Polarimeter optical spectrum analyzer

Eyal Buks

Andrew and Erna Viterbi Department of Electrical Engineering, Technion, Haifa 32000 Israel

(Dated: May 14, 2024)

A coherent optical spectrum analyzer is integrated with a rotating quarter wave plate polarimeter. The combined polarimeter optical spectrum analyzer (POSA) allows the extraction of the state of polarization with high spectral resolution. POSA is used in this work to study two optical systems. The first is an optical modulator based on a ferrimagnetic sphere resonator. POSA is employed to explore the underlying magneto-optical mechanism responsible for modulation sideband asymmetry. The second system under study is a cryogenic fiber loop laser, which produces an unequally spaced optical comb. Polarization measurements provide insights on the nonlinear processes responsible for comb creation. Characterizations extracted from POSA data provide guidelines for performance optimization of applications based on these systems under study.

I. INTRODUCTION

Optical state of polarization (SOP) can be measured using a variety of techniques [1]. For some applications, the dependency of SOP on optical wavelength λ has to be determined. This dependency on λ can be obtained by combining a wavelength tunable band-pass optical filter with the polarimeter being used to measure the SOP. This relatively simple configuration has a spectral resolution that is limited by the ratio between the filter's free spectral range (FSR) and its finesse. Lowering the spectral resolution below about 1 GHz over a wide tuning range in the optical band is practically challenging. On the other hand, for some applications, a much higher spectral resolution is needed.

A coherent optical spectrum analyzer (OSA) [2–4] is an instrument based on heterodyne detection of the optical signal under study [5–7]. Commonly, a coherent OSA has significantly higher spectral resolution compared to grating-based OSA. In its standard configuration, SOP cannot be extracted from coherent OSA data. In this work a fiber-based system is proposed, which integrates a coherent OSA with a polarimeter (PM) that is based on a rotating quarter wave plate (RQWP). The integrated polarimeter optical spectrum analyzer (POSA) allows determining both SOP and degree of polarization (DOP) with high spectral resolution.

The SOP can be described as a point in the Poincaré unit sphere [see Fig. 1(a)]. The colinear vertical, horizontal, diagonal and anti-diagonal SOP are denoted by $|V\rangle$, $|H\rangle$, $|D\rangle = 2^{-1/2} (|H\rangle + |V\rangle)$ and $|A\rangle =$ $2^{-1/2} (|H\rangle - |V\rangle)$, respectively, whereas the circular right-hand and left-hand SOP are denoted by $|R\rangle =$ $2^{-1/2} (|H\rangle - i |V\rangle)$ and $|L\rangle = 2^{-1/2} (|H\rangle + i |V\rangle)$, respectively. The unit vectors in the Poincaré sphere corresponding to the SOP $|V\rangle$, $|H\rangle$, $|D\rangle$, $|A\rangle$, $|R\rangle$ and $|L\rangle$, are $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$, $-\hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$, $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$, $-\hat{\mathbf{x}}_1$, $-\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$ and $\hat{\mathbf{x}}_2$, respectively.

In this work POSA is employed for studying two systems. The first is a ferrimagnetic sphere resonator (FMSR) made of yttrium iron garnet (YIG) (see sections III and IV). It has been recently demonstrated that optical single sideband modulation (SSM) can be implemented in the telecom band using a FMSR [8, 9]. Section



FIG. 1. SOP. (a) The Poincaré sphere. (b) POSA setup.

V is devoted to POSA measurements of a cryogenic fiber loop laser, which is operated in a region where an unequally spaced optical comb (USOC) is formed [10]. For both systems, theoretical interpretation of POSA measurement results is discussed.

II. POSA

POSA setup is schematically shown in Fig. 1(b). The input section contains two polarization controllers [PC1 and PC2 in Fig. 1(b)], and a RQWP (which is based on a rotating stage placed between two fiber collimators). Heterodyne detection in the coherent OSA section is performed using a wavelength tunable laser [TL2 in Fig. 1(b)], two polarized beam splitters [PBS1 and PBS2 in Fig. 1(b)], two 50:50 optical couplers [OC1 and OC2 in Fig. 1(b)], and two differential photodetectors [DPD1 and DPD2 in Fig. 1(b)].

In some other RQWP-based polarimeters, the RQWP is directly attached to a PBS and a photodetector [1]. In contrast, a single mode fiber is used in our setup to connect the RQWP to the coherent OSA section [see Fig. 1(b)]. This inter-section connection gives rise to a unitary transformation denoted by B_2 . The transformation B_2 can be manipulated using PC2, however, it is a priori unknown. Consequently, the extraction process of SOP from POSA data, which is explained below, is more complicated than the process that is commonly employed in other RQWP-based polarimeters, for which B_2 represents the identity transformation [1].

Let ρ be the density matrix of a given SOP, and let $P_{\rm m}$ be the matrix representation of a given projection operator (associated with a given polarization filter). The 2×2 matrices ρ and $P_{\rm m}$ are expressed as $\rho = (1/2)(1 + \gamma \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$ and $P_{\rm m} = (1/2)(1 + \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\rm m} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})$, where both $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \gamma^{-1}(P_1, P_2, P_3) = (\sin \theta_{\rm n} \cos \varphi_{\rm n}, \cos \theta_{\rm n}, \sin \theta_{\rm n} \sin \varphi_{\rm n})$ and $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\rm m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3) = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \cos \theta, \sin \theta \sin \varphi)$ are real unit vectors (the over-hat symbol is used to denote unit vectors), $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ is the DOP, and $\boldsymbol{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3)$ is the Pauli matrix vector

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} .$$
(1)

Using the relation $(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{a}) (\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \mathbf{b}) = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{b} + i\boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot (\mathbf{a} \times \mathbf{b})$, one finds that the probability p to find the SOP pointing in the $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m}$ direction is given by

$$p = \operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho P_{\mathrm{m}}\right) = \frac{1 + \gamma \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{m}}}{2} .$$
 (2)

While the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m}$ is associated with the polarization filter of OSA trace 1 (DPD1), OSA trace 2 (DPD2) represents the orthogonal SOP corresponding to the unit vector $-\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m}$. For a given input signal having intensity *I*, the OSA trace 1 (2) signal intensity I_{+} (I_{-}) is given by $I_{\pm}/I = (1/2) (1 \pm \gamma \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m})$ [see Eq. (2)], and thus the following holds

$$\gamma \hat{\mathbf{n}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{m}} = \frac{I_{+} - I_{-}}{I_{+} + I_{-}} \,. \tag{3}$$

Any loss-less linear SOP transformation can be described using a unitary Jones matrix $B(\hat{\mathbf{u}}, \phi)$ given by [11]

$$B(\hat{\mathbf{u}},\phi) \doteq \exp\left(-\frac{i\,\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{u}}\phi}{2}\right) = \mathbf{1}\cos\frac{\phi}{2} - i\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{u}}\sin\frac{\phi}{2}, \quad (4)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ is a unit vector and ϕ is a rotation angle. By expressing the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ as $\hat{\mathbf{n}} = \mathbf{n}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{n}_{\perp}$, where $\mathbf{n}_{\parallel} =$ $(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}) \hat{\mathbf{u}}$ (parallel component of $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ in the $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ direction) and $\mathbf{n}_{\perp} = \hat{\mathbf{u}} \times (\hat{\mathbf{n}} \times \hat{\mathbf{u}})$ (perpendicular component), one finds that

$$B\left(\hat{\mathbf{u}},\phi\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}}\right)B^{\dagger}\left(\hat{\mathbf{u}},\phi\right) = \boldsymbol{\sigma}\cdot\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{T}},\qquad(5)$$

where

$$\mathbf{\hat{n}}_{\mathrm{T}} = \mathbf{n}_{\parallel} + \mathbf{n}_{\perp} \cos \phi + (\mathbf{\hat{u}} \times \mathbf{n}_{\perp}) \sin \phi .$$
 (6)

The transformation from $\hat{\mathbf{n}}$ to $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{T}}$ (6) is a rotation about the $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ axis with angle ϕ .

The unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{u}}$ corresponding to the RQWP is given by $\hat{\mathbf{u}} = -\sin(2\alpha) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_1 + \cos(2\alpha) \hat{\mathbf{x}}_3$, where α is the RQWP axis angle, and the rotation angle ϕ is given by $\phi = \pi/2$, thus [see Eqs. (5) and (6)]

$$\gamma \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{T}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{m}} = \gamma \left(\left(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}} \right) \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \left(\hat{\mathbf{u}} \times \mathbf{n} \right) \right) \cdot \hat{\mathbf{n}}_{\mathrm{m}}$$
$$= a_0 + a_1 \cos \left(2\alpha \right) + b_1 \sin \left(2\alpha \right)$$
$$+ a_2 \cos \left(4\alpha \right) + b_2 \sin \left(4\alpha \right) , \qquad (7)$$

where

$$a_0 = \frac{P_1 m_1 + P_3 m_3}{2} , \qquad (8)$$

$$a_1 = P_1 m_2 - P_2 m_1 , (9)$$

$$b_1 = P_3 m_2 - P_2 m_3 , \qquad (10)$$

$$a_2 = \frac{P_3 m_3 - P_1 m_1}{2} , \qquad (11)$$

$$b_2 = -\frac{P_1 m_3 + P_3 m_1}{2} . \tag{12}$$

The following holds [see Eqs. (11) and (12)]

$$a_2^2 + b_2^2 = \frac{\gamma^2 \sin^2 \theta \sin^2 \theta_n}{4} .$$
 (13)

The Poincaré vector $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ can be extracted from Eqs. (8)-(12), and the measured values of a_0, a_1, b_1, a_2 and b_2 , provided that the transformation B_2 , which determines the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$, is given. POSA calibration (which is needed because B_2 is a priori unknown) is done by varying the angles θ_n and φ_n associated with the SOP transformation B_1 controlled by PC1, while keeping θ and φ unchanged (θ and φ are determined by the SOP transformation B_2 from the RQWP to the coherent OSA section, which can be manipulated using PC2). This process allows determining the angle θ_n using the relation [see Eq. (13)]

$$\sin^2 \theta_{\rm n} = \frac{a_2^2 + b_2^2}{\max_{\theta_{\rm n}, \varphi_{\rm n}} \left(a_2^2 + b_2^2\right)} \,. \tag{14}$$

The unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{n}}_{m} = (\sin \theta \cos \varphi, \cos \theta, \sin \theta \sin \varphi)$ can be manipulated by PC2 [see Fig. 1(b)]. As can be seen from Eq. (13), the angle θ can be tuned such that $\sin^{2} \theta = 1$, by maximizing $a_{2}^{2} + b_{2}^{2}$ using PC2. For this case $a_{1}^{2} + b_{1}^{2} + 4(a_{2}^{2} + b_{2}^{2}) = \gamma^{2}$. This PC2 calibration, which greatly simplifies SOP extraction from POSA data, has been performed prior to all measurements with both a driven FMSR (sections III and IV) and with a cryogenic fiber loop laser (section V).

III. FMSR MODULATOR

FMSR are widely employed as magnetically tunable microwave filters having high quality factor. The FMSR ellipsoidal shape allows uniform magnetization. Magneto-optical (MO) coupling [12–20] between FMSR optical [21–23] and magnetic Walker [24] modes can be used for implementing optical modulation [8, 9, 25–35]. The sequence of sidebands that are generated in a FMSRbased modulator can be determined from Brillouin scattering selection rules [8, 25–27, 29–31, 36–40], and angular momentum conservation in photon-magnon scattering [41–47]. Contrary to some other modulation techniques (such as amplitude, phase and frequency modulations) [48–50], symmetry between Stokes and anti-Stokes sidebands can be broken in FMSR-based modulation [8, 9, 35]. In particular, the method of single



FIG. 2. Experimental setup. Optical components and fibers are red colored, whereas blue color is used to label MW components and coaxial cables. (a) Optical fibers are installed on both sides of the FMSR for transmission of light through the sphere (see Ref. [35] for more details on the experimental setup). (b) The cryogenic fiber loop laser (see Ref. [51] for more details).

sideband modulation (SSM), which allows reducing both transmission power and bandwidth, can be implemented using FMSR modulation.

The FMSR modulator is schematically shown in Fig. 2(a). An FMSR made of YIG having radius of $R_{\rm s} = 125\,\mu{\rm m}$ is held by two ceramic ferrules (CF). The two CFs, which are held by a concentric sleeve, provide transverse alignment for both input and output single mode optical fibers. All optical measurements are performed in the telecom band, in which YIG has refractive index of 2.19 and absorption coefficient of $(0.5m)^{-1}$ [52]. The intensity and SOP of light illuminating the FMSR are controlled by an optical attenuator (Att) and a PC, respectively. The blue-colored microwave (MW) components shown in Fig. 2(a) allow both driving and detection of FMSR magnetic resonances. The FMSR is inductively coupled to a microwave loop antenna (MWA). Magnetic resonances are identified using a vector network analyzer (VNA). A signal generator (SG) is employed for driving, and the response is monitored using a radio frequency spectrum analyzer (RFSA). A circulator (C) and a splitter (S) are employed to direct the input and output microwave signals [see Fig. 2(a)].

The angular frequency of the FMSR Kittel mode $\omega_{\rm m}$ is approximately given by $\omega_{\rm m} = \mu_0 \gamma_{\rm e} H_{\rm s}$, where $H_{\rm s}$ is the static magnetic field, μ_0 is the free space permeability, and $\gamma_{\rm e}/2\pi = 28 {\rm GHzT}^{-1}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio [20, 53–55]. The applied static magnetic field ${\bf H}_{\rm s}$ is controlled by adjusting the relative position of a magnetized Neodymium using a motorized stage. The static magnetic field ${\bf H}_{\rm s}$ is normal to the unit vector $\hat{\bf k}$ pointing in the light propagation direction, and the MWA driving magnetic field is nearly parallel to $\hat{\bf k}$. The FMSR is installed such that its crystallographic direction [111] is aligned to $\hat{\bf k}$.

The plot in Fig. 3(2) shows POSA DPD1 signal intensity [see Fig. 1(b)] as a function of TL2 optical wavelength λ . For this plot the TL1 [see Fig. 2(a)] power is $P_{\rm L} = 6 \,\mathrm{mW}$ and wavelength is $\lambda_{\rm L} = 1537.7 \,\mathrm{nm}$. The MWA driving, which has power of 12 dBm, is frequency tuned to resonance at $\omega_{\rm m}/(2\pi) = 4.1755 \,\mathrm{GHz}$.



FIG. 3. FMSR. Normalized sideband intensities $I_{\rm L}/I_{\rm P}$ and $I_{\rm R}/I_{\rm P}$ are shown in (1) and (3), respectively ($I_{\rm P}$ is the central peak intensity). The letters a, b and c in the Poincaré plots' labels refer to values of v indicated in (1) and (3). The numbers 1, 2 and 3 in the Poincaré plots' labels refer to the left sideband, central peak and right sideband, respectively. The Poincaré vectors are red colored.

The driving-induced sidebands around the central peak [see Fig. 3(2)] have wavelengths $\lambda_{\rm L} (1 \pm \lambda_{\rm L} \omega_{\rm m} / (2\pi c)) = \lambda_{\rm L} \pm 32.9 \,\mathrm{pm}$, where c is the vacuum speed of light. Sidebands' intensities strongly depend on the input light SOP. This dependency is explored in the next section, which is devoted to the FMSR transverse dielectric tensor.

IV. FMSR TRANSVERSE DIELECTRIC TENSOR

In a Cartesian coordinate system, for which the [100], [010], and [001] crystallographic directions are pointing in the x, y and z axes, respectively, the dielectric tensor is represented by a 3×3 matrix denoted by ε [56–58]. The Onsager reciprocal relations, which originate from timereversal symmetry, read ϵ_{nm} (\mathbf{M}) = ϵ_{mn} ($-\mathbf{M}$), where $\mathbf{M} = (M_1, M_2, M_3)$ is the magnetization vector, and $n, m \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. The MO Stoner–Wohlfarth energy density is given by $u = (1/4) \mathbf{E}^* \varepsilon \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)$ is the electric field vector phasor.

To second order in the magnetization \mathbf{M} , the dielectric tensor can be expressed as $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_r + \varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2$, where ε_r is the relative permittivity. The first order (in \mathbf{M}) contribu-

tion to the dielectric tensor is given by $\varepsilon_1 = iQ_s \epsilon_{ijk} M_k$, where ϵ_{ijk} is the Levi-Civita symbol. For YIG in saturated magnetization $Q_s \simeq 10^{-4}$ [59]. Crystal cubic symmetry implies that the second order contribution ε_2 can be expressed as $\varepsilon_2 = g \operatorname{diag} \left(M_1^2, M_2^2, M_3^2 \right) + g_{12} \mathbf{M} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} + 2g_{44} \mathbf{M}^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}$, where g, g_{12} , and g_{44} are constants [60, 61] (in the notation used in Ref. [60] $g = g_{11} - g_{12} - 2g_{44}$).

Consider the coordinate transformation $\mathbf{M}' = \mathbf{M}\mathcal{R}$, where \mathcal{R} is a 3 × 3 rotation matrix. The energy density can be expressed as $u = (1/4) \mathbf{E}'^* \varepsilon' \mathbf{E}'^{\mathrm{T}}$, where $\mathbf{E}' = \mathbf{E}\mathcal{R}$ is the transformed value of the electric field \mathbf{E} , and where $\varepsilon' = \mathcal{R}^{-1}\varepsilon\mathcal{R}$ is the transformed value of the dielectric tensor ε (note that $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{T}} = \mathcal{R}^{-1}$ and that \mathcal{R} is real). The corresponding transformed first (second) order contribution to the dielectric tensor is denoted by $\varepsilon'_1(\varepsilon'_2)$.

The following holds $\mathbf{E}^* \varepsilon_1 \mathbf{E}^{\mathrm{T}} = -iQ_{\mathrm{s}} \mathbf{E}^* \cdot (\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{E})$, thus using the matrix identity $(\mathcal{R}\mathbf{X}) \times (\mathcal{R}\mathbf{Y}) = \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y})$, where \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} are vectors and \mathcal{R} is a rotation matrix, one finds that $\varepsilon'_1(\mathbf{M}') = \varepsilon_1(\mathbf{M})$, i.e. $\varepsilon'_1 = iQ_{\mathrm{s}}\epsilon_{ijk}M'_k$. The transformed second order contribution ε'_2 is given by $\varepsilon'_2 = gE'_{\mathrm{g}} + g_{12}\mathbf{M}'(\mathbf{M}')^{\mathrm{T}} + 2g_{44}(\mathbf{M}')^{\mathrm{T}}\mathbf{M}'$, where

$$E'_{g} = \sum_{n=1}^{3} \mathcal{R}^{-1} \eta_{n,n} \mathcal{R} \left(\mathbf{M}' \right)^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{M}' \mathcal{R}^{-1} \eta_{n,n} \mathcal{R} , \qquad (15)$$

and where $\eta_{n,m}$ is a 3×3 matrix, whose entries are given by $(\eta_{n,m})_{n',m'} = \delta_{n,n'}\delta_{m,m'}$. Note that only the term E'_{g} given by Eq. (15) gives rise to dependency of ε'_{2} on crystallographic directions.

The rotation matrix \mathcal{R} , which is given by

$$\mathcal{R} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos\phi}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{\cos\phi}{\sqrt{6}} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{2\sin\phi}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{2\cos\phi}{\sqrt{6}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{\cos\phi}{\sqrt{2}} - \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{6}} & -\frac{\cos\phi}{\sqrt{6}} + \frac{\sin\phi}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} \end{pmatrix} , \quad (16)$$

maps the z direction to [111] (which is parallel to light propagation direction $\hat{\mathbf{k}}$), and the xy plane to (111), with a variable angle ϕ . Consider the case where the static magnetic field is applied parallel to the x' direction. It is assumed that $M'_1 \simeq M_0$, $M'_2/M_0 \equiv \mu_2 \ll 1$ and $M'_3/M_0 \equiv \mu_3 \ll 1$, where M_0 is the saturation magnetization.

In the truncation approximation, the transverse dielectric tensor is taken to be the 2×2 upper left block of the 3×3 tensor ε' . Moreover, terms independent on $Q_{\rm s}$, μ_2 and μ_3 are disregarded. In this approximation $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon_{\rm r}$ is represented by a 2×2 matrix given by $M_0^2(\mu_3 \mathbf{a}' + \mu_2 \mathbf{a}'') \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, where $\mathbf{a}'(\mathbf{a}'')$ is the real (imaginary) part of the vector $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}' + i\mathbf{a}''$, which is given by (to first order in $Q_{\rm s}$)

$$\mathbf{a} = -\frac{\sqrt{2}g}{3} \left(\cos 3\phi - \frac{3i\left(\frac{1}{3} + \frac{2g_{44}}{g}\right)}{\sqrt{2}}, \frac{3Q_{\rm s}}{\sqrt{2}M_0g}, \sin 3\phi \right),$$
(17)

and where $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is the Pauli matrix vector [see Eq. (1)].

Alternatively

$$\varepsilon' - \varepsilon_{\rm r} = \frac{M_0^2}{2} \left(\mu_+ \mathbf{a}^* + \mu_- \mathbf{a} \right) \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} , \qquad (18)$$

where $\mu_{\pm} = \mu_3 \pm i\mu_2$.

The Hermitian matrices $(\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$, $S^{\dagger}S$ and SS^{\dagger} , where $S = \mathbf{a} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$ [see Eq. (18)], share the same eigenvectors, which are denoted by $|\mathbf{a}_{+}\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{a}_{-}\rangle$. The transformed matrix $\tilde{S} = U^{-1}SU$, where U is the 2 × 2 unitary transformation matrix corresponding to the basis $\{|\mathbf{a}_{+}\rangle, |\mathbf{a}_{-}\rangle\}$, has a hollow form [see Eq. (6.150) of Ref. [62]]. The matrix representation of $\varepsilon' - \varepsilon_{\rm r}$ in that basis, which is denoted by $\varepsilon_{\rm h}$, is given by

$$\varepsilon_{\rm h} = \frac{M_0^2}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \mu_- \zeta_1 + \mu_+ \zeta_2^* \\ \mu_- \zeta_2 + \mu_+ \zeta_1^* & 0 \end{pmatrix} , \qquad (19)$$

where $\zeta_1 \zeta_2 = \mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}$, $|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2 = 2\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{a}^*$ and $|\zeta_2|^2 - |\zeta_1|^2 = 4 |\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}'|$.

Both scattering selection rules and sideband asymmetry (between Stokes and anti-Stokes) can be characterized in terms of the ratio $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}$, which is defined by [see Eq. (19), and note that $|\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}| \leq 1$]

$$\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} = \frac{|\zeta_2|^2 - |\zeta_1|^2}{|\zeta_1|^2 + |\zeta_2|^2} = \frac{2 |\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}'|}{|\mathbf{a}'|^2 + |\mathbf{a}''|^2} .$$
(20)

Note that sideband asymmetry is possible only when $|\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}'| > 0$ [see Eqs. (19) and (20)]. For radio and optical communication, it is well known that sideband asymmetry is impossible when only the amplitude, or only the frequency, or only the phase, is modulated by a monochromatic signal. Moreover,with simultaneously applied two different modulation methods, sideband asymmetry becomes possible only when one modulation method is phase-shifted with respect to the other. These properties are all reflected by the condition $|\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}'| > 0$.

For YIG $(Q_s/(M_0g))^2 = 44.2$ and $2g_{44}/g = -4.0$ [20], hence $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} \simeq 0.84$ [see Eqs. (17) and (20), and note that the term $\sin^2 3\phi$ has a relatively small effect]. The largest sideband asymmetry is obtained for input states $|\mathbf{a}_+\rangle$ and $|\mathbf{a}_-\rangle$ [eigenvectors of $(\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}') \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}$]. As can be seen from Eq. (17), for YIG the vector $\mathbf{a}'' \times \mathbf{a}'$ is nearly parallel to the unit vector $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$.

Intensities of both left (shorter wavelength, anti-Stokes) and right (longer wavelength, Stokes) sidebands can be manipulated using the PC shown in Fig. 2(a), which controls the input SOP. The vector of three voltages controlling this PC are denoted by $\mathbf{V}_{PC} =$ $(V_{PC,1}, V_{PC,2}, V_{PC,3})$. A searching procedure is implemented to determine the value $\mathbf{V}_{PC,L}$ ($\mathbf{V}_{PC,R}$), for which the intensity of the left (right) sideband, which is denoted by I_L (I_R), is maximized. The measurements shown in Fig. 3 are performed with values of \mathbf{V}_{PC} given by $\mathbf{V}_{PC} = v\mathbf{V}_{PC,L} + (1-v)\mathbf{V}_{PC,R}$, where $0 \le v \le 1$. The Poincaré vector $\mathbf{P} = (P_1, P_2, P_3)$ can be extracted from POSA data using the method above-discussed in section II. Poincaré vectors \mathbf{P} are shown in Fig. 3 for three different values of the parameter v, which are indicated in Fig. 3(1) and (3) by the letters a, b and c. The numbers 1, 2 and 3 in the Poincaré plots' labels in Fig. 3 refer to the left sideband, central peak and right sideband, respectively.

A value for the asymmetry parameter given by $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}} = 0.92$ is extracted from the data shown in Fig. 3(1) and (3). This result suggests that the above-discussed theoretical analysis underestimates $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}$ (recall that the calculated value is 0.84) [44]. Disagreement in SOP between theory and experiment is quantified by the parameter $\delta_{\mathrm{P}} = \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{E}} - \mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\| / \|\mathbf{P}_{\mathrm{T}}\|$, where \mathbf{P}_{E} denotes a measured Poincaré vector, and \mathbf{P}_{T} is theoretically derived using Eq. (19). For the data shown in Fig. 3 $\delta_{\mathrm{P}} \lesssim 0.13$. The disagreement is partially attributed to magneto-dichroism (i.e. polarization-dependent absorption), which is theoretically disregarded. Further study is needed to explore the effect of magneto-dichroism and other possible mechanisms contributing to the observed disagreement.

V. USOC

USOC formation in a cryogenic fiber loop laser has been recently demonstrated [10, 51]. USOC can be employed as a tunable multi-mode lasing source [63]. Multimode lasing has a variety of applications in the fields of sensing, spectroscopy, signal processing and communication. Multimode lasing in the telecom band has been demonstrated by integrating Erbium doped fibers (EDF) cooled by liquid nitrogen into a fiber ring laser [64–67]. It has been recently proposed that EDF operating at low temperatures can be used for storing quantum information [68–72]. For some USOC-based applications, DOP specification is important. As is discussed below, the POSA high spectral resolution allows such a specification for individual USOC peaks.

A sketch of the cryogenic fiber loop laser used for studying USOC is shown in Fig. 2(b). The loop is made of an undoped single mode fiber (Corning 28), and a 5 m long EDF, which is used for optical amplification. The EDF has absorption of 30 dB m⁻¹ at 1530nm, and mode field diameter of 6.5μ m at 1550nm. The cold EDF is integrated with a room temperature fiber loop using a wavelength-division multiplexing (WDM) device. The EDF is pumped using a 980 nm laser diode (LD) biased with current denoted by $I_{\rm D}$. A 10:90 OC, and two isolators [labeled by arrows in the sketch shown in Fig. 2(b)], are integrated into the fiber loop. The output port of the 10:90 OC is connected to the POSA setup shown in Fig. 1(b).

The plot in Fig. 4(a) shows the total POSA signal intensity $I_+ + I_-$ as a function of the TL2 wavelength λ in the band where USOC is formed (1539.7 - 1541.5 nm). The underlying mechanism responsible for USOC formation has remained mainly unknown [10, 51]. POSA is employed to determine the DOP of each USOC peak.

 $\begin{array}{c} 0.15 \\ 0.$

1540.2 1540.4 1540.6

1540.8

1541

1541.2

-30

-35

-40

[편 -45 편 -50

-60

-65 (a)

-70

0.25

0.2

1539.6 1539.8

1540

₹, -55

FIG. 4. USOC intensity (a) and DOP (b). Diode current is 0.2 A, and EDF temperature is 3.2 K.

The result, which is shown in Fig. 4(b), reveals that the first (shortest wavelength) USOC peak has the largest DOP. Note that commonly in fiber lasers DOP is below about 0.15, unless a polarization-maintaining fiber is used [73]. Further study is needed to explore how higher USOC DOP, which is needed for some applications, can be achieved.

VI. DISCUSSION AND SUMMARY

A variety of POSA configurations have been developed and optimized for specific applications [74]. Commonly, wavelength separation in POSA instruments is achieved by integrating either a diffraction grating or a tunable optical filter. For some applications, in fields including astronomy and mineralogy analysis [75], these methods provide sufficiently high spectral resolution. However, a much higher spectral resolution can be achieved by implementing the method of coherent heterodyne detection [2–4].

Our coherent POSA setup operates in the entire C band (1530-1565 nm), and it has dynamic range of about 70 dB. It allows SOP measurement with spectral resolution of 5 MHz (corresponding wavelength resolution of 0.04 pm in the telecom band). SOP can be alternatively measured by combining a polarimeter with a tunable optical filter. The filter-based method is simpler to implement, however, its spectral resolution is limited by the ratio between the filter's FSR and finesse. Filter-based SOP measurements of a driven FMSR have been

reported in [8, 44]. In that study, the FMSR resonance frequency $\omega_{\rm m}/(2\pi)$ was tuned close to 7 GHz, and a scanning Fabry–Pérot etalon having FSR of 10 GHz (corresponding wavelength spacing of 80 pm in the telecom band) was employed as a tunable filter [8, 44]. Accurate SOP measurements of a driven FMSR can be obtained provided that the filter is capable of resolving individual sidebands. This task is challenging for a driven FMSR, because the power carried by both Stokes and anti-Stokes optical sidebands is commonly at least 3 orders of magnitude smaller than the power carried by the pump tone [see Fig. 3(2)]. Thus, for SOP measurements of a driven FMSR, the much higher spectral resolution offered by

- [1] Dennis H Goldstein, Polarized light, CRC press, 2017.
- [2] X Steve Yao, Bo Zhang, Xiaojun Chen, and Alan E Willner, "Real-time optical spectrum analysis of a light source using a polarimeter", *Optics Express*, vol. 16, no. 22, pp. 17854–17863, 2008.
- [3] Eunha Kim, Digant Dave, and Thomas E Milner, "Fiberoptic spectral polarimeter using a broadband swept laser source", *Optics communications*, vol. 249, no. 1-3, pp. 351–356, 2005.
- [4] HK Kim, SK Kim, HG Park, and Byoung Yoon Kim, "Polarimetric fiber laser sensors", *Optics letters*, vol. 18, no. 4, pp. 317–319, 1993.
- [5] Douglas M Baney, Bogdan Szafraniec, and Ali Motamedi, "Coherent optical spectrum analyzer", *Ieee Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 355–357, 2002.
- [6] Kunpeng Feng, Jiwen Cui, Hong Dang, Weidong Wu, Xun Sun, Xuelin Jiang, and Jiubin Tan, "An optoelectronic equivalent narrowband filter for high resolution optical spectrum analysis", *Sensors*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 348, 2017.
- [7] Hong Dang, Huanhuan Liu, Linqi Cheng, Yuqi Tian, Jinna Chen, Kunpeng Feng, Jiwen Cui, and Perry Ping Shum, "Deconvolutional suppression of resolution degradation in coherent optical spectrum analyzer", *Journal* of Lightwave Technology, vol. 13, pp. 4430, 2023.
- [8] JA Haigh, Andreas Nunnenkamp, AJ Ramsay, and AJ Ferguson, "Triple-resonant brillouin light scattering in magneto-optical cavities", *Physical review letters*, vol. 117, no. 13, pp. 133602, 2016.
- [9] Cheng-Zhe Chai, Zhen Shen, Yan-Lei Zhang, Hao-Qi Zhao, Guang-Can Guo, Chang-Ling Zou, and Chun-Hua Dong, "Single-sideband microwave-to-optical conversion in high-q ferrimagnetic microspheres", *Photonics Research*, vol. 10, no. 3, pp. 820–827, 2022.
- [10] Eyal Buks, "Low temperature spectrum of a fiber loop laser", *Physics Letters A*, vol. 458, pp. 128591, 2023.
- [11] Richard J Potton, "Reciprocity in optics", Reports on Progress in Physics, vol. 67, no. 5, pp. 717, 2004.
- [12] Babak Zare Rameshti, Silvia Viola Kusminskiy, James A Haigh, Koji Usami, Dany Lachance-Quirion, Yasunobu Nakamura, Can-Ming Hu, Hong X Tang, Gerrit EW Bauer, and Yaroslav M Blanter, "Cavity magnonics", *Physics Reports*, vol. 979, pp. 1–61, 2022.
- [13] Silvia Viola Kusminskiy, "Cavity optomagnonics", in Optomagnonic Structures: Novel Architectures for Si-

6

the coherent POSA significantly improves accuracy. For the USOC, as can be seen from Fig. 4(a), the spacing between peaks is far too small to practically allow any accurate SOP measurements using the filter-based method.

Future work will be devoted to optimize some applications, that are based on the optical systems under-study. For the FMSR modulator, ways to further increase the asymmetry parameter $\zeta_{\mathbf{a}}$ will be explored to allow more efficient optical communication based on SSM. Moreover, ways to enhance USOC DOP will be investigated in order to open the way for some novel applications that require a multi-mode lasing source having high level of intermode coherency.

multaneous Control of Light and Spin Waves, pp. 299–353. World Scientific, 2021.

- [14] Na Zhu, Xufeng Zhang, Xu Han, Chang-Ling Zou, and Hong X Tang, "Inverse faraday effect in an optomagnonic waveguide", arXiv:2012.11119, 2020.
- [15] Dominik M Juraschek, Derek S Wang, and Prineha Narang, "Sum-frequency excitation of coherent magnons", *Physical Review B*, vol. 103, no. 9, pp. 094407, 2021.
- [16] VASV Bittencourt, I Liberal, and S Viola Kusminskiy, "Light propagation and magnon-photon coupling in optically dispersive magnetic media", *Physical Review B*, vol. 105, no. 1, pp. 014409, 2022.
- [17] Xufeng Zhang, Na Zhu, Chang-Ling Zou, and Hong X Tang, "Optomagnonic whispering gallery microresonators", *Physical review letters*, vol. 117, no. 12, pp. 123605, 2016.
- [18] JP Van der Ziel, Peter S Pershan, and LD Malmstrom, "Optically-induced magnetization resulting from the inverse faraday effect", *Physical review letters*, vol. 15, no. 5, pp. 190, 1965.
- [19] Shasha Zheng, Zhenyu Wang, Yipu Wang, Fengxiao Sun, Qiongyi He, Peng Yan, and HY Yuan, "Tutorial: Nonlinear magnonics", arXiv:2303.16313, 2023.
- [20] Daniel D Stancil and Anil Prabhakar, Spin waves, Springer, 2009.
- [21] Anatolii N Oraevsky, "Whispering-gallery waves", Quantum electronics, vol. 32, no. 5, pp. 377, 2002.
- [22] Gerhard Schunk, Josef U Fürst, Michael Förtsch, Dmitry V Strekalov, Ulrich Vogl, Florian Sedlmeir, Harald GL Schwefel, Gerd Leuchs, and Christoph Marquardt, "Identifying modes of large whispering-gallery mode resonators from the spectrum and emission pattern", *Optics express*, vol. 22, no. 25, pp. 30795–30806, 2014.
- [23] Michael L Gorodetsky and Aleksey E Fomin, "Geometrical theory of whispering-gallery modes", *IEEE Journal* of Selected Topics in Quantum Electronics, vol. 12, no. 1, pp. 33–39, 2006.
- [24] Laurence R Walker, "Magnetostatic modes in ferromagnetic resonance", *Physical Review*, vol. 105, no. 2, pp. 390, 1957.
- [25] A Osada, A Gloppe, Y Nakamura, and K Usami, "Orbital angular momentum conservation in brillouin light scattering within a ferromagnetic sphere", New Journal

of Physics, vol. 20, no. 10, pp. 103018, 2018.

- [26] A Osada, R Hisatomi, A Noguchi, Y Tabuchi, R Yamazaki, K Usami, M Sadgrove, R Yalla, M Nomura, and Y Nakamura, "Cavity optomagnonics with spin-orbit coupled photons", *Physical review letters*, vol. 116, no. 22, pp. 223601, 2016.
- [27] Sanchar Sharma, Yaroslav M Blanter, and Gerrit EW Bauer, "Light scattering by magnons in whispering gallery mode cavities", *Physical Review B*, vol. 96, no. 9, pp. 094412, 2017.
- [28] Sanchar Sharma, Yaroslav M Blanter, and Gerrit EW Bauer, "Optical cooling of magnons", *Physical review letters*, vol. 121, no. 8, pp. 087205, 2018.
- [29] Evangelos Almpanis, "Dielectric magnetic microparticles as photomagnonic cavities: Enhancing the modulation of near-infrared light by spin waves", *Physical Review B*, vol. 97, no. 18, pp. 184406, 2018.
- [30] R Zivieri, P Vavassori, L Giovannini, F Nizzoli, Eric E Fullerton, M Grimsditch, and V Metlushko, "Stokesanti-stokes brillouin intensity asymmetry of spin-wave modes in ferromagnetic films and multilayers", *Physi*cal Review B, vol. 65, no. 16, pp. 165406, 2002.
- [31] BERNARD Desormiere and HENRI Le Gall, "Interaction studies of a laser light with spin waves and magnetoelastic waves propagating in a yig bar", *IEEE Transactions on Magnetics*, vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 379–381, 1972.
- [32] Zeng-Xing Liu, Bao Wang, Hao Xiong, and Ying Wu, "Magnon-induced high-order sideband generation", Optics Letters, vol. 43, no. 15, pp. 3698–3701, 2018.
- [33] Na Zhu, Xufeng Zhang, Xu Han, Chang-Ling Zou, Changchun Zhong, Chiao-Hsuan Wang, Liang Jiang, and Hong X Tang, "Waveguide cavity optomagnonics for microwave-to-optics conversion", *Optica*, vol. 7, no. 10, pp. 1291–1297, 2020.
- [34] Jie Li, Yi-Pu Wang, Wei-Jiang Wu, Shi-Yao Zhu, and JQ You, "Quantum network with magnonic and mechanical nodes", *PRX Quantum*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 040344, 2021.
- [35] Banoj Kumar Nayak and Eyal Buks, "Polarizationselective magneto-optical modulation", Journal of Applied Physics, vol. 132, no. 19, pp. 193905, 2022.
- [36] Zeng-Xing Liu and Hao Xiong, "Magnon laser based on brillouin light scattering", *Optics Letters*, vol. 45, no. 19, pp. 5452–5455, 2020.
- [37] JR Sandercock and W Wettling, "Light scattering from thermal acoustic magnons in yttrium iron garnet", *Solid State Communications*, vol. 13, no. 10, pp. 1729–1732, 1973.
- [38] HL Hu and FR Morgenthaler, "Strong infrared-light scattering from coherent spin waves in yttrium iron garnet", *Applied Physics Letters*, vol. 18, no. 7, pp. 307–310, 1971.
- [39] E Ghasemian, "Dissipative dynamics of optomagnonic nonclassical features via anti-stokes optical pulses: squeezing, blockade, anti-correlation, and entanglement", *Scientific Reports*, vol. 13, no. 1, pp. 12757, 2023.
- [40] Silvia Viola Kusminskiy, Hong X Tang, and Florian Marquardt, "Coupled spin-light dynamics in cavity optomagnonics", *Physical Review A*, vol. 94, no. 3, pp. 033821, 2016.
- [41] W Wettling, MG Cottam, and JR Sandercock, "The relation between one-magnon light scattering and the complex magneto-optic effects in yig", *Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics*, vol. 8, no. 2, pp. 211, 1975.
- [42] Michael G Cottam and David J Lockwood, Light scat-

tering in magnetic solids, Wiley New York, 1986.

- [43] Tianyu Liu, Xufeng Zhang, Hong X Tang, and Michael E Flatté, "Optomagnonics in magnetic solids", *Physical Review B*, vol. 94, no. 6, pp. 060405, 2016.
- [44] JA Haigh, A Nunnenkamp, and AJ Ramsay, "Polarization dependent scattering in cavity optomagnonics", *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 127, no. 14, pp. 143601, 2021.
- [45] R Hisatomi, A Noguchi, R Yamazaki, Y Nakata, A Gloppe, Y Nakamura, and K Usami, "Helicitychanging brillouin light scattering by magnons in a ferromagnetic crystal", *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 123, no. 20, pp. 207401, 2019.
- [46] Ryusuke Hisatomi, Alto Osada, Yutaka Tabuchi, Toyofumi Ishikawa, Atsushi Noguchi, Rekishu Yamazaki, Koji Usami, and Yasunobu Nakamura, "Bidirectional conversion between microwave and light via ferromagnetic magnons", *Physical Review B*, vol. 93, no. 17, pp. 174427, 2016.
- [47] Wei-Jiang Wu, Yi-Pu Wang, Jin-Ze Wu, Jie Li, and JQ You, "Remote magnon entanglement between two massive ferrimagnetic spheres via cavity optomagnonics", *Physical Review A*, vol. 104, no. 2, pp. 023711, 2021.
- [48] Victor Lazzarini, Joseph Timoney, and Thomas Lysaght, "Asymmetric-spectra methods for adaptive fm synthesis", MURAL - Maynooth University Research Archive Library, 2008.
- [49] Wei Li, Wen Ting Wang, Li Xian Wang, and Ning Hua Zhu, "Optical vector network analyzer based on singlesideband modulation and segmental measurement", *IEEE Photonics Journal*, vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 1–8, 2014.
- [50] S Shimotsu, S Oikawa, T Saitou, N Mitsugi, K Kubodera, T Kawanishi, and M Izutsu, "Single side-band modulation performance of a linbo 3 integrated modulator consisting of four-phase modulator waveguides", *IEEE Photonics Technology Letters*, vol. 13, no. 4, pp. 364–366, 2001.
- [51] Eyal Buks, "Intermode coupling in a fiber loop laser at low temperatures", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 42, pp. 2951, 2024.
- [52] Mehmet C Onbasli, Lukáš Beran, Martin Zahradník, Miroslav Kučera, Roman Antoš, Jan Mistrík, Gerald F Dionne, Martin Veis, and Caroline A Ross, "Optical and magneto-optical behavior of cerium yttrium iron garnet thin films at wavelengths of 200–1770 nm", *Scientific reports*, vol. 6, 2016.
- [53] PC Fletcher and RO Bell, "Ferrimagnetic resonance modes in spheres", *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 687–698, 1959.
- [54] S Sharma, Cavity optomagnonics: Manipulating magnetism by light, PhD thesis, Delft University of Technology, 2019.
- [55] Tien Liang Jin, "Design of a yig-tuned oscillator", New Jersey Institute of Technology, 1974.
- [56] Ml Freiser, "A survey of magnetooptic effects", *IEEE Transactions on magnetics*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 152–161, 1968.
- [57] Allan D Boardman and Ming Xie, "Magneto-optics: a critical review", Introduction to Complex Mediums for Optics and Electromagnetics, vol. 123, pp. 197, 2003.
- [58] Allan D Boardman and Larry Velasco, "Gyroelectric cubic-quintic dissipative solitons", *IEEE Journal of selected topics in quantum electronics*, vol. 12, no. 3, pp. 388–397, 2006.

- [59] DL Wood and JP Remeika, "Effect of impurities on the optical properties of yttrium iron garnet", *Journal of Applied Physics*, vol. 38, no. 3, pp. 1038–1045, 1967.
- [60] Aleksandr Mikhailovich Prokhorov and Smolenskii, "Optical phenomena in thin-film magnetic waveguides and their technical application", *Soviet Physics Uspekhi*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 339, 1984.
- [61] Anil Prabhakar and Daniel D Stancil, Spin waves: Theory and applications, vol. 5, Springer, 2009.
- [62] Eyal Buks, *Quantum mechanics Lecture Notes*, http://buks.net.technion.ac.il/teaching/, 2024.
- [63] Eyal Buks, "Tunable multimode lasing in a fiber ring", *Physical Review Applied*, vol. 19, no. 5, pp. L051001, 2023.
- [64] S Yamashita and K Hotate, "Multiwavelength erbiumdoped fibre laser using intracavity etalon and cooled by liquid nitrogen", *Electronics Letters*, vol. 32, no. 14, pp. 1298–1299, 1996.
- [65] Haochong Liu, Wei He, Yantao Liu, Yunhui Dong, and Lianqing Zhu, "Erbium-doped fiber laser based on femtosecond laser inscribed fbg through fiber coating for strain sensing in liquid nitrogen environment", Optical Fiber Technology, vol. 72, pp. 102988, 2022.
- [66] J Lopez, H Kerbertt, M Plata, E Hernandez, and S Stepanov, "Two-wave mixing in erbium-doped-fibers with spectral-hole burning at 77k", *Journal of Optics*, vol. 22, no. 8, pp. 085401, 2020.
- [67] Julien Le Gouët, Jérémy Oudin, Philippe Perrault, Alaeddine Abbes, Alice Odier, and Alizée Dubois, "On the effect of low temperatures on the maximum output power of a coherent erbium-doped fiber amplifier", *Journal of Lightwave Technology*, vol. 37, no. 14, pp. 3611– 3619, 2019.
- [68] Shi-Hai Wei, Bo Jing, Xue-Ying Zhang, Jin-Yu Liao, Hao Li, Li-Xing You, Zhen Wang, You Wang, Guang-Wei Deng, Hai-Zhi Song, et al., "Storage of 1650 modes of single photons at telecom wavelength", arXiv:2209.00802,

2022.

- [69] Antonio Ortu, Jelena V Rakonjac, Adrian Holzäpfel, Alessandro Seri, Samuele Grandi, Margherita Mazzera, Hugues de Riedmatten, and Mikael Afzelius, "Multimode capacity of atomic-frequency comb quantum memories", *Quantum Science and Technology*, vol. 7, no. 3, pp. 035024, 2022.
- [70] Duan-Cheng Liu, Pei-Yun Li, Tian-Xiang Zhu, Liang Zheng, Jian-Yin Huang, Zong-Quan Zhou, Chuan-Feng Li, and Guang-Can Guo, "On-demand storage of photonic qubits at telecom wavelengths", arXiv:2201.03692, 2022.
- [71] Lucile Veissier, Mohsen Falamarzi, Thomas Lutz, Erhan Saglamyurek, Charles W Thiel, Rufus L Cone, and Wolfgang Tittel, "Optical decoherence and spectral diffusion in an erbium-doped silica glass fiber featuring long-lived spin sublevels", *Physical Review B*, vol. 94, no. 19, pp. 195138, 2016.
- [72] Sara Shafiei, Erhan Saglamyurek, and Daniel Oblak, "Hour-long decay-time of erbium spins in an optical fiber at milli-kelvin temperatures", in *Quantum Information* and *Measurement*. Optical Society of America, 2021, pp. F2A–4.
- [73] A Liem, J Limpert, T Schreiber, M Reich, H Zellmer, A Tunnermann, A Carter, and K Tankala, "High power linearly polarized fiber laser", in *Conference on Lasers* and *Electro-Optics*. Optica Publishing Group, 2004, p. CMS4.
- [74] Javier Trujillo-Bueno, Fernando Moreno-Insertis, and Francisco Sánchez, Astrophysical spectropolarimetry, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [75] Denis A Belyaev, Konstantin B Yushkov, Sergey P Anikin, Yuri S Dobrolenskiy, Aleksander Laskin, Sergey N Mantsevich, Vladimir Ya Molchanov, Sergey A Potanin, and Oleg I Korablev, "Compact acousto-optic imaging spectro-polarimeter for mineralogical investigations in the near infrared", Optics Express, vol. 25, no. 21, pp. 25980–25991, 2017.