THE NUMBER OF CLIQUES IN HYPERGRAPHS WITH FORBIDDEN SUBGRAPHS

AYUSH BASU, VOJTĚCH RÖDL, AND YI ZHAO

ABSTRACT. We study the maximum number of r-vertex cliques in (r-1)-uniform hypergraphs not containing complete r-partite hypergraphs $K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_r)$. By using the hypergraph removal lemma, we show that this maximum is $o(n^{r-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-1})})$. This immediately implies the corresponding results of Mubayi and Mukherjee and of Balogh, Jiang, and Luo for graphs. We also provide a lower bound by using hypergraph Turán numbers.

1. Introduction

Given integers $r \geq 2$ and n > 0 and two r-uniform hypergraphs T and F, let $\operatorname{ex}(n, T, F)$ denote the maximum number of copies of T in any F-free (i.e., not containing F as a subgraph) r-uniform hypergraph on n vertices. The case when T is an edge (i.e., $T = K_2$) is the Turán problem $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$. The parameter $\operatorname{ex}(n, T, F)$ has been studied for different choices of graphs T and F by many authors (for example, see [1, 2, 4, 7, 9, 10, 14, 17]).

Given an r-uniform hypergraph F with vertex set $V(F) = \{v_1, \ldots, v_\ell\}$, let $F(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$ denote a blowup of F, i.e., the hypergraph obtained from F by replacing each vertex v_i by a set V_i of size a_i , and every edge $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_r}\}$ by a complete r-partite graph on the vertex sets V_{i_1}, \ldots, V_{i_r} . If $a_1 = \cdots = a_\ell = a$, then we denote $F(a_1, \ldots, a_\ell)$ by F(a). We denote by $K_r^{(r)}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ the complete r-partite r-uniform hypergraph with a_1, \ldots, a_r vertices in its parts. In this note, we consider the parameter ex(n, T, F), when T is an r-uniform hypergraph, and F is a blowup of T.

This problem is related to the following classical result of Erdős [5] on the Turán number $ex(n, K_r^{(r)}(a_1, \ldots, a_r))$. It states that given integers $r \geq 2$ and $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$,

$$ex(n, K_r^{(r)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = O(n^{r-1/(a_1 \dots a_{r-1})}).$$
(1)

Given $2 \le i < r$ and an r-uniform hypergraph F, the s-uniform shadow $\partial^{(s)}F$ of F is an s-uniform hypergraph on V(F) whose edge set consists all s-subsets $A \subseteq V(F)$ such that $A \subseteq B$ for some edge $B \in F$. We observe the following simple fact (and will prove it in Section 2).

Fact 1. Given $r \geq 3$ and an r-uniform hypergraph F, we have

$$ex(n, K_r, \partial^{(2)}F) \le \dots \le ex(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, \partial^{(r-1)}F) \le ex(n, F).$$

Date: May 14, 2024.

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 05C65, 05C35.

The first and second authors are partially supported by NSF grants DMS 1764385 and 2300347. The third author is partially supported by NSF grant DMS 2300346 and Simons Collaboration Grant 710094.

Fact 1 and (1) together imply that, given positive integers $r \geq 3$ and $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$,

$$ex(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) \le ex(n, K_r^{(r)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = O(n^{r-1/(a_1 \dots a_{r-1})}).$$
 (2)

In [17], it was shown that the upper bound in (2) can be improved in the case where r = 3 and $a_1 = 1$. We extend their result in the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Given positive integers $r \geq 3$ and $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$, we have,

$$ex(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = o(n^{r-1/(a_1 \cdots a_{r-1})}).$$
(3)

Further, given integers $a \ge 1$, $\ell \ge r$, and any (r-1)-uniform hypergraph F on ℓ vertices,

$$\operatorname{ex}(n, F, F(a)) = o\left(n^{\ell - \frac{1}{a^{\ell - 1}}}\right). \tag{4}$$

By Fact 1, Theorem 2 also implies the following recent result in [2] about the number of copies K_r in graphs without certain complete r-partite subgraph.

Corollary 3. Given integers $r \geq 3$ and $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$,

$$ex(n, K_r, K_r(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = o(n^{r-1/(a_1 \cdots a_{r-1})}).$$

The following lower bound complements Theorem 2 (and will be proved in Section 3).

Proposition 4. Given integers $1 \le a_1 \le \cdots \le a_r$,

$$ex(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) = \Omega\left(n \cdot ex\left(n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})\right)\right).$$
 (5)

Observe that if $a_1 = \cdots = a_{r-1} = 1$ and $a_r \ge 2$, then the right hand side of (5) is zero and hence the lower bound is trivial. In this case, a construction in [8] implies the following lower bound. Let $r_r(n)$ denotes the size of the largest subset of [n] that does not contain an arithmetic progression of length r.

Proposition 5. For every $r \geq 3$,

$$\exp(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(1, \dots, 1, 2)) > n^{r-2}r_r(n).$$

For the proof of Proposition 5, see Section 3.

2. Proof of Fact 1 and Theorem 2

In this section, we will prove Fact 1 and Theorem 2.

Proof of Fact 1. It suffices to show that, for every $2 \le s \le r - 1$,

$$ex(n, K_r^{(s)}, \partial^{(s)} F) \le ex(n, K_r^{(s+1)}, \partial^{(s+1)} F),$$

(trivially $\partial^{(r)}F = F$). Indeed, let G be an $\partial^{(s)}F$ -free s-graph on [n] with $\operatorname{ex}(n, K_r^{(s)}, \partial^{(s)}F)$ copies of $K_r^{(s)}$. Let H be the (s+1)-graph on [n] whose edges are (s+1)-sets that span a copy of $K_{s+1}^{(s)}$ in G. We claim that H is $\partial^{(s+1)}F$ -free. Suppose instead, that H contains a copy of $\partial^{(s+1)}F$ on some set $S \subset [n]$ under a bijection $\phi: V(F) \to S$. Consider an s-set $A \in \partial^{(s)}F$. We know $A \subset B$ for some $B \in \partial^{(s+1)}F$. Thus, $\phi(B) \in H$ by the definition of ϕ and consequently, $\phi(A) \in G$ by the definition of H. This implies that S spans a copy of $\partial^{(s)}F$ in G, contradicting that G is $\partial^{(s)}F$ -free.

Furthermore, it is easy to see that for any r-subset $S \subset [n]$, S spans a copy of $K_r^{(s)}$ in G if and only if S spans a copy of $K_r^{(s+1)}$ in H. Thus, the number of $K_r^{(s+1)}$ in H equals to $\operatorname{ex}(n,K_r^{(s)},\partial^{(s)}F)$, the number of $K_r^{(s)}$ in G. Since H is $\partial^{(s+1)}F$ -free, we conclude that $\operatorname{ex}(n,K_r^{(s)},\partial^{(s)}F) \leq \operatorname{ex}(n,K_r^{(s+1)},\partial^{(s+1)}F)$.

Before proving Theorem 2, we will fix some notation that we use for the rest of the section. We call r-uniform hypergraphs r-graphs. Given an (r-1)-graph G and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, let G(v) be the (r-1)-graph with vertex set $V(G) \setminus \{v\}$, and

$$\{v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}\} \in G(v)$$
 if $\{v, v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}\}$ induces $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G .

For a positive integer a, let $G(v_1) \cap \cdots \cap G(v_a)$ be the (r-1)-graph with vertex set $V(G) \setminus \{v_1, \ldots, v_a\}$ and edge set consisting of all $\{w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}\}$ such that $\{v_i, w_1, \ldots, w_{r-1}\}$ induces a $K_r^{(r-1)}$ for every $i \in \{1, \ldots, a\}$.

In the following proofs we will use the hypergraph removal lemma, which we state below.

Lemma 6 (Hypergraph Removal Lemma [18, 11]). For every $r \geq 3$, $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every r-uniform hypergraph G on n vertices the following holds. If G contains at least εn^{r-1} edge disjoint copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$, then it must contain at least δn^r copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$.

We also need the following simple claim.

Claim 7. For every positive integer $a, r \geq 3$ and (r-1)-graph G on n vertices, the following holds. If \mathscr{I} is a collection of cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$ of G such that every edge $e \in G$ is contained in less than a cliques of \mathscr{I} , then G contains at least $\frac{|\mathscr{I}|}{r(a-1)}$ edge disjoint copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$.

Proof. For G and \mathscr{I} satisfying the above assumptions, let $\mathscr{I}_1 \subseteq \mathscr{I}$ be a maximum collection of pairwise edge disjoint cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in \mathscr{I} and let \mathscr{E} be the union of edge sets of the cliques in \mathscr{I}_1 . Clearly $|\mathscr{E}| = r \cdot |\mathscr{I}_1|$. Since by assumption, each edge $e \in \mathscr{E}$ is contained in at most (a-1) cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in \mathscr{I} , there are at most $(a-1)r|\mathscr{I}_1|$ cliques in \mathscr{I} containing some edge of \mathscr{E} . Due to the maximality of \mathscr{I}_1 , it follows that $|\mathscr{I}| \leq (a-1)r|\mathscr{I}_1|$ and thus $|\mathscr{I}_1| \geq \frac{|\mathscr{I}|}{(a-1)r}$.

Now we prove Theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2. Fix $r \geq 3$ and integers $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$. We first consider the case when $a_{r-1} = 1$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, and let G be a $K_r^{(r-1)}(1, \ldots, 1, a_r)$ -free (r-1)-graph on n vertices. Assume by contradiction, that the collection $\mathscr I$ of all $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G has size at least εn^{r-1} . In view of Claim 7, G must contain at least $\varepsilon' n^{r-1}$ edge disjoint copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ where $\varepsilon' = ((a_r - 1)r)^{-1}\varepsilon$. By the hypergraph removal lemma, this implies that there exists some $\delta > 0$ (depending on ε') such that G contains δn^r copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. However, this contradicts (2).

Next, we consider the case where $a_{r-1} \geq 2$. Let G be a $K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ -free (r-1)-graph on n vertices. First we will show that for every $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_{r-1}}\} \subseteq V(G)$, the (r-1)-graph

 $G(v_1)\cap\cdots\cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})$ is $K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_{r-2},a_r)$ -free. Indeed, given $\{v_1,\ldots,v_{a_{r-1}}\}\subseteq V(G)$, assume by contradiction, that the (r-1)-graph $G(v_1)\cap\cdots\cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})$ contains a copy of $K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_{r-2},a_r)$ with the vertex set $V_1\sqcup V_2\cdots\sqcup V_{r-2}\sqcup V_r$, where $|V_i|=a_i$. Let $V_{r-1}:=\{v_1,\ldots,v_{a_{r-1}}\}$. Then the r-partite graph on $V_1\sqcup V_2\cdots\sqcup V_r$ in G forms a copy of $K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_r)$, a contradiction.

Consequently, for every $\{v_1, \ldots, v_{a_{r-1}}\} \subseteq V(G)$,

$$|G(v_1) \cap \dots \cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})| \le \exp(n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-2}, a_r)) = O\left(n^{r-1 - \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{r-2}}}\right).$$
 (6)

Our goal is using the above fact to obtain a large collection of edge disjoint $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G. To this end we consider the family \mathscr{A} , elements of which are collections of a_{r-1} copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ that share an edge of G. More formally,

$$\mathscr{A} := \{ \{T_1, \dots, T_{a_{r-1}}\} : T_i \cong K_r^{(r-1)} \text{ and } T_1, T_2, \dots, T_{a_{r-1}} \text{ share an edge of } G \}.$$

Next we give an upper bound on the size of \mathscr{A} . Given any element in \mathscr{A} , there exists vertices $\{v_1,\ldots,v_{a_{r-1}}\}\subseteq V(G)$, and an edge $e\in G$ (in particular, $e\in G(v_1)\cap\cdots\cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})$), such that $e\cup\{v_i\}$ form a $K_r^{(r-1)}$ for every $1\leq i\leq a_{r-1}$. Consequently, the cardinality of \mathscr{A} can be bounded by the number of pairs $(\{v_1,\ldots,v_{a_{r-1}}\},e)$ with $e\in G(v_1)\cap\cdots\cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})$. Thus in view of (6),

$$|\mathscr{A}| \le \binom{n}{a_{r-1}} |G(v_1) \cap \dots \cap G(v_{a_{r-1}})| \le n^{a_{r-1}} O\left(n^{r-1 - \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 \cdots a_{r-2}}}\right).$$
 (7)

In order to prove (3) of Theorem 2, assume by contradiction, that G contains $N = \Omega(n^{r-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-1})})$ copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. We will find a collection $\mathscr I$ of cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G satisfying

$$|\mathscr{I}| = \Omega(n^{r-1})$$
 and $\mathscr{I}^{(a_{r-1})} \cap \mathscr{A} = \emptyset$, (8)

i.e., for every $S \subseteq \mathscr{I}$ with $|S| = a_{r-1}$, S is not an element of \mathscr{A} . Note that if $|\mathscr{A}| \leq N/2$, then one can obtain \mathscr{I} from the collection of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G, by deleting a copy of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ for each element of \mathscr{A} . Thus, $\mathscr{I}^{(a_{r-1})} \cap \mathscr{A} = \emptyset$ and $|\mathscr{I}|$ is at least $N/2 = \Omega(n^{r-1/(a_1 \cdots a_{r-1})})$ which is bigger than $\Omega(n^{r-1})$.

Now we consider the case where $|\mathscr{A}| \geq N/2$. Let **I** be a random subset of copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ where each copy of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G is chosen with probability p > 0 independently. Let $\mathbf{I}^{(a_{r-1})}$ denote the collection of a_{r-1} -subsets of **I**. We have that,

$$\mathbb{E}[|\mathbf{I}| - |\mathscr{A} \cap \mathbf{I}^{(a_{r-1})}|] = pN - p^{a_{r-1}}|\mathscr{A}|.$$

Let p be chosen such that, $p^{a_{r-1}}|\mathscr{A}| = pN/2$, which implies

$$p = \left(\frac{N}{2|\mathscr{A}|}\right)^{\frac{1}{a_{r-1}-1}} \le 1, \text{ (since } N \le 2|\mathscr{A}|) \quad \text{ and } \quad pN = \frac{N^{\frac{a_{r-1}}{a_{r-1}-1}}}{(2|\mathscr{A}|)^{\frac{1}{a_{r-1}-1}}}.$$

Consequently, there exists a choice of \mathcal{I}' such that,

$$|\mathscr{I}'| - |\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{I}'^{(a_{r-1})}| \ge \frac{pN}{2}.$$

Let $\mathscr{I} \subseteq \mathscr{I}'$ be the collection of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ of G formed by deleting one $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in \mathscr{I}' from every a_{r-1} subset in $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{I}'^{(a_{r-1})}$. Consequently, $\mathscr{A} \cap \mathscr{I}^{(a_{r-1})}$ is empty. Further,

$$|\mathscr{I}| \ge \frac{pN}{2} = \frac{N^{\frac{a_{r-1}}{a_{r-1}-1}}}{(2|\mathscr{A}|)^{\frac{1}{a_{r-1}-1}}}.$$
(9)

Using the value of N (by assumption) and $|\mathcal{A}|$ in (7), the exponent of n in the RHS of (9) is equal to,

$$\left(r - \frac{1}{a_1 a_2 a_3 \cdots a_{r-1}}\right) \frac{a_{r-1}}{a_{r-1} - 1} - \left(a_{r-1} + r - 1 - \frac{1}{a_1 \cdots a_{r-2}}\right) \frac{1}{a_{r-1} - 1}$$

$$= \frac{a_{r-1} r - a_{r-1} - (r-1)}{a_{r-1} - 1} = r - 1,$$

which implies $|\mathcal{I}| = \Omega(n^{r-1})$. Hence \mathcal{I} satisfies (8).

Next we obtain a family of edge disjoint $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G from \mathscr{I} . By construction, \mathscr{I} is a collection of cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G such that every edge $e \in G$ is contained in less than a_{r-1} cliques of \mathscr{I} . In view of Claim 7, this implies that G contains at least $|\mathscr{I}|/r(a_{r-1}-1)$ edge disjoint copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. Since $|\mathscr{I}| = \Omega(n^{r-1})$, this implies that G contains $\Omega(n^{r-1})$ copies of edge disjoint $K_r^{(r-1)}$.

To summarise, this implies that given any $\varepsilon > 0$, and (r-1)-graph G on n vertices that is $K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_r)$ -free the following holds. Assuming by contradiction that G contains $N = \varepsilon n^{r-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-1})}$ copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$, there exists some $\varepsilon' > 0$ (depending only on ε, r, a_i) such that G contains $\varepsilon' n^{r-1}$ edge disjoint copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. By the hypergraph removal lemma, this implies that there exists some $\delta > 0$ (depending only on ε, r, a_i) such that G contains δn^r copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. In view of (2), however, this implies that G contains $K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_r)$. Thus (3) holds.

Now we prove the upper bound in (4) on $\operatorname{ex}(n, F, F(a))$ for any given (r-1)-graph F. Label the vertices of F v_1, \ldots, v_ℓ . Let G be an F(a)-free (r-1)-graph on n vertices, and assume by contradiction, that G contains $N = \Omega(n^{\ell - \frac{1}{a^{\ell - 1}}})$ copies of F. Given an ℓ -partition of $V(G) = W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_\ell$, we call a set $X \subseteq V(G)$ crossing if $|X \cap W_i| \le 1$ for $1 \le i \le \ell$. We call a copy of F in G on a vertex set $\{x_1, \ldots, x_\ell\}$ aligned with respect to $W_1 \sqcup W_2 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_\ell$ if

- (1) $x_i \in W_i$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., \ell$, and
- (2) $x_i \mapsto v_i$ is an isomorphism.

We will denote such a copy by \overrightarrow{F} . A simple averaging argument yields that there exists a partition of $V(G) = W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_\ell$ with at least $\ell^{-\ell}N$ copies of \overrightarrow{F} .

Let \mathscr{H} be an auxiliary ℓ -partite $(\ell-1)$ -graph with vertex set $W_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup W_{\ell}$. Let the

edges of \mathcal{H} be those crossing $(\ell-1)$ -tuples that extend to a copy of \overrightarrow{F} . Formally,

$$\mathscr{H} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{\ell} \left\{ (x_j)_{j \in [\ell] \setminus \{i\}} : \text{ there exists } x_i \in W_i \text{ such that } (x_1, \dots, x_\ell) \text{ is a copy of } \overrightarrow{F} \right\}.$$

Note that each aligned copy \overrightarrow{F} in G forms a $K_{\ell}^{(\ell-1)}$ in \mathscr{H} . Consequently, the number of copies of $K_{\ell}^{(\ell-1)}$ in \mathscr{H} is at least $(\ell^{-\ell})N = \Omega(n^{\ell-\frac{1}{a\ell-1}})$.

By the first part of Theorem 2, this implies that \mathscr{H} contains a copy of $K_{\ell}^{(\ell-1)}(a)$ with vertex sets $U_i \subseteq W_i$ for $1 \le i \le \ell$. Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_{\ell}) \in U_1 \times \cdots U_{\ell}$. Since $\ell \ge r$, for every edge $\{v_{i_1}, \ldots, v_{i_{r-1}}\}$ of F, there exists an $\ell-1$ subset $S \subseteq [\ell]$ such that $\{i_1, \ldots, i_{r-1}\} \subseteq S$. By definition of \mathscr{H} , the tuple $(x_s : s \in S)$ must extend to some copy of F, which implies $\{x_{i_1}, \ldots, x_{i_{r-1}}\}$ must be an edge in G.

Consequently, for every $(x_1, \ldots, x_\ell) \in U_1 \times \cdots \cup U_\ell$, we have that the subgraph of G induced by $\{x_1, \ldots, x_\ell\}$ contains an aligned copy \overrightarrow{F} . This implies that G contains a copy of F(a), contradicting the assumption that G is F(a)-free.

3. Lower Bound Constructions

In this section, we will prove Propositions 4 and 5.

Proof of Proposition 4. We construct an (r-1)-graph H whose vertex set is partitioned into $A \sqcup B$ such that

- |A| = n/r and |B| = (r-1)n/r;
- H[B] is $K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_{r-1})$ -free and has $\exp(\frac{r-1}{r}n,K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1,\ldots,a_{r-1}))$ edges; • every vertex of A and every (r-2) - subset of B form an edge and there are no
- every vertex of A and every (r-2) subset of B form an edge and there are no other edges intersecting A. In other words, the link of every vertex in A is the complete (r-2)-graph on the vertex set B.

The number of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ is at least $\frac{n}{r} \exp(\frac{r-1}{r}n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1}))$ because every vertex of A together with any edge of B form a copy of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. It remains to show that H contains no $K_r(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$. Assume by contradiction, it does. Since there is no edge containing two vertices from A, and $a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$, the subgraph induced by H on B needs to contain a $K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1})$, thus contradicting the construction of H.

The proof of Proposition 5 is based on a construction given in [8].

Proof of Proposition 5. In the proof of [8, Proposition 2.1], it was shown that for every $r \geq 3$, there exists an r-partite r-graph H with parts V_1, \ldots, V_r satisfying the following properties.

- (1) For every $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\} \subseteq V(H)$, there exists at most one edge in H containing $\{x_1, \ldots, x_{r-1}\}$.
- (2) For every collection of subsets $\{\{x_i, y_i\} \subseteq V_i : 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, there exist $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \setminus \{x_i\} \cup \{y_i\}$ is not an edge of H.
- (3) H has (r-1)rn vertices and $n^{r-2}r_r(n)$ edges.

Let G be the (r-1)-uniform shadow of H, i.e., $G = \partial^{(r-1)}H$. We claim that G is $K_r^{(r-1)}(1,\ldots,1,2)$ -free and contains $n^{r-2}r_r(n)$ copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$. Since G is the shadow of H, the number of copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G is at least the number of edges in H.

While the edges of H correspond to a collection of edge disjoint cliques ("real cliques") in G, we will now show that G contains no other cliques $K_r^{(r-1)}$. Assume by contradiction that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$ induces such a "fake clique" $K_r^{(r-1)}$, i.e., $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \notin H$ but induces a $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G. Since every edge of this clique belongs to some "real clique", for every $1 \leq i \leq r$, there must exist $y_i \neq x_i$ in V_i such that $\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\} \setminus \{x_i\} \cup \{y_i\} \in H$, contradicting (2). Consequently, by (1), no two $K_r^{(r-1)}$ in G share an edge and hence G is $K_r^{(r-1)}(1, \ldots, 1, 2)$ -free.

4. Concluding remarks

As mentioned earlier, in the case where $a_1 = \cdots = a_{r-1} = 1$ and $a_r \geq 2$ the lower bound in (5) is trivial. We ask if there are other sequences of integers $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$ for which (5) can be improved.

Question 8. Given integer $r \geq 3$, for what sequence of integers $1 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_r$,

$$\exp(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) \ge n^{1+\varepsilon} \cdot \exp\left(n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_{r-1})\right)$$

for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n) > 0$?

The order of magnitude for $\operatorname{ex}(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \ldots, a_r))$ is not known in any non-trivial case. The case when $r \geq 3$ and $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = 2$ is related to a problem of Erdős, see, e.g., [3, 12, 13]. Theorem 2 and Proposition 4, together with the lower bound in [3] imply that

$$\Omega\left(n^{r-\left\lceil \frac{2^{r-1}-1}{r-1}\right\rceil}\right) \le \exp(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(2, \dots, 2)) \le o\left(n^{r-\frac{1}{2^{r-1}}}\right).$$

It was conjectured in [16], that $ex(n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a_1, \ldots, a_{r-1})) = \Omega(n^{r-1-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-2})})$. This was confirmed for some cases in [15, 16]. If this conjecture is true, then Theorem 2 and Proposition 4 would imply that,

$$\Omega(n^{r-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-2})}) \le \exp(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a_1, \dots, a_r)) \le o(n^{r-1/(a_1\cdots a_{r-1})}).$$

When $a_1 = \cdots = a_r = a \ge 2$, one can obtain that $\exp(n, K_{r-1}^{(r-1)}(a)) = \Omega(n^{r-1-(r-1)/(a^{r-1}-1)})$ by using the *probabilistic deletion method* [6]. Together with Proposition 4 and Theorem 2, this gives

$$\Omega\left(n^{r-\frac{(r-1)(a-1)}{a^{r-1}-1}}\right) \le \operatorname{ex}(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(a)) \le o\left(n^{r-\frac{1}{a^{r-1}}}\right).$$

When $a_1 = 1$, instead of Proposition 4, one can employ the *deletion method* directly to an random (r-1)-uniform hypergraph on n vertices by removing copies of $K_r^{(r-1)}(1, a, \ldots, a)$. Together with Theorem 2, this implies that

$$\Omega\left(n^{r-\frac{r(r-1)}{a^{r-2}}}\right) \le \exp(n, K_r^{(r-1)}, K_r^{(r-1)}(1, a, \dots, a)) \le o(n^{r-\frac{1}{a^{r-2}}}).$$

It would be interesting to improve the gaps in any of the above cases.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors thank Jie Han and Sean Longbrake for valuable discussions.

References

- N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H-free graphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 121:146–172, 2016.
- [2] J. Balogh, S. Jiang, and H. Luo. On the maximum number of r-cliques in graphs free of complete r-partite subgraphs, arXiv:2402.16818, 2024.
- [3] D. Conlon, C. Pohoata, and D. Zakharov. Random multilinear maps and the Erdős box problem. Discrete Anal., pages Paper No. 17, 8, 2021.
- [4] P. Erdős. On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs. Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl., 7:459–464, 1962.
- [5] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. Israel J. Math., 2:183–190, 1964.
- [6] P. Erdős and J. Spencer. Probabilistic methods in combinatorics, volume Vol. 17 of Probability and Mathematical Statistics. Academic Press [Harcourt Brace Jovanovich, Publishers], New York-London, 1974.
- [7] B. Ergemlidze, A. Methuku, N. Salia, and E. Győri. A note on the maximum number of triangles in a C₅-free graph. J. Graph Theory, 90(3):227–230, 2019.
- [8] P. Frankl and V. Rödl. Extremal problems on set systems. Random Structures Algorithms, 20(2):131– 164, 2002.
- [9] D. Gerbner, E. Győri, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán problems for even cycles. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 145:169–213, 2020.
- [10] L. Gishboliner and A. Shapira. A generalized Turán problem and its applications. In STOC'18— Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing, pages 760–772. ACM, New York, 2018.
- [11] W. T. Gowers. Hypergraph regularity and the multidimensional Szemerédi theorem. Ann. of Math. (2), 166(3):897–946, 2007.
- [12] D. S. Gunderson, V. Rödl, and A. Sidorenko. Extremal problems for sets forming Boolean algebras and complete partite hypergraphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 88(2):342–367, 1999.
- [13] N. H. Katz, E. Krop, and M. Maggioni. Remarks on the box problem. Math. Res. Lett., 9(4):515–519, 2002.
- [14] J. Komlós, J. Pintz, and E. Szemerédi. A lower bound for Heilbronn's problem. J. London Math. Soc. (2), 25(1):13–24, 1982.
- [15] J. Ma, X. Yuan, and M. Zhang. Some extremal results on complete degenerate hypergraphs. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 154:598–609, 2018.
- [16] D. Mubayi. Some exact results and new asymptotics for hypergraph Turán numbers. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 11(3):299–309, 2002.
- [17] D. Mubayi and S. Mukherjee. Triangles in graphs without bipartite suspensions. *Discrete Math.*, 346(6):Paper No. 113355, 19, 2023.
- [18] B. Nagle, V. Rödl, and M. Schacht. The counting lemma for regular k-uniform hypergraphs. Random Structures Algorithms, 28(2):113–179, 2006.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EMORY UNIVERSITY, ATLANTA, GA, USA *Email address*: {abasu|vrodl}@emory.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Georgia State University, Atlanta, GA 30303

Email address: yzhao6@gsu.edu