-3g^6\xi^6+44g^4rr_s\xi^4-8g^2r^2r_s(2r+25r_s)\xi^2+48r^3r_s^2(r+6r_s))^2(-3g^4\xi^4+24g^2r(r+r_s)\xi^2+16r^2(r^2-6r_sr-3r_s^2))\}\{\mathbf{X}^e\}^2 \\
& -\frac{1}{r^3}(\mathbf{X}^e)^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{2(l(l+1))^{3/2}(4rr_s-g^2\xi^2)(g^2\xi^2-4r(r_s+r))^2(-g^2\xi^2+(l^2+l-2)r^2+3rr_s)\sqrt{(l^2+l-2)(8rr_s-2g^2\xi^2)}}\Big[-g^{10}(l^2+l+18)\xi^{10} \\
& -2g^8\xi^8r((l^2+l-4)(l^2+l+18)r-4(2l(l+1)+51)r_s) \\
& -16g^6\xi^6r^2((l(l+1)(l^2+l-25)-6)r^2-2(l(l+1)(l^2+l+16)-87)rr_s+3(2l(l+1)+75)r_s^2) \\
& +32g^4\xi^4r^3(2(3l(l+1)(l^2+l-26)-10)r^2r_s-3(2l(l+1)(l^2+l+18)-199)rr_s^2+l(l+1)(7l(l+1)-2)r^3+2(4l(l+1)+243)r_s^3) \\
& -256g^2\xi^2r^4r_s((3l(l+1)(l^2+l-27)-4)r^2r_s-(2l(l+1)(l^2+l+20)-219)rr_s^2+(l^2+l+129)r_s^3+(l(l+1)(7l(l+1)-2)-2)r^3) \\
& +512r^5r_s^2(2l(l+1)(l^2+l-28)r^2r_s-(l(l+1)(l^2+l+22)-117)rr_s^2+(l(l+1)(7l(l+1)-2)-3)r^3+54r_s^3)]\mathbf{X}^ep'_2 \\
& +\frac{\sqrt{(l-1)(l+1)(l+2)}}{2\sqrt{2}(l+1)^2(l^2+l-2)r((l^2+l-2)r^2+3r_sr-g^2\xi^2)^2(l(4rr_s-g^2\xi^2))^{3/2}(4r(r+r_s)-g^2\xi^2)^3}\Big[-3g^{14}(l^2+l+30)\xi^{14} \\
& +2g^{12}(29l(l+1)+1326)rr_s\xi^{12}-g^{10}r^2(g^2(l(l+1)(5l(l+1)+112)-900)\xi^2+4(103l(l+1)+8211)r_s^2)\xi^{10} \\
& +4g^8r^3r_s(8g^2(l(l+1)(4l(l+1)+87)-720)\xi^2+9(29l(l+1)+6172)r_s^2)\xi^8 \\
& +2g^6r^4(-g^4(l-3)(l+4)(l(l+1)(5l(l+1)+76)-28)\xi^4 \\
& -6g^2(l(l+1)(113l(l+1)+2340)-19768)r_s^2\xi^2+16(58l(l+1)-27759)r_s^4)\xi^6 \\
& -1024(l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(5l(l+1)-29)+11)+12)r^{12}r_s^2 \\
& +256r^{11}r_s(g^2(l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(10l(l+1)-57)+22)+16)\xi^2 \\
& +4(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(2l(l+1)+95)-334)+404)-12)r_s^2) \\
& +64r^{10}(-g^4(l-1)l(l+1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(5l(l+1)-28)+12)\xi^4 \\
& -8g^2(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)+134)-423)+547)-6)r_s^2\xi^2 \\
& +16(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2+l-5)(3l(l+1)+52)+579)-1017)r_s^4) \\
& +64r^9(16(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(5l(l+1)-86)-757)+36)r_s^5 \\
& -4g^2(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(12l(l+1)+145)-704)+1153)-3848)\xi^2r_s^3 \\
& +g^4(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(6l(l+1)+251)-688)+956)+80)\xi^4r_s) \\
& +4r^5(g^8(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(15l(l+1)+16)-8548)+4000)r_s\xi^8 \\
& +4g^6(7l(l+1)(68l(l+1)+1315)-79032)r_s^3\xi^6-32g^4(133l(l+1)-16413)r_s^5\xi^4) \\
& -32r^8(g^6(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2+l+39)-88)+132)+48)\xi^6 \\
& -2g^4(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(6l(l+1)+71)-556)-6)-5408)r_s^2\xi^4 \\
& +16g^2(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(5l(l+1)-51)-2578)+906)r_s^4\xi^2+288(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)+41)-375)r_s^6) \\
& +4r^6(g^8(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)+34)+72)-568)-816)\xi^8 \\
& -8g^6(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(40l(l+1)-67)-7549)+3864)r_s^2\xi^6-16g^4(l(l+1)(374l(l+1)+6615)-57687)r_s^4\xi^4 \\
& +1152g^2(8l(l+1)-591)r_s^6\xi^2) \\
& -16r^7(1728(l^2+l-54)r_s^7-48g^2(l(l+1)(52l(l+1)+821)-7298)\xi^2r_s^5 \\
& +4g^4(-l(l+1)(10l(l+1)(7l(l+1)-37)-12829)-6176)\xi^4r_s^3 \\
& +g^6(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(12l(l+1)+139)-40)-1148)-3392)\xi^6r_s)\Big]\mathbf{X}^ep_2
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining terms are the contributions due to p_2 . They are given by A_3 :

$$\begin{aligned}
A_3 &= \frac{r^5(3g^4\xi^4-24g^2\xi^2r(r_s+r)-16r^2(r^2-6rr_s-3r_s^2))}{2l^2(l+1)^2(-g^2\xi^2+(l^2+l-2)r^2+3rr_s)^2}(p'_2)^2 \\
& +\frac{1}{8l^2(l+1)^2r^2(4r(r_s+r)-g^2\xi^2)\Lambda^3}\Big[(-3g^4\xi^4+24g^2\xi^2r(r_s+r)+16r^2(r^2-6rr_s-3r_s^2))\times \\
& \times (-5g^4\xi^4+g^2\xi^2r((l^2+l+10)r+34r_s)+2r^2((l-1)(l+2)(l^2+l-4)r^2-4(l^2+l+1)rr_s-27r_s^2))\Big]p_2p'_2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \frac{r^2 \sqrt{4rr_s - g^2 \xi^2} (g^2 \xi^2 - 4r(r_s + 3r))}{l(l+1) (-g^2 \xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)} p'_2 O_{q1} + \frac{r^2 (3g^4 \xi^4 - 24g^2 \xi^2 r(r_s + r) - 16r^2 (r^2 - 6rr_s - 3r_s^2))}{l(l+1) (4r(r_s + r) - g^2 \xi^2) (-g^2 \xi^2 + (l^2 + l - 2)r^2 + 3rr_s)} p'_2 O_{p1} \\
& + \frac{1}{4l(l+1)r^3 \pi_\mu^{(0)} (4r(r_s + r) - g^2 \xi^2) \Lambda^2} \left[-9g^8 \xi^8 + g^6 \xi^6 r ((5l(l+1) + 142)r + 131r_s) \right. \\
& \quad - 2g^4 \xi^4 r^2 (28(l^2 + l + 4)r^2 + (31l(l+1) + 750)rr_s + 353r_s^2) \\
& \quad - 16g^2 \xi^2 r^3 ((l-1)(l+2)(2l(l+1) - 13)r^3 - (33l(l+1) + 65)r^2 r_s - (16l(l+1) + 321)rr_s^2 - 104r_s^3) \\
& \quad \left. + 32r^4 r_s (-11(l^2 + l + 16)rr_s^2 + (l-1)(l+2)(4l(l+1) - 27)r^3 - (38l(l+1) + 17)r^2 r_s - 45r_s^3) \right] O_{q1 p2} \\
& + \frac{1}{4l(l+1)r^3 (g^2 \xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2 \Lambda^2} \left[(-3g^4 \xi^4 + 24g^2 \xi^2 r(r_s + r) + 16r^2 (r^2 - 6rr_s - 3r_s^2)) \times \right. \\
& \quad \times (-5g^4 \xi^4 + g^2 \xi^2 r ((l^2 + l + 10)r + 34r_s) + 2r^2 ((l-1)(l+2)(l^2 + l - 4)r^2 - 4(l^2 + l + 1)rr_s - 27r_s^2)) \left. \right] O_{p1 p2} \\
& + \frac{1}{32l^2(l+1)^2 r^5 (g^2 \xi^2 - 4r(r_s + r))^2 \Lambda^4} \left[128(l^2 + l - 2)^2 (3l(l+1) - 8)r^{12} \right. \\
& \quad + 64(l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(7l(l+1) - 47) + 154) - 224)r_s r^{11} \\
& \quad + 16 \left(4(2l(l+1) - 7)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l - 31) + 98) - 32)r_s^2 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - g^2(l-1)(l+2)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(7l(l+1) - 48) + 196) - 352)\xi^2 \right) r^{10} \\
& \quad + 16r_s \left(g^2(992 - l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(4l(l+1) - 111) + 540) - 460))\xi^2 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. - 4(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 27) + 57) - 1817) + 2904)r_s^2 \right) r^9 \\
& \quad + 8 \left(g^4(l(l(l(l(l+4) - 15) - 59)l^3 + 103l + 242) + 180) - 744 \right) \xi^4 \\
& \quad \quad + 2g^2(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 24) + 191) - 7778) + 11032)r_s^2 \xi^2 \\
& \quad \quad \left. - 24(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(3l(l+1) + 35) - 803) + 227)r_s^4 \right) r^8 \\
& \quad + 4r_s \left(-g^4(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 21) + 312) - 9716) + 12160)\xi^4 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + 12g^2(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(13l(l+1) + 186) - 3517) - 696)r_s^2 \xi^2 - 432(l^2 + l - 31)(l^2 + l + 6)r_s^4 \right) r^7 \\
& \quad + \left(g^6(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 18) + 420) - 3752) + 4000)\xi^6 \right. \\
& \quad \quad - 4g^4(3l(l+1)(7l(l+1)(3l(l+1) + 49) - 5534) - 13664)r_s^2 \xi^4 \\
& \quad \quad \left. + 48g^2(l(l+1)(51l(l+1) - 1132) - 10858)r_s^4 \xi^2 - 1728(l^2 + l - 81)r_s^6 \right) r^6 \\
& \quad + g^2 \xi^2 r_s \left(g^4(l(l+1)(3l(l+1)(15l(l+1) + 268) - 11212) - 18176)\xi^4 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + 12g^2(27416 - l(l+1)(115l(l+1) - 2213))r_s^2 \xi^2 + 432(7l(l+1) - 570)r_s^4 \right) r^5 \\
& \quad + g^4 \xi^4 \left(-g^4(l^2 + l - 10)(3l(l+1)(l^2 + l + 29) + 182)\xi^4 \right. \\
& \quad \quad \left. + g^2(l(l+1)(387l(l+1) - 6302) - 101256)r_s^2 \xi^2 - 12(183l(l+1) - 14857)r_s^4 \right) r^4 \\
& \quad + g^6 \xi^6 r_s (2g^2(7620 - l(l+1)(27l(l+1) - 362))\xi^2 + 7(121l(l+1) - 9720)r_s^2) r^3 \\
& \quad \left. + g^8 \xi^8 (g^2(l(l+1)(3l(l+1) - 32) - 900)\xi^2 - 3(61l(l+1) - 4816)r_s^2) r^2 + 3g^{10}(7l(l+1) - 540)\xi^{10} r_s r - g^{12}(l^2 + l - 75)\xi^{12} \right] (p_2)^2
\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

References

- [1] T. Thiemann, “Symmetry reduction, gauge reduction, backreaction and consistent higher order perturbation theory,”.
- [2] T. Thiemann, “Quantum field theory of black hole perturbations with backreaction i. general framework,”.
- [3] J. Neuser and T. Thiemann, “Quantum field theory of black hole perturbations with backreaction spherically symmetric 2nd order einstein sector,”.
- [4] The Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration, “First M87 Event Horizon Telescope Results. I. The Shadow of the Sun,” <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.3847/2041-8213/ab0ec7>.
- [5] D. N. Page, “Particle emission rates from a black hole: Massless particles from an uncharged, nonrotating hole,” *Physical Review D* **13**, 198. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.13.198>.
- [6] F. J. Zerilli, “Perturbation analysis for gravitational and electromagnetic radiation in a Reissner-Nordström geometry,” *Physical Review D* **9**, 860. <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.9.860>.
- [7] V. Moncrief, “Odd-parity stability of a Reissner-Nordström black hole,” *Physical Review D* **9** (1974) no. 10, 2707–2709.

- [8] V. Moncrief, “Stability of Reissner-Nordström black holes,” *Physical Review D* **10** (aug, 1974) 1057–1059.
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.10.1057>.
- [9] V. Moncrief, “Gauge-invariant perturbations of Reissner-Nordström black holes,” *Physical Review D* **12** (sep, 1975)
<https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevD.12.1526>.
- [10] S. Chandrasekhar, *The Mathematical Theory of Black Holes*. Oxford University Press, 1983.
- [11] J. Neuser, “Quantum field theory of black hole perturbations with backreaction iv. spherically symmetric 2nd order einstein-maxwell sector in generalised gauges,”.